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1 SCOPE

1.1 PURPOSE

The Human Integration Design Handbook (HIDH), NASA/SP-2010-3407, provides guidance for the crew health, habitability, environment, and human factors design of all NASA human space flight programs and projects.

The two primary uses for the handbook are to

- Help requirement writers prepare contractual program-specific human interface requirements – Users include program managers and system requirement writers.
- Help designers develop designs and operations for human interfaces in spacecraft – Users include human factors practitioners, engineers and designers, crews and mission / flight controllers, and training and operations developers.

The handbook is a resource document for NASA Space Flight Human Systems Standard (SFHSS), NASA-STD-3001. The SFHSS is a two-volume set of NASA Agency-level standards, established by the Office of the Chief Health and Medical Officer, that defines levels of acceptable risks to crew health and performance that result from space flight. Volume 1 of the SFHSS, Crew Health, sets standards related to crew health. Volume 2, Habitability and Environmental Health, defines the environmental, habitability, and human factors standards that are related to environmental health and human-system interfaces during human space flight.

The handbook is a resource for implementing the requirements in the SFHSS, and it provides the data and guidance necessary to derive and implement program-specific requirements that are in compliance with the SFHSS.

The scope of the handbook includes all crew operations both inside and outside the spacecraft in space and on lunar and planetary surfaces. It includes

- Design guidelines for crew interface with workstations, architecture, habitation facilities, and extravehicular activity (EVA) systems.
- Information describing crew human capabilities and limitations (both physical and cognitive)
- Environmental support parameters

The document uses the term “spacecraft” and “system” to refer to the volume in which humans live and work. The “humans” addressed in this document are the crew of the spacecraft. Spacecraft and system refer to all aspects of the crewmembers’ living and working conditions including the hardware, equipment, software, and environment. The term “human space flight program” is used to refer to the infrastructure assigned to design, develop, and deploy the spacecraft system.
1.2 APPLICABILITY

This handbook is applicable to

- All human space flight programs
- Internationally provided space systems as documented in distinct separate agreements, such as joint or multilateral agreements

This handbook is to be used to help meet the requirements defined in the SFHSS and may be referenced in contract, program, and other NASA documents for guidance. Individual portions of this handbook may be tailored (i.e., modified or deleted) by contract or program specifications to meet specific program or project needs and constraints.

1.3 HOW TO USE THE HIDH

The SFHSS is applicable to all human space flight programs. In accordance with the SFHSS, individual programs or projects must write a set of system-specific requirements that will meet the applicable standard requirements. The handbook provides the latest technological information and guidance for individual programs to meet the SFHSS. Program managers will use the handbook to craft requirements, and designers can use the handbook to help implement the requirements.

The handbook is divided into chapters that address major subject areas. Each chapter is divided into sections devoted to specific topics.

1.3.1 Chapters

The handbook is divided into 13 chapters, the last 9 of which address the range of human operations in space:

- Chapter 1, Scope – This chapter defines the scope and explains the content and use of the handbook.
- Chapter 2, Applicable Documents – This chapter lists the Government and non-Government documents applicable to the handbook. Each chapter also contains a list of references cited in that chapter.
- Chapter 3, Process and Requirements – This chapter contains general guidance on developing program-specific requirements and developing a human-system integration process throughout system design.
- Chapter 4, Anthropometry, Biomechanics, and Strength – This chapter includes information about the physical size, shape, reach, range of motion, strength, and mass of crewmembers. It explains how to determine the correct data for a project and how this information should be used to create a design that fits the crew.
- Chapter 5, Human Performance Capabilities – This chapter covers the physical, cognitive, and perceptual capabilities and limitations of humans in space flight. Topics covered include physical workload, visual and auditory perception, and cognitive workload.
Chapter 6, Natural and Induced Environments – This chapter defines the habitable range for environmental factors (air, water, contamination, acceleration, acoustics, vibration, radiation, and temperature) that will ensure that humans can perform safely and effectively.

Chapter 7, Habitability Functions – This chapter provides design considerations for the daily functions of the crew inside the spacecraft, including eating, sleep, hygiene, waste management, and other activities to ensure a habitable environment.

Chapter 8, Architecture – This chapter provides guidance for the development and integration of overall spacecraft size and configuration, and layout of location and orientation aids, traffic flow and translation paths, hatches and doors, windows, and lighting.

Chapter 9, Hardware and Equipment – This chapter provides overall human factors guidelines for the design of hardware and equipment such as tools, drawers and racks, closures, mounting hardware, handles and grasp areas, restraints, mobility aids, fasteners, connectors, visual access, packaging, clothing, and crew personal equipment.

Chapter 10, Crew Interfaces – This chapter covers the design of interfaces through which information is exchanged between the crew and systems. Topics include visual displays, audio displays, controls, and labels.

Chapter 11, Extravehicular Activities – This chapter covers the human factors design guidelines for EVAs performed by suited crewmembers outside the pressurized environment of a flight spacecraft (during space flight or on a destination surface). It also addresses off-nominal operations performed inside unpressurized spacecraft.

Chapter 12 Operations - RESERVED

Chapter 13 Ground Maintenance and Assembly - RESERVED

1.3.2 Chapter Organization

Each of the above chapters is subdivided into sections with detailed information. All the sections have a common format. The section elements are the following:

- Introduction
- Main Body – Design Guidelines, Lessons Learned, and Example Solutions
- Research Needs
- References

1.3.2.1 Introduction

The introduction identifies the topic(s) presented in the section and its scope. The introduction also identifies other sections of the handbook that might be of interest when
the subject matter is applied to space vehicles and habitations. For example, the contamination section refers to sections on food, housekeeping, water, and surfaces.

1.3.2.2 Main Body

The main body of the section contains data on human health and performance, guidance for human-system integration in spacecraft design, lessons learned from previous space flight or analog programs, and example solutions for design implementation.

The design guidelines provide designers and human factors practitioners with guidance and background information about the section topic. Background information and data needed for implementing program-level design requirements based on current research and subject matter expertise is also included. Information provided includes

- An overview of the section topic
- Background information
- Desirable design factors
- Design cautions or potential pitfalls
- Conditions (gravity environment, crew size, mission duration) under which the design features are applicable
- Data, including recommended limits and constraints
- Other factors that are important when considering the section topic (with references to other sections of the handbook)
- Problems that might occur when designing a system that meets design needs

Specific, concrete lessons learned from space or Earth-based analogs add examples from real life about previous successes to model, and mishaps or circumstance that compromise safety or efficiency to avoid.

Example solutions describe how human-system integration has been successfully implemented (specific hardware or operations) in designs. Specific constraints and peculiarities of the examples will be noted so that the program team can tailor the design solutions accordingly.

1.3.2.3 Research Needs

This element lists any specific unknowns (knowledge gaps) that are critical to the design of good human-systems interfaces. This information defines the limits of knowledge for system developers and can save them the time wasted in tracking down unavailable information. The HIDH will be updated to reflect the updated research and remaining needs.
1.3.2.4 References

Each chapter contains the references used in that particular chapter. These references are not an exhaustive bibliography of the topic, but rather a brief representation of useful classics and up-to-date materials.
## 2 APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS

### 2.1 GOVERNMENT DOCUMENTS

**National Aeronautics and Space Administration**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NASA-STD-3001</td>
<td>SFHSS, Volume 1, Crew Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SFHSS, Volume 2, Human Factors, Habitability, and Environmental Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSC 20584</td>
<td>NASA Spacecraft Maximum Allowable Concentration (SMAC) Tables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASA-STD-6016</td>
<td>Standard Materials and Processing Requirements for Spacecraft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 September 2006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSC 63307</td>
<td>Requirements for Optical Properties for Windows Used in Crewed Spacecraft</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.2 NON-GOVERNMENT DOCUMENTS

**American National Standards Institute (ANSI)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANSI Z136.1</td>
<td>American National Standard for Safe Use of Lasers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**American Society for Testing and Materials**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D1003, Procedure A</td>
<td>Standard Test Method for Haze and Luminous Transmittance of Transparent Plastics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 June 2000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D1044</td>
<td>Standard Test Method for Resistance of Transparent Plastics to Surface Abrasion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 November 2005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 May 2003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IEEE C95.1</td>
<td>IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio-Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**International Organization for Standardization**

ISO 10110-7 1996  
Optics and optical instruments - Preparation of drawings for optical elements and systems - Part 7: Surface imperfection tolerances

**United States Defense Standard (MIL-STD or MIL-SPEC)**

MIL-C-48497 8 September 1980  
Coating, Single or Multilayer Interference: Durability Requirements for

MIL-E-12397B 18 November 1954  
Eraser, Rubber Pumice (For Testing Coated Optical Elements)

MIL-G-174 5 December 1986  
Glass, Optical

MIL-PRF-13830B 9 January 1997  
Optical Components for Fire Control Instruments: General Specification Governing the Manufacture, Assembly, and Inspection of

MIL-STD-1241 31 March 1967  
Optical Terms and Definitions

### 2.3 ORDER OF PRECEDENCE

RESERVED
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3 GENERAL

3.1 INTRODUCTION

This section provides information applicable to the overall design of a system. It describes the following:

1. How to use this handbook to write program-specific requirements that will meet NASA-STD-3001, Volume 2, Human Factors, Habitability and Environmental Health.
2. How to apply health and human factors information in this book to the design process throughout a development program to achieve a safe and effective design.

This document, the Human Interface Design Handbook (HIDH), is a companion to NASA-STD-3001, Volume 2.

NASA-STD-3001 is a broad set of criteria that ensures that humans are healthy, safe, and productive in space. Volume 1 focuses on human physiology and medical procedures and standards for maintenance and preservation of health. Volume 2 focuses on systems that interface with the human: controls, displays, architecture, environment, and habitability support systems. These systems must be configured for humans to carry out their mission effectively and safely.

The requirements in Volume 2 are a combination of both general and very specific criteria. In some cases, research and experience have determined an absolute need for a specific configuration or a specific set of environmental limits to maintain human health and productivity. In other cases, however, requirements are expressed in general terms (almost as goals). These requirements, though general, must also be met. The method for meeting the general requirements might vary with the specific system. Requirements may vary, for example, with such factors as crew size, mission duration, and gravity environment. There is no single, global method of meeting the standard.

In this handbook and in NASA-STD-3001, Volume 2, the term “requirement” refers to the global human interface design criteria in NASA-STD-3001. The term “system-specific requirements” refers to the design criteria for a specific system that will implement the requirements in NASA-STD-3001, Volume 2.

3.2 DERIVATION OF PROGRAM-SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS

Program-specific requirements must be created for each individual program; creation of these requirements is specified in 3.1.2, Program-Specific Requirements, of NASA-STD-3001, Volume 2. The creation of the program-specific requirements for Volume 2 must be started early in the program (in the conceptual stage).

Program-specific requirements will generally have two parts: human-systems design requirements and verification requirements.

1. Human-Systems Design Requirements – These are specific design parameters that will ensure that the system meets the human performance and environmental requirements in NASA-STD-3001, Volume 2. Each “shall” statement in NASA-STD-3001, Volume 2, will have a corresponding human-systems requirement. These requirements may include the following:
Habitability requirements that include upper and lower boundaries for environmental factors such as heating, vibration, noise, and atmospheric composition. They can also define architectural features such as hatch sizes or workplace volume needs.

Design criteria to accommodate the human capabilities and limitations so that the crew can perform to meet system demands. These criteria might deal with the selection and placement of controls and displays or the interior layout of a spacecraft.

2. Verification Requirements – These requirements spell out steps to verify that the final system configuration meets the system-specific requirements.

Below is a description of how to write both parts of the program-specific requirements.

3.2.1 Human-Systems Design Requirements

All statements in NASA-STD-3001, Volume 2, with the word “shall” must be addressed in a program-specific set of human-systems design requirements.

This handbook can be used as a resource for preparation of these requirements. The word “must” is used in the handbook to help the requirement writer locate statements and criteria that may serve as resource material for program-specific requirements. A “should” in this handbook identifies a recommendation. In some cases, the criteria in NASA-STD-3001, Volume 2, are very detailed and specific. Translation of these specific requirements into program-specific requirements may involve only slight modification of the original statement. For “general” or nonspecific requirements, the author will have to tailor the requirement to the specifics of a particular system and mission. In this case, the requirements must ensure that the intent of the standard is met. Although some terminology in a “general” requirement in NASA-STD-3001 cannot be directly verifiable, the terms have meaning. For example, the word “minimize” means that design parameters need to fall within an acceptable range and should be as low as possible within that range.

Program-specific requirement statements must be

- Clear
  1. Interpreted in only one way
  2. Stated positively (i.e., using “shall” instead of “shall not”)  
  3. Free of ambiguities (e.g., as appropriate, etc., and/or, be able to) 
  4. Free of indefinite pronouns (e.g., this, these) 

- Correct
  1. Free of implementation (do not prescribe a design solution) 
  2. Free of descriptions of operations (ask “Does the developer have control over this?”) 
  3. Each requirement is necessary to meet the standard 

- Consistent
  1. Not redundant with other requirements  
  2. Does not conflict with other documents  
  3. Defined at the correct level (again, ask “Does the developer have control over this?”) 

- Verifiable
  1. Contains a clear and measurable pass/fail criterion
Once a requirement is written, a rationale statement must be written. A rationale statement should be written for all requirements. A rationale statement clarifies the requirement by providing a brief background and justification for the requirement, including any numerical values. NASA-STD-3001, Volume 2, has requirement statements, and in some cases these may be modified for the system-specific requirements.

3.2.2 Verification Requirements

To ensure that requirements are properly understood, interpreted, and implemented, a verification requirement is necessary. A verification requirement defines the methods and specific success criteria for meeting human-system design requirements.

For each human-system design requirement, the author of verification requirements must define clear and measurable success criteria for verification. The verification requirement must then define the specific steps to meet the success criteria. There are four basic verification methods: Test, Analysis, Demonstration, Inspection (see descriptions in Table 3.2-1 below.) Verifications must be objective: repeatable results must be obtained regardless of the measurement personnel.

Table 3.2-1 Verification Techniques

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verification Technique</th>
<th>Selection Criteria</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inspection</td>
<td>• If a person can observe or use a simple measurement to determine if the requirement is satisfied or not, Inspection is proper method. • The risk with this method is inherent in the fact that an inspector makes the measurement or judgment. • Inspection is typically the least expensive verification method. • Attributes for verification: What is to be inspected, How is it to be inspected, Who will inspect it, What is the success criterion?</td>
<td>Requirement: The system shall provide Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) for each crewmember in the event of an emergency. Verification: The provision for stowage space of PPE shall be verified by inspection. The inspection shall include a review of the system design to ensure accessible stowage space for PPE. The inspection shall identify the presence of PPE. The verification shall be considered successful when the inspection identifies adequate stowage space and the presence of PPE.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Test

- If an experiment and subsequent data analysis is needed for verification, Test is the proper method.
- A Test verification should provide a thorough description of the experiment.
- The success criteria for a Test may be best stated probabilistically.
- Test is typically the best and most effective method to quantify and reduce risk.
- Testing can be expensive.
- Attributes for verification: the measure, initial conditions, assumptions, experiment description, hardware and software to be used, success criterion.

Requirement: The system shall limit impulse noise levels at the crewmember's head location to less than 83 dB, during crew sleep periods.

Verification: The Impulse Annoyance Noise limit shall be verified by test. The measurements shall be made within the vehicle in the flight configuration with integrated Government-furnished equipment (GFE), portable equipment, payloads, and cargo installed. Hardware shall be operated at settings that occur during crew rest periods. Measurements shall be made, using a Type 1 integrating-averaging sound level meter, at expected sleep station head locations. Measurement locations shall be no closer than 8 cm from any surface. Peak-hold sound pressure level measurements (impulse noise) shall be made. The verification shall be considered successful when measurements show that the peak overall sound pressure levels are less than 83 dB.

Analysis

- If verification can be accomplished by evaluation of equations, Analysis is the proper method.
- The risk with Analysis is inherent in the assumptions and model fidelity.
- Analysis is generally much less expensive than Test.
- Attributes for verification: the measure, initial conditions, assumptions, sources of equations, details of simulation, hardware and software to be used, success criterion.

Requirement: The system shall provide a minimum of 2.0 kg (4.4 lb) of potable water per crewmember per mission day for drinking.

Verification: The provisioning of the specified quantity of potable water shall be verified by analysis. The analysis shall determine the amount of potable water stowage on the vehicle for all vehicle configurations. The verification shall be considered successful when the analysis shows sufficient volume and mass capacity for stowage of potable water in the amount of 2.0 kg (4.4 lb) of potable water per crewmember per mission day (in addition to other potable water requirements), using maximum crew size and maximum mission duration.

Demonstration

- If verification can be accomplished with an experiment on actual system hardware or software, and only a single datum or result is needed (no data analysis, a simple pass/fail), then Demonstration is the proper method.

Requirement: Window covers, shades, and filters that are designed to be removed and replaced during flight shall be removable in less than 10 seconds and replaceable in less than 10 seconds.

Verification: Window cover, shade, and filter removal or replacement in
• A Demonstration is usually performed at the extremes in range of performance (i.e., worst-case environment or scenarios).
• The risk with Demonstration is that there is only one datum on which the pass/fail decision is made.
• Attributes for verification: the measure or function, initial conditions, assumptions, specific instructions, hardware and software to be used, success criterion.

less than 10 seconds shall be verified by demonstration. The demonstration shall occur in the vehicle or high-fidelity mockup thereof. The demonstration shall consist of removing and then replacing each window cover, shade, and filter without the use of tools by a crewmember test subject who shall be selected by NASA. The verification shall be considered successful when the demonstration shows that each cover, shade, and filter is removable in less than 10 seconds and replaceable in less than 10 seconds.

To determine the best verification method(s), several considerations need to be made concerning the type of requirement, the success criteria and, to some extent, cost and schedule. The selection of verification method(s) should be weighed against the importance, risk, precision, sensitivity, and consequences of the functional requirement.

3.2.3 Source of Requirement Information

This handbook is an available source of information used to create the system-specific requirements. It contains information from the latest research about human health, habitation, and performance in space. However, NASA recognizes that handbook updates will lag science. System developers may wish to propose using supplemental or alternative information.

3.3 APPLICATION OF THE HIDH TO SYSTEM DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT

3.3.1 Introduction

The following section discusses the development of a system and how the information in this handbook on human health, habitation, and performance can be integrated into the design. This section is meant to help program planners, designers, and human factors and health practitioners achieve a successful integration of humans and systems.

The information in this section coordinates with NASA/SP-2007-6105, Systems Engineering Handbook. In that reference, one can find further information on the design process and on human factors analytical techniques.

3.3.2 Overview of the Design Process

All design and development programs evolve through the same general phases:
• Conceptual
• Preliminary Design
• Final Design and Fabrication
• Test and Verification
• Operations and Sustainability
• Update and Retrofit
• Closeout
If the system includes a crew, this human component must be considered along with the other components throughout system development. The procedure for including the human in the design process will be referred to in this section as human-system integration (HSI).

In a systems development effort, a Human Factors group is usually responsible for the human component of the system (the “crew”). In this role, Human Factors is central to the HSI process and will have the primary responsibility for the use of this handbook. This section will focus on the Human Factors efforts during the system development process.

Well-designed human-system interfaces are critical for crew safety, productivity, and ultimately mission success. An HSI program must address the physical and cognitive capabilities and limitations of the human occupant, the constraints of the spaceflight environment, and the tasks to be performed. The lack of a quality HSI program makes it more likely that problems will arise late in the system development cycle, resulting in increased risk of slipped schedules and increased costs. Even worse, human interface problems may arise when the system is deployed, resulting in degradation of performance or safety.

A systems development program involves a variety of groups that focus on particular areas of the design. These groups have different names depending on the organization. In this discussion we will refer to the following groups:

- **Human Factors** – Focuses on human performance and ensures the integration of the human-system requirements into the design
- **Systems Engineering** – Coordinates all engineering specialties, sets design parameters, conducts tradeoff studies
- **Design Engineering** – Responsible for the final hardware and software configuration
- **Mission Planning** – Responsible for defining the system mission and basic operating procedures
- **Safety** – Responsible for human and systems safety
- **Health** – Manages crew health
- **Crew Selection** – Defines and enacts crew selection criteria
- **Training** – Prepares the crew for safe and effective performance during the mission

An HSI program plan should define how the Human Factors group integrates with the other organizations throughout a system development program to ensure that humans are healthy, safe, and productive in space. An HSI program plan should contain

- The general Human Factors Engineering (HFE) program goals and scope
- A high-level concept of operations for the new system
- HFE design team skills necessary to conduct subsequent HFE
- Activities (responsibilities of the main design team and contractors should be clearly stated)
- Engineering procedures (such as quality assurance and the use of an issues-tracking system) to be followed
- Description of HFE products and documentation of analysis and results
- Key milestones and schedule to ensure the timely completion of HFE products

This section will briefly describe an HSI process during system development, including the coordination of the system development groups. Along with a brief description of each program phase, this section
will show how this handbook can be used. Table 3.3-1 at the end of this chapter summarizes this information.

3.3.3 Conceptual Phase

During the Conceptual Phase of system development, designers define what the system is intended to do and make broad assumptions about how the system will accomplish this. This includes defining the role of the human in the system.

In meeting its goal, any system follows a logical scenario of events. Mission scenarios are defined during the Conceptual Phase. Mission definition includes identification of operations during emergency, “off-nominal,” and contingency conditions. As the sequence of events and functional goals are defined, system developers begin to make assumptions and conceptual decisions about how these goals are to be accomplished. Functions can be allocated to possible combinations of hardware, software, and/or humans. These conceptual design decisions involve making choices about the specific role and duties of the human in the system. To help with these decisions, human factors analysts may analyze critical tasks for each human role option. Techniques for predicting workload and human reliability, and assessing the consequences of task failure, will then be used to help select the optimal human roles in system operations. See Table 3.3-1 for more specific outputs from the Conceptual Phase.

During this phase, Human Factors personnel will work with a wide variety of project personnel. Establishing the human role requires coordination and tradeoffs with system and design engineers, and with persons and groups responsible for training, mission planning, safety, health, and crew selection.

Finally, during the Conceptual Phase, system developers will develop the set of system-specific requirements necessary to meet NASA-STD-3001, Volume 2.

Handbook Use in Conceptual Phase

The handbook will be used in three ways during the Conceptual Phase:

1. The Conceptual Phase involves defining the human role in the system. The first step is to identify the potential users of the system and describe this population. Chapter 4, “Anthropometrics, Biomechanics, and Strength,” discusses how to determine the physical size and capabilities of a crew population.

2. System developers must trade off the use of humans or equipment to accomplish each of the system functions and goals. Doing this requires knowledge of human physical and cognitive capabilities. This information can be found in Chapter 6, “Human Performance Capabilities.”

3. The entire handbook will be used for development of program-specific requirements.

3.3.4 Preliminary Design Phase

During the Preliminary Design Phase, system developers expand on the decisions made during the Conceptual Phase. Basic decisions are made about locations within the system where people will live and work and the type of equipment they will use. Human Factors will provide inputs to the habitable volume needs and the overall layout of workplaces and living habitats. Early design will focus on equipment selection, configurations, and procedures that are simple, operable, and consistent throughout the system design. Decisions are also made about habitability and life support system design parameters.
During this phase, human factors analysts will make gross assessments of human activities. They will estimate how many people should be assigned to tasks and estimate task durations. The functional allocations made during the Conceptual Phase will be examined and in some cases revised. During this phase, human factors analysts will use tools including function and task analyses, human anthropometric and cognitive models, physical and virtual models of crew habitation areas and workstations, and preliminary performance and usability testing. Workload and human error assessments made during the Conceptual Phase will be updated and refined during Preliminary Design.

Human factors experts will work closely with system and design engineers and mission planning groups during the Preliminary Design Phase. This process will be iterative, with design alternatives assessed through tradeoff studies coordinated by system engineers. Also, task analysis data developed by human factors analysts during this phase will be forwarded to training and Mission Planning personnel.

**Handbook Use in Preliminary Design Phase**

During the Preliminary Design Phase, designers and human factors personnel will use information directly from this handbook. The requirements will have been completed and the handbook will be the resource for fulfilling these requirements. Chapter 8, “Architecture,” describes the considerations to be made and information required for laying out a living and working habitat in space. For extravehicular activities (EVA), Chapter 11, “EVA,” will help designers define preliminary designs for EVA systems. Human environmental support (atmosphere and water) and protection from environmental effects (radiation, contamination, acoustics, acceleration, and vibration) are defined in Chapter 6, “Natural and Induced Environments.”

### 3.3.5 Final Design and Fabrication Phase

During the Final Design and Fabrication Phase, final dimensioned drawings are made of the user interfaces. Software systems are finalized. It is important that these systems meet the physical and mental needs and capabilities of the crew.

Human factors analysts will perform detailed task analyses and usability testing during this phase. These analyses help to define the details of the system configuration needed to support human performance and health. This information is communicated to Design Engineering, Crew Selection, and Training personnel. The information developed will include these items:

- Design requirements for details (selection, placement, size) of controls and displays
- Size and configuration of workstations
- Requirements for special environmental support (lighting, ventilation, cushioning, etc.)
- Requirements for labeling
- Skill and training needs of the crew
- Time needed to do tasks (task time)
- Procedures for doing tasks

Design efforts will focus on maximizing crew effectiveness while minimizing training requirements, task time, and errors. This can be achieved through simplifying and standardizing crew interfaces.

Also during this phase, human factors personnel will finalize plans to verify that the system will meet the system-specific human factors requirements defined in the Conceptual Phase.
3.3.6 Test and Verification Phase

During this phase, the final system configuration is tested to verify that it meets the requirements developed in the Conceptual Phase. In testing human performance or habitability accommodations, the human can be modeled. In some cases, however, modeling does not adequately represent the human, and human test subjects need to be used. Test subjects must be representative of the full range of potential crewmembers in both physical and cognitive aspects. As the responsible agent for the human, human factors personnel must participate in the testing to ensure that it is conducted according to plan, and then interpret the results.

3.3.7 Operations, Sustainability, Update, Retrofit, and Closeout Phases

Human factors personnel are often used in standby mode during these phases. They can be a valuable resource in monitoring human performance and feedback. Human factors personnel can help provide immediate solutions (using design data in the handbook) or diagnose situations (using tools such as task analyses and human modeling) and determine where improvements can be made. Some procedural or design changes may be large and require a more full development program with human factors participation as outlined above.

Handbook Use in Test and Verification Phase
Some findings may fall outside predicted norms and various sections of the handbook may have to be consulted for assessment.

Handbook Use in Operations, Sustainability, Update, Retrofit, and Closeout Phases
The handbook can be used as a resource to assess the severity of crew problems and for determining corrective actions.
### Table 3.3-1 HSI in System Design

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Input Info</th>
<th>HF Activities</th>
<th>Output</th>
<th>Focus of Handbook Use During Phase</th>
<th>Coordination With</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Conceptual    | System goals and basic mission function requirements | • Analysis of similar systems  
• Identification of human capabilities and limitations in mission context  
• Operational analyses to define system mission scenarios  
• Allocation of functions to humans or to equipment or software  
• Preparation of HF requirements document  
• Human error and failure consequence analyses | • Lessons learned identifying potential problem areas and function allocation guidelines  
• Mission scenarios  
• Definition of human role in system (job type, basic skill requirements) to help ensure the system is operable  
• Number of crewmembers  
• Definition of crew anthropometric characteristics  
• Identification of equipment and stations that interface with crew | • Section 9.13, Design for Training  
• Chapter 5, Human Performance Capabilities  
• Chapter 5, Human Performance Capabilities, Sections 5.6 – 5.8  
• Chapter 4, Anthropometrics, Biomechanics, and Strength | • Design engineering  
• Systems engineering  
• Training  
• Mission planning  
• Safety  
• Health  
• Crew selection  
• Entire handbook |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preliminary Design</th>
<th></th>
<th>Final Design and Fabrication</th>
<th></th>
<th>Test and Verification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| - Defined mission with performance requirements  
- Preliminary exterior boundaries  
- Identification of basic items and areas that the crew interfaces with | - Gross task definition and analyses (usability studies of components, prototypes, and mockups)  
- Human modeling | - Crew duties | - Chapter 4, Anthropometrics, Biomechanics, and Strength  
- Chapter 9, Hardware and Equipment  
- Chapter 7, Habitability Functions  
- Chapter 10, User Interfaces | - Design engineering  
- Systems engineering  
- Mission planning  
- Training  
- Health |
| - Selection and preliminary design of equipment that interfaces with crew (focus on operability and simplicity) | - Habitable volume requirements and overall architectural layout | - Chapter 8, Architecture  
- Chapter 7, Habitability Functions | - Crew skill and knowledge requirements | - Design engineering  
- Systems engineering  
- Mission planning  
- Training  
- Crew selection  
- Mission planning |
| - Detailed environmental support range requirements | - Detailed crew workstation and activity center designs | - Task procedures and times | - Section 5.8, Crew Coordination | - Crew organizational behavior requirements |
| - Final verification test plans | - Detailed environmental support requirements defined (lighting, acoustics, ventilation, heating, restraints and padding) | - Chapter 10, User Interfaces | - Chapter 10, User Interfaces | - Chapter 7, Habitability Functions  
- Chapter 10, User Interfaces  
- Chapter 11, EVA |
| Basic system layout with crew duties and activity centers defined |  |  |  | Test report with recommendations for corrective action if necessary  
Entire handbook as required for assessment of test results |  | Design engineering |
| Operations and Sustainability, Update and Retrofit, Closeout | Final system configuration  
User assessments  
System failure and repair reports | Monitoring of user interface with system  
Identification of Human Factors problems  
Task analyses, workload assessment, human modeling as required for retrofit | Solutions to user interface problems  
Entire handbook as required | Design engineering |
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4 ANTHROPOMETRY, BIOMECHANICS, AND STRENGTH

4.1 INTRODUCTION

It is important to design spacecraft, spacesuits, and the equipment used therein, to accommodate the physical size, shape, reach, range of motion, and strength of the selected user crewmember population. Adjustments for the effects of external factors (e.g., gravity environments, clothing, pressurization, deconditioning due to mission duration) on crewmember anthropometry, biomechanics and strength must be included in the spacecraft design.

This chapter discusses the physical dimensions of humans and how to use this information to support the design of hardware to accommodate the full range of selected users and their physical qualities. The following physical dimensions are addressed:

- Physical Dimensions or Anthropometry
- Range of Motion
- Reach Envelope
- Body Surface Area
- Body Volume
- Body Mass

Section 4.3 provides an overview of factors that affect anthropometry, collecting anthropometric data from subjects, and proper application of the data. Section 4.4 presents considerations and design requirements for joint range of motion. Section 4.5 discusses human physical reach considerations and design requirements. Section 4.6 covers human body surface area, volume, and mass properties based on body mass properties. Section 4.7 presents information about human strength capabilities.

Further, this chapter provides information on how to develop and use human body dimension and strength data. The chapter does not provide specific data. Programs must develop their own dimensional dataset based on the selected user population, including any estimates or assumptions made relating to that population. Where they may be helpful, the sections below give examples of calculations and applications. The data provided in the examples is from a database developed specifically for NASA’s Constellation program. The entire Constellation program database is in Appendix B. Also in Appendix B is a full example set of reach dimensional data extracted from NASA-STD-3000. The data in the appendices is primarily for example purposes and is not meant to apply to all NASA programs.

4.2 GENERAL

4.2.1 Introduction

This section describes general considerations for identifying the user population and methods of translating this population into dimensional data to be used in designs of hardware and systems to accommodate the user population.
4.2.2 User Population

One of the most important considerations in human-centered design is the user population. The question of who will be using the hardware must be addressed.

Choosing the user population is a very important consideration because it is a major driver in the overall design and operations of spacecraft as well as the equipment used. It is especially important to determine the range of critical dimensions or values that are significant to overall layout and design of the spacecraft and key equipment used such as couches and spacesuits.

Users should be defined in terms of age, gender, ethnicity, and other special considerations. This information is critical for selecting an appropriate database. Special considerations may include level of physical fitness. A user population of military personnel, for example, usually has a physical fitness level different from a user population of civilians. Other considerations might include the timeframe for hardware use. If hardware is intended to be used far into the future, this may affect the anthropometry needs, because attributes of populations tend to change over time (discussed further in section 4.2.2.2).

4.2.2.1 Selection and Validation of a Database

The selection of a database for use in the design of any type of hardware is dictated by the potential user population. The database needs to be appropriate for subject age, gender, and factors such as physical condition or other special considerations.

Though it may be ideal to collect data for each subject who will use a piece of hardware, it is rarely feasible to do so. Thus, the selection of a database that closely represents the expected user population is crucial to good ergonomic design.

A variety of published adult anthropometry data is available for use. Resources commonly used throughout ergonomic and human factors industries are shown below.

- Air Force surveys
- National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)
- Civilian American and European Surface Anthropometry Resource (CAESAR)

Although NASA maintains databases of astronaut anthropometry, this data is not necessarily the best estimate for future astronauts. While it may be useful for current and ongoing human factors analyses and investigations, it may not fully represent the variation among the population from whom the astronauts are selected. Also, the astronaut selection standards may change over time. Another problem is that the number of subjects in the astronaut databases is relatively small, especially for females. Therefore it is necessary to select a suitable database that is (a) current, (b) large enough to overcome statistical issues, and (c) representative of the anticipated user population. Thus, sources such as modified military or civilian databases may be more appropriate representations of a future astronaut population.

Various methods exist to adjust existing databases to better represent a user population. For instance, databases may be truncated to include only people of a specific gender, age,
or ethnicity. Databases may also be combined to include a more diverse population. Finally, populations may need to be adjusted by means of algorithms to indicate changes over time or between populations (see section 4.2.2.2).

To validate that the selected anthropometric database (for example, the ANSUR or CAESAR database) is the proper one to represent the user population of interest, the analyst must address the following two questions:

1) Does the database represent who will use the system?
   Consider the following factors when answering this question:
   - Ethnic origin – This should match the population from which the crew is being selected.
   - Gender – Crews are always mixed gender. In most anthropometric dimensions, the female is smaller than the male. Therefore, a size range will span from the smallest female to the largest male.
   - Physical fitness – Crewmembers are generally considered to be more fit than the general population. This makes military data a more valuable and appropriate resource than data from the general population.
   - Education level – Crewmembers generally have postgraduate degrees, and, if possible, the database of interest should be screened for this criterion.

2) Is there a sufficient number of subjects in the database?
   Collection of anthropometric data for a population is a large undertaking and not normally part of a system’s development effort. System developers normally rely on data from surveys funded by large organizations. These surveys are sufficiently large (at least 1000 subjects) to account for population variances.

4.2.2.1.1 Example of Databases

For the International Space Station (ISS) program, NASA defined its user population to include people from a variety of international backgrounds. In general, Japanese females were considered to be the smallest potential users, with American males considered to be the largest.

Design standards and the extreme limits as defined by the current crewmember selection should be compatible. In NASA-STD-3000, design criteria were set at 5th-percentile Japanese female and 95th-percentile American male as the extremes. However, a review of past crew selections indicates that crewmembers exhibit anthropometric characteristics well beyond those of 5th-percentile Japanese female and 95th-percentile American male. Therefore, if astronaut selection and screening standards were to remain the same, a broader range of user population must be considered for design of future hardware to accommodate the crew. Specifically, new design standards should encompass the extreme limits as defined by the current crewmember selection.

The NASA Constellation program standards estimate the age (males and females aged 35-50 years) and dimensions of the NASA astronaut Constellation system user population in the year 2015. The dimensions are based on a military database adjusted to
represent the astronaut population in the year 2015. A military database was selected to better represent the anthropometry of the astronaut population, because the obesity rate of civilian databases outpaces the foreseen obesity rate of an astronaut population.

A database for the NASA astronaut Constellation system user population is in Appendix B (Constellation Program Human Systems Integration Requirements, CxP 70024 Rev. B, 2007).

4.2.2.2 Growth Trend

Past experience indicates that historical changes have occurred in anthropometric dimensions such as height, weight, and other physical measurements. These changes that occur from generation to generation are referred to as secular change, and the impact of such changes can be significant for hardware design.

To predict secular change, the first step is to select a population that is representative of the future user of the system under development. A database of dimensions should exist for this population. Next, use trend analysis to estimate the stature of a future user population. Finally, use the estimated future stature and the relationships between stature and other dimensions (including mass, body volume, and surface area) to calculate estimated future body segment lengths and other needed dimensions.

This procedure is described in step-by-step detail below (Tillman and McConville, 1991). The steps include example calculations using the year 2015 NASA astronaut data.

1. Select a population that is similar to the anticipated user population and for which data for stature over several decades is available. Ideally, the population should be similar to the crew population in both ethnic mix (world population sizes differ significantly) and level of fitness (the ratio of body stature to mass is important – see step 3). Plot the average stature and determine a trend. Project the mean stature to the desired future date.

One source of growth trend data for the United States population is published by the Centers for Disease Control from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys that they conduct approximately every 10 years. For example, Figure 4.2-1 shows the mean (i.e., 50th percentile) stature growth data for the American male. As can be seen, growth seems to be leveling off at 69.5 in., and a reasonable estimate of American male stature in 2015 would be 69.5 in. Using the same trend data and the male astronaut population data (adapted from Air Force surveys), the mean stature is estimated to be 70.3 in. in 2015.
2. For the population similar to the anticipated future system user, use the database to create linear regression equations for the mean of each body length dimension based on mean stature and body mass (“weight”).

The equations will be in the following format:

Dimension \( D = A \times S + B \times W + C \)

where \( S \) is stature and \( W \) is whole body mass. \( A, B, \) and \( C \) are regression equation constants developed from the original dataset.

The data in Appendix B is based on linear regression equations created from the 1988 U.S. Army Anthropometric Survey (ANSUR) of approximately 4000 soldiers (Gordon et al., 1988).

3. Estimate the future mean weight for the population by assuming a constant ratio between stature and weight and using the estimated future mean stature.

For example, if the mean weight in the baseline dataset is \( W_{\text{now}} \) and the stature is \( S_{\text{now}} \), then to estimate future weight \( (W_{\text{future}}) \), we can use the following relationship:

\[
\frac{S_{\text{now}}}{W_{\text{now}}} = \frac{S_{\text{future}}}{W_{\text{future}}}
\]

and

\[
W_{\text{future}} = W_{\text{now}} \frac{S_{\text{future}}}{S_{\text{now}}}
\]

The mass examples from Appendix B are based on an estimate of 181.6 lb (82.4 kg) for the mean male weight in the year 2015.
4. Calculate the mean dimensions of the projected population using the regression equations developed in Step 2.

For example, using the regression equation constants derived from the ANSUR database, the mean waist height for the 2015 male would be calculated as follows:

$$\text{waist height (mean for 2015 male)} = 0.72 \times S_{\text{future}} - 0.39 \times W_{\text{future}} - 203.38$$

(for units in mm and grams)

Therefore,

$$\text{waist height (mean for 2015 male)} = 0.72 \times 1785.6 - 0.39 \times 82.4 - 203.38 = 1050.1 \text{ mm or 105.01 cm}$$

The “goodness of fit” or correlation coefficient for this dimension is 0.827. The predictability is better for some dimensions than others.

5. Determine the projected standard deviation for each dimension by assuming a constant ratio of standard deviation to mean stature for each dimension:

$$\frac{SD_{\text{dimension } D \text{ now}}}{\text{Stature}_{\text{now}}} = \frac{SD_{\text{dimension } D \text{ future}}}{\text{Stature}_{\text{future}}}$$

and

$$SD_{\text{dimension } D \text{ future}} = SD_{\text{dimension } D \text{ now}} \times \left(\frac{\text{Stature}_{\text{future}}}{\text{Stature}_{\text{now}}}\right)$$

In our example, this would be

$$\text{SD for waist height of 2015 male} = 2.65 \times 1.019 = 2.70 \text{ cm}$$

6. Assume a normal distribution for calculation of projected dimensions for other percentiles.

For example, 1\textsuperscript{st}- and 99\textsuperscript{th}-percentile values are calculated using the following equation:

$$1\textsuperscript{st}- \text{ or } 99\textsuperscript{th}-\text{percentile dimension Value}_{\text{future}} = (\text{mean value for dimension } D_{\text{future}}) \pm 2.33 \times (\text{standard deviation for dimension } D_{\text{future}})$$

For the year 2015,

$$\text{standard deviation for dimension } D_{\text{future}} = 6.27 \text{ cm}$$

and

$$99\textsuperscript{th}-\text{percentile male waist height} = 105.01 + 2.33 \times (6.27) = 119.61 \text{ cm}$$

See section 4.2.5 for an explanation of the calculation of percentiles.

7. Knowing the body mass and stature of the anticipated user population, it is possible to calculate estimates for body segment mass, moment of inertia, and surface area (see section 4.7).

4.2.3 Methods for Accommodating Physical Dimensions

Once the user dimensions are determined, the system or hardware must be designed to those dimensions. Three general methods for doing this are described below:
1. Single solution for all – In the case of anthropometry, a single size may accommodate all members of the population. For example, usually if a workstation has a switch located within the reach limit of the smallest person, everyone will be able to reach the switch. In the case of strength, setting the strength requirement at or below the capability of the weakest person will allow everyone to successfully exert effort without exhausting themselves.

2. Adjustment – The design can incorporate an adjustment capability. A common anthropometric example of this is the automobile seat. A common strength example is the setting up of resistance variations on an exercise device, enabling various resistance options for different users.

3. Several solutions – Several sizes of equipment may be required in order to accommodate the full population size range. This is usually necessary for equipment or personal gear that needs to closely conform to the body, such as clothing and spacesuits.

All three methods require the designer to use appropriate anthropometric, biomechanics, and strength data.

4.2.4 Population Analysis

Accommodating a widely varying user population presents a challenge to engineers and designers. It is often difficult to even quantify who is accommodated and who is not accommodated by designs, especially for equipment with multiple critical anthropometric dimensions. One approach to communicating levels of accommodation, referred to as “population analysis,” applies existing human factors techniques in novel ways.

The major applications of population analysis are providing accommodation information for multivariate problems and enhancing the value of feedback from human-in-the-loop testing or performance modeling. The results of these analyses range from the provision of specific accommodation percentages of the user population to recommendations of design specifications.

Ultimately, the benefit of population analysis is to use an analytical approach and test methodologies to determine a) whether the intended design would accommodate the entire range of population and b) in the event it does not, what extremes a design concept can accommodate.

4.2.4.1 Multivariate Anthropometric Analysis

Through analyzing multiple variables simultaneously, it is possible to take understanding beyond one-dimensional percentiles. It is relatively simple to place data into context for one-dimensional cases. For example, the height of a doorway can be based on stature. The door should be designed so that the tallest expected user can walk through it upright. If the height of the doorway is equivalent to 90th-percentile male stature, it can be deduced that approximately 10% of males in that population will have difficulty traversing the doorway.

However, it may also be necessary to determine an appropriate width for the doorway. This should be based on anthropometry as well, with the largest expected bideltoid breadth (shoulder breadth) as an example of a possible appropriate minimum width. If the
width of the doorway is 90th-percentile male bideltoid width, approximately 10% of the male population will not be accommodated due to this dimension.

The trouble in defining accommodation arises when the height and width dimensions are taken into account simultaneously. For instance, combining the two previous examples, since stature and bideltoid breadth are not highly correlated it would be inaccurate to conclude that 10% of the total population cannot use the doorway. The group of individuals that is not accommodated due to stature may share some members with the group that is not accommodated due to bideltoid breadth, and thus somewhere between 10% and 20% of the population will not be accommodated.

Through analysis of a sample database of population anthropometry, it is possible to determine a reasonable estimate of the percentage of the population that will not be accommodated in this simple example of a multivariate problem. The methodology for such an analysis is as follows:

1. Determine critical dimensions for accommodation specific to the task. In the doorway example, these dimensions are stature and bideltoid breadth.
2. Select an appropriate database to represent the user population.
3. Identify the extreme values of the critical dimensions that can be successfully accommodated. For clearance problems such as the doorway, any values smaller than the size of the doorway can be accommodated. If the task involves reaching, smaller dimensions may not be accommodated. In the doorway example, the height was determined to be equivalent to 90th-percentile male stature, and the width was determined to be equivalent to 90th-percentile male bideltoid breadth.
4. Filter the user population database through the critical dimension values selected. For each subject in the database, test to determine if the subject falls within the accommodated range for all critical dimensions. In the doorway example, for a subject to be considered successfully accommodated, both the subject’s stature and the subject’s bideltoid breadth must be less than the 90th-percentile male value for each dimension. The estimated percent accommodation for the user population is the percentage of the database remaining after any subjects who fall outside the accommodated range are filtered out.

4.2.4.2 Enhancement of Human-in-the-Loop Testing

Subject feedback becomes more valuable when it is examined in the context of the population as a whole. For example, subjective performance ratings can serve as valuable tools, and a subject may be asked to rate the difficulty of walking through the doorway suggested in the previous example. The subject may indicate that the doorway was completely acceptable. Perhaps a group of 10 subjects walks through the doorway and agrees that the doorway is completely acceptable.

Taken alone, these results might encourage designers to believe that the dimensions are appropriate for the population as a whole. However, it is imperative to consider the statures and bideltoid breadths of the subjects who provided these ratings. If the largest stature tested was 55th-percentile male and the largest bideltoid breadth tested was 60th-percentile male, then a conclusion, based on all positive ratings, that the dimensions were acceptable for larger subjects would be unfounded.
On the other hand, if the largest subjects tested met or exceeded the largest expected user, the positive user feedback could be valuable. This would indicate that the extremes of the population were in fact tested, and thus the feedback represents the predicted worst-case scenario.

Even for simple pass-fail tests, such as observing whether a subject is able to walk through the doorway without colliding with the frame, comparing the subject’s dimensions to the user population’s dimensions brings power to the evaluation that would otherwise not be present. The following are some suggested steps for use of population analysis for human-in-the-loop testing:

1. Determine the critical dimensions for accommodation specific to the task.
2. Select an appropriate database to represent the user population.
3. Calculate the percentile values for all critical dimensions of each test subject (see section 4.2.5 for information about the calculation of percentiles).
4. Compare the anthropometry of the test subjects to the expected extreme values to be accommodated.
5. Place subjects in the context of the population for all analyses that include their subjective feedback.

4.2.5 Selection and Calculation of the Size of the User Population

4.2.5.1 Selecting a User Population Size Range

The size range of the user population must be selected for each program, with the following considerations:

- A broad range may make it more difficult for designers, and the system could be more expensive: seat adjustments may have to be greater, body supports may have to be more structurally sound for heavier individuals, hatches might have to be larger, and so on.
- A narrow range will limit the population that can use the system. Valuable human resources (skills and abilities) may have to be rejected because the design will not accommodate a broad population range.

No matter which population range is selected, system developers must consider the implications of not accommodating users who are outside of the design limits. One option would be to change the limits. Further, it is important to pick the dataset that is closest to the user population.

4.2.5.2 Estimating Percentiles from Anthropometric Data

When estimating percentiles from a large population, a percentile is defined as the value of a variable (or measure) below which a certain percentage of observations fall. Our research has shown and corroborated that most anthropometric measures will follow a normal distribution. The term “percentile” is often used in the reporting of values from a norm-referenced database, such as the ANSUR database. Further, when estimating percentiles from an anthropometric database, the data is represented graphically as a normal curve. At the peak of the normal curve, in the center, stands the mean (i.e., 50th percentile).
percentile) and median of the distribution being graphed. The mean (\( \mu \)) and standard deviation (\( \sigma \)) define a normal distribution, and can be used to calculate percentiles.

The \( p \)th percentile can be estimated by the percentile equation: \( X_{(p)} = \mu + \sigma(z) \).

Values of \( Z \) are constant for a given percentile and can be found in a standard normal (\( Z \)) distribution table. See Table 4.2-1 for example values of \( z \) for selected percentiles. Complete \( Z \) tables can be found in the appendix section of most statistics books.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( p )</th>
<th>( z )</th>
<th>( p )</th>
<th>( z )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2.33</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-1.64</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-1.28</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An example percentile calculation is demonstrated below. The method used is based on the work of Stephen Pheasant in *Bodyspace: Anthropometry, Ergonomics and the Design of Work* (Pheasant, 1996).

Suppose one would like to calculate the 99th percentile of stature for the adult female from a certain population. It happens that two parameters regarding females’ height for the example sample are known: \( \mu = 64.0 \) in. and \( \sigma = 2.4 \) in., for a sample of 70 females. From Table 4.2-1, we see that for \( p = 99 \), \( z = 2.33 \). Therefore, the 99th percentile of stature = 69.59 in. The 99th percentile estimate for the population is below 69.59 in. Alternately, one may wish to do the calculation in reverse, and determine the percentile for a particular stature. Thus, a stature of 60.0 in. is 1.66 standard deviations below the mean. That is, \( z = -1.66 \). This is equivalent to the 5th percentile.

4.2.6 Research Needs

RESERVED
4.3 ANTHROPOMETRY

4.3.1 Introduction

Anthropometry refers to the measurement of human body lengths and circumferences, specifically relating to clearance and fit. To select which measurements are pertinent, it is necessary to understand the task to be performed and the equipment that will be used. It is also essential to understand who will be performing the work, which ensures that the proper database has been selected.

This section provides an overview of factors that affect anthropometry, the collection of anthropometric data from subjects, and proper application of the data.

4.3.2 Anthropometry Data

Anthropometry data drives the guidelines for the design of a system:

- Selection of the user population determines which database defines the anthropometry data to be used by hardware designers. The user population defines those who will be using the system and have to be accommodated.
- Once the population is defined, system developers must decide on the range of personnel in that population who will be operating and maintaining the system. Section 4.2.5.1 lists considerations for making this decision.

The results of this analysis will be a range of persons (from smallest to largest) that the system must accommodate.

Figure 4.3-1 shows a small sample of measurements that are typically found in anthropometric databases. Depending on the database consulted, the measurements included may range from simply stature and weight, to lists of thousands of measurements, including multiple postures and detailed facial measurements.

For the NASA Constellation systems development program, the NASA astronaut population was extrapolated to the year 2015. Tables were developed detailing the range from the minimum-sized person (1st-percentile female in this case) to the maximum-sized person (99th-percentile male in this case) in the population. Anthropometric data for this program can be found in Appendix B.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID Number</th>
<th>Dimension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Acromial height</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>894</td>
<td>Trochanteric height</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>Bideltoid breadth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>223</td>
<td>Chest breadth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>457</td>
<td>Hip breadth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>873</td>
<td>Knee height, mid-patella</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>758</td>
<td>Sitting height</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330</td>
<td>Eye height, sitting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>751</td>
<td>Shoulder-elbow length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194</td>
<td>Buttock-knee length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>678</td>
<td>Popliteal height</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>529</td>
<td>Knee height, sitting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4.3-1  Sample of Commonly Provided Anthropometric Dimensions

4.3.3  Application of Anthropometry to Designs

Use of anthropometric data as design criteria should always consider (a) the nature, frequency, safety, criticality, and difficulty of the tasks to be performed by the operator or user of the equipment; (b) the position of the body during performance of these tasks; (c) the mobility and flexibility requirements imposed by these tasks; and (d) the increments in the design-critical dimensions imposed by the need to compensate for obstacles and
projections. Where design limits based on safety and health considerations are more conservative than performance criteria, they must be given preference.

4.3.3.1 Process for Accommodating Anthropometry in a Design

Two basic aspects of fitting a design to humans are clearance and reach. Evaluating clearance considerations usually addresses accommodation of larger people, whereas reach considerations accommodate smaller people. The following step-by-step processes can be used to determine the dimensions that will accommodate all of a target population.

4.3.3.1.1 Clearance

Sufficient room is necessary for all crewmembers (suited and unsuited) to fit through passageways and for unsuited crewmembers to safely and comfortably perform tasks in a workstation or activity center. It is important to note that the critical dimensions for body clearance in 0g will often differ from critical dimensions in a 1g environment. People do things differently in 0g, which may affect clearance. The following steps will be helpful to ensure that a design meets clearance requirements:

1. Identify the critical physical clearance dimension (using mockups, if necessary).
2. Define possible human body movements and positions relative to the critical dimension (consider the task being performed, including rescue operations or possible errors in an emergency situation, such as improper orientation for passage through a hatch).
3. Select the worst-case body position(s) that would cause clearance to be an issue.
4. Determine the body dimensions that are associated with the worst cases. Make sure to give due consideration to the involvement of other body dimensions in the worst-case body position(s).
5. Use the appropriate database to determine the value of the worst-case dimension(s) for the largest expected person. Also determine the full range (smallest person to largest person) that will define the position of the human.
6. Define the worst (bulkiest and largest) possible clothing and equipment worn or carried by the human and add this dimension to the worst-case body dimension. The results will define the design dimensions required to meet the clearance requirements.

4.3.3.1.2 Reach or Access

Controls, displays, and equipment should be positioned so that they can be accessed by all crewmembers. The following steps will help ensure that a design meets reach or access requirements:

1. Identify the critical physical access dimension (using mockups, if necessary).
2. Determine possible human body movements and positions relative to the critical dimension (consider the task being performed).
3. Determine the worst-case body posture(s) or dimension(s) that will cause a reach or access problem. Consider the effects of other body dimensions or body positions. For example, a person with a long torso may have to lower their seat to properly position
their eyes. This must be considered when designing for an operator to reach an overhead control.

4. Determine relevant factors such as clothing, pressurization, gravity (such as spinal elongation in 0g), and any other environmental factors before using the data charts for the relevant data.

5. Use the appropriate database to determine the value of the body dimensions for the smallest expected person. Also determine the full range (smallest person to largest person), as well as other critical body dimensions that will define the worst-case scenario (i.e., body position of the human). The results will define the design dimensions required to meet reach or access requirements.

4.3.3.2 Things to Remember When Applying Anthropometric Data

Some basic considerations for the use of anthropometric data and its limitations follow:

- **Meaning of Percentile** – Use of percentiles is one way of ranking a specific physical attribute’s value in a large population. Percentiles can also be used to inform us about value(s) that correspond to a specific measurement. For example, if a design accommodates a range of physical attribute values from the 1st to 99th percentiles of a population, then this design is said to accommodate that physical attribute for 98% of the user population. (It should be noted that males and females are considered to be separate populations. If a design accommodates all females with a dimension larger than 1st percentile and all males with a dimension smaller than 99th percentile, 99% of the combined user population will be accommodated). Similarly, if a person is said to be in the 99th percentile in height, no more than 1% of the population is taller than this person, and “95th-percentile male stature” implies that the person’s stature is taller than 95% of males in that specific population. It is important to note that percentile values depend on the population in which the dimension is compared; thus, a clear definition of the population is essential.

- **Missing Data** – Anthropometric databases do not contain percentile information on all possible critical anthropometric dimensions suitable for every design situation. For example, one of the critical design concerns for the hard upper torso of the pressurized extravehicular activity (EVA) suit is determining where the scye (armhole) openings fall on an individual crewmember’s shoulders. The military database on which NASA’s designs are based does not include a reference for this measurement. When this occurs, special studies or estimations may have to be performed (depending on the criticality of the interface). Estimations should allow for the worst-case combination of size and interfacing hardware.

- **Size Combinations** – Where two or more individuals are located near each other (such as in a cockpit), be sure to consider all combinations of sizes (e.g., a large and a small person reaching a common control, two large people positioned shoulder to shoulder, two small people passing equipment through a hatch).

- **Variation of Sizes Within an Individual** – Different human physical attributes from the same individual seldom have the same percentile ranking. For example, a 5th-percentile female in stature may have a 20th- or 40th-percentile arm length.
Though there is some correlation among various physical attributes, the correlation is not strong across all measurements. Table 4.3-1 shows examples of correlations among dimensions based on the database of existing astronauts in 2004. It is inappropriate to refer to a “1st-percentile person” or “99th-percentile person,” because the percentile classification will not apply to all dimensions. It should also be noted that the worst-case scenario often depends on a combination of body dimensions. For example, a person with short arms but a long buttock-to-knee length may hit their knees on the dashboard of their car when they adjust their seat close enough to reach the steering wheel.

Table 4.3-1 Lack of Correlation Between Common Measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Stature</th>
<th>Elbow Height</th>
<th>Knuckle Height</th>
<th>Fingertip Height</th>
<th>Waist Height</th>
<th>Hip Height</th>
<th>Knee Height</th>
<th>Ankle Height</th>
<th>Buttock to Knee</th>
<th>Foot Length</th>
<th>Arm Length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stature</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elbow Height</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knuckle Height</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fingertip Height</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist Height</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip Height</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee Height</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankle Height</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buttock to Knee</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot Length</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm Length</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


- Lack of Correlation Between Stature and Reach or Strength – There is no strong, consistent correlation between anthropometric dimensions and strength or reach. For example, a person who is 5th percentile in stature does not necessarily have 5th-percentile reach or joint movement. Similarly, a person who is 95th percentile in height does not necessarily have 95th-percentile arm or leg strength.
- Cannot Add or Subtract Percentiles – Percentile data does not obey the laws of addition and subtraction. If the anthropometric tables list only the percentiles of
forearm and upper arm lengths, for example, it is not possible to calculate the percentile of the entire arm length. One cannot add a 5th-percentile lower-arm length to the 5th-percentile upper-arm length and get the length of a 5th-percentile arm. The correct and best method is to use full arm-length data (measurement across upper and forearm lengths).

- Small-Sample Errors – Estimates of anthropometric percentiles are generated from the mean and standard deviation using large samples \((n > 100)\) that are normally distributed. When using estimates, failure of either condition (small samples or non-normal data distribution) will lead to imprecise calculation of percentiles.

### 4.3.3.3 Factors That Affect Anthropometry

Multiple factors affect body size, including age, gender, clothing, pressurization, postures, and gravity levels. The application of anthropometry data is also affected by the environment in which a user will operate, such as ground operations versus intravehicular activity (IVA) or EVA operations.

Table 4.3-2 indicates values in different operating environments for factors affecting anthropometry. These factors are discussed in more detail below.

#### Table 4.3-2 Operating-Environment Values of Factors Affecting Anthropometric Dimensions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating Environment</th>
<th>Factors Affecting Anthropometric Dimensions</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clothing</td>
<td>Posture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground operations</td>
<td>Flight suit</td>
<td>Standing, sitting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVA suit design</td>
<td>Minimal clothing</td>
<td>Standing, sitting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypergravity (launch, entry)</td>
<td>Flight suit</td>
<td>Recumbent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency during launch or entry</td>
<td>Flight suit</td>
<td>Recumbent, upright</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypergravity (launch, entry)</td>
<td>Flight suit</td>
<td>Upright</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency during flight</td>
<td>Flight suit</td>
<td>Recumbent, upright, neutral body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVA 0g</td>
<td>Minimal clothing</td>
<td>Neutral body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVA 0g or partial-gravity</td>
<td>Spacesuit</td>
<td>Neutral body</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3.3.3.1 Age Effects

The age of a person often affects anthropometry in individuals through changes in stature, weight, and mass distribution. Increases in stature and weight occur until maturity is reached, and then decreases in stature occur in elderly adults. Fluctuations in weight and mass distribution occur as well, with age playing an important role in these changes.

4.3.3.3.2 Gender Effects

The body size and strength of males and females follow a bivariate normal distribution and thus cannot be represented as a single population curve. However, some general observations may be made. Female measurements are typically smaller than male measurements, and female weight is typically less than male weight. The major exception to this generalization is hip breadth. The average female hip breadth, both sitting and standing, exceeds the average male hip breadth (Gordon et al., 1988).

These generalizations should not be used for design purposes where the safety and comfort of each individual is the prime concern. Because the distribution of data is separate, it is necessary to derive male and female data separately and not use any generalized relationships to represent a population of both males and females. In other words, any given male is not necessarily larger than any given female, because the two normal curves do overlap.

4.3.3.3.3 Clothing Effects

Safety concerns may require crewmembers to wear a flight suit that can be pressurized. The previous NASA design of such equipment consists of an undergarment to maintain and control temperature, a single-piece coverall suit, an oxygen mask or a helmet with a visor, and a parachute backpack.

The effects of clothing can be very important, especially for differences between shirtsleeve and suited operations. Clothing will affect size, sometimes very significantly. Different suits will affect anthropometry differently. For instance, a lighter launch/re-entry suit might be much less bulky than a hard-upper-torso planetary suit. In addition to affecting size, clothing can affect the postures that subjects select, which in turn has an impact on hardware design.

Considerations that must be included in design are the suit’s impact on dimensions such as sitting height and thigh clearance, for unpressurized and pressurized conditions. This information is not available in standard anthropometric databases, so it is often necessary to derive values for the effects of clothing on anthropometry.

4.3.3.3.4 Pressurization Effects

Pressure level is one clothing effect to consider. The dimensions of a person in a pressurized suit differ from those of someone in an unpressurized suit, and both of these differ from dimensions of a minimally clothed crewmember.

Pressurization increases the volume occupied by a crewmember by injecting breathing air inside the suit. This results in a ballooning effect of the suit, which affects the dimensions of the crewmember. Historically, little data has been available to document the effects of pressurization.
Because it may be unrealistic to obtain pressurized anthropometry data for an entire database, it is necessary to develop conversion factors to apply to more readily available anthropometric data for minimally clothed crewmembers. Data regarding pressurization effects can be obtained by testing male and female subjects who can comfortably fit into the extreme sizes of a pressure suit. (Suited measurements need to be determined with suits similar to the types of suits to be used.) Ratios between suited and unsuited sizes can then be obtained for key anthropometric dimensions. Anthropometric data for minimally clothed crewmembers can be multiplied by these ratios to provide an estimate of that subject’s suited anthropometry. Even with test data, the final design must make allowances for variances in individual suit adjustments, tie-downs, restraints, and so on.

Table 4.3-3 provides an example of multipliers applied to data from unsuited crewmembers to estimate the anthropometry of suited crewmembers. These multipliers were derived from anthropometric data collected from subjects wearing an Advanced Crew Escape Suit (ACES). Multipliers may differ for future programs, depending on the user population and the spacesuit architecture.

Table 4.3-3  Spacesuit (Unpressurized and Pressurized) Effects on Anthropometry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Man Unpressurized</th>
<th>Man Pressurized</th>
<th>Female Unpressurized</th>
<th>Female Pressurized</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sitting Height</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye Height - Sitting</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee Height - Sitting</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Popliteal Height</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bideltoid Breadth</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buttock-Knee Length</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3.3.3.5  Postural Effects

Traditional anthropometric databases provide only standardized body dimensions. However, changes in posture may greatly affect body dimensions. Standard postures for anthropometry measurements are not always appropriate for application to human spaceflight.

At least two distinct postural effects must be considered during space operations: seated postural effects during launch and entry, and 0g effects during on-orbit stay. Though additional postural effects may be present due to partial gravity, this has not yet been quantified and therefore is not addressed.

During launch and entry, crewmembers are seated in a recumbent position while wearing a flight suit. Wearing a flight suit and being restrained to a seat may affect the anthropometry significantly, and recumbent seated anthropometry can differ from standard upright seated anthropometry.

The effects of 0g on posture are discussed in section 4.3.3.3.6 below.
4.3.3.3.6 0g Effects

The effects of 0g on human body size are summarized below and in Table 4.3-4. Some of these effects are independent of postural changes. The primary effects of 0g on human anthropometry are as follows:

- Height Increase – Stature increases approximately 3%. This is the result of spinal decompression and the straightening of the spinal curvature (Brown, 1975; Brown, 1977; Thornton, Hoffler, & Rummel, 1977; Thornton & Moore, 1987; Webb Associates, 1978).


- Body Circumference Changes – Body circumference changes occur in 0g because fluid shifts toward the head (Thornton et al., 1977; Webb Associates, 1978).

- Mass Loss – The total mass of the body may decrease up to 8%. Mass loss can largely be prevented in current programs through better understanding of caloric needs (Webb Associates, 1978).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change</th>
<th>Cause</th>
<th>Physical Changes</th>
<th>Amount of Change</th>
<th>Critical Dimensions Affected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spinal elongation</td>
<td>0g</td>
<td>Spinal decompression and straightening start in the first day or two of weightlessness and are retained throughout until re-exposure to 1g.</td>
<td>+ 3% of stature.</td>
<td>Upper body height measurements and sitting dimensions increase (including height, eye height, and overhead reach). Downward reaches will be difficult since there is no assistance from gravity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elimination of body tissue</td>
<td>Relief of pressure on body</td>
<td>Seated height increases due to relief of pressure on buttock surfaces. Sitting knee height dimensions increase due to relief of pressure on heels.</td>
<td>Knee height dimensions increase minimally.</td>
<td>Sitting dimensions, such as sitting height, eye height, and knee height, increase.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compression</td>
<td>surfaces due to gravity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postural changes</td>
<td>0g</td>
<td>Body assumes the neutral body posture.</td>
<td>See Figure 4.3-1.</td>
<td>Ankle, knee, and hip heights increase; elbow, wrist, and shoulder are raised; elbows are abducted; head is tilted down.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shifting of fluids</td>
<td>0g</td>
<td>Hydrostatic pressure is equalized.</td>
<td>0% to 6%.</td>
<td>Lower limb volume and circumferential measurements decrease. Upper torso circumference increases and face gets puffy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass loss</td>
<td>Lack of countermeasures,</td>
<td>Muscle atrophy, body fluid loss, and bone loss occur.</td>
<td>0% to 8%.</td>
<td>Limb volume and circumferential measurements decrease.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>inadequate diet, nausea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 0g, the fully erect standing posture of 1g is not comfortable. Instead, the human body naturally rests in a neutral configuration as illustrated in Figure 4.3-2 for a person constrained in foot restraints only. The illustration represents a mean, and individual variations should be expected and accommodated.
Designing to physically accommodate the human body in 0g differs from comparable accommodation for 1g. Maintaining a 1g posture in 0g will produce stress on the body as muscles are called on to supply stabilizing forces that gravity normally supplies. Stooping and bending are examples of other positions that cause fatigue in 0g. In 0g, the natural heights and angles of the neutral body posture must be accommodated. Some of the areas to be considered are the following:

- **Foot Angle** – Since the feet are tilted at approximately 111 degrees to a line through the torso, sloping rather than flat shoes or restraint surfaces should be provided (Webb Associates, 1978).
- **Foot and Leg Placement** – Foot restraints should be placed under work surfaces. The neutral body posture is not vertical because hip or knee flexion displaces the torso backward, away from the footprint. The feet and legs are positioned somewhere between a location directly under the torso (as in standing) and a point well out in front of the torso (as in sitting).
- **Height** – The height of the crewmember in 0g is between sitting and standing height. A 0g work surface should be higher than one designed for 1-g or partial-g sitting tasks.
- **Arm and Shoulder Elevation** – Elevation of the shoulder girdle and arm flexion in the neutral body posture also make elevation of the work surface desirable.
- Head Tilt – In 0g the head is angled forward and down, a position that depresses the line of sight and requires that displays be lowered.

4.3.3.3.6.1 0g Effects Lessons Learned – Neutral Body Posture and Clearance Envelope

Anthropometric dimensions can be combined with neutral body segment angles to estimate a total body envelope. Calculating maximum clearance envelopes for 1<sup>st</sup>-percentile American female dimensions and 99<sup>th</sup>-percentile American male dimensions provides an example of the use of neutral body posture combined with anthropometric data. Table 4.3-5 contains example envelopes for these specific sizes of individuals:

**Table 4.3-5 Neutral Body Posture and Clearance Envelope**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>1&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt;-percentile American Female (cm)</th>
<th>99&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;-percentile American Male (cm)</th>
<th>Range (cm) ¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Height&lt;sup&gt;²&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>148.6</td>
<td>194.6</td>
<td>46.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (with 3% spinal elongation)&lt;sup&gt;³&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>153.0</td>
<td>200.4</td>
<td>47.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width (elbow to elbow)</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>27.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth (back to longest fingertip)</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>90.9</td>
<td>25.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. Range is calculated by subtracting 1<sup>st</sup>-percentile American female values from 99<sup>th</sup>-percentile American male values.
2. Standard (1g) height may be used for extremely short-duration missions (up to 48 hours after launch).
3. Body height with spinal elongation must be used for 0g work envelopes in use 48 hours or more after launch.

4.3.3.7 Hypergravity Effects

Currently, insufficient measurement data is available to adequately quantify the effects of hypergravity on anthropometry. Effects of hypergravity on reach are discussed in later sections.

4.3.4 Anthropometric Data Collection

In many cases, it may be necessary to measure subjects to determine missing anthropometric data. While this is not a discussion of anthropometric measurement techniques, the following points will help ensure that the data collected is useful and accurate.

Anthropometric data may be collected through traditional means such as anthropometers, tape measures, and calipers. It may also be collected through more advanced means such as three-dimensional laser scanning. Whichever method is used, several rules of thumb apply to data collection.
• **Subject Clothing** – The subject should be clothed appropriately for the design considerations. Traditional anthropometric measurements involve minimally clothed subjects, for example wearing spandex shorts (with a sports bra for female subjects). However, it may be appropriate to collect additional measurements for subjects wearing mission-appropriate clothing. For example, in cockpit design, if the worst-case scenario for fit involves a large person in a pressurized suit, it would be appropriate to collect data from individuals wearing pressurized suits.

• **Consistency of Measurements** – Consistency is of great importance. If measurements taken are standard and intended to correspond to measurements from an existing database such as ANSUR, it is important to position subjects exactly as described and measured at the precise landmarks. If customized measurements are needed, it is important to carefully document the posture of the subject and the landmarks used in the measurement. An example of a customized measurement is the inter-wrist distance required for suit design. This measurement represents the distance between a subject’s wrists when the arms are laterally extended, and it is not found in standard anthropometry handbooks.

• **Measurement Accuracy** – For traditional measurements, it is important to properly align measurement devices (e.g., for stature, the anthropometer should be exactly perpendicular to the floor), and measurements should be read carefully to the highest degree of accuracy made possible by the scaling on the measurement device.

• **Marker Placement for Digital Scanning** – For laser scanning measurements, markers should be placed on landmarks to enable measurement of a digital scan that cannot be palpated. For example, if bideltoid breadth is needed during preparation for scanning, an investigator should palpate to find the correct location of the deltoids on each side and place markers appropriately.

• **Advantages of Digital Scanning** – Digital scanning can provide an advantage for anthropometric measurements in that the image will still exist to (re)check measurements in the future. It is not uncommon for clerical errors to lead to unrealistic dimensions in an anthropometric database, and if measurements were taken manually, the subject must return to determine the correct measurement.

4.3.5 **Research Needs**

More data concerning suited anthropometry for different suit architectures is needed for unpressurized and pressurized conditions. Also, there is a need for more anthropometric data in zero- and partial-gravity (1/6 and 3/8) conditions.
4.4  RANGE OF MOTION

4.4.1  Introduction

In this section, considerations and design requirements data regarding joint ranges of motion are provided. The information on anthropometric measurements in section 4.3 pertains to static postures and does not adequately address other human physical limitations and advantages of dynamic posture that are involved in the design of suits, garments, and other crew-dependent devices and interfaces. Humans do not maintain standard and static postures while performing a task. Furthermore, human movement varies from whole-body movement (e.g., locomotion or translation) to partial body movement (e.g., controlling a joystick with the right arm) to a specific joint or segment movement (e.g., pushing a button with a finger while holding the arm steady). Regardless of the type of movement involved, the entire body and/or various body segments are involved either by working together or by maintaining a posture while isolated or specific movements are involved.

Figure 4.4-1 illustrates upper- and lower-body movements. Descriptions and ranges of joint movement for the Constellation program males and females are in Appendix B. The range-of-motion data is applicable to shirtsleeve or unpressurized flight suit environments, while working in 1g, partial-g, and 0g conditions. At present, no data is available for 0g or hypergravity environments. Indications are that joint motion capability is not drastically affected in 0g, but hypergravity will have strong effects dependent on the vector of gravity and orientation of the body.

Figure 4.4-1

- Neck Rotation
  - Right (A) – Left (B)
- Neck Extension (A)
  - Flexion (B)
- Neck Lateral Bend
  - Right (A) – Left (B)
4.4.2 Application of Range-of-Motion Data to a Design

4.4.2.1 Workstation Design and Layout

Range-of-joint-motion data will help a designer determine the proper placement and allowable movement of controls, tools, and equipment. Range-of-motion data can be combined with anthropometric dimensions and used to calculate reach and movement ranges. To sustain efficiency and accuracy of tasks that are critical or must be performed frequently or rapidly, it is important that they do not require operator movement or repositioning. This can particularly be a problem for operators who are restrained in seats or footrests.

4.4.2.2 Design of Personal Clothing and Equipment

Flight suits, spacesuits, and other worn equipment should not prohibit task performance. Some tasks that are normally performed with light IVA clothing may (under emergency
conditions) have to be performed while wearing pressure suits. Selected ranges of movement to be used for guidelines for the design of suits or other equipment that is worn by a human are shown in Tables 4.4-1 through 4.4-3. If the worn equipment can preserve the movement range, then the system is more likely to preserve crew safety and comfort. For a complete listing of Constellation program range-of-motion data, refer to Appendix B.

4.4.2.2.1 Sample Task Range of Motion to Use When Designing Personal Clothing and Equipment

Tasks usually require a number of joint movements, and each must be within the suited human capabilities. The selected list of simple tasks in Tables 4.4-1, 4.4-2, and 4.4-3 below is assumed to be typical of those required when suited operations are performed. Selected tasks were derived from the launch and entry spacesuit system manual (JSC25909, 2005). Proper use of this data will ensure the design of personal clothing and equipment that enable the user to perform many of the needed motions with ease and comfort. The data shown below is example data from the Constellation program. For the full example dataset, refer to Appendix B.

Numbers in the table cells refer to motions in the header bar, which has positive and negative defined as (+) and (-) respectively for the given motion. The following is an example of how the table is to be used. The first row entry (touch top of head) in Table 4.4-1 is as follows: The shoulder range of motion is 0° to 90° flexion (+), 20° abduction (+) to 20° adduction (-), and 10° external (+) to 45° internal (-) rotation. In addition, the elbow range of motion is 90° to 136° flexion (+) and 40° pronation (+) to 25° supination (-).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Example Task</th>
<th>Shoulder Flex(+) to Ext(-) (°)</th>
<th>Shoulder Ab(+) to Adduction(-) (°)</th>
<th>Shoulder Lateral(+) to Medial(-) Rotation(°)</th>
<th>Elbow Flex(+) (°)</th>
<th>Forearm Pronation(+) to Supination(-) (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Touch top of head</td>
<td>Lift helmet visor</td>
<td>0 to 90</td>
<td>20 to -20</td>
<td>10 to -45</td>
<td>90 to 136</td>
<td>40 to -25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility</td>
<td>Open door</td>
<td>0 to 75</td>
<td>45 to -40</td>
<td>10 to -10</td>
<td>24 to 55</td>
<td>35 to -23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.4-2 Select Minimum Joint Range of Motion to Perform Functional Tasks with the Lower Body

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Example Task</th>
<th>Hip Flex(+) to Ext(-) (°)</th>
<th>Hip Ab(+) to Adduction(-) (°)</th>
<th>Knee Flex(+) to Ext(-) (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lifting</td>
<td>Lifting (squatting)</td>
<td>0 to 117</td>
<td>0 to 28</td>
<td>0 to 117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility</td>
<td>Lifting (bending) Sitting</td>
<td>0 to 117</td>
<td>0 to 21</td>
<td>0 to 117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 to 104</td>
<td>0 to 20</td>
<td>0 to 93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kneeling</td>
<td>0 to 75</td>
<td>45 to -40</td>
<td>10 to -10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.4-3  Select Normal Joint Range of Motion During Walking Gait

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motion</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Axis of Rotation</th>
<th>Plane of Rotation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pelvic anterior tilt</td>
<td>14.5 to 17.5</td>
<td>Z0</td>
<td>Sagittal plane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip flexion</td>
<td>0 to -46</td>
<td>Z1</td>
<td>Sagittal plane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee flexion</td>
<td>10 to 70</td>
<td>Z2</td>
<td>Sagittal plane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibial external rotation</td>
<td>0 to -15</td>
<td>Z2</td>
<td>Transverse plane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankle plantar flexion</td>
<td>0 to 16</td>
<td>Z3</td>
<td>Sagittal plane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot internal rotation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X3</td>
<td>Coronal plane</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4.3  Factors That Affect Range of Motion

4.4.3.1  Body Size

Some generalities can be made regarding body physique and its relationship to joint mobility. For example, one may assume that slender humans have greater joint movement than obese humans. However, as with other physical properties, variability always exists. Individuals must be considered on an individual basis.

4.4.3.2  Age Effects

Age effects are both joint- and motion-specific. Joint mobility can decrease in some individuals as they age. Therefore, designers should consider minimal reaching tasks requiring high neck, trunk, and elbow motions in crew station design.

4.4.3.3  Gender Effects

Unless the equipment in the workspace is gender-specific (i.e., used by only one gender), then the designer should consider the upper and lower limits for the combined male and female population. In general, the female population has a slightly broader range of joint movement.

4.4.3.4  Other Individual Effects

- Exercise increases mobility; however, weight-training exercises aimed to increase muscle bulk may restrict mobility.
- Awkward and constrained body postures or loads carried by a person will restrict mobility.
- Fatigue and injury or pain affects a person’s ability to maintain his or her normal range of mobility.
4.4.3.5 Multi-Joint Versus Single-Joint Effects

Frequently, human motion involves interaction of two or more joints and muscles. The movement range of a single joint is often drastically reduced by the movement of an adjacent joint. In other words, joint movement ranges are not always additive. For example, an engineering layout may show (using a scaled manikin) that a foot control is reachable with a hip flexion of 50° and the knee extended (0° flexion). Both of these ranges are within the individual joint ranges; however, the hip flexion is reduced by over 30° when the knee is extended. Therefore, the control would not be reachable.

4.4.3.6 Clothing Effects

Ideally, any flight suit with or without pressurization should be able to retain much of a crewmember’s joint mobility. However, experience with the current launch and entry and EVA suits has shown that some restriction is unavoidable.

4.4.3.7 Pressurization Effects

Pressurization of flight suits does impede mobility; however, no quantitative data can be representative of all types of pressurized flight suits for space exploration, current and proposed.

4.4.3.8 Postural Effects

Sitting postures (both upright and recumbent) during launch and entry will undoubtedly affect range-of-motion capabilities because significant restraint is associated with these postures. More importantly, the reach envelope characteristics will be significantly different. These are covered in section 4.5.

4.4.3.9 Gravity Effects

4.4.3.9.1 Hypergravity Effects

The inability to reach and access controls is the most significant effect of a hyper-g environment on a crewmember’s performance. This is valid for crewmembers in a recumbent or an upright position. Table 4.4-4 shows achievable body movements in a multi-g environment. However, with a limited reach capability, even if the crewmembers are able to exert these body movements, they may not be able to access the controls they may need to reach and operate, particularly during an emergency operation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acceleration</th>
<th>Possible Reach Motion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Up to 4g</td>
<td>Arm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up to 5g (9g if arm is counterbalanced)</td>
<td>Forearm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up to 8g</td>
<td>Hand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up to 10g</td>
<td>Finger</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.4-4 Reach Movements Possible in a Multi-g Environment
No actual range-of-motion data has ever been measured in space. Posture changes noticeably during an exposure to 0g, and these changes may affect the overall range of motion. For example, raised shoulders, a 0g effect, could both increase and decrease the capabilities of shoulder motion. However, for the most part, the range of motion may be very similar to that found on Earth. Thus, while working in shirtsleeve environments, crewmembers can safely use ranges of motion for 1g conditions under 0g conditions as well.

As far as the clothing and pressure suit effects on range of motion in 0g are concerned, it is safe to assume that the restrictions caused by these two factors in 1g conditions may also exist in 0g.

4.4.3.10 Restraint Effects

Restraint systems (e.g., torso, handholds, waist, and foot) hinder mobility during spaceflight. These systems should be used for stabilization and to help crewmembers exert a thrust or push. The following restraints are commonly used during spaceflight. Their description and limitations are provided to assist with design.

- **Handhold Restraint** – With the handhold restraint, the individual is stabilized by holding onto a handgrip with one hand and performing the reach or task with the other. This restraint affords a fairly wide range of functional reaches, but body control is difficult and body stability is poor.

- **Waist Restraint** – A waist restraint (for example, a clamp or belt around the waist) affords good body control and stabilization, but seriously limits the range of motion and reach distances attainable.

- **Foot Restraint** – A third basic system restrains the individual by the feet. In Skylab observations and neutral buoyancy tests, the foot restraints were judged to be excellent in reach performance, stability, and control. The foot restraint provides a large reach envelope to the front, back, and sides of the crewmember. Appreciable forces often cannot be exerted because muscles of the ankle rotators are weak. Foot restraints should be augmented with waist or other types of restraints where appropriate.

4.4.4 Collection of Range-of-Motion Data

Collection of range-of-motion data is dictated by the needs of the assessment. Several methods of measurement are available, each with associated limitations.

- A goniometer is a device with two straight edges that can rotate relative to a protractor, against which the angle between them is measured. The goniometer must be aligned with physiological landmarks on the subject, and the subjective nature of this alignment can cause variation in measuring technique between experimenters or different tests by the same experimenter.

- Photographs are another method of collecting range of motion data. Through this technique, data is extracted directly from photographs taken during the experiment. The subjective nature of data extraction can cause variation in measurements between extractors or tests.
• An inclinometer is a device that measures deviation from the vertical and can be used to measure trunk mobility. The limitation is that accuracy can be affected by initial misalignment or slipping where it is affixed to the subject.

• Motion capture is a more objective tool than goniometry or photography; however, the drawback is that the position of the markers used to track motion can shift or they can become occluded.

• In radiographic examination, range of motion is measured by taking a series of radiographs of the body and using visual inspection or a computer model to determine the relative rotation of body segments. The shortcomings of this technique are that it requires exposing subjects to large quantities of radiation and may not accurately measure rotations that are not in a single plane.

• Several specialized devices have also been designed to measure range of motion. The Lumbar Dynamometer, used to measure mobility of the back, is one example.

4.4.5 Research Needs

RESERVED
4.5 REACH ENVELOPE

4.5.1 Introduction

Human physical reach considerations and references for design requirements data are provided in this section. Reach envelopes can be defined for hand or foot controls.

It is necessary for designers to realize the impact of these reach restrictions when designing crew interfaces, particularly for flight control during hypergravity conditions in spacecraft cockpits.

4.5.2 Reach Envelope Data

Reach envelope data is available for lightly clothed persons of varying sizes. Such information can be found in Kennedy, 1977; Pheasant, 1996; Sanders & McCormick, 1993; and Webb Associates, 1978.

An example of reach envelope is shown in Figures 4.5-1 and 4.5-2 below. For the full example dataset from Kennedy (1977), refer to Appendix B.

This reach envelope represents the limits in the horizontal plane that may be reached by seated crewmembers wearing specific restraints. (It is important to note that functional reach envelopes must be considered in the context of the activity to be performed.)
Gravity conditions - the boundaries apply to 1g conditions only; 0g will cause the spine to lengthen, and adjustments should be made based on a new shoulder pivot location.
Subjects - the subjects used in this study are representative of the 1967 Air Force population estimated defined in NASA RP 1024, Chapter III.

Figure 4.5-1 Side Reach Horizontal Planes
Figure 4.5-2 Example of Reach Envelope Data in the Horizontal Plane
4.5.3 Application of Reach Envelope Data

4.5.3.1 Establishing Boundaries

In determining reach envelopes, designers need to define two boundaries:

- Maximum functional reach from the body
- Area close to the body that cannot be reached because of physical restrictions such as a lack of elbow room

The individual in the population who has the shortest functional reach should be used to define the maximum functional reach boundary, ensuring that all persons in the population will be able to achieve that reach. As a general rule, the largest individual should be used to define the boundary closest to the body. However, some exceptions to this rule may exist, such as individuals with short reach attempting to access controls on the front of a spacesuit.

4.5.3.2 Criticality of Operations

Within the overall reach envelope, some locations are visible and simple to reach, and require minimal stretching; these areas are within the optimal reach envelope. Safety-critical or frequently used controls and equipment should be located within the optimal reach envelope. Less frequently used or less critical items can be outside the optimal reach envelope. (Within the reach envelope, other location rules apply, such as sequence of use and location of controls adjacent to their associated displays.)

4.5.3.3 Restrictions

Reach data for space applications, like range-of-motion data, is greatly affected by the restricted postures maintained by crewmembers while they are wearing bulky flight suits and being restrained by straps in sometimes awkward postures. These factors are discussed in section 4.5.4. When placing controls and access panels, designers of human spaceflight equipment must ensure that they account for the reduction in crewmembers’ reach capabilities.

4.5.4 Factors That Affect Reach Envelope

4.5.4.1 Body Size

Crew stations must accommodate the reach limits of the smallest crewmember. However, reach limits are not always defined by overall size. For instance, the worst-case condition for a constrained (e.g., seated with shoulder harness tight) person may be a combination of a long shoulder height and a short arm. These statistical variations in proportions should be accounted for in reach limit definitions.

4.5.4.2 Age and Gender Effects

- Age – Age effects are both joint- and motion-specific. Joint mobility can decrease in some individuals as they age. Because of this, designers should consider
minimal reaching tasks requiring high neck, trunk, and elbow motions in crew station design.

- Gender – In general, the female population has a slightly broader range of joint movement. However, female limb lengths are typically shorter than male limb lengths. The combination of limb size and joint mobility must be taken into consideration.

4.5.4.3 Clothing and Pressurization Effects

Clothing and personal equipment worn on the body can influence functional reach measurements. The effect is most commonly a decrease in reach. This must be taken into account when designing work stations that will be used by crewmembers in flight suits.

Table 4.5-1 shows how the current ACES and D-suit reduce forward, vertical up-and-down, and side reach. The reduction varies from 3% to 12%, with the largest decrement occurring in vertical downward reach with an unpressurized ACES suit. When the suits are pressurized, the reduction in reach varies from 2% to 45%, with the largest decrement occurring in vertical downward reach with the pressurized D-suit.

If spacesuits are required during any phase of the space module operations, this will necessitate a substantial reduction in any design reach dimensions established for shirtsleeve operations. The extent of these differences would have to be determined from using the specific spacesuits and gear to be used in that mission.

**Table 4.5-1 Change in Body Envelope Data When Wearing Pressurized and Unpressurized Flight Suits**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ACES Unpressurized</th>
<th>ACES Pressurized</th>
<th>D-Suit Unpressurized</th>
<th>D-Suit Pressurized</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Change (cm)</td>
<td>Change Ratio</td>
<td>Change (cm)</td>
<td>Change Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recumbent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward reach</td>
<td>0 1.00</td>
<td>-13 0.85</td>
<td>0 1.00</td>
<td>-4 0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical reach - up</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical reach - down</td>
<td>-3 0.88</td>
<td>-6 0.85</td>
<td>-4 0.89</td>
<td>-7 0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side reach</td>
<td>-4 0.95</td>
<td>0 1.00</td>
<td>-3 0.97</td>
<td>-2 0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upright</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward reach</td>
<td>-14 0.87</td>
<td>-12 0.85</td>
<td>-4 0.95</td>
<td>-9 0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical reach - up</td>
<td>-11 0.93</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>-10 0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical reach - down</td>
<td>-6 0.87</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>-3 0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side reach</td>
<td>-1 0.99</td>
<td>-6 0.93</td>
<td>-4 0.96</td>
<td>-6 0.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“--” indicates data not available
4.5.4.4 Postural Effects

The normal working posture of the body in a 0g environment differs substantially from that in a 1g environment (see Figure 4.3-1). The neutral body posture is the basic posture that should be used in establishing a 0g workspace layout.

4.5.4.5 Gravity Effects

During exposure to hypergravity, the range of motion for most joints will become restricted because of increases in effective whole body weight, limb weights, and segment weights. Most importantly, the neck mobility, leg mobility, and arm mobility will be severely restricted (i.e., all extremities are very heavy and it is difficult to perform useful work above approximately 3g).

4.5.4.6 Restraint Effects

While the absence of gravitational forces will usually facilitate rather than restrict body movement, this lack of gravity will leave crewmembers without any stabilization when they exert a thrust or push. Thus, some sort of body restraint system is necessary. Three basic types of body restraint or stabilizing device have been tested under neutral buoyancy conditions on Earth or 0g conditions in space. These are handhold, waist, and foot restraints. The following is a description of each type of restraint and its effect on reach:

- **Handhold Restraint** – With the handhold restraint, the individual is stabilized by holding onto a handgrip with one hand and performing the reach or task with the other. This restraint affords a fairly wide range of functional reaches, but body control is difficult and body stability is poor.

- **Waist Restraint** – A waist restraint (for example, a clamp or belt around the waist) affords good body control and stabilization, but seriously limits the range of motion and reach distances attainable.

- **Foot Restraint** – In Skylab observations and neutral buoyancy tests, the foot restraints were judged to be excellent in reach performance, stability, and control. The foot restraint provides a large reach envelope to the front, back, and sides of the crewmember. Appreciable forces often cannot be exerted because muscles of the ankle rotators are weak. Foot restraints should be augmented with waist or other types of restraint where appropriate.

4.5.5 Collection of Data

The collection of reach data is dictated by the needs of the assessment. Several methods of measurement are available, each with associated limitations.

- **Photographs** are one method of measuring reach data. With this technique, data is extracted directly from photographs taken during the experiment. The subjective nature of data extraction can cause potential variation in measurements between extractors or tests. Another limitation to this method is the type of lens used to take the photograph. Certain lenses can cause blurring or distortion of the image, thus producing an imprecise image from which data is being extracted.
Motion capture tools, such as the Vicon Motion Analysis System (Vicon, Los Angeles, CA, USA), can be used to capture reach movements needed to generate work envelope data. The drawback is that the markers used to track motion can shift or become occluded.

Video can also be used to collect reach data. With this method, video footage can be measured subjectively by extracting the data directly from the footage. A more precise analysis of the data can be achieved by using motion analysis software such as Dartfish (Dartfish, Ltd., Fribourg, Switzerland), which can take both angular and linear measurements from the images.

Vertec is a specialized apparatus designed to collect reach data.

Simpler methods are also available, for example having subjects place markers where they are able to reach and using a ruler or measuring tape to collect the distance.

4.5.6 Research Needs

RESERVED
4.6 BODY SURFACE AREA, VOLUME, AND MASS PROPERTIES

4.6.1 Introduction

This section covers the body skin surface area, volume, and mass properties based on body mass properties.

4.6.2 Body Surface Area

4.6.2.1 Body Surface Area Data

Table 4.6-1 presents data on estimated body skin surface area based on body mass and body stature. This data is based on the projected 2015 astronaut population.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crewmember Body Surface Area, cm²</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female (5th percentile in height with light weight)</td>
<td>15,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (95th percentile in height with heavy weight)</td>
<td>22,800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
- This data applies to 1g conditions.
- Density was assumed constant at 1 g·cm⁻³.
- References: Gehan & George, 1970; Gordon et al., 1988.

4.6.2.2 Calculation Procedure

The body surface area of an American man is calculated as a function of stature and weight. DuBois and DuBois (1916) defined this procedure and Martin, Drinkwater, and Clarys (1984) validated the results. The calculation steps are as follows:

1. Determine the 5th-, 50th-, and 95th-percentile male stature and weight.
2. Substitute the stature and weight data into the equation below, where \( W \) is weight in kilograms, \( H \) is stature in centimeters, and the result is surface area in square centimeters.

\[
\text{Surface Area} = 71.84 W^{0.423} H^{0.723}
\]

For additional information on calculating body surface area, refer to Appendix B.

4.6.2.3 Application of Body Surface Area Data

Body surface area data has several applications for space module design. These include
- Thermal control – Estimation of body heat production for thermal environmental control
- Estimation of radiation dosage
4.6.2.4  Factors That Affect Body Surface Area

- Gravity Environment – Body surface area estimation equations apply to 1g conditions only
- Fluid shifts and spinal elongation in 0g are not accounted for
- The body surface area data provided above is most accurate for the Caucasian or African American male and female body forms

4.6.2.5  Body Surface Area Data Collection

Recent advances in technology have produced a new method for collecting body surface area, volume, and mass properties data. This method is achieved via a three-dimensional whole-body scanner that accurately and efficiently captures the surface of the body. This data can then be used to compute both volume and mass property data for the whole body and body segments.

4.6.3  Body Volume

4.6.3.1  Body Volume Data

Tables 4.6-2 and 4.6-3 present select data on the volume displaced by the body as a whole and by the body segments. This data is based on the projected 2015 astronaut population. See Appendix B for a complete listing of Constellation program body volume data.

**Table 4.6-2  Whole-Body Volume of Male and Female Crewmembers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crewmember Body Volume, cm²</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female (5th percentile in height with light weight)</td>
<td>53,685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (95th percentile in height with heavy weight)</td>
<td>99,157</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
- This data applies to 1g conditions.
- Density was assumed constant at 1 g·cm⁻³.
Table 4.6-3 Select Body-Segment Volumes of Male and Female Crewmembers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>Mass, g</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female (5th percentile in height and light weight)</td>
<td>Male (95th percentile in height and heavy weight)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head</td>
<td>3761</td>
<td>4517</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>1252</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forearm</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>1673</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>588</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
- This data applies to 1g conditions.
- Density was assumed constant at 1 g·cm⁻³.
- References: Gordon et al., 1988; McConville et al., 1980, pp. 32-79; Young et al., 1983, pp. 18-65.

4.6.3.2 Application of Body Volume Data

Body volume data may be used for analysis of fit in suits and spacecraft. For example, a person’s body volume can be compared to the internal volume of the spacesuit as a metric of fit. Estimates of volume accounted for by crewmembers may also be valuable for net habitable volume estimations. Volumes of body segments will be important in the developing role of three-dimensional anthropometric analysis.

4.6.3.3 Factors That Affect Body Volume

- Gravity Environment – Body surface area estimation equations apply to 1g conditions only. They do not account for the fluid shifts and spinal elongation in 0g.
- Population – The body volume data provided above is most accurate for the white or African American male and female body forms.

4.6.3.4 Body Volume Data Collection

Recent advances in technology have produced a new method for collecting body surface area, volume, and mass properties data. This method is achieved via a three-dimensional whole-body scanner that accurately and efficiently captures the surface of the body. The data collected from the scans can be used to calculate both volume and mass property data for the whole body and body segments.
4.6.4 Body Mass Properties

4.6.4.1 Whole-Body and Body-Segment Mass

Mass data for the whole body and body segments is provided in this section.

4.6.4.1.1 Data for Whole-Body and Body-Segment Mass

The select data in Table 4.6-4 and Table 4.6-5 for whole-body mass and body-segment mass is based on the projected 2015 astronaut population. All data is based on 1g measurements. For a complete listing of Constellation program whole-body mass data, refer to Appendix B.

Table 4.6-4 Whole-Body Mass of Crewmember

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crewmember Body Mass, g</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Female</strong> (5th percentile in height with light weight)</td>
<td>53,685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Male</strong> (95th percentile in height with heavy weight)</td>
<td>99,157</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
- This data applies to 1g conditions.
- Density was assumed constant at 1 g·cm⁻³.
- References: Gordon et al., 1988; McConville et al., 1980, pp. 32-79; Young et al., 1983, pp. 18-65.
Table 4.6-5  Select Body-Segment Mass Properties for Male and Female Crewmembers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>Mass, g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female (5th percentile in height and light weight)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head</td>
<td>3761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck</td>
<td>615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forearm</td>
<td>730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand</td>
<td>298</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
- This data applies to 1g conditions.
- Density was assumed constant at 1 g·cm⁻³.
- References: Gordon et al., 1988; McConville et al., 1980, pp. 32-79; Young et al., 1983, pp. 18-65.

4.6.4.1.2  Application of Whole-Body and Body-Segment Mass Data

Although body mass remains constant, body weight depends on gravity conditions. In 1g, body weight is calculated as indicated below:

\[ \text{Weight in newtons} = \text{Mass in grams} \times 0.0098 \]

and

\[ \text{Weight in pounds} = \text{Mass in slugs} \times 32.2 \]

4.6.4.1.3  Factors That Affect Whole-Body and Body-Segment Mass

The 0g environment causes fluids to shift upward in the body and leave the legs. This results in an upward shift of the center of mass for the whole body and a loss of mass in the leg segments. The data does not account for the fluid shifts and spinal lengthening in 0g.

4.6.4.2  Whole-Body and Body-Segment Center of Mass

This section defines the center-of-mass locations for both the whole body in defined positions and for body segments.
4.6.4.2.1 Data for Whole-Body Center of Mass

The data in Table 4.6-6 for whole-body center of mass is based on the projected 2015 astronaut population. All data is based on 1g measurements. Refer to McConville et al. (1980) and Young et al. (1983) for definitions of anatomical axes.

Table 4.6-6 Location of Whole-Body Center of Mass for Male and Female Crewmembers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Female (5th percentile in height and light weight)</th>
<th>Male (95th percentile in height and heavy weight)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L(X)</td>
<td>-15.27</td>
<td>-6.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L(Y)</td>
<td>-1.21</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L(Z)</td>
<td>-3.81</td>
<td>8.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
- This data applies to 1g conditions.
- Density was assumed constant at 1 g·cm⁻³.
- References: Gordon et al., 1988; McConville et al., 1980, pp. 32-79; Young et al., 1983, pp. 18-65.

4.6.4.2.2 Data for Body Segments’ Center of Mass

The locations of the center of mass for select body segments in 1g are given in Table 4.6-7. The data is based on the projected 2015 astronaut population. All data is based on 1g measurements. For a complete listing of Constellation program body segment center of mass data, refer to Appendix B.
The values represented by X, Y, and Z in the Axis column relate to the coordinates for the location of the center of mass with respect to anatomical origin and are measured along the anatomical axes. The axes represented by Xa, Ya, and Za are shown to represent the anatomical axes. Refer to McConville et al. (1980) and Young et al. (1983) for definitions of anatomical axes.

**Table 4.6-7 Locations of Center of Mass of Select Body Segments of Female and Male Crewmembers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>Axis</th>
<th>Female (5th percentile in height and light weight) (cm)</th>
<th>Male (95th percentile in height and heavy weight) (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Head</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-2.43</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>-0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>4.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**4.6.4.2.3 Application of Whole-Body and Body-Segment Center-of-Mass Data**

In 0g, the body mass properties define body reaction to outside forces. These forces can be

- Reactive to forces exerted by the crewmember or a hand tool
- Active forces from devices such as the Manned Maneuvering Unit

The reaction of the body to a force depends on both the mass and the relative positions of the body segments. The whole-body center-of-mass and moment-of-inertia data is provided for standing posture only. Whole-body mass properties for other positions would have to be determined by mathematically combining the mass properties of the individual segments and the appropriate postures maintained by these segments.

**4.6.4.2.4 Factors That Affect Whole-Body and Body-Segment Center of Mass**

The 0g environment causes fluids to shift upward in the body and leave the legs. This results in an upward shift of the center of mass for the whole body and a loss of mass in the leg segments. The data does not account for the fluid shifts and spinal lengthening in 0g.

**4.6.4.3 Whole-Body and Body-Segment Moment-of-Inertia Data**

This section defines the moment of inertia for both the whole body in defined positions and for body segments.
4.6.4.3.1 Data for Whole-Body Moment of Inertia

The data in Table 4.6-8 for whole-body moment of inertia is based on the projected 2015 astronaut population. All data is based on 1g measurements.

The moments of inertia for the whole body are given with respect to the principal axes of inertia, and these are denoted by $X_p$, $Y_p$, and $Z_p$. The relationship between the principal axes and the anatomical axes can be found. Refer to McConville et al. (1980) and Young et al. (1983) for definitions of anatomical axes.

Table 4.6-8 Whole-Body Moment of Inertia of the Crewmember

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Female (5th percentile in height and light weight) kg·m²</th>
<th>Male (95th percentile in height and heavy weight) kg·m²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$X_p$</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>17.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y_p$</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td>16.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z_p$</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>2.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- This data applies to 1g conditions.
- Density was assumed constant at $1 \text{ g·cm}^{-3}$.
- References: Gordon et al., 1988; McConville et al., 1980, pp. 32-79; Young et al., 1983, pp. 18-65.

4.6.4.3.2 Data for Body-Segment Moment of Inertia

Body-segment moment-of-inertia data for the American male crewmember in 1g is in Table 4.6-9. For a complete listing of Constellation program body-segment moment-of-inertia data, refer to Appendix B.
The moments of inertia for body segments are given with respect to the principal axes of inertia, and these are denoted by $X_p$, $Y_p$, and $Z_p$. Refer to McConville et al. (1980) and Young et al. (1983) for definitions of anatomical axes.

The data is based on the projected 2015 astronaut population. All data is based on 1g measurements.

### Table 4.6-9 Select Body-Segment Moment of Inertia of the Crewmember

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>Axis</th>
<th>5th-percentile light Female $\times 10^3$ (kg·m²)</th>
<th>95th-percentile heavy Male $\times 10^3$ (kg·m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Head</td>
<td>$X_p$</td>
<td>14.81</td>
<td>21.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$Y_p$</td>
<td>17.85</td>
<td>24.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$Z_p$</td>
<td>13.58</td>
<td>15.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 4.6.4.3.3 Application of Whole-Body and Body-Segment Moment-of-Inertia Data

In 0g, the body mass properties define body reaction to outside forces. These forces can be

- Reactive to forces exerted by the crewmember or a hand tool
- Active forces from devices such as the Manned Maneuvering Unit

The reaction of the body to a force depends on both the mass and the relative positions of the body segments. The data for whole-body center of mass and moment of inertia is provided for standing posture only. Whole-body mass properties for other positions would have to be determined by using engineering vector analyses to combine the mass properties of the individual segments and the appropriate postures maintained by these segments.

#### 4.6.4.3.4 Factors That Affect Whole-Body and Body-Segment Moment of Inertia

The 0g environment causes fluids to shift upward in the body and leave the legs. This results in an upward shift of the center of mass for the whole body and a loss of mass in the leg segments. The data does not account for the fluid shifts and spinal lengthening in 0g.

#### 4.6.5 Research Needs

RESERVED
4.7 STRENGTH

4.7.1 Introduction

The term “strength” is often used to refer to a person’s ability to generate force. Because of the difficulty in measuring strength, it is difficult to formulate a clear definition of the term. One appropriate definition is “the magnitude of variable force that a [muscle group] exerts on the skeletal system at the attachment site of interest” (Kulig, Andrews, & Hay, 1984).

4.7.2 Strength Data Presentation

Unlike anthropometric data, strength data from different populations is not readily available. Even if it is available, sample sizes are often small. Thus it is difficult to find strength percentile data. Instead, strength data often shows maximum and minimum values based on the weakest and strongest members of the population.

Table 4.7-1 depicts a sample of strength data to illustrate typical information available. The full dataset can be found in Appendix B.

Table 4.7-1 Examples of Strength Data Tables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Strength</th>
<th>Minimum Crew Operation Loads (N(Lbf))</th>
<th>Maximum Crew Operational Loads (N(Lbf))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Critical 1 Operations</td>
<td>Critical 2 Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One-Handed Pulls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seated Horizontal Pull In¹</td>
<td>111 (25)</td>
<td>147 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Subject in a seated position pulls toward his/her body. Unilateral/isometric measurement]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seated Vertical Pull Down¹</td>
<td>125 (28)</td>
<td>165 (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Subject in a seated position pulls downward. Unilateral/isometric measurement]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Estimated strength decrement post-spaceflight. Range is 0%-26%. Average estimated is 20%. Based on max EDOMP data. Not all motions were measured on EDOMP missions.
4.7.3 Application of Strength Data

4.7.3.1 Maximum or Minimum Data

Instead of using percentile data for strength, the recommendation is to use the design limits that reflect the minimum strength exhibited by the weakest user group and the structural limits that reflect the maximum strength exhibited by the strongest user group.

Maximum Forces and Structural Integrity – System components and equipment that are intended to be operated by unsuited crewmembers should withstand the forces exerted by the strongest crewmembers without sustaining damage.

Normal Operating Forces – System components and equipment that are intended to be operated by unsuited crewmembers should require forces no greater than those exerted by the weakest crewmember.

4.7.3.2 Criticality of Operations

The criticality of operations may dictate whether factors of safety should be incorporated into strength requirements. In the example data in Appendix B, the following criticality definitions were selected:

- Criticality 1 load limits are to be used for crew safety situations and the design of items where a single failure could result in loss of life or spacecraft.
- Criticality 2 load limits are to be used for the design of items of which a single failure could result in a loss of mission.

Load limits are smaller for Criticality 1 processes, to protect the lives of the crew.

4.7.3.3 Other Factors to Consider in Application of Data

Important aspects of applying strength data to human-centered design include defining a user population, selecting an appropriate database, understanding the activity for which strength should be defined, and collecting data correctly. Endurance and fatigue must be considered in relation to strength as well, and strength is affected by many different factors. Some of these factors affect a person’s strength during everyday life, and some are specific to spaceflight.

4.7.4 Factors That Affect Strength Data

4.7.4.1 User Population

As with any human-centered design consideration, the person who will be performing the activity must be considered. The database selected should reflect the likely user population in terms of age, gender, fitness, and other characteristics as closely as possible.
4.7.4.2 Activity Type

The type of activity and interactions between the human and environment will dictate whether minimum or maximum strength is of concern. The level of criticality will also determine if factors of safety are needed.

In most cases, the goal of understanding strength data is to ensure that the weakest crewmember can perform a task. For example, the torque required to open a hatch should be within the capability of the weakest member of the population.

In some cases, structural limits are in place to prevent accidental damage from crewmembers. For example, the torque required to break a piece of equipment might be required to be more than the maximum torque produced by the strongest crewmember.

The duration of the activity has a major effect on the strength a person can exert. Strength drops off significantly with the extended duration of an activity.

Strength data reflects the type of action being performed during data collection. The table below summarizes the most common approaches to strength data collection (Kroemer, Kroemer, and Kroemer, 1997).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common Approaches to Strength Data Collection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Isometric Constant muscle length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isovelocity / Isokinetic Constant angular velocity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isotonic Constant muscle tension</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.7.4.3 Anthropometry

Because of the low correlation between strength and size, anthropometry should not be used to determine accommodation of strength and endurance. Though strength is related to the size of muscles, research has failed to predict an individual’s strength for given activities based on anthropometry, including circumferences around major muscles (Kroemer, 1976).

4.7.4.3.1 Age Effects

Strength tends to peak around age 20 to 25, with gradual decreases over time. Leg strength tends to decrease more rapidly than arm strength (Konz, 1983). Age is not a reliable predictor of strength within a population because of large intersubject variability, but it is a factor in strength of individuals.

4.7.4.3.2 Gender Effects

The strength of the average female is generally less than the strength of the average male. Much of the published literature claims that the strength of females is 60% to 70% that of males. This may be true when comparing the average strengths of 1000 men and 1000 females. But recent studies comparing males and females of the same height and weight show that the percentage goes up to 80% to 90%. Therefore, females have less strength mostly because of their smaller size and not because of their gender. Though it is
important to consider gender when selecting a population for strength studies, gender is not an accurate predictor of strength.

4.7.4.3.3 Clothing Effects

Wearing a pressurized suit affects a person’s ability to exert the maximum effort. Simply wearing the suit affects strength, and pressurizing the suit reduces strength further. A study by Gonzalez, Maida, Miles, Raiulu, and Pandya (2002) indicated that joint torque production capabilities of subjects decreased up to 39% while they were wearing a pressurized suit, shown in Table 4.7-3.

Table 4.7-3 Effect of Wearing a Pressurized Suit on Maximum Voluntary Torque

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Joint Motion</th>
<th>[MVT] (N-m)</th>
<th>Reduction or Increase in Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Uns suited</td>
<td>Suited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrist extension</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrist flexion</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elbow extension</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elbow flexion</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder extension</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder flexion</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder abduction</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder adduction</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder external rotation</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder internal rotation</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: − refers to reduction, + refers to increase in torque produced

Functional strength tests involving tasks such as pushing and pulling rather than isolated joint movements have shown that a pressurized I-suit reduces functional strength up to 50% (current NASA study, unpublished data).

4.7.4.3.4 Postural Effects

When gravity is present, the amount of force a person is capable of exerting depends on posture. The major example of this during spaceflight is recumbent seating versus upright seating. If a crewmember must overcome gravity to perform a given task, the force production capability will be greatly reduced.
4.7.4.3.5  **0g Effects**

The major effects of 0g on strength are related to counter-reactive forces, restraints, and deconditioning, discussed below. Though some activities, such as lifting a box, will require less strength in 0g, other activities, such as opening a hatch, will still require full strength.

4.7.4.3.6  **Hypergravity Effects**

In hypergravity environments, the weight of the body segments involved in a task increases in unison with gravity, and thus exerting the necessary force becomes an excessive burden. The bulk of the effort exerted by the crewmember goes toward either bringing in or maintaining body segments in a required posture, causing simple tasks to be more difficult in hypergravity environments.

4.7.4.3.7  **Counter- Reactive Forces**

The lack of gravity leads to the absence of counter-reactive forces that allow people to effectively perform physical work in 1g. Traction (friction force), which depends on body weight, is also absent, as are forces that result from using body weight for counterbalance. Without proper restraints, a crewmember’s work capabilities will generally be reduced and the time to complete tasks increased.

4.7.4.3.8  **Restraints**

The common notion is that when workstation design (including fixed and loose equipment) and task procedures are optimized for the 0g environment, crewmembers’ work capabilities while they are restrained can approach their capabilities for performing Earth-based tasks. However, quantitative data (Poliner, Wilmington, & Klute, 1994) has shown that even with foot restraints, the strength exhibited by shirtsleeve subjects in 0g is about 17% less than their strength in 1g.

Situations do exist in which a crewmember can achieve improved strength performance in 0g. These situations occur when the crewmember uses the greater maneuverability in 0g to achieve a more efficient body position to be able to push off solid surfaces.

4.7.4.3.9  **Deconditioning Effects**

Strength is reduced with longer missions because of the deconditioning of muscles. Experience in space indicates that both the strength and aerobic power of load-bearing muscles in crewmembers decreases during missions exposing them to 0g. Exercise countermeasures have been used to counter these deficits, but to date have been only partially effective.

The results of a study by Adams, Caiozzo, and Baldwin (2003) indicate that spaceflight without exercise may cause greater muscle atrophy than bed rest. It should also be noted that greater loss of leg muscle strength than arm muscle strength is expected because locomotion is performed with the upper body during spaceflight (Cowell, Stocks, Evans, Simonson, & Greenleaf, 2002). Results of spaceflight studies are also affected by countermeasure efforts taken during flights of greater than 10 days (Adams et al., 2003).
Table 4.7-4 provides percent decreases in strength for muscle groups in spaceflight and bed rest studies with and without countermeasure exercise.

### Table 4.7-4  Effects of Spaceflight and Bed Rest on Strength

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Muscle Group</th>
<th>Spaceflight w/o Exercise</th>
<th>Spaceflight w/ Exercise</th>
<th>Bed Rest (w/o Exercise)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td># days</td>
<td>% decrease</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm Extensor¹</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Isokinetic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm Flexor¹</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Isokinetic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg Extensor¹,²,³</td>
<td>5-13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Isokinetic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg Flexor¹,²,³</td>
<td>5-13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Isokinetic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trunk Flexion³</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calf Muscle³</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Cowell et al. (2002).
² Convertino and Sandler (1995).
³ Adams et al. (2003).

4.7.4.4  Collection of Data

Results of strength studies are highly dependent on the type of strength test done, the measurement techniques, and the measurement devices chosen. In addition, the selection of a population representative of the end-user to study is critical.

The most common types of strength tests are isometric, isokinetic, and isotonic testing (Kroemer, Kroemer, & Kroemer-Elbert, 2001). Isometric testing is static, with muscle lengths remaining the same throughout the exertion; isokinetic tests involve constant velocity; and isotonic tests consist of constant force.

One major factor involved in measurement technique is the device with which strength is measured. Measurements are most often taken with a dynamometer system. A
dynamometer can be something as simple as a mechanical device to record maximum force during grip, or a much more advanced machine with computing capabilities that allows testing to be conducted in a variety of conditions.

Other facets of measurement technique are the speed of contraction, number of joints involved in a movement, and orientation of the subject with respect to gravity (Kulig et al., 1984). Concentric testing involves dynamic contractions in which a subject’s strength overcomes the resisting force, and eccentric testing involves dynamic contractions in which a subject is overcome by a resisting force and the muscle actually lengthens during the contraction (Kroemer, 1976).

In addition, the interaction of test conductors with subjects can heavily influence results. It is highly recommended that test conductors follow a methodology such as that outlined by Caldwell et al. (1974), which specifies the following in regard to isometric (static) testing:

- Strength is assessed during a steady exertion sustained for 4 seconds
- Effort should be increased to maximum without jerking in about 1 second, then maintained
- No instantaneous feedback is provided during testing
- No goal-setting, rewards, or competition should occur during testing
- A minimum of 1 minute of rest is provided between trials

The aspects of the Caldwell methodology concerning lack of feedback, goal-setting, and rewards should be applied to any type of strength testing to obtain more consistent results. These external factors have been demonstrated to significantly affect force generated during trials (Kroemer, 1988).

4.7.5 Research Needs

RESERVED
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5 HUMAN PERFORMANCE CAPABILITIES

5.1 INTRODUCTION

Like any other system component, humans have limits on their performance capabilities. System developers must be aware of these limits and must assign responsibilities and design systems so that humans work within their capabilities. Human perceptual capabilities include the abilities to see and hear. Cognitive capabilities include abilities to reason, remember, communicate, and understand.

5.2 PHYSICAL WORKLOAD

5.2.1 Introduction

Physical workload is the amount of work a person can perform over a given time, and it depends largely on cardiovascular, pulmonary, and musculoskeletal function. The nervous system also plays a role in physical workload, but this section focuses on the cardiovascular, pulmonary, and musculoskeletal systems, their adaptation to 0g, how exercise affects these systems, and overall measures of physical fitness and workload. See section 5.3, “Sensorimotor Function,” for a discussion of the effects of altered gravity on neurovestibular function, visuomotor coordination, and overall task performance.

5.2.2 Major Physiological Systems That Affect Physical Workload

The primary physiological systems that determine what workloads can be performed are the cardiovascular system and the musculoskeletal system. The cardiovascular system is made up of the heart, blood, and blood vessels, and is the major means by which fuel is delivered to the muscles and metabolic byproducts are removed. The pulmonary system is made up of blood vessels and the lungs. The blood vessels are responsible for carrying blood from the heart through the lungs and back to the heart. As blood circulates through the lungs, it is oxygenated by passing through the pulmonary capillary network. The lungs are made of airways for movement of air from the nose and mouth, and lung tissue which includes the alveoli. The musculoskeletal system is composed of the muscles and bones in the body. Muscles are the force-producing tissue in the body, while bone is the structural tissue. Muscle strength is required to create and maintain motion and resistance. Bone strength is necessary for stability.

Long-term exposure to 0g results in adaptation and modifications to many physiological systems. As shown in Figure 5.1-1, the cardiovascular system adjusts immediately to 0g exposure, and this adjustment is accompanied by fluid shifts in the body. Bone and muscle adaptations occur more gradually, with modifications occurring over 5 to 6 months. It is not clear if these adaptations are necessary for proper human performance in 0g, or if they are detrimental to the body. In either case, the new “normal” statuses of these systems are different from their statuses on Earth, and could therefore increase injury risk when astronauts return to gravitational environments.
5.2.2.1 Cardiovascular System

The cardiovascular system consists of the heart, blood, and blood vessels. It delivers the fuel that must be converted into metabolic energy for all physiological systems to function. With respect to exercise and motion, the muscles are the major tissues that allow performance. Muscles require a chemical known as adenosine triphosphate (ATP) to produce force. ATP can be created with or without oxygen, using carbohydrate, fat, or phosphocreatine. When ATP is broken down without oxygen, lactic acid is produced, which inhibits performance. The cardiovascular system is responsible for delivering oxygen and fuel to the muscles, and for removing the lactic acid.

When individuals increase their fitness level, they are increasing their ability to perform using one or more of these methods of creating ATP. When ATP is created using oxygen, the process is aerobic. When ATP is created without oxygen, the process is anaerobic. When immediate ATP production is necessary (activities lasting 2–7 seconds), phosphocreatine is used anaerobically to create energy. During the aerobic process of ATP production, anaerobic processes are also occurring. “Fitness” is often used interchangeably with “endurance,” and a person with greater fitness has greater endurance. Endurance is specific to the energy system, and it is possible to have higher endurance in one system than in another. In other words, it is possible to have high aerobic endurance without high anaerobic endurance, and vice versa.

Tasks are also specific to the energy system used. During activities requiring short, high-intensity bursts of energy, such as opening a hatch, the phosphocreatine process is relied on for energy. Tasks of high intensity that last for up to 60 seconds, such as quickly traversing the lunar landscape during an extravehicular activity (EVA), are anaerobic. Long-duration tasks, defined as those lasting more than 2 minutes, such as performing a spacewalk, use the aerobic process for energy.
5.2.2.1.1 Phosphocreatine System

The phosphocreatine system is the first system an individual uses during an activity. Being that the supply of phosphocreatine in the body is limited, the system lasts only approximately 6 seconds (e.g., opening a hatch). Typically, a small supply of phosphocreatine is available for immediate ATP production, but the levels may fall if a task requires repeated bursts of activity without allowing time for replenishment.

When increasing the fitness of the phosphocreatine system, an individual becomes more efficient at replenishing baseline levels of the chemical after it is eliminated. Interval training is the best method to increase the work possible with the phosphocreatine system. During interval training, individuals perform high-intensity exercise that uses the system, followed by a period of rest. The process is repeated, allowing the body to become more efficient at recovering phosphocreatine systems to baseline. For the phosphocreatine system, interval training consisting of maximal-intensity activity lasting no longer than 6 seconds, followed by a recovery period of up to 2 minutes before the next repetition, is best for training.

5.2.2.1.2 Anaerobic System - Glycolysis

Although the breakdown of both phosphocreatine and glucose is anaerobic, the term “anaerobic” applies conventionally to the metabolic breakdown of glucose without the presence of oxygen. The glucose used for fuel is derived mainly from carbohydrate. During anaerobic metabolism, ATP can be created very quickly, but in very limited quantity, and therefore the process is inefficient. Lactic acid, or lactate, is produced as a metabolic byproduct. Although there is usually a balance between lactate buildup, use, and removal; during high-intensity, short-duration exercise, lactate cannot be removed as quickly as it is formed. When this occurs, the buildup of lactic acid decreases the pH in the body, and work can no longer continue. The point at which lactate level inhibits performance is known as the lactate threshold.

When individuals increase their anaerobic fitness, they work at a higher intensity, increase their lactate threshold, and become more efficient at removing lactate from the muscles. Interval training is best used to increase anaerobic fitness. For the anaerobic system using glucose, repeated high-intensity activity lasting 10 to 60 seconds, separated by 1 to 3 minutes of rest, will best increase fitness. As fitness increases, the rest between the exercise bouts can decrease, but should remain to allow recovery. In other words, the goal when increasing anaerobic fitness is not to eliminate rest, but to increase the ability to repeat work intensity for a given amount of rest by increasing the capacity to tolerate or remove lactate.

5.2.2.1.3 Aerobic System

Aerobic fitness describes the overall efficiency of the heart, lungs, and vascular system in delivering oxygen to muscles to maintain prolonged work (e.g., running a marathon). During aerobic exercise, ATP is formed through the breakdown of carbohydrate, fat, or protein with the use of oxygen. Because lactate is not formed, the aerobic process can continue for a much longer time, but the intensity of the work performed will be lower than when the anaerobic process is used. Aerobic metabolism is much more efficient than anaerobic metabolism because greater amounts of ATP are formed with the same fuel source than during anaerobic metabolism. However, because oxygen is necessary, the process is more complex and cannot occur at the same intensity as anaerobic metabolism. In addition, aerobic metabolism is the only energy pathway that can use fat and proteins as fuel sources.
The ability to deliver oxygen to the muscles depends on several physiological parameters including heart rate, stroke volume, and maximal oxygen consumption (VO_{2\text{max}}), also called maximum aerobic capacity. VO_{2\text{max}} is considered one of the best indicators of cardiovascular fitness, is expressed as the volume of oxygen used per unit time, and can be in absolute (L/min) or relative terms (mL/kg/min). Maximal aerobic power is the greatest amount of power, usually expressed in watts, that is generated at VO_{2\text{max}}. An individual’s absolute aerobic capacity determines the ability to perform a task at a given workload.

Table 5.2-1 presents the VO_{2\text{max}} values for age and gender associated with the percentile of aerobic fitness. Research suggests that a maximum aerobic power under the 20\textsuperscript{th} percentile for age and gender increases the risk of death from all causes.

### Table 5.2-1 Percentile Values for VO_{2\text{max}} (mL/kg/min)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>20-29</th>
<th>30-39</th>
<th>40-49</th>
<th>50-59</th>
<th>60+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>90\textsuperscript{th} Percentile</td>
<td>51.4</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>45.3</td>
<td>42.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50\textsuperscript{th} Percentile</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>31.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20\textsuperscript{th} Percentile</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>26.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>20-29</th>
<th>30-39</th>
<th>40-49</th>
<th>50-59</th>
<th>60+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>90\textsuperscript{th} Percentile</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>35.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50\textsuperscript{th} Percentile</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>25.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20\textsuperscript{th} Percentile</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>22.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACSM Guidelines for Exercise Testing and Prescription, 6\textsuperscript{th} ed., 2000. Note: These percentages were based on a modified Bruce treadmill protocol. Other types of maximal aerobic capacity testing may not be directly comparable to these values.

As a person fatigues when performing aerobic work, lactate is produced as the body breaks down pyruvate to compensate for the ATP deficit. Therefore, the lactate threshold will also affect aerobic fitness levels.

Since the amount of work a person is capable of producing depends on the duration and intensity of the task, cardiovascular fitness can provide an indication of a person’s workload capability. For example, EVA can require a great deal of energy to perform, but the amount depends on the specific EVA tasks and their duration, as well as the gravity environment. Table 5.2-2 (Powell et al., 1993) shows average aerobic workload during EVA for both 0g and 1/6g. According to the table, a 40-year-old, 180-pound male in the 50\textsuperscript{th} percentile would work at 16\% to 26\% of his VO_{2\text{max}}.

### Table 5.2-2 Metabolic Workload During EVA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mission</th>
<th>kcal/h, L/min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apollo (1/6g)</td>
<td>234 kcal/h, 0.80 L/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apollo (0g)</td>
<td>151 kcal/h, 0.51 L/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skylab</td>
<td>238 kcal/h, 0.81 L/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shuttle (STS 1-54)</td>
<td>205 kcal/h, 0.76 L/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shuttle (STS 103-121)</td>
<td>220 kcal/h, 0.76 L/min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
On Earth, human efficiency ranges from approximately 10% to 35%, depending on the specific movement patterns. In cycling, efficiency is approximately 21%. Thus, the useful power output for an individual expending 500 kcal/h cycling would be 122 watts rather than the 581 watts that would result from 100% efficiency. For EVAs, efficiency is decreased because work is required to overcome the pressurization of the suit.

5.2.2.2 Muscle

Skeletal muscle has two important functions: 1) muscles generate the forces necessary for body motion; and 2) skeletal muscle mass is important for maintaining proper metabolism.

Muscles connect to bones by means of tendons. Therefore, when muscles contract, they pull on the bone and cause the bone (and its associated body segment) to move. For example, the biceps tendon is connected to a forearm bone. So, when the biceps muscle is stimulated, the muscle pulls the forearm and hand toward the shoulder.

Muscles can be activated in a concentric, eccentric, or isometric manner, and each type of muscle action is important for normal body motions.

- Concentric muscle actions – The muscle shortens while producing force. For example, your biceps muscle shortens while producing force when you pick up a glass of water and move it toward your mouth.
- Eccentric muscle actions – The muscle lengthens while producing force. For example, the biceps muscle lengthens while producing force when you lower a glass of water from your mouth to a table. In general, eccentric muscle actions are used to lower items or loads.
- Isometric muscle actions – The muscle creates tension, but the muscle does not change length. For example, the biceps muscle is activated isometrically when you hold a glass of water at your side, with your elbow bent at 90°.

Muscles are important for maintaining proper metabolism, as muscle is the primary site of carbohydrate and fat metabolism. For example, when you eat a meal, your body produces insulin, which causes your muscles to store carbohydrates (and subsequently reduces the sugar in your circulatory system).

5.2.2.3 Bone

Bones provide the rigid structure necessary for human motion. As previously stated, muscles are connected to bones via tendons. Although muscles provide the forces necessary for movement, bones are necessary to allow the movements to occur. Muscles are attached at their endpoints to bones. When muscles activate, the bones to which they are attached are either drawn closer together (concentric) or are allowed to separate under control (eccentric). Without strong bone, fracture can occur during muscle activity or when an external load is applied. Among other things, bone is responsible for protection, shape, movement, blood production, and mineral storage.

Bone consists of two major types, both of them rigid. Compact bone is very dense and appears to be solid. Trabecular bone is porous and appears to be spongy. Most human bone is formed with a compact
outside portion surrounding a trabecular inner volume. This structure gives bone its strength while decreasing mass, allowing a strong, yet light, skeletal structure.

Bone tissue is continuously broken down and rebuilt. According to Wolff’s Law, bone will remodel in response to applied force. When an external force is applied to bone, the bone will reform to resist that force. In addition, with the lack of applied force, bone will break down. Bone homeostasis is maintained when bone formation equals bone breakdown. The cells that produce bone are known as osteoblasts, while osteoclasts break down bone. Osteoporosis is a disease that occurs typically in the elderly, and is a net decrease in bone mineral density.

5.2.3 Effect of Exercise on Physiological Systems

5.2.3.1 Cardiovascular Conditioning

As stated earlier, anaerobic capacity can be increased using interval training, in which repeated bouts of high-intensity work are separated by rest. To improve overall aerobic capacity, moderate-intensity exercise, such as running, cycling, or rowing at a set intensity over a period of time is recommended. To improve lactate threshold (or anaerobic capacity), adding short bursts of high-intensity activity to a normal cardiovascular workout is recommended.

The American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM) recommends 20 to 60 minutes of aerobic activity three to five times per week (testing done in 1g). The intensity range to increase and maintain cardiovascular fitness is intentionally broad, but for most individuals, intensities within the range of 70% to 85% of maximum heart rate are sufficient to achieve improvements in cardiovascular fitness (ACSM, 2000). No specific guidelines exist for anaerobic training programs, but using the frequency and duration of the aerobic programs is recommended.

5.2.3.2 Muscular Conditioning

In general, exercise can affect four attributes of skeletal muscle: 1) muscle size, 2) muscle strength, 3) muscle power, and 4) muscle endurance. Importantly, though, the exercise prescription must be tailored to meet a person’s specific needs. The outcome of the exercise program is determined by the intensity, duration, and frequency of exercise. Different combinations of these variables have different effects on skeletal muscle.

Resistance exercise (e.g., lifting weights) is the most potent stimulus for increasing muscle size, strength, and power. Either resistance or endurance exercise (e.g., running, cycling) can be used to increase muscle endurance. Here are general guidelines for improving each characteristic of skeletal muscle:

- Muscle size
  - Mode of exercise: resistance exercise
  - Choice of exercise: exercises that involve large amounts of muscle mass (e.g., squat, deadlift, bench press)
  - Intensity: moderate to heavy exercise loads
  - Volume: multiple sets per exercise (i.e., 3 to 5 sets) and 6 to 12 repetitions per set
  - Rest periods: ~60 to 120 seconds of rest between sets

- Muscle strength
- **Mode of exercise:** resistance exercise
- **Choice of exercise:** exercises that involve large amounts of muscle mass (e.g., squat, dead lift, bench press)
- **Intensity:** very heavy exercise loads
- **Volume:** multiple sets per exercise (i.e., 3 to 5 sets) and 1 to 6 repetitions per set
- **Rest periods:** ~3 minutes of rest between sets

**Muscle power**
- **Mode of exercise:** resistance exercise
- **Choice of exercise:** exercises that involve large amounts of muscle mass and that can be performed rapidly (e.g., power clean, squat jump, push press)
- **Intensity:** moderate exercise loads; perform the exercise as fast as possible
- **Volume:** multiple sets per exercise (i.e., 3 to 5 sets) and 1 to 6 repetitions per set
- **Rest periods:** ~3 minutes of rest between sets

**Muscle endurance**
- **Mode of exercise:** resistance exercise or endurance exercise
- **Choice of exercise:** exercises that involve large amounts of muscle mass (e.g., squat, dead lift, bench press)
- **Intensity:** light to moderate loads
- **Volume:** multiple sets per exercise (i.e., 3 to 5 sets) and 20 to 30 repetitions per set
- **Rest periods:** ~30 to 60 seconds of rest between sets

Several points should be considered when in-flight exercise countermeasures are designed. The resistive exercise countermeasure must 1) be capable of providing heavy loads, 2) allow crewmembers to perform “large muscle mass exercises” (e.g., squats, dead lifts, bench press), and 3) provide a sufficient eccentric load. Research demonstrates that eccentric muscle actions are imperative for increasing muscle size and strength. Without heavy loads, muscular adaptations will be suboptimal. An example of a suboptimal resistive exercise countermeasure is the interim Resistive Exercise Device (iRED), the first resistive exercise device used on the International Space Station (ISS). The iRED was capable of imposing only ~300 lb of resistive force. Additionally, the iRED used elastomer resistance, which provides an eccentric load that is only ~70% of the corresponding concentric load. The relatively low resistive force and suboptimal eccentric loading explain why using the iRED causes suboptimal muscle and bone adaptations (Schneider et al., 2003).

### 5.2.3.3 Bone Modeling

Because bone responds to force, any exercise that applies force to the musculoskeletal system will benefit bone health. However, the magnitude of the applied force cannot be so large as to induce fracture. The amount of force that can be tolerated by a person’s musculoskeletal system depends on that person’s health.

Recent research has indicated that bone best responds (Turner, 1998) to loads that are applied in a cyclic manner. However, the same research has shown that bone’s response to the cyclic loading is attenuated once a threshold is reached. Although no specific thresholds have been determined, in general, bone best responds to loads that create a high rate of change of force, such as those during impacts. Typical impact exercise includes walking, running, and jumping. Because of the threshold effect on the cyclic response, bone loading bouts are best separated over a period of time. Current research is being performed to determine optimal loading prescriptions.
5.2.4 Effects of Exercise on Systems during Space Flight

5.2.4.1 Cardiovascular Deconditioning

Changes induced in the cardiovascular system by space flight include decreases in plasma volume, cardiac output, and heart size, and an increase in heart rate (Jennings et al., 2008). Research is being conducted to investigate the decrease in heart size after prolonged exposure to space flight. It is likely that this decrease in size is due to a decrease in tissue fluids. Immediately on entering 0g, a headward shift of body fluids occurs that is falsely perceived by the body as excess fluid volume. To compensate for the perceived fluid increase, the body’s natural response is to eliminate the excess fluid by decreasing plasma volume. As a result, stroke volume and cardiac output decrease, which results in less blood and therefore less oxygen delivered to the working muscles.

The adequacy of astronauts’ cardiovascular fitness for the required workload depends on their degree of adaptation to the gravity environment, the required tasks, and the countermeasures in place.

5.2.4.2 Muscular Deconditioning

Space flight and bed rest decrease muscle size, muscle strength, muscle power, and muscle endurance. The negative effects of space flight on skeletal muscle can critically affect the success of a mission. Various activities related to space flight (e.g., EVAs, ladder-climbing, and hatch-opening) rely heavily on muscle strength, power, and/or endurance. Therefore, space flight-induced decrements in muscle performance could impair mission-critical tasks (e.g., emergency egress and EVA performance).

Additionally, with prolonged inactivity (such as bed rest), muscles lose their ability to sense insulin; this impairs muscle metabolism and causes potentially harmful elevations in circulating sugars. An extreme example of this is seen when people are forced (or choose) to become completely sedentary: they lose significant muscle mass, the muscle mass that remains becomes insensitive to insulin, and they develop type II diabetes, a serious health concern. Insulin insensitivity occurs during space flight analogs (i.e., bed rest) (Pavy-Le Traon et al., 2007). Therefore, insulin insensitivity is a health concern during long-duration space flight.

When data from Mir and ISS missions were pooled, it was observed that whole-body lean mass was reduced after long-duration space flight (Table 5.2-3). Similarly, crewmembers had lower leg lean mass, knee extension peak torque, and knee flexion peak torque after both Mir and ISS missions.

Table 5.2-3 Pre- and Postflight Musculoskeletal Data from Mir and ISS Missions 1-6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Whole-Body Lean Mass (kg)</th>
<th>Leg Lean Mass (kg)</th>
<th>Knee Extension, Peak Torque (N-m)</th>
<th>Knee Flexion, Peak Torque (N-m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre</td>
<td>Post*</td>
<td>Pre</td>
<td>Post*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mir</td>
<td>60.5±1.6</td>
<td>58.4±5.5</td>
<td>19.6±0.7</td>
<td>18.3±0.9*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISS</td>
<td>56.1±2.9</td>
<td>54.9±3.4</td>
<td>18.7±1.0</td>
<td>18.0±1.3*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data is represented as means ± standard deviation. *Significant (P < 0.05) change from before flight to after flight (Lee et al., 2004).
Finally, because in 0g no force opposes motion, most eccentric muscle activity performed during the activities of daily living is eliminated. Exercise devices are necessary to allow the muscles to experience eccentric loading.

5.2.4.3 Bone Loss

Bone loss during long-term 0g exposure is well documented. Although the net loss varies between individuals, most losses occur typically in the lower extremities, which no longer need to support body weight. Bone loss occurs because osteoclast activity continues while osteoblast activity is inhibited. The net bone loss is a major concern because of related health risks that increase during flight and upon return to a gravitational environment.

5.2.5 Overall performance

Because the physiology of different individuals changes differently in 0g and tasks change based on need, it is difficult to state what is needed for overall performance. To decide how much aerobic capacity, anaerobic capacity, and muscle strength, power, and endurance should be maintained during exposure to 0g, an analysis of each task the crewmembers are expected to perform should be completed. The cardiovascular and musculoskeletal requirements of tasks performed in a reduced-gravity or 0g environment may differ from those of similar tasks performed in normal gravity. Rather than specific requirements being provided in this section, task analysis must be performed to obtain relevant performance criteria.

5.2.6 Research Needs

The following knowledge gaps have been identified and are in need of study:
- Quantify the risk of impaired performance and injury due to reduced muscle mass, strength, and endurance as a function of mission duration and gravity level.
- Quantify the risk of reduced physical performance due to reduced aerobic capacity.
- Quantify the risk of impaired ability to maintain control of vehicles and other complex systems.
5.3 SENSORIMOTOR FUNCTION

5.3.1 Introduction

A key component of human performance in aerospace tasks is the transformation of sensory information about the environment into appropriate motor commands to effect the proper action, such as sensing an aircraft’s acceleration and orientation in order to maintain level flight. The combination of inputs from various senses — visual, vestibular, auditory, haptic (pertaining to touch), and proprioceptive (perceiving the movement or position of the body) — in conjunction with motor control output signals are all integrated to generate the timely, accurate, and precise sensorimotor responses needed for the safe and effective performance of tasks such as flight control, teleoperations, and system monitoring and intervention. A space mission includes multiple and changing environments that not only distort the three-dimensional (3D) perception of the environment and of one’s own position and motion, but also interfere with the proper implementation and coordination of needed control actions. Furthermore, after extended exposure to altered gravitational and other environmental conditions, physiological adaptation processes make the situation more complicated, as these changes can end up maladaptive during and after g-transitions such as descent and entry. The induced environments and associated physiological changes represent significant challenges to the crew’s ability to perform their tasks, especially during dynamic phases of flight.

The unfamiliar or conflicting sensory inputs from space flight environments may lead to

- Spatial disorientation
- Altered oculomotor function and degraded active vision
- Compromised manual control
- Balance and locomotion deficits

To support proper vehicle control and crew safety, human-vehicle systems must be designed to enable adequate sensorimotor function in the face of these challenges, and to identify and mitigate sensorimotor dysfunction when it occurs.

5.3.2 Perceptual and Motor Performance

Humans have specialized visual and vestibular sensory systems that process both static and dynamic signals related to one’s angular position and motion with respect to the environment, as well as the angular tilt and motion of external reference objects. In most cases, these sensory signals limit perceptual precision as well as voluntary sensorimotor control, either directly or indirectly through their inputs to gaze and postural stabilization reflexes.

With respect to sensorimotor performance, these are the key human perceptual capabilities and limitations:

- The human visual system is capable of estimating two-dimensional (2D) static angular orientation of objects with a precision better than 1°, although performance depends on stimulus size and contrast (Howard & Templeton, 1966; Philips & Wilson, 1984).
- The human vestibular system has a specialized static tilt processing system, based primarily on the output of the otoliths, which on Earth signal the angular position of the head with respect to
gravity with a precision of about 1° (Howard & Templeton, 1966). This signal, however, is confounded with linear acceleration.

- The human visual system can compute precise estimates of the 2D motion of objects on a display with the precision of speed estimates being as good as 5% (McKee et al., 1986) and of direction estimates better than 1° (Pantle & Sekuler, 1969). Again, however, performance depends on stimulus size, contrast, direction, and training (Pantle & Sekuler, 1969; Ball & Sekuler, 1987; Watamaniuk & Sekuler, 1992; Stone & Thompson, 1992; Krukowski et al., 2003). Furthermore, the biases and limitations in the perceptual processing of 2D visual motion have been shown to shape and limit the accuracy and precision of motor performance in both gaze (Kowler & McKee, 1987; Stone & Krauzlis, 2003; Krukowski & Stone, 2005) and manual control (Li et al., 2006) tasks. In particular, below 16% contrast, for every twofold reduction in luminance contrast, there is a 35-ms increase in reaction time and an 8% increase in root mean square error in a manual control task (Li et al., 2005) mirroring the known perceptual underestimation of target speed (Stone & Thompson, 1992).

- The human visual system can compute precise estimates of one’s 3D direction of self-translation (heading) from the visually expanding “optic flow” with an accuracy and precision approaching 1° (Warren et al., 1988). However, the accuracy and precision of visual heading estimation during passive perception of or active control of combined translation and rotation is three to four times worse and is sensitive to the field of view and to the amount of depth variation across visible points (Stone & Perrone, 1997; Li et al., 2007; Peng et al., 2008). The monocular perception of 3D translation closing speed and, thus, time-to-contact is expected to be limited by the 5% to 10% precision of 2D speed estimation (slightly better than 10% precision and looming in time-to-contact was empirically observed by Regan and Hamstra, 1993). However, the binocular stereomotion estimate of 3D closing speed from interocular-velocity-difference and changing-disparity cues are less precise, typically 25% or higher (Brooks & Stone, 2004), with two eye views actually being worse than one, due to stereomotion suppression whereby the added depth information comes at the expense of a loss in motion information (Brooks & Stone, 2006).

- The human vestibular otoliths also provide a precise signal of one’s 3D direction of self-translation with a precision as low as 1° for accelerations greater than 0.1g and with an absolute threshold for direction discrimination around 0.01g (Smith et al., 2002).

These are the key visual and vestibular gaze-stabilization mechanisms that support voluntary sensorimotor control:

- The vestibulo-ocular reflexes (VOR) help to keep gaze stabilized on an object during head motion to preserve high-acuity vision. When the head rotates, head velocity signals allow the oculomotor system to rapidly (latency: ~10 ms) stabilize the eyes in 0g to effectively keep visual images stable on the retinal fovea over a wide range of motion frequencies from below 0.2 Hz up to about 12 Hz (Ramachandran & Lisberger, 2005). There are three different forms of VOR:
  - The rotational VOR (RVOR) or angular VOR (AVOR) generates dynamic eye yaw, pitch, or roll compensations for head rotation using primarily angular velocity signals from the vestibular semicircular canals. However, the roll and pitch RVORs also receive otolith contributions.
  - The translational VOR (TVOR) or linear VOR (LVOR) provides dynamic eye yaw, pitch, and vergence compensation for head translations in the inter-aural (IA), dorso-
ventral (DV), and naso-occipital (NO) axes, respectively, using linear velocity signals from the vestibular otolith organs. The TVOR seems to be modulated by canal inputs that help disambiguate IA (side-to-side) translation from roll tilt and NO (front-to-back) translation from pitch tilt (Angelaki et al., 1999). However, a simple frequency-based filtering disambiguation clearly plays an important role in both vestibular-based motion perception and the associated TVOR response (Merfeld et al., 2005), with frequencies above 1Hz predominantly interpreted as translation and frequencies below 1 Hz as tilt (as originally proposed by Paige and Tomko, 1991; Paige and Seidman, 1999). The TVOR depends strongly on gaze direction and vergence angle, so that it plays a more critical role in gaze stabilization for near viewing (Paige et al., 1998).

- The ocular counter-roll (OCR) reflex compensates for static head roll tilts using gravitational signals from the vestibular otoliths. The otoliths estimate the tilt angle of the head with respect to the gravito-inertial axis, and the oculomotor system generates a compensatory torsional eye movement that rolls the eyes in the opposing direction to allow some gaze stabilization. The gain of the OCR is only about 10% to 30%, so it does not fully compensate for head roll (Howard & Templeton, 1966).

- The optokinetic reflex (OKR) and other ocular-following reflexes help keep gaze stabilized during slow head motion. When the head rotates or translates slowly, large-field visual image motion signals allow the oculomotor system to stabilize the eyes to keep visual images stable on the retinal fovea over a low-pass range of motion frequencies. The OKR (which dominates at low speeds and frequencies below ~0.5 Hz) and the VOR (which dominates at higher speeds and frequencies above ~0.5 Hz) act in concert to accurately stabilize the retinal image over a wide range of head and self-motion speeds and frequencies.

- The vestibulospinal reflexes (VSR) act to maintain posture and balance. In response to a head and body tilt to the left (right), VSR responses extend the left (right) limbs and flex the right (left) ones to oppose the perturbation. The VSR is directly affected by 0g, as it relies on vestibular responses to gravitational cues to maintain balance and posture in 1g. While the concept of balance means little in 0g, postflight ataxia due in part to maladapted VSRs may pose a significant risk during vehicle egress after landing, especially after extended exposure to 0g.

- The vestibulocollic reflex (VCR) helps to gyroscopically stabilize the head by generating compensatory head movements in response to torso movements. The VCR may not be helpful in 0g, as torso movements in 0g do not require the same postural readjustments as would be required on Earth. Upon entry and landing, however, a maladapted VCR may compromise the ability to maintain head position during locomotion.

The above critical visual-vestibular signals and reflexes are all, to some extent, adversely affected during space flight. During dynamic phases of flight, in addition to the health and safety implications of elevated g loading and vibration, sustained and random acceleration can disrupt gaze stabilization and visual function and, together with the associated biomechanical perturbations of the limbs, can also compromise manual control performance. Upon initial orbital insertion at 0g, one’s Earth-based vestibular reflexes are initially inappropriate for gaze stabilization. This can hinder visual function and motor control in the first day or so of flight, and can contribute to space motion sickness (SMS). The 0g environment then drives adaptive perceptual, motor, and proprioceptive processes and retunes the above reflexes, and also drives low-level physiological processes that alter muscular and cardiovascular function. Upon gravitational reloading, one’s space-tuned visual-vestibular reflexes are initially
inappropriate, leading once again to compromised gaze stabilization; one’s space-tuned perceptual interpretations of visual signals are initially inappropriate, leading to illusions of self-motion and spatial disorientation; and one’s space-tuned motor control systems (manual and postural) are initially inappropriately tuned for weightless limbs and body, leading to an increased likelihood of motor errors, postural deficits, and ataxia (Reschke et al., 1999), with the added complication that muscular strength and cardiovascular function are also compromised (see sections 5.2.2.2 and 5.2.2.1). This situation amplifies the adverse impact of the postflight neurovestibular dysfunction.

5.3.3 Spatial Disorientation

The information for maintaining spatial orientation is provided by simultaneous inputs from the visual, vestibular, somatosensory, and, occasionally, auditory systems. Spatial disorientation (SD) can occur if unfamiliar inputs are presented to any of these systems, which often occurs regardless of head movements during sustained linear acceleration with limited visibility (e.g., Benson, 1990) and with additional Coriolis and cross-coupling effects induced by rotation artifacts during centrifugation (Young et al., 2003), or during head movements on initial exposure to 0g or on returning to elevated gravity conditions after adapting to an extended exposure to 0g (Glasauer & Mittelstaedt, 1998; Harm et al., 1999).

5.3.3.1 Acceleration and Hypergravity Effects

SD during atmospheric flight often occurs as a result of rotational motion or linear acceleration with poor visual feedback. During a sustained turn or acceleration, a “somatogravic illusion” may occur, which is the sensation of level flight while in a constant bank, of a nose-up attitude during sustained acceleration, and the apparent nose-down attitude sensed with deceleration.

When tilting the head during a sustained turn in flight, SD and motion sickness may occur. As a general rule, a head movement made in one axis (roll) after rotating for some time about an orthogonal axis (yaw) produces an illusory sensation in the third orthogonal axis (pitch) (Benson, 1990).

Because vision is so reliable for maintaining orientation, a pilot can still maintain correct orientation with misleading vestibular cues as long as visual cues are good. A consequence of poor or absent visual information is that vestibular suppression, which is the process of visually overriding undesirable vestibular sensations, does not occur. An example of this is seen in figure skaters who can learn to eliminate the post-rotatory dizziness that normally results from the high angular decelerations associated with suddenly stopping their rapid spins on the ice. But even these individuals experience the dizziness expected from their rotation when deprived of vision by eye closure or darkness. As is the case with figure skaters, a pilot’s ability to prevent vestibular sensations is compromised when the pilot is deprived of visual orientation cues, during instrument flight or when looking away from the instruments (Gillingham & Wolfe, 1985). Therefore, under limited visual conditions, vestibular cues must assume the role of perceiving body orientation, but may be incorrect and give a misleading perception of spatial orientation.

Also, in the absence of good visual cues, transient and sustained motions are unlikely to be detected if the change in angular velocity is less than a certain threshold. Mulder’s constant, which describes this threshold, is approximately 2° per second, and remains fairly constant for stimulus times of about 5 seconds or less (Gillingham & Wolfe, 1985). Piloting a spacecraft during entry after a space flight
could include all of the perception and orientation errors associated with conventional aircraft flight, as well as introduce the added complication of having crewmembers who are adapted to a different gravity environment, a condition that can lead to sensory misinterpretation, hypersensitivity to head movement, and illusions of self-motion. In addition, atmospheric entry may dictate a flight profile with a high deceleration phase coupled with simultaneous multi-axis accelerations, thereby creating other unique sensory problems.

Hypersensitivity of the vestibular system during entry and landing from space flight, as a result of adaptation to 0g, has been noted during several Space Shuttle flights. Entry loads of ~0.5g are often reported to feel more like 1g or 2g; after landing, small pitch or roll motions of the head are perceived as being much larger angles, and head tilts are perceived as translations (Parker et al., 1985; Reschke & Parker, 1987; Young, 2000).

Almost all astronauts experience illusions of self-motion and surround motion, during both the 0g and the entry and landing phases of space flight, with illusion intensity proportional to the length of time on orbit. Individual experiences vary, but three types of disturbances in self- and/or surround motion are commonly reported:

- **Gain disturbances** – perceived self-motion and surround motion seems exaggerated in rate, amplitude, or position after head or body movement. One astronaut reported that head or body movements made in any axis during entry and immediately after landing were perceived as being five to ten times greater than the actual physical movement. Another crewmember reported that a 20° roll head movement resulted in perceived surround roll motion of 70° to 80°. At wheels stop, one crewmember reported that the 20° head movement was perceived as 0.6 to 0.9 m of self-translation (Reschke et al., 1996).

- **Temporal disturbances** – the perception of self-motion or surround motion either lags behind the head or body movement, persists after the real physical motion has stopped, or both. One astronaut who made pitch head movements daily for the first 3 days of flight reported that perceived self-motion lagged the real pitch head movement and persisted after the head movement stopped. Lag and persistence times were approximately equal, and both increased over the first 3 days of flight from barely perceptible to 0.5 seconds. This subject also reported that lag and persistence were most marked during the 1.5g phase of entry (Reschke et al., 1996).

- **Path disturbances** – angular head and body movements elicit perceptions of linear and combined linear and angular self-motion or surround motion. These perceptual disturbances seem to be most intense during atmospheric entry and immediately after wheels stop, as opposed to during flight (Harm et al., 1994).

Given the opportunity for SD to occur during entry and landing, any spacecraft that will be piloted during those phases, either as the primary method of control or as a backup to automation, must be designed to minimize sensorimotor disturbances in conjunction with other relevant mission and human constraints.
5.3.3.2 Acceleration and Hypergravity Countermeasures

5.3.3.2.1 Cockpit Layout

Several cockpit design factors influence the occurrence of SD. The first tool for maintaining orientation during the complex task of flying is the wide array of information available in the cockpit that enables the pilot to understand the direction and position of the vehicle. This information is conveyed visually from the outside environment via windows and from inside the vehicle via various instruments or displays.

*External Views* – Since vision is the most valuable sense for maintaining orientation, the first design consideration should be optimal location of windows and displays to provide adequate visual cues for piloting that are consistent with vestibular and tactile cues, and to reduce Coriolis stimulation of the semicircular canals during head movement, which can cause disorientation and motion sickness. A cockpit that allows both forward and peripheral views of the horizon would provide the best visual cues for maintaining spatial orientation during a piloted landing phase. Window views, or stereoscopic displays, that allow proper depth perception provide more accurate visual cues than 2D representations of the environment from a single camera view.

*Instruments and Displays* – As the complexity of the vehicle increases, so does the need to rely on additional information provided by the cockpit displays, which can partially replace degraded visual information and provide navigation information, as well as indicate the health and status of the vehicle. Even with all of this information available, and sometimes because of it, there are opportunities for SD to occur. Even in visual meteorological conditions (VMC) where the pilot can clearly see the environment through the window, some displays are still relied on for safe flying, thus requiring continual shifting of attention from outside to inside the vehicle. Frequent head movements associated with this continuous scanning, especially during turns or high-g maneuvers, can induce the orientation illusions mentioned previously and also give rise to motion sickness. The multiple displays that provide various pieces of information to the pilot should be designed to be simple to interpret, and should be located together according to function. Grouping related displays together allows efficient display scanning and minimizes unnecessary head movement. In general, displays should be positioned in the direction of motion, with axes of motion as similar to those of the vehicle itself as possible. A heading indicator that is placed off to one side of the cockpit instead of straight ahead may provide correct information, but since it is located in a position that is not aligned with the vehicle motion, it will require increased head movement to read, as well as more thought and concentration to interpret. Displays must also be large enough to allow quick and accurate understanding. The small cockpit size of the F-16 aircraft required a drastic reduction in display size, resulting in suboptimal line of sight for a rapid recovery from SD (McCarthy, 1990).

*Controls* – The design of vehicle controls can also play a role in helping maintain spatial orientation. To be the most intuitive, a control should move in a direction similar to that of the resulting vehicle motion. For example, moving the control stick to the right should also move the vehicle to the right (right roll), and pulling the stick back should tilt the vehicle backward (upward pitch). This may seem an obvious point, but with today’s “fly-by-wire” technology in which control devices are not physically connected to control surfaces, any input in any direction can move any control surface via computer interface. To ensure that the pilot responds in an expected manner, the location, size, and displacement of controls should also be standardized to a sufficient degree that flying skills acquired in one type of vehicle can be retained and transferred to other types. Critical switches, levers, and controls must also be safeguarded...
against inadvertent operation. Especially during emergency conditions, where response time is critical, control of the vehicle must be intuitive.

**Seat Position** – Individuals who have been in NASA’s Remote Cockpit Van have reported getting sick and disoriented in the fully-reclined position (body and vehicle x-axes 90° out of phase) during vehicle motion, with symptoms being reduced as the seat angle was raised (Fox, 2003). Depending on the location of windows and displays, recumbent seating might also limit visibility. One option to minimize vestibular disturbances during a piloted landing phase is to ensure that the vehicle cockpit provides visual, vestibular, and tactile cues to the pilot that are as “normal” as possible. Minimal vestibular disturbances would likely occur with the crew facing the direction of travel (in a near-upright position for a plane-like design), which is most familiar to pilots, with symptoms increasing as a function of decreasing seat tilt. It is possible to maintain the head in a forward-facing position to provide proper vestibular cues, and have the body in a reclined position to counteract cardiovascular and impact problems. However, reclined seating would affect tactile cues, and upright seating may prevent the perception of self-motion and tilt.

### 5.3.3.2.2 Cockpit Technology

It has been demonstrated that cockpit displays provide information about an aircraft’s motion and orientation, yet at the same time can also provide disorienting cues. Therefore, some nontraditional cockpit and display technologies have been developed specifically to help counter SD. Examples of these are described below:

**Three-Dimensional (3D) Audio** – Audio inputs of this type may include verbal commands for recovering from an SD incident, as well as a continuous tone indicating a specific direction such as gravitational “up” or “down.” Research on multiple processing resources has revealed that the auditory modality can process information in parallel with vision, and therefore should be able to support spatial orientation in an otherwise visually loaded or impaired environment (Wickens, 2002). The advantages of using a 3D audio device include not only the primary information conveyed in the signal itself, but also relevant directional information, depending on the virtual source of the sound in the headset. However, it should be noted that hearing is inherently less capable of providing spatial information than vision (Wickens et al., 1983).

**Tactile Displays** – Research has been conducted using several different types of tactile display systems in conjunction with traditional displays to provide orientation information. The tactile situation-awareness system developed by the U.S. Navy has shown to be a promising nonvisual tool for avoiding SD by allowing the pilot to sense the aircraft’s attitude nonvisually (Rupert, 1999; Rupert et al., 1994). It does so using arrays of small pneumatically activated tactile stimulators, incorporated into a vest, that are cued by the aircraft’s inertial reference system.

**Automation** – One design strategy for minimizing the negative effects that space flight may have on a crewmember’s ability to pilot a vehicle is to increase automation to reduce the amount of pilot control needed. Given the likely complexity of an entry vehicle, some use of automation is likely, especially during descent and landing sequences. In spite of the benefits, cockpit automation also imposes costs, frequently expressed in the form of accidents and incidents attributed to a breakdown in coordination between the pilot and automated systems (Billings, 1997). Therefore, if automated systems are to be used, the amount and type of automation should be carefully considered (see section 11.9.2, “Automation”).
Table 5.3-1  Summary of Cockpit Design Considerations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cockpit Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Field of view – full (forward and peripheral)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crew position – head and body facing motion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruments and displays – in line with motion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls – intuitive, in line with motion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology &amp; Training</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3D audio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tactile displays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automation (phase- and task-dependent)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3.3.3  0g Effects

5.3.3.3.1  Transition from 1g to 0g

Upon orbital insertion, the sudden disappearance of certain vestibular signals can contribute to SD during head movements, as head tilts no longer generate otolith responses, leading to strong visual-vestibular sensory conflict (as well as inadequate gaze stabilization – see below). In addition, because there is no “downward” pull from gravity, the usual tactile and proprioceptive cues are not available to replace missing vestibular cues; e.g., there is no sensation on the bottoms of one’s feet to indicate standing “up,” and no seat-of-the-pants cues to indicate sitting “down.” Especially during the first day or so of a mission, SD increases the overall potential for SMS and human error in doing onboard tasks. SD has also occurred during EVA, partly due to an unexpected location of the Earth or Sun in relation to the vehicle. Some EVA crewmembers also experience the sensation of falling that in some cases can be quite disturbing (Linenger, 2000). Without the visual cues provided by the inside of the vehicle, the unloading of the otoliths is interpreted as falling. This sensation is less prominent while crewmembers are located in the concave Shuttle payload bay.

Orientation discrimination and motion perception are significantly better along the cardinal axes than along oblique axes (Howard & Templeton, 1966; Krukowski et al., 2003). Faces and printed text are difficult to recognize when tilted by more than about 60º (Corballis et al., 1978). Unfamiliar relative orientations occur often in 0g, where both the crewmember and objects in the environment have six degrees of freedom of movement, allowing an infinite number of positional relationships to occur between them. Since astronauts spend great amounts of time in 1g-oriented trainers, their mental spatial maps are likely deeply encoded for a single orientation. Unless crewmembers become adept at mentally rotating themselves and/or their environment to a more familiar relative orientation and/or develop a wider array of internal spatial maps, they may become temporarily disoriented when confronted with the abnormal perspectives they experience while in the vehicle under 0g conditions. In 0g, especially early in missions, crewmembers prefer orientations that are similar to those encountered in 1g.

Susceptibility to visual illusions increases with age because a reduction in the efficacy of vestibular function increases visual dependence (Howard & Jenkin, 2000).
Spatial navigation also can be compromised. Astronauts on the Russian Mir space station and the ISS have on occasion lost situational awareness, which would be problematic should an emergency egress be necessary.

5.3.3.3.2 Transition from 0g to 1g or Partial-g

Upon gravitational reloading, the adaptive perceptual and oculomotor changes that had occurred in response to 0g suddenly become maladaptive. In particular, on Earth, otolith signals are associated with both head tilts and translation, while in 0g, they are associated exclusively with translation. Postflight illusions of self-motion are consistent with the theory of otolith tilt-translation reinterpretation (OTTR: Parker et al., 1985; Reschke & Parker, 1987; Young, 2000), which holds that in 0g the brain learns to interpret otolith signals as indicators of translation (and not tilt), so that postflight head tilts can be misperceived as translation or tumbling, leading to both SD and SMS. These transient postflight visual-vestibular disturbances can be associated with a significant decrement in sensorimotor performance, making actual Shuttle landings, on average, half as precise as preflight landings in trainers (Paloski & Oman, 2008).

Again, spatial navigation can be compromised. For example, in some cases, lunar module pilots became momentarily disoriented with respect to lunar surface reference points during some Apollo lunar landings (Paloski & Oman, 2008). Such navigational SD could also be dangerous during an emergency in which astronauts must find their way out of the spacecraft quickly.

5.3.3.4 0g Countermeasures

*Defining a Local Vertical* – In 0g there is no “up” or “down” in terms of gravity, and defining a local vertical direction in another way can minimize SD. Defining vehicle surfaces as ceilings, floors, and walls, and maintaining consistency throughout the vehicle provides familiar visual cues to help maintain orientation (see section 9.3.3, “Orientation”).

*Preflight Adaptation Training* – Most 1g trainers allow only one orientation at a time, and require reconfiguration to different orientations. Preflight training using computer simulations of a spacecraft, especially with a full field of view, can provide exposure to any spacecraft orientation in real time and may “preadapt” astronauts before the mission to the multiple orientations they will experience in 0g (Stroud et al., 2005). If they have a motion base, such trainers could be used to expose and potentially preadapt crewmembers to some of the abnormal visual-vestibular correlations experienced in 0g. If crewmembers could learn multiple adaptation states before flight for rapid recall during flight (Welch et al., 1998), then adaptation time could be minimized along with vulnerability to SD.

*In-flight and Postflight Readaptation* – In general, orientation illusions begin immediately upon transition to or from 0g. After a 2-week mission, they gradually diminish within hours or a few days but can persist for a week or more after 3- to 6-month missions (Paloski & Oman, 2008).

5.3.4 Oculomotor Control and Active Vision

Both voluntary and reflexive eye movements are adversely affected by altered gravity, which acts to disrupt gaze stabilization and ocular tracking, and therefore reduces dynamic visual acuity (Reschke et al., 1999). More specifically, the components of the VOR that use otolith signals become inaccurate
under g-loading or g-unloading, and smooth ocular tracking, especially coordinated head-eye tracking, is compromised under 0g. High-frequency variations in g-loading (i.e., vibration) will exacerbate these visual deficits.

5.3.4.1 Acceleration and Hypergravity Effects

Sustained transverse-axis g loading has a number of significant impacts on human visuomotor performance:

- **Impaired accommodation and decreased visual acuity.** This effect is due to mechanical effects on the optics of the eye and to tearing (worse for –Gx loads). Chambers (1961) reported difficulty focusing at levels as low as +3Gx. White and Jorve (1956) found that a target needed to be twice as large to be seen at +7Gx.

- **Decreased contrast sensitivity.** Acceleration forces produce an effective dimming of visual stimuli, presumably because of reduced blood flow in the retina. Chambers and Hitchcock (1963) describe a 50% increase in the contrast needed to make threshold discriminations at +5Gx. This effect decreases at high display luminance levels.

- **Decreased field of view.** Little quantitative information exists about the effect of transverse acceleration. Chambers (1961) found some loss of peripheral visual field at +6Gx, which increased dramatically above +12Gx. For positive acceleration (+Gz), the between-subject variability is about 30% (Zarriello et al., 1958). If a similar between-subject variability exists for transverse accelerations, this would suggest that some may experience at least some decrement in peripheral vision at g-loads as low as +4Gx.

- **Increased reaction time.** Reaction time to both visual and auditory stimuli is increased during exposure to hypergravity (Canfield et al., 1949), although isolating sensory latency effects from cognitive effects and motor output delays is problematic. In a visual spatial response task described by Chambers and Hitchcock (1962; 1963), reaction times were elevated at +6Gx and some responses in mission-related tasks were elevated by more than a second. Although auditory responses are also subject to reaction-time increases, audition seems to be more robust to hypergravity than vision (i.e., audition can persist at g-levels above those that cause visual grayout or blackout).

5.3.4.2 0g Effects

5.3.4.2.1 Transition from 1g to 0g

Upon orbital insertion, all otolith contributions to tilt-compensating reflexes will disappear; thus, compensatory gains must at least be transiently decreased. The pitch and roll AVORs (which on Earth represent combined rotations and tilts with respect to gravity) show reduced gain; the OCR response will be abolished, but there is no reason to expect the yaw AVOR to change, as it functions essentially independently of the otoliths. Therefore, pitch and roll head movements will generate larger than normal retinal slip and visual-vestibular mismatch (associated with an increased probability of SMS; Lackner & Graybiel, 1985), while yaw head movements should produce little or no degradation of vision. These findings have been borne out to a large degree by space flight studies of both humans and
monkeys (Reschke et al., 1999; Clement & Reschke, 2008). Yaw head oscillations revealed little evidence of any systematic gain change during flight in humans or monkeys. However, human pitch VOR seems to be initially reduced during flight compared to upright preflight controls (Vieville et al., 1986). The roll VOR torsional response is also reduced during flight (STS-42 REF) and, as expected, the OCR disappears entirely during flight (Reschke et al., 1991).

An initially reduced pitch VOR gain seems to increase over time during flight (Vieville et al., 1986). Dynamic roll stimuli generate a large horizontal response in flight (STS-42 REF), consistent with the view that otolith signals generated during dynamic roll may be to some extent reinterpreted as IA translation.

In addition to its impact on the VOR, 0g also has an adverse impact on voluntary smooth tracking eye movements (pursuit), with the gain reduction (and associated increase in catch-up saccades) becoming more severe during combined head and eye tracking, particularly along the vertical axis (Andre-Deshays et al., 1993; Reschke et al., 1999; Moore et al., 2005). Furthermore, errors in acquiring information from instrumentation and in tasks requiring eye-head-hand coordination become more common (Reschke et al., 1999).

5.3.4.2.2 Return from 0g to 1g or partial-g

During combined head-eye active pursuit, the postflight pitch VOR gain seems to be greater than 1 (Reschke et al., 1999). Studies in both humans and monkeys generally showed a significant reduction of OCRs postflight as compared to pre-flight controls, and this reduction can last for many days (Arott & Young, 1986; Dai et al., 1994; Young & Sinha, 1998). Postflight responses to IA stimulation gave evidence for decreased torsional responses (Arott & Young, 1986; Dai et al., 1994; Clarke, 2006) and increased yaw responses (Parker et al., 1986), consistent with the OTTR hypothesis.

Thus, upon entry and landing, vision can be compromised, particularly during vertical head movements:

- **Target acquisition.** When targets (e.g., instruments) are outside of the effective oculomotor range, acquisition of the target is accomplished with both a head movement and eye movement. Acquisition of targets can be delayed by more than 1 second.

- **Pursuit tracking.** Visual pursuit of a moving target and reading can be difficult. Use of the Ball-Bar (visual ground-based final approach aid for runway landings) as a landing aid can be compromised because of space flight-induced errors in pursuit tracking.
### 5.3.4.3 Vibration Effects

**Display Vibration** – Vibration-induced lateral image motion smaller than ± 1 arcmin (about ± 0.5 point at a 24-inch viewing distance) is below human visual resolving capability (Howard, 1982). Larger amplitude vertical or horizontal image motion with low-frequency content (up to about 1 Hz) can effectively be tracked by the pursuit system, enabling slowly oscillating displays to be read when the observer is not moving, although these conditions will increase workload and the risk of motion sickness. Higher frequency oscillations (> ~2-5 Hz) above ~0.2° will cause blurring of the retinal image, negatively affecting legibility at standard font sizes, and increasing reading time, reading errors, and reading difficulty (O’Hanlon & Griffin, 1971).

**Observer Vibration** – When crewmembers are subjected to vibration, their VOR is able to stabilize images on the retina almost perfectly, compensating for head yaw rotation for frequencies up to about 12 Hz (Ramachandran & Lisberger, 2005). Despite the VOR, at +0.5Gz root mean square (RMS), there is about a threefold decrease in acuity for short viewing distances (15.5 in., which is similar to that anticipated on Orion) in the range of 5 to 20 Hz (O’Briant & Ohlbaum, 1970), suggesting that VOR compensation for head translation may be less effective above 5 Hz. The eye itself, depending on seating posture and head support, demonstrates mechanical resonance with respect to the head beginning at 20 to 70 Hz (Griffin, 1990), which will act to exacerbate blurring of the retinal image. However, at these extremely high frequencies, staying below the health and safety limit will necessarily keep vibration amplitudes low and thus minimize interference with visual function. The vulnerable range is therefore 2 to 30 Hz, which includes the expected solid rocket booster thrust oscillation vibration peak near 12 Hz during launch.

These vibration effects have implications during flight regimes such as launch, orbital engine burns, and atmospheric entry.

### 5.3.4.4 Countermeasures

**Robust Display Design** – To mitigate the impacts of vibration, g-loading, and unloading on visuomotor performance, the following generic design guidelines should be applied to any display system to be used for flight control or system monitoring by the crew during altered-g and/or high-vibration phases of the mission. These guidelines should complement the “Displays” section recommendations as they are specific to displays that will be used to support tasks under conditions where sustained acceleration exceeds 3Gx or 2Gz (TBR), or vibration exceeds 0.15g zero-to-peak in any direction (TBR).

- Visual displays should be centrally positioned, bright (> 3 ft-lamberts TBR), have high contrast (> 30% TBR), and minimize demands on accommodation (viewing distance > 49 cm TBR) as well as acuity (any symbology or text should subtend at least 0.4° of visual angle, i.e., 10-pt font at 19 inches, TBR).

- Given that auditory signals seem more resistant to g-loading, the use of auditory caution and warning tones would seem particularly well suited for conveying critical information requiring a rapid response under high-g off-nominal conditions.

**Conservative Operational Concept** – Tasks should minimize the need for head movements with pitch, roll, or translation components (i.e., tasks should not require peripheral viewing or acquisition) during or
immediately after g-transitions, especially during a transition back to a gravity-loaded condition after extended (>2–3 days) adaptation to 0g.

Periodic Centrifugation (Artificial Gravity) – During the Neurolab mission, a pre-, in-, and postflight study (Moore et al., 2003) of g forces applied along the IA axis by G, centrifugation during flight yielded both a largely normal OCR response (i.e., normal torsional eye movements, not OTTR reinterpreted yaw eye movements) and a largely normal somatogravic illusion (i.e., appropriate perceptions of tilt, not of translation). Unlike the findings in most previous studies (see above), in the Neurolab study, the postflight OCR was found to be normal. The conflict between these recent results and earlier findings suggest that that periodic g loading of 0.5g or 1.0g may provide protection against oculomotor and perceptual adaptation.

5.3.5 Hand-Eye Coordination

5.3.5.1 Acceleration and Hypergravity Effects

Reaching – Humans can perform reaching movements at levels at least up to +6Gx, but reaches are on average ~50 ms slower than at 1G (Kaehler & Meehan, 1960). Even when veteran astronauts and aviators were used as subjects, suited subjects on average exhibited a 6% reduction in forward reach displacement at 3g (18% at 4g, 32% at 5g), with 40% of subjects declining to be exposed to loads over 4g (Schafer & Bagian, 1993). Thus, at and above 3g, even with highly motivated and trained subjects, the accuracy of gross limb movements is compromised, with “fatigue and suit interference” being significant concerns. Above 2g, reaching may begin to show direction errors; propagating a 6% displacement error into the forward component of oblique reaches predicts a closed-loop direction error of about 2° (approximately a toggle-switch or edge-key width) for oblique reaches directed more than 33° away from straight ahead. Note, however, that this crude calculation underestimates open-loop errors that will affect reaches under severe time constraints (e.g., critical reaches during off-nominal conditions) or reaches made by deconditioned crewmembers.

Manual Control – Under certain conditions, humans can remain conscious for many seconds and actuate a side-arm controller using hand-wrist-thumb movements at peak levels exceeding +20Gx (Collins et al., 1958) and can even perform meaningful flight-control operations at +15Gx (Chambers, 1961; Chambers & Hitchcock, 1963), although vertigo for up to 48 hours has been reported after exposure to +15Gx for 5 seconds (Duane et al., 1953). However, even at the moderate levels of transverse g-force exposure (+3Gx to +6Gx) expected during a nominal ascent and even with side-controller interfaces, there is reason to anticipate some compromising of performance in the form of increased reaction times, decreased accuracy, and increased time-to-completion of manual reaching and control tasks. These concerns nonetheless can be mitigated or overcome; the successful Mercury, Gemini, and Apollo programs are a historic testament to that fact.

Chambers (1961) and Chambers and Hitchcock (1962, 1963) examined tracking and flight-control performance under Mercury-like conditions with a number of candidate multi-axis hand-wrist side controllers under a range of transverse g-loads. At +6Gx, they found a small but objective decrement in performance for all candidate controllers that averaged ~25% compared to 1g. The magnitude of the decrement was dramatically different for the various candidate controllers, with some becoming completely inadequate at +6Gx. For example, a threefold difference across the five controllers occurred in the graphed data for the total integrated roll error. Interestingly, the subjective self-assessment of performance did not seem to correlate well with the objective performance data. The take-home
message from these investigations is that, although many two- to four-axis side controllers (and associated toe pedals) can support adequate performance above +4G_x, the specific controller design matters greatly.

Under Apollo-like conditions, pilots who were asked to maintain a constant g level during entry simulations of essentially a 1-dimensional roll control task showed no effect of G_x loading at 3g in either pilot subjective workload ratings or in objective measures of control error (Wingrove et al., 1964). At and above 4g, G_x loading had increasing adverse effects, with about a ½-point increase in the Cooper-Harper rating and about a 25% increase in control error at 6g, such that pilots generated oscillations that could exceed ±0.5g at about 0.03 Hz during +6G_x runs. Similarly, subjective and objective performance in a 3D flight control task deteriorated markedly at levels greater than 4g when pilots were controlling a lightly damped spacecraft, such that “an increase in spacecraft dynamic stability was required with increases in the magnitude of the acceleration” (Creer et al., 1960). Furthermore, in a subjective comparison of controller interfaces, there was a unanimous preference for two-axis hand controllers for pitch and roll control combined with a toe pedal for yaw control, as this configuration (and not the three-axis side controller) was fully satisfactory under well-damped, moderately cross-coupled conditions, as well as acceptable under lightly damped and heavily cross-coupled conditions.

5.3.5.2 0g Effects

5.3.5.2.1 Transition from 1g to 0g

Reaching – Because of the sudden off-loading of the arm, rapid reaches will initially tend to be high, but this will likely adapt quickly, given visual and proprioceptive feedback (Cohen, 1970; Cohen & Welch, 1992).

Manual Control – One would not anticipate any significant impact on manual control as long as the arm is properly stabilized and supported.

5.3.5.2.2 Return from 0g to 1g

Reaching – Because of the sudden reloading of the arm, rapid reaches will initially tend to be low and require increased effort, but the crew will likely readapt quickly with visual and proprioceptive feedback (Cohen, 1970; Cohen & Welch, 1992).

Manual Control – Although one would not anticipate any specific impact on manual control as long as the arm is properly stabilized and supported, manual control will be vulnerable to any SD and gaze instability experienced on the reloading of a space-adapted vestibular system (see 5.2.3.3 above). More specifically, illusions of self-translation induced by head movements may adversely influence control inputs during entry. For Space Shuttle landings, there is a correlation between landing quality and postflight clinical vestibular assessment (McCluskey et al., 2001).

5.3.5.3 Vibration Effects

Vibration has adverse consequences on human hand-eye coordination, largely because of its effects on gaze stabilization and vision (see section 7.7, “Vibration,” and 5.4.12.2 above) but also because of its direct impact on motor control. Specifically, proper limb restraint or support is critical for enabling
reliable performance and minimizing inadvertent control inputs. Furthermore, because of changes in biomechanical impedance, the impact of vibration interacts nonlinearly (i.e., unpredictably) with g loading. At +3.5G<sub>x</sub>, vibration levels exceeding 0.14g RMS begin to adversely affect performance (Vykukal & Dolkas, 1966). Above 0.3g RMS, only coarse actions (e.g., switch activation not under visual control) are reliable, and above 0.5g RMS manual control is severely degraded, with potentially safety-compromising after-effects lasting minutes after the exposure.

### 5.3.5.4 Countermeasures

**Robust Controller Design** – To mitigate the impacts of g-loading and unloading on hand-eye coordination, the following generic design guidelines should be applied to any interface to be used for flight control or system monitoring by the crew during high-g or high-vibration phases of the mission. These nominal guidelines should complement the “Controls” section recommendations (11.5) as they are specific to controls that will be used to support tasks under conditions where sustained acceleration exceeds 3G<sub>x</sub> or 2G<sub>z</sub> (TBR) or vibration exceeds 0.15g zero-to-peak in any direction (TBR).

- Hand-wrist-finger actuators or toe pedals should be used for all crew operations. Although safe and effective reaches are possible from 2G<sub>x</sub> to 3G<sub>x</sub>, the target display edge keys or switches should be positioned within a central 30° cone to minimize errors and effort.
- For manual control at or above 3G<sub>x</sub> or 2G<sub>z</sub>, the dimensionality and difficulty of the control task should be minimized, and automated stability augmentation should be provided.
- Proper limb support and/or restraint must be provided to support accurate control and minimize inadvertent inputs.

**Robust Operational Concepts** – Reaches should be confined to a central 30° region for sustained acceleration levels above 2g and should not be used for nominal tasks during sustained g loading above 2g. At vibration levels above 0.5g zero-to-peak (TBR), only simple non-visual binary motor tasks (e.g., button pushes) should be planned.

**Periodic Centrifugation (Artificial Gravity)** – Periodic g loading of 0.5g or 1.0g seems to protect against oculomotor and perceptual adaptation (Moore et al., 2003); thus, it may also protect against long-term adaptation of hand-eye coordination to 0g, with respect to both neurovestibular and musculoskeletal functioning.

### 5.3.6 Balance and Locomotion

#### 5.3.6.1 Postflight Effects

Vestibular and tactile responses as well as muscle strength and reflexes are affected by 0g and, on return to Earth, these effects can lead to problems with balance and locomotion, which are made worse by cardiovascular deconditioning (see section 5.2.4.1) and gaze stabilization problems (see above and Bloomberg and Mulavara, 2003). After long-duration flight these changes can persist for many days. Changes in balance and locomotor control have implications for the design of ladders and steps used to egress landing vehicles, and for the distance required to walk from a landing spacecraft to a habitation module or safe area.
*Neural Adaptation* – Just like the vestibuloocular reflexes, the vestibulospinal reflexes (see above), which are critical for making rapid automatic postural adjustments during locomotion on Earth, also adapt to weightlessness (Paloski, 1998). Thus, on return to Earth, astronauts experience varying degrees of ataxia, postural instability, and locomotor dysfunction, especially under impoverished visual conditions (Paloski & Reschke, 1999; Bloomberg et al., 1999).

*Muscular Deconditioning* – Astronauts can lose up to 20% of their muscle mass on short-duration missions, and as much as 50% on long-duration missions, primarily in the postural muscles of the legs and back, if countermeasures are not used (Clement, 2003). After landing, this can affect both balance and locomotion, which are important for safe and rapid spacecraft egress. It has been observed that astronauts who have been on flight missions for less than 20 days require from 2 to 4 days to recover to their preflight balance performance, whereas crewmembers on longer, multi-month missions, such as those on the ISS and *Mir*, have taken 3 to 7 days.

5.3.6.2 Countermeasures

RESERVED

5.3.7 Research Needs

The following gaps in our critical knowledge about the impact of space flight have been identified:

- *Effects of g-loading and vibration in isolation and in combination on visual performance, gaze stabilization, and manual control*

- *Computational modeling of human performance limits in aerospace visuomotor tasks related to gaze stabilization, visual function, cognitive processing, and motor control in support of optimal space flight interface design*

An extensive discussion of these gaps can be found in Paloski and Oman (2008).
5.4 VISUAL PERCEPTION

5.4.1 Introduction

The visual sense is our primary means of collecting information from the world around us: both information that is formatted for us by other humans and information that resides in the objects, events, and environments that surround us. Astronauts rely on the visual sense to perform every aspect of their missions, including reading text, scanning instruments, observing their environment, executing tasks, and communicating with other crewmembers. It is therefore appropriate to construct human factors standards that take into account the capacities and limitations of the human visual sense. Those standards are likely to apply to visual displays and communication systems, to visual aids such as visors and viewing aids, as well as to the design of tasks that involve vision.

The purpose of this section is to provide a brief compendium of the key attributes of human visual sensitivity. In addition, where possible, these capacities will be related to the unique conditions or demands of human space flight. This section serves in part as a point of reference for other chapters in this handbook, such as “Visual Displays” and “Lighting,” that address visual issues.

In the interests of brevity, only the most fundamental and well-established facts about human vision are dealt with here. The section is also restricted largely to functional aspects of the visual process, rather than the optical, physiological, anatomical, or neurological substrates.

5.4.2 The Eye and Visual Brain

Vision begins with light entering the eye, where it is shaped by the visual optics into an image on the retina, a tissue covering the back of the eye and composed of layers of photoreceptors and associated visual neurons. The photoreceptors are either rods, which are responsible for vision at low light levels (scotopic vision), or cones, which respond at higher light levels (photopic vision). There is a significant luminance range (mesopic vision) in which both rods and cones are active (see Figure 5.4-4). The different wavelength selectivities of the three types of cone underlie our perception of color.

Light is absorbed by photopigments in the photoreceptors, converted to electrical signals, and conveyed via various intermediary neurons to the optic nerve and thence to the lateral geniculate nucleus, and onward to the visual cortex. The visual cortex consists of numerous distinct areas, all located toward the rear of the brain, that seem to be specialized for various visual processing tasks. Together these areas contain several billion neurons and comprise a substantial fraction of the entire brain.

5.4.3 The Visual Stimulus

There is no unique or best way to characterize the stimulus for vision. It ultimately consists of the shower of photons falling on the two eyes, but this may be characterized in terms of reflective objects and illuminants, or wavefronts, or retinal images, depending on the purpose of the characterization. Here the perspective is drawn from the laboratory study of vision and is suited to description of flat imaging displays. The stimulus is considered to be a distribution of radiant intensity ($I$, radiance) in the direction of the eye over time ($t$), wavelength ($\lambda$) and two dimensions ($x$, $y$) of space, and a final dimension of eye ($e$, left or right). This can be written as a function: $I(x, y, t, \lambda, e)$.

The two dimensions of space, $x$ and $y$, are conceived as the horizontal and vertical dimensions of an image, and their dimensions are measured in degrees of visual angle. Visual angle is the angle subtended by an object or image element at a specific distance from the eye. A standard formula for the angle
subtended by an object of height \( H \) viewed on a surface orthogonal to the line of sight from distance \( D \) to the center of the object is

\[
\alpha = 2 \tan^{-1} \frac{H}{2D}
\]  

(1)

Visual angle is usually reported in degrees, minutes (60 arcmin = 1°), or seconds (60 arcsec = 1 arcmin), or in radians (2 Pi rad = 360°) or milliradians (1000 mrad = 1 rad). As an example, from Earth, the Moon subtends a visual angle of about 36 arcmin. The small angle approximation to equation (1) is

\[
\alpha = K \frac{H}{D}
\]  

(1a)

\( K \) is 1 for radians, 1000 for mrad, 180/pi for degrees, 60*180/pi for arcmin, and 3600*180/pi for arcsec. If the above example had been computed with (1) and was recomputed with (1a), the result would be 36.0003 arcmin. The result for 10° is 10.026.

In the following sections, each of these dimensions is examined in turn. The above stimulus expression is often abbreviated. For example, in the discussion of spatial sensitivity, it is common to consider a luminance image, in which time, wavelength, and eye distributions are constant and are omitted, yielding an expression \( L(x,y) \). The luminance image itself is often usefully converted into a contrast image, by subtracting and then dividing by a mean adapting luminance, \( L_0 \),

\[
C(x,y) = \frac{L(x,y) - L_0}{L_0}
\]  

(2)

In this way, the image is converted into signed fractional differences from the mean. In the section on Light Adaptation below, this representation is a better description of the visual intensity of the image.

### 5.4.4 Thresholds and Sensitivity

In the following description of human vision, the primary concern is with the limits of vision: distinguishing between things that can be seen and those that cannot be seen. These limits will be specified in terms of the dimensions introduced above: primarily space, time, and wavelength. The boundary between visible and invisible is sometimes described as a Window of Visibility, through which we see the world (Watson et al., 1986). To define this boundary, the concept of the visual threshold is needed. Consider a visual target, for example a circular disk 1° in diameter with a duration of 100 milliseconds (ms) and some specified contrast on a steady uniform background. At a very low contrast, the target will be invisible; at a high contrast, it will be highly visible. Between those two extremes is a contrast at which the target is just visible, and this is called the contrast threshold. In practice, the threshold is determined in an experiment in which the target is presented many times at various contrasts, and a psychometric function (or “frequency of seeing curve”) is constructed that describes the probability of a correct detection as a function of target contrast. This is illustrated in Figure 5.4-1. The psychometric function separates the visible from the invisible, but because of variability in human judgments, this transition is not abrupt but gradual. To specify the location of this transition with a single number, the contrast \( T \) at which this curve reaches a specified probability (in this case \( P = 0.632 \)), is defined as the threshold. Thus, in the example, if the target were detected 63% of the time at a contrast of 0.2, then the threshold would be \( T = 0.2 \). Further details on rationale and methods of threshold measurement are available (Farell & Pelli, 1999; Sekuler & Blake, 2006).
Figure 5.4-1. Threshold and the psychometric function.

Since $T$ is the smallest amount of contrast that can be seen, it is also called the Just Noticeable Difference, or JND. Multiples of this quantity are said to be in units of JND. The inverse of the threshold, $S = 1/T$, is defined as the contrast sensitivity. A large contrast threshold corresponds to a small sensitivity, and vice versa.

In the remainder of this section, how threshold varies as a function of one dimension of the stimulus will often be considered. This is a useful and informative approach, but it should always be understood that the threshold is a consequence of all of the dimensions and attributes of the target. Thus threshold may be measured as a function of the size of the target, while keeping the duration fixed. But the results may differ if a new fixed duration is used. One challenge for modern vision science has been to integrate results from the various dimensions, and their interactions, into a general model of visual sensitivity.

The example of contrast threshold has been used here, but the same principles can be used to measure thresholds for other dimensions.

Since visual thresholds lie at the extremes of our visual experience, and since most of our productive visual experience occurs well above threshold, it could be asked, “Why study or care about thresholds?” One answer is that thresholds have proven extraordinarily valuable in uncovering the mechanisms of visual perception. Nearly all of what is known about human vision was at least initially discovered by this means, though much has been confirmed and elaborated by physiological methods.

But the more relevant answer here is that visual performance is remarkably uniform above threshold. In many aspects of visual performance, performance rises rapidly just above threshold, but then quickly reaches a plateau beyond which improvements are small or nonexistent. To take one example, reading rate increases rapidly as size or contrast just exceeds threshold, but then it remains constant at suprathreshold levels (Legge et al., 1987). Once again, the Window of Visibility provides a useful metaphor: outside the margins of the window, nothing can be seen, but within, all is about equally visible. Thus, from a performance point of view, it is important to use thresholds to demarcate the boundaries of this window.

5.4.5 Visual Optics

The optical components of the eye that are of greatest functional significance are the cornea, the lens, and the pupil. The cornea, the outermost optical element, is responsible for the bulk of the focusing
power (about 40 diopters), while the lens performs a fine-tuning through a range of about 20 ± 8 diopters. The focus adjustment by the lens is known as accommodation. The ability to accommodate declines with age, and is essentially absent beyond the age of 50.

5.4.5.1 Refractive Errors

In a so-called emmetropic observer, an object at infinity is in focus on the retina; otherwise, refractive errors are said to exist. These are divided into myopia (focus in front of the retina), hyperopia (focus behind the retina), and astigmatism (different focus at different orientations). All of these spherical and cylindrical errors can be corrected with spectacle lenses.

5.4.5.2 Wavefront Aberrations

Perfect vision, in an optical sense, consists of a wavefront that is zero over the entire pupil area. Since this does not occur in practice, a question arises about the visual effect of any aberrations. In recent years, it has become common to represent the optical state of an eye in terms of its wavefront aberration function, defined over the pupil area, describing the departure of the optical wavefront from the appropriate spherical surface. This function is usually represented by a set of mathematical functions known as Zernike polynomials (Thibos et al., 2002). In this formulation, defocus and astigmatism, which yield the refractive errors described above, are low-order aberrations; other more complex optical defects are high-order aberrations. High-order aberrations cannot be corrected with spectacles, though efforts to do so with refractive surgery and contact lenses are ongoing. With the Zernike formulation, one can mathematically describe an eye with specified defects, such as defocus, astigmatism, and higher-order aberrations, and can predict the image that would be formed on the retina by an object in view. Recently models have shown how letter acuity can be predicted from arbitrary wavefront aberrations (Watson & Ahumada, 2008).

Because image formation is a linear process, the wavefront aberration function can also be converted into a point-spread function or an optical transfer function, either of which can be used to render a retinal image from an object image. In a later section, how the optical transfer function contributes to the overall contrast sensitivity function of the human observer will be discussed.

5.4.5.3 Pupillary Reflex

The pupil is the aperture through which light passes, and its diameter, which covaries with ambient illumination through what is called the pupillary reflex, influences both the amount of light admitted and the ultimate degree of focus that can be obtained. Normal pupil diameters range from 1.5 to 8 millimeters, and are generally smaller in older individuals. The effects of light level and age on pupil diameter are shown in Figure 5.4-2.
5.4.5.4 Light Scatter

Another optical phenomenon with practical consequences is light scatter. This occurs when light entering the eye is dispersed broadly or uniformly over the retina. Scatter reduces the retinal contrast of targets. Scatter increases with age, and with conditions such as cataracts. Light scatter is responsible for glare, as will be discussed below. Estimates of the fraction of light scattered beyond the conventional point spread range from 0.1 in young eyes to 0.5 in older eyes (Westheimer & Liang, 1995).

5.4.6 Sensitivity vs. Wavelength

Sensitivity of the eye to light is confined to a range of wavelengths from about 380 to 780 nanometers. The variations in sensitivity may be measured and depicted in various ways, but here they are defined by the Commission Internationale de l’Éclairage photopic and scotopic luminosity functions, $V(\lambda)$ and $V'(\lambda)$, as shown in Figure 5.4-3. These functions are expressed in normalized form, and show the relative effectiveness of light of various wavelengths. The scotopic function is appropriate for rod vision or dim illumination, and the photopic function is appropriate for cone vision or medium to bright illumination.
Most light sources are not monochromatic, but rather consist of a distribution of energy over wavelength, which is written here as $I(\lambda)$ and is specified in units of radiance (watts per steradian per square meter, $\text{W}\cdot\text{sr}^{-1}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$). The effectiveness of such a light in conveying a sense of brightness is determined by the integral of the energy distribution, weighted by the luminosity function. This is formalized in the concept of luminance, defined as

$$L = K_m \int I(\lambda)V(\lambda) d\lambda$$

where $K_m$ is a constant equal to 683 lumens per watt ($\text{lm}\cdot\text{W}^{-1}$), and the integral is taken over the range of visible wavelengths. The Système international d’unités (SI) unit for luminance is candela per square meter ($\text{cd}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$). Luminance may be distributed over other dimensions, such as space and time, as noted above in discussion of the visual stimulus. A range of other photometric units related to luminance exist, and the reader is referred to a standard reference for further information (Rea, 2000).

Another photometric unit that is widely used in the study of vision is the troland (abbreviated Td). This is a measure of retinal illuminance, and takes into account the amount of light admitted to the eye by the pupil. The trolands ($T$) for a luminance ($L$) in $\text{cd}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$ and a pupil area of $P$ mm$^2$ is given by

$$T = L \cdot P$$

To convert psychological experiment data from trolands to luminance, it would be useful to have a graph of luminance as a function of trolands. This could be made for each age from Figure 5.4-3, or one can use the graph in Wyszecki & Stiles (1982).

5.4.7 Light Adaptation

The human visual system operates over a range of about 13 log units of light intensity. However, at any one time, it is sensitive to a much smaller range of about 2 log units; light adaptation shifts this window of sensitivity to the prevailing ambient level (Hood & Finkelstein, 1986). Values indicate $\log_{10}\text{cd}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$.
The red box indicates the extent of momentary sensitivity. Adapted from several published works (Hood & Finkelstein, 1986; Kaiser & Ahumada, 2008; Parker & West, 1973).

Figure 5.4-4 shows the complete range along with this much smaller sliding window of sensitivity. Sensitivity is governed to a small extent (about a factor of 101) by the pupil, which regulates the total amount of light reaching the retina. The larger effects (around 1010) are accomplished by photopigment and neural reactions. Because these latter effects are local, the eye may be differently adapted in different parts of the visual field.

As a simplification, light adaptation is often divided into so-called steady-state and transient regimes. The steady state refers to an eye that has been allowed to adapt to a temporally and spatially uniform luminance for an appropriate amount of time. Transient adaptation refers to changes in the state of adaptation that follow a change in the adapting luminance. The dynamics of transient adaptation are usually separated into light adaptation—a relatively rapid response to an increase in light—and dark adaptation, a much slower response to a reduction in light. Light adaptation may be essentially complete in several seconds, while complete dark adaptation from a bright adapting level may take as much as 10 minutes (Hood & Finkelstein, 1986).

The sensitivity of the observer is determined by the distribution of light over space and time, which in turn is determined by the luminance of objects in the operating environment, including displays, and the pattern and duration of eye fixations on those objects. Steady-state adaptation is an important factor affecting display luminance and contrast requirements as well as the effective distribution and coding of gray levels in displayed images. Transient adaptation has important implications for the visibility of information in dynamic and complex illumination environments. Transient visual adaptation can have profound effects on the time course of display visibility and thus on luminance and contrast requirements for displays operated in dynamic viewing environments such as aircraft cockpits (Krantz et al., 1992; Silverstein, 2003; Silverstein & Merrifield, 1985).

To a first approximation, the effect of steady-state light adaptation is to convert luminance into contrast. With increasing light levels, the gain of the visual system is reduced almost in proportion, so that luminance detection thresholds remain a nearly constant fraction of the adapting luminance, that is, a constant luminance contrast. This gain change occurs separately in both rod (scotopic) and cone (photopic) systems. Increasing light levels also yield increases in spatial and temporal resolution, as will be discussed below.
Values indicate log10cd·m⁻². The red box indicates the extent of momentary sensitivity. Adapted from several published works (Hood & Finkelstein, 1986; Kaiser & Ahumada, 2008; Parker & West, 1973).

Figure 5.4-4. Luminance range over which human vision operates.

### 5.4.8  Spatial Sensitivity

Spatial sensitivity describes the ability of an observer to detect or discriminate spatial patterns. Here patterns contained in static monocular luminance images are generally considered. Spatial sensitivity is traditionally characterized in three ways: by spatial summation, by acuity, and by the contrast sensitivity function. From a modern viewpoint, all of these are different manifestations of the same thing, with the last being the most general, but each has a tradition and may be useful in particular contexts, so each is
treated in turn. A more extensive review of human spatial sensitivity may be found in Olzak and Thomas (Olzak & Thomas, 1986).

5.4.8.1 Threshold vs Size

In general, thresholds for small circular disk targets against a uniform background decline in proportion to area, so that the product of contrast (or luminance) and area is constant. This is known as Ricco’s Law, and it suggests complete summation of light energy within a small area. This complete summation occurs only up to a critical size, which varies with light level and other parameters. For brief peripheral flashes in the dark-adapted eye, using rod vision, the critical diameter is about 1°, and the threshold at that size is about –5 log cd·m⁻² (Hood & Finkelstein, 1986). The critical diameter is reduced by increases in background light level or duration. For short duration (8.5 ms) on a bright background, it is about 0.4°, and for long durations (930 ms) on a bright background, it is about 0.2° (Barlow, 1958). Beyond the critical diameter, thresholds continue to decrease with size, but at a slower rate, especially for long durations or bright backgrounds.

Figure 5.4-5 shows data for disk targets on various background light levels (Blackwell, 1946). In this experiment, observers scanned a background 10° in diameter to locate the target at one of eight possible known positions. The total exposure duration was 6 seconds. Of the eight light levels shown, only the three brightest, at the bottom of the figure, are photopic. Note that at these brightest levels (which are not particularly bright) the curves begin to converge. This illustrates that, at photopic levels, contrast thresholds are nearly constant with light level, as noted above in the discussion of light adaptation. On these photopic backgrounds, the lowest threshold is 0.0077, or just under 1% contrast, for a target of 2° diameter.

Figure 5.4-5. Contrast threshold as a function of target diameter.
The target was a circular disk on a uniform background of the indicated luminance in \( \log_{10}{\text{cd} \cdot \text{m}^{-2}} \). The horizontal axis is scaled by a factor of two so that Ricco’s Law is indicated by a line at \(-45^\circ\), as shown by the gray lines extending from the first data point. Data from Blackwell (Blackwell, 1946).

### 5.4.8.2 Acuity

Acuity refers to the smallest size pattern that can be identified. In practice, acuity is measured as the smallest size of a set of specific patterns (optotypes) that can be identified with some specified probability, at a specific distance. Often both near (0.4 m) and far (6 m) acuities are measured, though the latter is more common. There is a wide range of optotype sets, as well as specific testing and scoring methods. Two example optotypes are shown in Figure 5.4-6. The Sloan letters are a set of 10 letters in whose standard size the individual strokes are all 1 arcmin wide, and the total height and width are 5 arcmin (NAS-NRC, 1980). Acuity is defined as the smallest letter size \( M \) arcmin that can be identified 50% of the time, relative to the standard size of 5 arcmin. The Landolt ring (or Landolt C) is actually the same as the Sloan C, and consists of a ring with a gap of 1 arcmin at the standard size. The observer is asked to identify the location of the gap, which may occur at any of four positions (top, bottom, left, right).

![Figure 5.4-6. Example acuity targets.](image)

On the left is a Sloan letter, on the right is a Landolt ring. The red gridlines are provided to show size and geometry. At the standard size, the gridlines are 1 arcmin apart.

Acuity may be reported as the decimal value of the required magnification, that is, the ratio of the threshold size to the standard size \( M/5 \). Often this score is reported as logMAR, given by the \( \log_{10} \) of the decimal acuity. The traditional measures such as 20/40 refer to the testing distance (numerator) and the product of the magnification and the testing distance (denominator). So-called 20/20 acuity corresponds to logMAR = 0. Actual mean logMAR acuity for young adults is about \(-0.1\) (Ohlsson & Villarreal, 2005).

Acuity depends weakly on light level. As shown in The horizontal and vertical scales are such that equal logarithmic changes span equal distances. Data from Rabin (Rabin, 1994).

Figure 5.4-7, once photopic levels are reached, further increases in light level produce only modest improvements in acuity. Acuity also declines somewhat with age (see below), and declines strongly with distance from the fovea.

![Figure 5.4-7. Acuity vs. light level.](image)

The horizontal and vertical scales are such that equal logarithmic changes span equal distances. Data from Rabin (Rabin, 1994).
Spatial Contrast Sensitivity Function

Spatial summation (threshold vs. size) measures the smallest amount of contrast that can be detected in a large, uniform target, while acuity measures the smallest high-contrast target that can be distinguished. A more general measure of spatial sensitivity that can encompass both of the previous metrics is the spatial contrast sensitivity function (SCSF). The SCSF specifies the contrast required by a human observer to detect a so-called grating target: a sinusoidal modulation of contrast in one spatial dimension. To a first approximation, early visual processing of the spatial image may be regarded as a filtering operation, and the SCSF provides an approximation to the modulation transfer function (MTF) of the filter.

Several examples of sinusoidal gratings are shown in a) 2 cycles/image, 0.5 contrast, b) 2 cycles/image, 1.0 contrast, c) 8 cycles/image, 1.0 contrast. Figure 5.4-8. These examples vary in spatial frequency, specified by the number of spatial cycles per degree of visual angle, and the contrast. Measurement of the SCSF consists of a determination, for each of a number of spatial frequencies, of the minimum contrast required for detection.

Example data are shown in Figure 5.4-9 (van Nes & Bouman, 1967). They illustrate a number of the fundamental features of the spatial contrast sensitivity function. Consider first the overall shape of the uppermost curve, obtained for patterns superimposed on a relatively bright background. The curve is bandpass in shape, with a contrast sensitivity peak of about 2.7 log units (about 500) at around 4 to 8 cycles/degree. At high frequencies, there is a rapid decline, approaching a minimum (log sensitivity = 0) at around 50 to 60 cycles/degree. There is also a more modest decline in sensitivity at frequencies below the peak.

In intuitive terms, the peak value of the function indicates the smallest contrast that can be detected by vision. Under optimal conditions of measurement (high luminance, large grating, static presentation, long duration, young observer), this is about 0.002. The frequency at which the peak occurs (around 4 cycles/degree or a stripe width of 0.125°) indicates the size of the image element to which the observer is most sensitive. The high frequency limit, at around 60 cycles/degree (a stripe width of 0.5 arcmin), indicates the smallest size of image element that can be detected.

The separate curves show that as light level increases, contrast sensitivity increases. However, it should be noted that this data covers a very wide range of light levels: only the uppermost three curves lie in the photopic range, and in that range, the increase in sensitivity with light level is much smaller. A second change with adapting level is a shift toward higher spatial frequencies, showing that as light level increases, resolution increases. And with increases in light level, there is also a change in shape from low-pass to bandpass, as the attenuation at low frequencies emerges.
The SCSF has also been shown to depend on the size of the grating (Carlson, 1982), the location of the grating in the visual field (Koenderink et al., 1978; Robson & Graham, 1981a; Rovamo et al., 1978), and the temporal frequency of the grating. In the last case, the grating is not presented statically, but its contrast is modulated sinusoidally over time. This yields results like those shown in Figure (Robson, 1966). For the slowest rate of modulation (1 Hz), the results are similar to those for static targets. For higher rates, sensitivity declines and the overall curve becomes more low-pass. It is possible to combine spatial and temporal contrast sensitivity functions to create a spatio-temporal contrast sensitivity function (STCSF).

Figure 5.4-9. Contrast sensitivity functions for various light adaptation levels (van Nes & Bouman, 1967).
Eccentricity (distance from the point of fixation) has a profound effect on spatial contrast sensitivity (Koenderink et al., 1978; Robson & Graham, 1981a; Rovamo et al., 1978). To localize the target, while avoiding the complicating effects of sharp edges, it has been found useful to use Gabor patterns, which are the product of a Gaussian distribution (which governs the size) and a sinusoid. The curves are for different spatial frequencies, as indicated by the key (h and v indicate horizontal and vertical) (Robson & Graham, 1981b).

Figure 5.4-11 shows the sensitivity to Gabor patterns as a function of spatial frequency and eccentricity. Eccentricity is plotted in cycles of the Gabor spatial frequency, and all of the curves can be seen to decline at about the same rate. The gray line shows a decline of 1/40 log units per cycle. This means that high spatial frequencies fall in sensitivity much more rapidly than do low frequencies. For example, to decline by a 2, any frequency must be moved about 12 cycles away from fixation. For 24 cycles/degree, this is only 0.5 degree, while for 3 cycles/degree, it is 4 degrees.

The curves are for different spatial frequencies, as indicated by the key (h and v indicate horizontal and vertical) (Robson & Graham, 1981b).

**Figure 5.4-11. Contrast sensitivity for Gabor patterns as a function of eccentricity in cycles.**
5.4.8.4 Masking

Visual sensitivity to spatial patterns can be markedly reduced by the presence of other patterns. This phenomenon is known as visual masking (Legge & Foley, 1980; Watson & Solomon, 1997). The strongest masking occurs when the mask is superimposed on the target. In general, masking increases as the contrast of the mask increases and as the similarity in frequency and orientation, and degree of superposition of test and mask increase. When the mask is noise or a superimposed grating, masks are effective only if they are within about 1 octave in spatial frequencies of the target.

A related phenomenon is crowding, in which nearby patterns can greatly reduce the recognizability of a target pattern (Pelli et al., 2007). Crowding is primarily or exclusively a phenomenon of peripheral vision, but may have strong implications for design of displays.

5.4.8.5 Models

A number of mathematical formulas have been constructed that compute contrast sensitivity functions for parameters such as light level, grating size, and peripheral location (Barten, 1992; Rohaly & Owsley, 1993). However, a primary motive for use of the SCSF is to enable predictions of visibility for arbitrary spatial patterns, and these formulas fall short of this goal. Subsequent models provide methods to compute detection thresholds for arbitrary non-grating targets (Daly, 1993; Lubin, 1993), but these models are complex and as a result may be cumbersome to use.

More recently, a simple computational algorithm has been developed that predicts contrast thresholds for arbitrary foveal contrast patterns. This Spatial Standard Observer (SSO) incorporates a filter based on the SCSF, as shown in Figure 5.4-12 (Watson & Ahumada, 2005). The SSO may be used in a variety of practical applications such as design of displays.

![Figure 5.4-12. Spatial contrast sensitivity filter of the Spatial Standard Observer.](image)

The 2D filter on the right incorporates both the one-dimensional filter on the left and an effect of orientation (Watson & Ahumada, 2005).

5.4.8.6 Effects of Age

Both contrast sensitivity and acuity decline with age in adults. In the case of contrast sensitivity, the decline is most pronounced at medium and high spatial frequencies, and absent at the lowest frequencies. From the 20s to the 80s, in the absence of obvious ocular pathology, contrast sensitivity at 16 cycles/degree declines by about 0.1 log unit per decade, while logMAR acuity declines by about 0.07 log unit per decade (Owsley et al., 1983). From ages 65 to 85, acuity declines about 0.09 log units per
decade, and peak contrast sensitivity declines about 0.11 log unit per decade (Rubin et al., 1997). Thus from the peak of sensitivity in the 20s, by the 80s both acuity and peak sensitivity may have declined by as much as 55% to 70%.

5.4.8.7 Summary

- For moderate-size targets on moderate photopic backgrounds, contrast threshold is around 0.01.
- Minimum contrast threshold is around 0.002.
- Normal logMAR acuity in young observers is around −0.1.
- The spatial contrast sensitivity function (SCSF) provides a general description of visual spatial sensitivity.
- The most visible spatial frequency is approximately 4 cycles/degree.
- The upper limit of contrast sensitivity is about 60 cycles/degree.
- Contrast sensitivity and acuity increase with adapting light level, but are largely unaffected at photopic levels.
- Contrast sensitivity is strongly affected by temporal modulation.
- Contrast sensitivity declines with eccentricity at about 1/40 log unit per cycle of spatial frequency.
- Acuity and contrast sensitivity in adults decline with age by 0.07-0.11 log unit per decade.

5.4.9 Temporal Sensitivity

5.4.9.1 Definition

The stimulus for vision is distributed over time, and the nature of that distribution can affect the visibility and appearance of the stimulus (Watson, 1986). Temporal sensitivity is relevant to any source of visual information that is brief or rapidly changing. A commonplace but important example is the apparently smoothly changing brightness of stroboscopic displays such as film and television.

Analogous to spatial sensitivity, three types of measurements have been used to characterize temporal sensitivity. These are temporal summation, critical fusion frequency, and the temporal contrast sensitivity function.

5.4.9.2 Temporal Summation

Temporal summation describes how light or contrast is summed over time. It is usually measured by varying the duration of a rectangular time pulse of light, and measuring the threshold at each duration. The spatial pattern is fixed, but as will be noted below, the results depend somewhat on the nature of the spatial target.

The gray line at lower left illustrates a slope of −1, characteristic of Bloch’s Law.

Figure 5.4-13 shows threshold as a function of duration for light increments to a disk target of the specified background level and 1° in diameter (Roufs, 1972). Here background refers to the brightness of the disk before and after the increment; the spatial area outside the disk (the surround) was dark. On a bright photopic background, contrast thresholds decline approximately in proportion to duration, up to a duration of about 30 ms. This reciprocity between contrast and duration is called Bloch’s Law, and the point at which it ceases to hold is called the critical duration. For dimmer backgrounds, the critical duration is longer, approaching 100 ms for the dimmest photopic backgrounds. Similar results have been
found for peripheral targets, and for foveal grating targets. A more extensive review of this literature is available (Watson, 1986).

The gray line at lower left illustrates a slope of $-1$, characteristic of Bloch’s Law.

**Figure 5.4-13. Contrast threshold for a 1° disk as a function of duration on various background light levels (Roufs, 1972).**

Beyond the critical duration, thresholds seem to follow one of two patterns. For targets that are large or have low spatial frequency, threshold remains approximately constant regardless of duration, or may even rise slightly (see The gray line at lower left illustrates a slope of $-1$, characteristic of Bloch’s Law. Figure 5.4-13). For targets that are very small or have high spatial frequency, thresholds continue to decline with duration, but at a more gradual rate.

Bloch’s Law is consistent with perfect integration of the signal over time, and its prevalence has led to shorthand statements that “the eye integrates over a period of 100 ms.” However, measurement of thresholds for pairs of brief pulses shows this is not strictly correct. Pairs of pulses with opposite signs can be more visible than pairs with the same sign, suggesting differentiating effects as well. To accommodate both integrative and differentiating effects, it is useful to consider a more general time filter, which leads to consideration of the temporal contrast sensitivity function.

### 5.4.9.3 Temporal Contrast Sensitivity Function

A more general approach is provided by the temporal contrast sensitivity function (TCSF), which describes the contrast threshold for sinusoidal temporal modulations of various temporal frequencies (De Lange, 1958). Examples of the TCSF for various retinal illuminances are shown in Figure 5.4-14. This figure is strikingly similar to the comparable data for spatial contrast sensitivity (Figure 5.4-10), with hertz exchanged for cycles/degree. At photopic levels ($\geq 100$ Td), the curve is bandpass, with pronounced falloff at both high and low temporal frequencies. Sensitivity depends strongly on light level over the full range of levels, but only slightly at photopic levels. The peak sensitivity here is around 200 Td, though this will depend on the other dimensions of the target, especially the spatial dimensions.
Critical Fusion Frequency

The critical fusion frequency (CFF) is the highest frequency that can be seen at a contrast of 1, or in other words, the frequency at which a unit contrast flickering light seems to fuse into a steady one. This is illustrated by the points in Figure 5.4-15 at a contrast of 1, and as can be seen, they increase in frequency with light level, ranging from below 20 Hz to above 60 Hz. This is shown more comprehensively in Figure 5.4-15, which shows CFF vs. retinal illuminance for several wavelengths of light. The illuminance is measured in photopic trolands, which is why the curves converge at photopic levels and diverge at scotopic levels.
Figure 5.4-15. Critical fusion frequency as a function of retinal illuminance for various wavelengths (Hecht & Shlaer, 1936).

5.4.9.5 Spatial and Chromatic Effects

As noted in section 5.4.8, “Spatial Sensitivity,” spatial and temporal contrast sensitivity are interdependent. This is illustrated in Figure 5.4-16, which shows the TCSF as a function of the spatial frequency of a grating target. For a low spatial frequency, the curve is bandpass, but for medium to high spatial frequencies, it is low-pass. As noted above, spatial and temporal sensitivity can be combined into a single STCSF (Burbeck & Kelly, 1980, Koenderink & van Doorn, 1979). A highly simplified version of this function, called the Window of Visibility, defines a visible region of spatial and temporal frequency (Watson et al., 1986). This concept can be useful in predicting visibility of artifacts in visual displays. If the desired display and the actual display differ only in spatial and temporal frequencies that lie outside the window of visibility, then those differences will be invisible, and the actual display is satisfactory.
Temporal sensitivity also depends on color, being much faster for luminance modulation than for chromatic modulation, as discussed below in the section on color (Varner, 1984). However, it is rare in practical situations that temporal modulation occurs exclusively in isoluminant dimensions, so sensitivity is usually governed by the luminance modulation.

5.4.9.6 Models

A simple linear filter model of temporal contrast sensitivity consists of the difference of two leaky integrators, which can be expressed as gamma densities,

\[ h(t) = S \left[ \Gamma(t; n_1, \tau) - T \Gamma(t; n_2, \kappa \tau) \right] \]

where \( \Gamma(t; n, \tau) \) is a gamma density with parameters \( n \) and \( \tau \), \( n_1, n_2, \tau, \) and \( \kappa \tau \) are the parameters of each integrator, \( S \) is an overall sensitivity constant, and \( T \) is a measure of the transience of the response: when \( T = 0 \), the response is low-pass; when \( T = 1 \), the system is bandpass and there is no response to a constant input. Fits of this function to a range of TCSF data from various stimulus configurations yield parameters in the range of \( S = 200-270, T = 0.9-1, \kappa = 1.33, n_1 = 9, n_2=10, \tau = 4.3-4.9 \text{ ms} \) (Watson, 1986). If this filter is followed by a Minkowski summation over time with an exponent between 3 and 4, the resulting model provides a good account of the visibility of both periodic and aperiodic temporal stimuli.

5.4.9.7 Motion Sensitivity

A moving target corresponds to a particular distribution of contrast over space and time. Sensitivity to moving targets can usually be understood in terms of the underlying spatial and temporal contrast sensitivities (Watson, 1986). For example, a vertical sinusoidal grating of \( f \) cycles/degree moving...
horizontally at a speed of \( s \) degrees/second has a temporal frequency of \( fs \) cycles/s. Its contrast threshold can be determined by noting the value of the STCSF at \( f \) cycles/degree and \( fs \) hertz. More complex moving targets can be decomposed into their spatio-temporal frequency components by way of the Fourier transform, and their resulting visibilities deduced in a similar way.

5.4.9.8 Summary

- For pulses less than 30 to 100 ms, threshold depends on the product of contrast and duration.
- Temporal sensitivity is well characterized by the TCSF.
- At photopic light levels, the TCSF peak is around 4 to 8 Hz, and the CFF is around 60 to 70 Hz.
- The TCSF depends strongly on light level over the full range, but only a little at photopic levels.
- The TCSF depends strongly on the spatial and chromatic content of the target.
- Simple linear filter models with nonlinear integration can explain many temporal thresholds.
- For targets that vary in both space and time, such as moving targets, the STCSF may be used to predict visibility.

5.4.10 Color Vision

Color consists of visual responses to variations in the wavelength dimension of the visual stimulus (Hunt, 2004; Kaiser & Boynton, 1996; Shevell, 2003). In nature, these variations usually arise from varying spectral reflectance of objects, and we attribute the resulting subjective experience of color to the objects. Color is thus an important attribute that humans use to identify objects, but is now also widely used in visual communication systems.

5.4.10.1 Color Specification

In the “Luminance” sections, the distribution of energy over wavelength was reduced to a single number, the luminance. In the photopic region of intensity (approximately \( > 10 \text{ cd} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \)), an extended source, such as a patch of uniform color in a display, can be characterized by a distribution of energy over wavelength (spectral radiance), which is written as \( I(\lambda) \) and specified in units of \( \text{W} \cdot \text{sr}^{-1} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{nm}^{-1} \). Color vision obeys the principle of univariance, which asserts that two lights yielding the same number of quanta absorbed by the three types of color photoreceptors (cones) will yield the same color sensation. This in turn leads to the principle of trichromacy, which states that any colored light can be visually characterized by three numbers: the quanta absorbed in the three types of cones, or indeed by any fixed invertible transform of those absorptions. Thus every spectral energy distribution can be reduced to three numbers. A specific standard transformation was established in 1932 by the CIE (CIE, 1932)]. In this transformation, the three numbers, known as tristimulus values, are given by

\[
X = K \int I(\lambda) \bar{x}(\lambda) d\lambda \\
Y = K \int I(\lambda) \bar{y}(\lambda) d\lambda \\
Z = K \int I(\lambda) \bar{z}(\lambda) d\lambda
\]

\[ (6) \]
where $\mathbf{X}$, $\mathbf{Y}$, and $\mathbf{Z}$ are the so-called CIE 1931 color-matching functions, and $K$ is a constant of 683 lm·W$^{-1}$. For convenience, $\mathbf{Y}$ is equated to the photopic spectral luminosity function $V(\lambda)$, so that $Y$ is equal to luminance.

Trichromacy is somewhat complicated by modern findings of pigment polymorphism, which indicate that individuals may possess multiple variants of single pigments, but it remains a useful and standard approximation.

### 5.4.10.2 Chromaticity Coordinates

The chromaticity coordinates of a light are given by a normalization of the tristimulus values,

$$
\begin{align*}
x &= \frac{X}{X + Y + Z} \\
y &= \frac{Y}{X + Y + Z}
\end{align*}
$$

These quantities form the axes of the CIE 1931 Chromaticity Diagram, as shown in Figure 5.4-17. In this diagram, the boundary of the colored region is the spectrum locus, the set of all visible monochromatic lights. All visible lights lie within that boundary, and Figure 5.4-17 is an attempt to illustrate their approximate color. Color-capable photometers usually measure the luminance $Y$ and the chromaticity coordinates $x$ and $y$.

![Figure 5.4-17. CIE 1931 and 1976 chromaticity diagrams.](image)

It has been shown that a different chromaticity space can provide a more nearly uniform space, in the sense that equal distances correspond to equal apparent color differences. This is the CIE 1976 Chromaticity Diagram, illustrated in Figure 5.4-17. It is a simple transformation of the CIE 1931 coordinates:

$$
\begin{align*}
u' &= \frac{4x}{-2x + 12y + 3} \\
v' &= \frac{9y}{-2x + 12y + 3}
\end{align*}
$$
5.4.10.3 Color Difference Metric

Color-difference metrics convert a pair of colors into a measure of the magnitude of their perceptual difference. In general, these measures are valid only for “small” color differences, and become less accurate for larger differences. These metrics have proven useful for establishing color specifications, errors, and tolerances. Color-difference metrics can establish the tolerances around display color primaries and mixture colors, aid the selection of color palettes, and provide estimates of display color performance under varying illumination conditions (Brainard, 2003).

The CIE L*u*v* and CIE L*a*b* color-difference metrics are the most widely used and verified in practice. Comparisons of the predictive performance of these two metrics have revealed that the CIE L*a*b* metric provides the more accurate and consistent predictions of perceptible color differences. Although CIE L*a*b* remains in widespread use, it has been superseded by both the CIE94 and CIE2000 color-difference metrics, which contain component weighting factors to improve overall color-difference estimates.

The CIE L*a*b* metric is defined by a nonlinear transformation of XYZ tristimulus values:

\[
L^* = 116 \left( \frac{Y}{Y_n} \right)^{1/3} - 16 \\
a^* = 500 \left[ \left( \frac{X}{X_n} \right)^{1/3} - \left( \frac{Y}{Y_n} \right)^{1/3} \right] \\
b^* = 200 \left[ \left( \frac{Y}{Y_n} \right)^{1/3} - \left( \frac{Z}{Z_n} \right)^{1/3} \right] \tag{9}
\]

where \(X_n,Y_n,Z_n\) are the tristimulus values for a defined nominal white. The perceptual distance between two colors is then obtained by computing their respective differences in each of these quantities, and combining them as follows:

\[
\Delta E^* = \sqrt{\left(\Delta L^*\right)^2 + \left(\Delta a^*\right)^2 + \left(\Delta b^*\right)^2} \tag{10}
\]

This difference metric applies directly only to patches of uniform color viewed side by side, and cannot by itself be applied to differences between color images. A recent proposal to extend CIE L*a*b* to images uses a separate spatial filter for each of three linear color channels, followed by transformation of each pixel to CIE L*a*b* (Zhang et al., 1997).

5.4.10.4 Opponent Color Representations

For both theoretical and practical reasons, colors are often represented in an opponent representation, usually consisting of a luminance component and two color-difference components, one red-green and the other blue-yellow. This opponent representation is important because, as shown below, these three opponent channels have markedly different spatial and temporal sensitivities. Furthermore, most processing of color at higher levels in the visual system is more easily understood in terms of these channels.
5.4.10.5  Spatial and Temporal Aspects

Spatial contrast sensitivity depends markedly on the color dimension over which the spatial modulation occurs (Mullen, 1985; Poirson & Wandell, 1993). Left: green luminance modulation and isoluminant red-green modulation. Right: yellow luminance modulation and isoluminant yellow-blue modulation.

Figure 5.4-18 shows contrast sensitivity functions for a green grating (modulation of luminance), a red-green grating (color but no luminance modulation), a yellow grating (luminance modulation), and blue-yellow grating (color but no luminance modulation). When luminance is held constant, chromatic spatial contrast sensitivity is shifted toward lower frequencies by about a factor of four relative to luminance spatial contrast sensitivity. This much lower resolution of the chromatic opponent pathways is the basis for important efficiencies in image and video coding.

5.4.10.6  Anomalous Color Vision

Anomalous color vision describes individuals whose color discriminations depart significantly from the standard observer. The major classes are rod monochromats (who lack all cones), cone monochromats (who have only one type of cone), dichromats (who have only two types of cone), and anomalous trichromats (who have three types of cone, one of which is anomalous). Dichromats are further divided according to which cone they lack: protanopes (L cone), deuteranopes (M cone), and tritanope (S cone). Anomalous trichromats are similarly divided into protanomalous, deuteranomalous, and tritanomalous. Anomalous color vision is much rarer in females than in males, having an incidence of less than 0.5% (Gegenfurtner & Sharpe, 1999). In men, total incidence may be as high as 8%, with deuteranomaly the most common at about 4.6%, and with the other L and M cone cases at about 1% each. S cone defects are much rarer (around 0.002%), and probably equally common in both sexes.

From a human factors perspective, anomalous color vision presents a caution for either crew selection or the use of color to convey information. Because it is a powerful and ubiquitous dimension of visual experience and visual communications, it would be unwise to minimize or prohibit its use, but care should be taken in critical cases to ensure that relevant information is available to the crew.

5.4.10.7  Color Naming and Color Search

Color is a highly effective aid to visual segregation and cuing for visual search, but becomes less effective as the number of colors exceeds nine, or if the colors are not optimally chosen. Research
supports the concept that there are eleven basic color terms, which are more reliable than others as well as easier and faster to name and discriminate (Boynton, 1989). These color terms are red, green, blue, yellow, orange, pink, purple, brown, gray, black, and white. Subsets of these colors have been shown to segregate well in search tasks, though alternative color sets that are equally discriminable, but not basic, segregate just as well (Smallman & Boynton, 1990).

5.4.10.8 Color Context and Color Appearance

Color appearance is strongly influenced by visual context. Adapting backgrounds, or nearby or surrounding colors, may markedly alter the apparent color of an isolated patch. These effects are complex, though models are available to predict simple configurations (Moroney et al., 2002). When the specific appearance of a color is important, for example as a cue in an information display, care should be taken to ensure that the appearance is consistent in the contexts expected.

5.4.10.9 Summary

- On a neutral background, color is determined by the distribution of light over wavelength.
- Color can be specified by CIE tristimulus values.
- Color differences can be specified by CIELab color-difference metrics.
- Color is reduced in rapidly varying targets, or in very small or high-frequency patterns.
- Color context can have powerful effects on color appearance.

5.4.11 Other Visual Phenomena

5.4.11.1 Glare

Glare refers to the disabling effects of light that is too bright, either everywhere in the field of view (for example, at the beach), or more commonly, from one or a few sources that are away from the point of fixation. The light from the glare source is scattered within the eye, thereby reducing the contrast of the objects of interest. Glare is rare in interior environments, but outdoors may be caused by the sun during the day or bright lights at night. Corrective measures include visors or sunglasses (for uniform glare) or shades or rearranged working conditions in the case of localized glare. As noted below, in space, glare may be a particular problem during EVAs or on the lunar surface.

Formulas have been developed to estimate the effect of glare, by converting it into an equivalent veiling luminance. One example is

\[ L_v = 9.2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{E_i}{\theta_i (\theta_i + 1.5)} \]  

(11)

where \( L_v \) is the equivalent veiling luminance, \( E_i \) is the illuminance in lux at the eye of the \( i \)th glare source, and \( \theta \) (theta) is the angle between fixation and the \( i \)th glare source. This veiling luminance is added to the actual luminances of target and background to compute the effective contrast (Rea, 2000).

5.4.12 Vision During Space Flight

5.4.12.1 Effects of Space Flight on Vision

To date, little evidence has been documented of significant changes in visual sensitivity during space flight. Using hand-held and ground-based targets during Gemini missions, Duntley et al. found no
significant change in acuity (Duntley et al., 1971). More extensive measurements on Space Shuttle missions found a significant but very small (0.04 logMAR) decrease in acuity relative to ground-based preflight and postflight measurements (O'Neal et al., 1992). It should be noted that these missions were brief; the longest testing interval on Shuttle missions was 8 days. The ISS allows missions of much longer duration (e.g., 120 days), but no studies of visual performance have yet been conducted (Rhatigan et al., 2005).

One notable visual phenomenon of space flight is light flashes caused by interactions between energetic cosmic ray particles and elements of the visual system. These flashes occur at rates from 0.1 to 0.5 per minute, depending on shielding and other obstructions (Avdeev et al., 2002; Casolino et al., 2003; Fuglesang et al., 2006; Herrick, 1974; McNulty et al., 1977; Yasui & Ohtsuka, 1986).

5.4.12.2 Visual Environment in Space

The NASA Bioastronautics Databook provides a useful overview of the visual environment in space (Parker & West, 1973). The space lighting environment poses several challenges for vision, primarily due to the absence of an atmosphere. This lack somewhat increases light levels relative to sunlight on Earth (perhaps 25% greater), but more prominently eliminates indirect lighting from the sky (Values indicate log10cd·m−2. The red box indicates the extent of momentary sensitivity. Adapted from several published works (Hood & Finkelstein, 1986; Kaiser & Ahumada, 2008; Parker & West, 1973).

Figure shows a number of examples of luminances that may be encountered in space, in the context of the complete range of operation of the visual system). Thus almost all natural light is direct, and consequently shadows are very dark, illuminated only by starlight or reflections from nearby objects. Brighter illuminated areas and darker shadows lead to very high luminance contrasts, but more significantly pose a challenge for light adaptation. An eye adapted to brightly illuminated surfaces will have difficulty seeing objects in the shadows. And as noted above, dark adaptation following bright light may take several minutes. Likewise the unfiltered sun, especially at low sun angles of the sort encountered at the lunar poles, will create long shadows and pose a large risk of glare. Various strategies for ameliorating these effects have been proposed (Colford, 2002; Kaiser & Albert J. Ahumada, 2008). The absence of an atmosphere also removes one cue to visual distance: atmospheric haze. This may lead to poorer estimates of the distance to remote objects. However, the absence of haze should significantly enhance visual ability to discern small targets at far distances.

EVAs pose additional lighting challenges. Low Earth orbit results in a 1.5-hour light-dark cycle, with about half of that in dark. Combined with possible motion of the astronaut relative to the sun and objects being observed or manipulated, these conditions result in a radically changing and sometimes difficult lighting environment.

Many visual activities in space contend with windows or visors, which may introduce distortions of shape or location, or may reduce contrast or produce multiple images. Additionally, special treatments such as coatings or polarization may distort colors or reduce visibility of polarized sources (e.g., LCD displays). Care should be taken in the design of windows, visors, and tasks to ensure that the necessary visual information is not obscured.

Weightlessness eliminates the natural basis for a unique visual vertical direction, and this has been reported to be the cause of disorientation and motion sickness (Colford, 2002). On the ISS, this has been dealt with by designing interiors with a consistent vertical.

Several anomalous conditions that may be encountered in space missions have potentially deleterious visual effects, notably g forces, hypoxia, reduced barometric pressure, and vibration (Tredici & Ivan, 2008). Accelerations of greater than +3.5Gz may cause loss of peripheral vision, while accelerations
greater than $+4G_z$ may cause complete loss of vision (blackout). At $4.5g$ to $6g$, consciousness may be lost. Hypoxia produces well-known progressive deleterious effects on first night vision, then daylight vision. Reduced barometric pressure has been reported to produce transient scotoma (blind spot) or hemianopia (blind half-field). Vibration reduces acuity by blurring the target, and frequencies above 15 Hz that approach or exceed flicker fusion are likely to be the most problematic. The amount of blur will depend on the amplitude of vibration and the axis in which it occurs.

5.4.13 Research Needs

- **Efficient evaluation of visual function** – Long-duration space missions will impose the need for testing of visual function during the course of the mission, both to ensure readiness for mission tasks and to anticipate possible decline or change in visual function. Research is needed to design a suite of tests that is broad, effective, reliable, and within mission constraints of time, space, energy, equipment, and workload.

- **Visual quality metrics** – Standards for visual quality of visual communications, such as requisite bitrates for digital video, are at present difficult to define, due to a lack of relevant research. Research that evaluated perceived quality as a function of bitrate for various video formats would be helpful. More helpful still would be human vision models capable of providing this information without the need for human testing. These models could be developed from current models of spatial, color, and temporal sensitivity described above.

- **Standard model of spatial contrast sensitivity** – A very large number of standards in visual human factors depend on the human visual contrast sensitivity function. This function has been measured often, but existing measurements conflict and no standard data set has been designated. In addition, existing data did not adequately consider display calibration or the optical status of the observer. Careful, calibrated measurement of the CSF, combined with simultaneous measurement or correction of observer wavefront aberrations, would help to establish a standard dataset. This dataset would be able to distinguish between optical and neural components of the CSF. This in turn would allow prediction of CSFs for specified human populations, varying by age or degree of optical correction. This standard dataset could also serve as the basis for more reliable models of contrast detection, acuity, legibility, display quality, image, and video quality.
5.5 AUDITORY PERCEPTION

5.5.1 Introduction

Human perception of, and response to, sound is important for understanding how to design audio communication systems. While human auditory function is well understood, little evidence is available regarding changes in function during space flight. However, several factors (e.g., air pressure and air composition that are different from those in Earth environments, the effects of noise, the impact on sound of confined spaces such as spacecraft) should be considered in designing crewed spacecraft and extravehicular activity (EVA) suits. Auditory perceptual and cognitive factors should also be considered when designing user interfaces and controls. This section describes the nature of sound, and human response to and perception of it.

Discussion pertaining to acceptable noise levels under various conditions and phases of flight are described in section 6.6, “Acoustics.”

5.5.2 The Nature of Sound

5.5.2.1 Sound Propagation

The phenomenon of sound is caused by a physical disturbance of molecules within a medium—air, water, or solid—that can be detected by a listener. We are familiar with the atmospheric pressure of air molecules from barometric readings; the average atmospheric pressure at sea level is 101.325 kilopascals (kPa; a pascal is defined as 1 newton of force applied over an area of 1 meter squared). Changes in atmospheric pressure occur far too slowly to be audible. However, an object such as a loudspeaker that can vibrate at a frequency within the range of human hearing, approximately 20 to 20,000 cycles per second for a healthy young person, are experienced as sound.

In air, the cycles of sound vibration refer to the compression and rarefaction of air molecules that causes a series of low- and high-pressure moments in time, and is commonly referred to as a sound wave. A simple sound wave such as the sinusoid shown in Figure 5.5-1 has two fundamental properties: frequency and pressure. Frequency is typically referred to in units of hertz (Hz) to refer to cycles per second. In this example, the pressure excursions of the sound wave about the midpoint occur at a frequency of 50 cycles per second, or at 50 Hz (once every 20 milliseconds).

Figure 5.5-1. A graphic representation of a sinusoidal sound wave.
Knowing the speed and frequency of sound, it is possible to calculate a sound’s wavelength, the distance from one pressure peak to the next. The speed of sound in air varies with altitude and temperature; a good approximation is 344 m/s (1,128 ft/s). The wavelength is calculated as the speed of sound divided by the frequency. Therefore, a 50-Hz waveform is about 6.88 m (22.6 ft) long, measured from pressure peak to the next pressure peak.

The concept of sound pressure relates to the magnitude of the positive and negative pressures shown in Figure 5.5-1. The range of sound pressures that humans can detect is remarkable. The quietest sound a healthy young person can hear is equivalent to 20 micropascals (0.00002 Pa), while the loudest sound a person can hear without pain is about 200 Pa. This is a 10,000,000-to-1 change in magnitude! A logarithmic unit known as the decibel (dB) is used to compress this range of magnitudes into a more convenient range of 0 to 140 decibels. In acoustics, the mathematical definition of sound pressure level (SPL) expressed in decibels is a ratio of two pressures:

$$L_p = 20 \times \log_{10} \left( \frac{P_1}{P_0} \right)$$

where $P_0$ is defined by international agreement as a sound pressure level of 0.00002 pascals, in most cases the quietest sound that can be heard; and $P_1$ is the averaged pressure of the sound under measurement. Thus, when $P_1 = P_0$, the sound pressure level is at the standardized reference level of 0 dB. Table 5.5-1 compares the range of sound pressures and of sound pressure levels in pascals and sound pressure levels in decibels, for example sound sources.

### Table 5.5-1 The Relationship Between SPL and Pressure Ratios for Typical Sound Examples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sound Pressure (Pa)</th>
<th>Sound Pressure Level: $20 \times \log_{10} \left( \frac{P_1}{P_0} \right)$</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>Threshold of pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>Near a jet aircraft engine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Near a jackhammer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.2</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Typical factory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.02</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Normal conversation @ 1 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.002</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Quiet living room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.0002</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Quiet recording studio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.00002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Threshold of hearing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Outdoors, particularly in a free field or in an anechoic chamber where there are hypothetically no effects of the environment, sound pressure is attenuated as a function of increasing distance from a source. Indoors, a sound source reaches a listener not only by a direct path but also by striking or grazing over objects, reflecting and refracting back toward the listener via additional reflected paths, in a manner similar to that of a light beam in a room full of mirrors. Acoustically, reflected paths are heard and
measured as reverberation. The effect of reverberation, particularly in small to medium-sized rooms, is to cause the overall sound pressure of a sound source to attenuate less as a function of distance than it would in a free field. In some cases, reverberation can cause the sound pressure level to be constant independent of the position of the sound source and the receiver.

In the space environment, sound propagation may be affected by such factors as atmospheric pressure and breathable gas mixtures that, in turn, may affect the perception of auditory signals. At sufficiently low pressures, sound transmission will be degraded so that crewmembers may have to speak louder to be heard at a given distance. As discussed in Lange et al. (2005), pressures below about 69.0 kPa (10 psia) result in degradation of a crewmember’s ability to understand speech. The use of helium as a diluent has also raised concerns about verbal communication. Because of helium’s low density, it causes a high-pitched distortion of the sound coming from the vocal cords, which may result in decreased intelligibility.

5.5.2.2 Sound Measurement

The ability to measure and then analyze the magnitude and frequency of sound waves has important practical applications for the design of audio displays, communication systems, environmental quality, and hearing conservation. A sound level meter is typically a calibrated, hand-held device used to quantify sound pressure level, but can also be a fixed device. It is designed to respond in approximately the same manner as the human ear so as to provide objective, repeatable measurements. All sound level meters consist of a microphone, a processor, and a data readout-memory. In simplest terms, the microphone converts sound pressure into an electrical signal, the processor applies frequency and time weightings to the input signal, and the data readout indicates the measured values to the user for analysis.

Frequency and time weighting is applied in the processing stage of sound measurement to make statistical descriptions of sound (e.g., peak or average level) and/or to make the measurements better correspond to human perception.

Time weighting refers to the integration of energy by the meter over a time interval. Most sound pressure measurements fluctuate rapidly on a moment-to-moment basis, making it necessary in most cases to integrate the variation in level across a time constant. Typically encountered time weightings include “fast” and “slow” time constants, which integrate energy exponentially over a period of 125 ms and 1.0 s, respectively. For detection of short bursts, such as noise from impacts, that can potentially harm hearing, the peak level is sometimes reported, which uses no time weighting other than the fastest interval that the measurement device is capable of sampling at, which is usually < 1 ms.

Although a meter can indicate an unweighted (“linear”) value of sound pressure, it is often useful to apply a frequency weighting termed A-weighting. Essentially, A-weighting compensates for the fact that the ear is comparatively less sensitive to lower and very high frequencies. Figure 5.5-2 shows A-weighting.

(According to ANSI Y10.11, the preferred method for indicating weightings is in the subscript of the level: for example, 60 A-weighted decibels with fast time weighting would be expressed as $L_{AF} = 60$ dB. However it is more common to attach an A to the decibel indication although the decibel itself is not weighted; thus, the common usage would be “60 dBA”).

Sound measurements can also be expressed statistically. The most common application is the average level, also known as the equivalent continuous sound level, notated as $L_{eq}$. The $L_{eq}$ is the constant sound pressure level whose energy is equivalent to that of the varying sound over the measured period. Often,
multiple $L_{eq}$ measurements are reported over a period of measurement; for instance, over a 24-hour period, the $L_{eq}$ might be reported for intervals of 1 hour.

The A frequency weighting corresponds to perceived loudness, and is commonly applied in acoustical engineering. (http://www.diracdelta.co.uk/science/source/a/w/aweighting/source.html).

**Figure 5.5-2. Frequency weighting for dBA.**

In the next sections, the relationship between the physical parameters of sounds, such as intensity and frequency spectra, and their corresponding perceptual qualities will be considered.

### 5.5.3 Auditory Response to Sound Intensity

#### 5.5.3.1 Absolute Thresholds

The absolute threshold of human hearing is defined as the minimum detectable level of a sound in the absence of any other sounds. Absolute threshold data delineates the lower end of the human sensitivity range for intensity; this threshold for human hearing is defined statistically, often as an average of hearing thresholds obtained in healthy ears. At the upper level of sensitivity, at about 110-120 dB SPL, sounds will begin to cause discomfort or pain and even short-term exposure to levels above 115 dB may cause permanent damage to the ear. Also, the ability to detect a change in intensity begins to deteriorate at about 100 dB. In between these extremes is the “working region” of the auditory system.

There are two primary methods for measuring absolute thresholds, the minimum audible pressure (MAP) and the minimum audible field (MAF).

- The MAP is measured using a small probe microphone placed as near to the eardrum as possible, and the sounds (typically a sine wave with duration greater than 200 ms) are delivered to one ear via headphones.
- The MAF is measured by presenting the sounds over a loudspeaker in an anechoic chamber (International Standards Organization [ISO] 389-7, 1996). After the listener has indicated when a sound is at threshold, the level of the sound is measured at the position of the listener but with the listener absent.
Absolute thresholds are measured as a function of frequency. Figure 5.5-3 shows the mean thresholds for many listeners for the MAP and MAF as a function of frequency and intensity. Both the MAP and MAF show greatest sensitivity in the region of 1 to 6 kHz with a steep rise in thresholds for both the low and high frequencies. Note that the binaural MAF thresholds are consistently about 6 to 10 dB lower than the monaural MAP. This is due to the fact that binaural hearing is more sensitive than monaural hearing since the sound at the two ears is summed by the auditory system. The monaural MAP shows only minor dips and peaks as a function of frequency, while the binaural MAF shows a large dip around 3 to 4 kHz and a peak around 8 to 9 kHz. This difference is produced by a broad resonance resulting from the interaction of sound with the pinna and ear canal that occurs in the MAP procedure. Absolute thresholds may vary considerably for individuals so that a 20-dB difference from the mean threshold at a particular frequency may still be considered “normal.”

![Graph showing MAP and MAF thresholds](image)

The solid curve shows the MAF for binaural listening published in an ISO standard (ISO 389-7, 1996). The dashed curve shows the MAP for monaural listening.

**Figure 5.5-3. Minimum sound levels that can be heard (Moore, 2004).**

Another factor that influences absolute thresholds is the duration of the sound. Below about 200 ms, the minimum detectable intensity increases as the sound duration decreases; that is, shorter sounds are harder to hear. Above about 500 ms, the minimum detectable intensity is generally independent of duration. This suggests that the auditory system has a time constant for temporal integration on the order of 200 to 500 ms, although it is generally thought to be the result of repeated sampling of the sound (“multiple looks”) rather than a simple energy integrator. There is also some suggestion that this time constant is longer at low frequencies than at high frequencies. However, later studies found little variation with frequency (Moore, 2004).

### 5.5.3.2 Hearing Loss

Measurement of hearing sensitivity is important when considering health issues such as peripheral hearing loss. Hearing sensitivity is reported using an audiogram, a graph showing an individual listener’s hearing level as a function of frequency. Hearing thresholds for pure-tone stimuli are
measured, using a MAP technique, to determine a given listener’s threshold of audibility, or the minimum sound pressure level that is capable of evoking an auditory sensation in a specified function of trials. Pure-tone stimuli are presented to the listener’s ears, using an audiometer and earphones that comply with national standards of calibration, so that they will yield equivalent results when used under comparable test conditions. In addition, audiometric testing is conducted in a relatively quiet environment (that must also meet national standards for maximum ambient environmental noise levels), to allow the listener to detect the auditory stimuli. Standardized audiometric test techniques, based on psychophysical principles, are used to obtain hearing thresholds that are then compared to the average values that have been previously measured for many normal, healthy young adults. On the audiogram, these “normal” thresholds are represented as 0 dB hearing level (HL). The individual’s thresholds are plotted (usually in 5-dB increments, as shown in Figure 5.5-4) as their HL; that is, the HL is the difference between their measured threshold and the “normal” threshold. Hearing thresholds may be both positive and negative, since a particular individual may be more or less sensitive than the general population at a given frequency. Hearing loss is generally defined by thresholds that are greater than about 25 dB HL, with normal hearing ranging from -10 dB HL to 25 dB HL.

The shapes of audiometric configurations can offer clues to factors associated with the cause of the hearing loss (e.g., excessive stiffness or mass characteristics of middle-ear structures, damage in areas of the tonotopically organized cochlea). See Figure 5.5.4 for examples of audiograms. The cause of hearing loss may be conductive or sensorineural. Conductive hearing loss is produced by a defect in the outer ear or middle ear that results in an attenuation of the incoming sound; it generally manifests as an overall elevation of hearing thresholds. Disorders that cause conductive losses can often be treated by medications or surgery. When such medical treatment of the conductive loss is not feasible, making the sound louder with amplification (e.g., by increased volume on radio headsets or use of hearing aids) is effective in correcting the remaining hearing loss. Sensorineural loss most commonly arises from a defect in the cochlea, but may also result from defects in the auditory nerve or higher levels in the brain. Sensorineural hearing loss does not typically respond favorably to medical treatment, and it is typically described as an irreversible, permanent condition. Like conductive hearing loss, sensorineural hearing loss reduces the intensity of sound, but it might also introduce an element of distortion into what is heard, resulting in sounds being unclear even when they are loud enough. Individuals with sensorineural loss often have trouble understanding speech, particularly in noisy environments. Once any medically treatable conditions have been ruled out, the typical treatment for sensorineural hearing loss is amplification through hearing aids (or, in cases of severe or profound hearing loss, cochlear implants). However, since amplification cannot overcome physiological limitations of sensorineural damage (like changes in frequency specificity and selectivity), hearing aids do not fully restore “normal” hearing in cases of sensorineural hearing loss.

A mixed hearing loss exists when a sensorineural hearing loss occurs with an overlying conductive component.
Comparison of three typical audiograms, with data plotted in dB HL. Left: Hearing thresholds elevated in low frequencies but normal in highs (may be seen with conductive hearing loss due to middle ear disease). Center: Hearing thresholds sloping to severe high-frequency hearing loss (may be seen with presbycusis). Right: Normal hearing thresholds in low frequencies (even better than 0 dB HL), but showing significant “notch” at 4 kHz (characteristic of moderate noise-induced hearing loss). Note: actual diagnosis of whether a hearing loss is conductive, sensorineural, or mixed types requires more information than is provided by air conduction audiometry alone.

**Figure 5.5-4 Examples of audiometric configurations.**

One of the most common forms of sensorineural hearing loss is presbycusis, the hearing loss associated with aging. Presbycusis affects both ears equally and increases with age. It primarily results in loss of the ability to hear high frequencies. Presbycusis may be caused by a variety of age-related health factors, such as atherosclerosis, diabetes, and hypertension, that reduce the blood supply to the cochlea. Table 5.5-2 summarizes the results of a large-scale study by Davis (1995) that shows the percentage of people with losses greater than 20 dB HL and 40 dB HL at frequencies of 0.5, 1, 2, and 4 kHz for two age populations.

**Table 5.5-2 Hearing Loss (ACOEM, 2003)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Range</th>
<th>Percent of Population with Hearing Loss &gt; 20 dB</th>
<th>Percent of Population with Hearing Loss &gt; 40 dB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>61-71</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-80</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Another common form of sensorineural hearing loss is noise-induced hearing loss (NIHL). NIHL is of particular importance to NASA since astronauts and pilots are often exposed to high levels of noise in training and during missions that can both temporarily and permanently affect their hearing sensitivity.

Long-term exposure to noise, or indeed any sound, may produce both temporary and permanent changes in the responsiveness of the auditory system. Typically, the first sign of hearing loss due to noise exposure is an elevation of hearing thresholds at 3000, 4000, or 6000 Hz, with recovery at 8000 Hz (yielding a “notched” audiogram configuration, as shown in Figure 5.5-4). The exact location of the notch depends on multiple factors, including the spectrum of the noise and the length of the ear canal. Therefore, in early noise-induced hearing loss, the average hearing thresholds at 500, 1000, and 2000 Hz are better than the averages at 3000, 4000, and 6000 Hz; and the hearing level at 8000 Hz is usually better than the deepest part of the “notch.” This “notching” is in contrast to age-related hearing loss, which also produces high-frequency hearing loss, but in a down-sloping pattern without recovery at
8000 Hz. Temporary effects from noise exposure include adaptation, in which the apparent magnitude of a sound decreases over time, and fatigue, in which the absolute threshold for the sound increases after exposure as measured by a temporary threshold shift, which is generally small for lower exposure levels but increases rapidly for sounds above 90 to 100 dB SPL. Temporary threshold shift decreases with increasing recovery time; recovery times may be quite variable, depending on the nature of the fatiguing sound, and may be 16 hours or more after exposure to high intensities. Single exposures to levels above 110 to 120 dB SPL may produce permanent threshold shifts (hearing loss), particularly if the exposure duration is long. The rate of hearing loss due to chronic noise exposure is greatest during the first 10 to 15 years of exposure, and decreases as the hearing threshold increases. This is in contrast to age-related loss, which accelerates over time. Noise exposure alone usually does not produce a loss greater than 75 dB HL in high frequencies, and 40 dB HL in lower frequencies. However, individuals with superimposed age-related losses may have hearing threshold levels in excess of these values. Further information about the impact of noise level and duration on human hearing can be found in section 6.6.2, “Human Response to Noise.”

5.5.3.3 Loudness

Loudness is the perceptual quality most related to the physical parameter of sound intensity, although loudness is also affected by the frequency content of the sound. The sensitivity of the human ear to intensity as a function of frequency can be characterized by a set of equal-loudness contours, originally measured by Fletcher and Munson (1933). These data were based on pure tone stimuli and used a loudness-matching technique in which listeners were asked to adjust the level of a test tone so that its loudness sounded equal to that of a standard tone of 1000 Hz. By definition, the level of the 1000-Hz standard was its level in dB SPL, and the level of the test tone that matched the standard was defined as its level in “phons.” For example, if a 1000-Hz tone at 40 dB SPL is loudness-matched by a 250-Hz tone at 50 dB SPL, both tones are defined as having a loudness level of 40 phons.

In the time since the Fletcher-Munson curves were first published, some disagreement has occurred about the best method for determining loudness levels and the “true” shape of the equal-loudness contours. Recently a new ISO standard (ISO 226:2003) was developed, based on extensive data from several international laboratories using a binaural MAF procedure ([ISO], 2003). Figure 5.4-5 shows the new data.
The absolute threshold curve and loudness levels from 10 to 100 phons are shown for sounds presented binaurally in front of the listener. Contours for 10 and 100 phons are dashed, as they are based on interpolation and extrapolation of the measured data.

Figure 5.5-5. Equal-loudness contours for pure tones under free-field listening conditions (ISO 226:2003).

Compared to previous standards, very large differences up to about 15 dB occur in the frequency region below 1 kHz. The overall pattern of the data, however, remains the same. The shape of the equal-loudness contours is similar to the MAF absolute threshold curve; listeners are most sensitive at intermediate frequencies (1000 to 6000 Hz) and less sensitive at lower and higher frequencies. As the phon level increases, however, the contours begin to flatten, so that the rate of growth in loudness with increasing intensity becomes greater at lower and higher frequencies than at intermediate frequencies. Thus the relative loudness of different frequency components in a signal depends on its overall intensity.

The change in tonal balance with overall level has important implications for auditory displays and communication. For example, human voices often sound “boomy” when played over loudspeakers at high levels because when the overall level is high, the ear is relatively more sensitive to low frequencies than high frequencies. For example, for a moderate level of 40 phons, the loudness of a 1000-Hz tone of 40 dB SPL is equal to the loudness of a 125-Hz tone of 60 dB SPL, corresponding to a 20-dB SPL sensitivity difference between the low and high tones. At a higher intensity level of 80 phons, the loudness of a 1000-Hz tone of 80 dB SPL is equal to the loudness of a 125-Hz tone of 90 dB SPL. Thus, at the higher intensity, an increase in SPL of only 10 dB is needed to equalize the loudness of the low and high tones. Further, the relative loudness of a given sound is not easily inferred from its measured intensity level. For example, studies of subjective loudness scaling suggest that the perceived loudness of a sound is proportional to its RMS sound pressure raised to the power of 0.6. Roughly, this corresponds to a doubling of perceived loudness for each 10-dB increase in level. Thus, an 80-dB SPL sound is not twice as loud as a 40-dB sound, rather it is perceived as about 16 times louder.

Other factors that can influence loudness perception include the duration of the sound and its bandwidth (the frequency range present in the signal). As noted above, absolute thresholds decrease with
increasing duration up to about 200 ms. Similarly, at a given sound intensity level above absolute threshold, loudness will increase with increasing duration up to about 100 to 200 ms. For durations longer than a few hundred milliseconds, it is difficult for listeners to judge the overall loudness of a sound. Loudness-matching becomes highly variable, depending on which shorter segment (e.g., a particular word or phoneme) within the total signal (e.g., a speech phrase or sentence) the listener has focused on to make the judgment.

Loudness is determined by the spectral distribution as well as the intensity of sound. Loudness tends to be greater if a sound’s power is spread over a wider frequency range; this frequency range has to be greater at higher frequencies than at lower frequencies to have an effect on perceived loudness.

5.5.3.4 Loudness and Hearing Loss

Loudness perception may be adversely affected by hearing loss, particularly when there is damage to the cochlea, in a phenomenon known as loudness recruitment. Recruitment is an abnormally rapid increase in perceived loudness with normal increases in sound level. With loudness recruitment, a listener’s hearing threshold may be higher than normal, but perception of intense sounds can be considered to be “loud” at levels still considered to be “loud but okay” by a normal listener. Thus, if a simple hearing aid amplifier were to amplify loud sounds (e.g., applause) as much as less intense sounds (a child’s solo), someone with loudness recruitment would consider the higher-level sounds to be much too loud. (New digital hearing aids are designed to use compression to limit output levels and not exceed a person’s maximum loudness tolerance level, but hearing-impaired users of such hearing aids often still report problems hearing important speech signals in the presence of fluctuating background conversations and noises.) Recruitment is thought to be associated with damage to the outer hair cells in the cochlea, which can be induced by, among other factors, exposure to very intense, impulsive sounds such as those heard during launch, entry, and on-orbit engine burns.

5.5.3.5 Intensity Discrimination

Intensity discrimination is the ability of the auditory system to detect a difference between the intensities of sounds over time. Common methods for measuring intensity discrimination include asking listeners to detect the louder of two successive signals that differ only in their intensity or to detect a brief increase in the intensity of a continuous sound. Over the course of many such trials, the intensity difference is reduced until the listener achieves some criterion such as 75% correct. This “just-noticeable difference” (JND) for intensity discrimination for wideband noise remains approximately constant as the overall baseline level of the sound increases from about 30 to 110 dB SPL (i.e., approximately a +0.4-dB change in level corresponds to a 10% increase from the baseline level). Below a baseline of 30 dB SPL, discrimination deteriorates and the JND increases as the overall level decreases. For example, the noise has to approximately double in intensity to be detectable when the baseline level is around 10 dB SPL. Intensity discrimination for pure tones is somewhat different in that JNDs continue to decrease as the overall baseline level of the sound increases from about 10 to 100 dB SPL. This means that at higher overall levels when sounds are pure tones, listeners can discriminate even smaller differences in intensity than 10%. Other studies have shown that listeners are most sensitive to small changes in a sound’s intensity when the task is detection of a brief increment in the intensity of a continuous sound. Listeners can also detect differences of only a few decibels between two complex sounds in which one tone out of several is briefly increased, even if the overall levels of the two sounds are different. That is, listeners are able to compare the relative level of components across frequency and thus detect brief changes in spectral shape.
The auditory system’s sensitivity to sound intensity and its ability to discriminate intensity changes across frequency and time have important implications for the ability of humans to identify individual sound streams as well as simply detect their presence. The upper and lower limits of sensitivity, and the shape of the equal-loudness curves, circumscribe the “working region” of the auditory system across the audible frequency range. Discrimination characteristics affect the ability to understand and distinguish between different sound streams in auditory displays, such as different voices and different caution and warning alerts.

5.5.4 Auditory Response to Sound Frequency

5.5.4.1 Frequency Selectivity and Masking

The frequency selectivity of the auditory system refers to the ability to perceptually resolve or detect the individual sinusoidal components that are present simultaneously in a complex sound. Frequency selectivity affects many aspects of hearing but is most often considered in the context of masking. Masking is defined as “the process by which the threshold of audibility for one sound is raised by the presence of another (masking) sound. The unit customarily used is the decibel” (American Standards Association, 1960). The impact of masking is obvious in everyday experience; speech may be obscured in a noisy environment, or a loud car radio will mask the sound of the engine.

A variety of masking studies over the years have resulted in the concept of the critical band, or auditory filter, as the underlying mechanism for the auditory system’s frequency analysis. It seems that the auditory system behaves as though it were composed of an array of overlapping bandpass filters that are determined by the response of the basilar membrane and the outer hair cells in the cochlea to incoming sound. Listeners’ percepts of complex sounds depend on whether the sound components fall into one critical band or are spread over several critical bands. Components within the same critical band will mask one another and components in neighboring critical bands may also be masked if one component is sufficiently more intense than the other. As shown in Figure 5.5-6, the shape of the auditory filter at a given center frequency (linear frequency scale) is symmetrical at moderate sound intensities but, with increasing stimulus intensities, the bandwidth broadens and becomes increasingly asymmetrical. The slope of the filter decreases for frequencies lower than the center frequency compared to the slope for the higher frequencies, which remains steep as intensity increases. As shown in Figure 5.5-7, auditory filter bandwidths increase in a roughly logarithmic manner with increasing center frequency and thus the auditory system’s resolution decreases with increasing frequency.
The upper graph shows the output of the filter when the output is normalized to have a gain at the tip of 0 dB for every input level. The lower graph shows the filter shapes as gains without this normalization, but assuming that the gain at the tip approaches 0 dB.

**Figure 5.5-6. Shape of the auditory filter as a function of level (2000 Hz sinusoidal input; Moore, 2004).**

Auditory filter bandwidths are often estimated in terms of their equivalent rectangular bandwidth (ERB). For frequencies above 1000 Hz, the ERB of normal listeners is about 10% to 17% of the center frequency of the filter. Thus, how small a tonal difference can be distinguished depends on the frequency region of the neighboring tones, with greater resolution at lower than at higher frequencies. For example, at a center frequency of 200 Hz, a tone has to be about 20 to 34 Hz away from the 200-Hz tone to be distinguished, while at 1000 Hz, a tone has to be at least 100 to 170 Hz away to be distinguished. Auditory filter interaction and masking has important practical implications for the design of auditory displays and communication systems. For example, in section 10.7.8.5.4 of the chapter on audio displays (10.7), a case is discussed in which the class 2 and class 3 alarms on the ISS can be confused. When heard at a distance, the high-frequency tonal component of the class 2 alarm is inaudible, while the low-frequency components of the class 2 and 3 alarms are close enough in frequency that they affect neighboring auditory filters and are thus hard to distinguish.
The dashed curve shows the “old” value of the critical bandwidth as a function of frequency (Zwicker, 1961). The solid curve shows the value of ERB of the auditory filter as a function of frequency. This curve was obtained by combining the results of several experiments using Patterson’s notched-noise method of estimating the auditory filter shape.

Figure 5.5-7. Bandwidth of auditory filters as a function of frequency (Moore, 2004).

5.5.4.2 Frequency Selectivity and Hearing Loss

Frequency selectivity is impaired by damage to the cochlea resulting from such factors as exposure to loud noise, reduced oxygen supply (a frequent cause in older adults), or ototoxic agents. With cochlear hearing loss, auditory filters are much broader and flatter than normal, particularly on the low-frequency side of the center frequency (Figure 5.5-8). The perceptual consequence of these broader filters is a greater susceptibility to masking; detecting and discriminating sounds, including speech, in a background of interfering noise or other sounds becomes much more difficult. Moreover, the ability to perceptually analyze complex sounds, such as speech or music, by detecting differences in their spectral content or timbre is impaired. A listener may not be able to distinguish between different consonant sounds, different musical instruments, or different caution and warning signals. A hearing aid that simply amplifies sounds will not correct the difficulties that arise from impaired frequency selectivity. Avoiding or mitigating such hearing damage is an important issue for NASA, as it will affect the crew’s ability to perform communication tasks and monitor caution and warnings, as well as impair their long-term hearing health.
Figure 5.5-8 shows estimation for five subjects, each having one normal ear and one ear with a cochlear hearing loss. The relative response of each filter (in dB) is plotted as a function of frequency. The filter shapes for the normal ears are shown at the top and those for the impaired ears at the bottom. Each line type represents the results for one subject. Note that the filters for the impaired ears are broader than normal, particularly on the low-frequency side.

Figure 5.5-8. Auditory filter shapes for a center frequency of 1 kHz (Moore, 2004).

5.5.4.3 Frequency Discrimination

As discussed previously, frequency selectivity is the ability to resolve the simultaneous components of a complex sound. Frequency discrimination, on the other hand, is the ability to detect changes in the frequency of pure tones over time, as when two tones with different frequencies are presented in succession. Under these conditions, JNDs for frequency discrimination tend to be quite small. Figure 5.5-9 shows that for tones of 4000 Hz or below, the JND is on the order of 0.1% to 0.2% of the frequencies being compared. For example, listeners can just discriminate the difference between a 1000-Hz and a 1002-Hz tone. For frequencies of 5000 Hz and above, the JND increases from about 0.5% to 1.2% at 8000 Hz, corresponding to frequency differences ranging from about 25 to 96 Hz.
The smallest detectable increase in frequency is expressed as a percentage of the baseline frequency.

**Figure 5.5-9. Frequency discrimination as a function of frequency for a 200-ms pure tone (Plack, 2005).**

5.5.4.4 Pitch

Another important aspect of the auditory response to sound frequency is the sensation of pitch. The American National Standards Institute (1994) defines pitch as “That attribute of auditory sensation in terms of which sounds may be ordered on a scale extending from low to high.” That is, pitch is a subjective quality that gives rise to a sense of melody. Pitch is related to the repetition rate of a sound. For pure tones, this corresponds to the frequency of the sound. For complex periodic tones, pitch is related to the fundamental frequency (i.e., the lowest frequency present that corresponds to the repetition rate of the complex composed of harmonically related tones). For both pure tones and the fundamental frequencies of complex tones, the existence region for pitch ranges from about 30 to 5000 Hz and corresponds roughly to the lowest and highest possible notes of orchestral instruments. Outside of this range, variations in the repetition rate no longer result in a sense of changing pitch or melody.

Frequency analysis begins at the peripheral, or cochlear, level of the ear; the auditory system performs a simultaneous frequency analysis at each ear so that information from the two ears can be compared at higher levels of the brain.

5.5.5 Auditory Space Perception

5.5.5.1 Primary Localization Cues

Auditory spatial perception refers to the ability to localize individual sound sources in 3D space even when multiple, simultaneous sources are present. Much of the research on human sound localization has derived from the classic “duplex theory,” which emphasizes the role of two primary cues (top two panels of Figure 5.5-10): interaural differences in time of arrival and interaural differences in intensity. Because the theory had been based primarily on experiments with single-frequency (sine wave) sounds, the original proposal was that interaural intensity differences resulting from head-shadowing determine localization at high frequencies, while interaural time differences were thought to be important only for low frequencies because of the phase ambiguities occurring at frequencies greater than 1500 Hz. Binaural research over the last few decades, however, points to serious limitations of this approach. For
example, it has become clear that interaural time differences in high-frequency sounds can be used if they have sufficient bandwidth within a critical band to produce relatively slow modulations in their envelopes.

The duplex theory also cannot account for the ability of subjects to localize sounds on the vertical median plane (directly in front of the listener), where interaural cues are minimal. Similarly, when subjects listen to stimuli over headphones, the sounds are perceived as being lateralized inside the head even though interaural temporal and intensity differences appropriate to an external source location are present. The results of many studies now suggest that these deficiencies of the duplex theory reflect the important contribution to localization of the direction-dependent filtering that occurs when incoming sound waves interact with the outer ears or pinnae and other body structures such as the shoulders and torso (bottom panel of Figure 5.5-10). As sound propagates from a source (e.g., a loudspeaker) to a listener’s ears, reflection and refraction effects tend to alter the sound in subtle ways and the effect depends on frequency. For example, for a particular location, a group of high-frequency components centered at 8 kHz may be attenuated more than a different band of components centered at 6 kHz. Such frequency-dependent effects, or filtering, also vary greatly with the direction of the sound source. Thus, for a different source location, the band at 6 kHz may be more attenuated than the higher frequency band at 8 kHz. It is clear that listeners use these kinds of frequency-dependent effects to discriminate one location from another. Experiments have shown that spectral shaping by the pinnae is highly direction-dependent, that the absence of pinna cues degrades localization accuracy, and that pinna cues are primarily responsible for externalization or the “outside-the-head” sensation.
5.5.5.2 Factors Affecting Localization Performance

In addition to the primary localization cues, the localizability of a sound also depends on other factors such as its spectral content: narrowband (pure) tones are generally difficult to localize while broadband, impulsive sounds are the easiest to locate. A closely related issue in the localizability of sound sources is their degree of familiarity. Logically, localization based on spatial cues other than the interaural cues, e.g., cues related to spectral shaping by the pinnae, is largely determined by a listener’s a priori knowledge of the spectrum of the sound source. The listener must “know” what the spectrum of a sound
is to begin with to determine that the same sound at different positions has been differentially shaped by the effects of his or her ear structures. Thus, the perception of both elevation and relative distance, which depend heavily on the detection of spectral differences, tend to be superior for familiar signals like speech. Similarly, spectral familiarity can be established through training.

Several kinds of error are usually observed in perceptual studies of localization when subjects are asked to judge the position of a static sound source in the free field. One, which Blauert (1983) refers to as localization blur, is a relatively small error in resolution on the order of about 5° to 20°. A related measure of localization accuracy is the minimum audible angle (MAA), the minimum detectable angular difference between two successive sound sources. MAAs increase from about 1° for a sound straight ahead to 20° or more for a sound directly to the right or left. The minimum audible movement angle (MAMA) is the minimum detectable angular difference of a continuously moving sound source. The MAMA depends on the speed of the moving sound source and ranges from about 8° for a velocity of 90°/s to about 21° for a velocity of 360°/s.

Another class of error observed in nearly all localization studies is the occurrence of front-back “reversals.” These are judgments that indicate that a source in the front hemisphere, usually near the median plane, was perceived by the listener as if it were in the rear hemisphere. Occasionally, back-to-front confusions are also found. Confusions in elevation, with up locations heard as down, and vice versa, have also been observed.

Although the reason for such reversals is not completely understood, they are probably due in large part to the static nature of the stimulus. In the absence of other cues, both front-back and up-down reversals would seem to be quite likely.

Several cues are thought to mitigate reversals. For example, presumably because of the orientation and shell-like structure of the pinnae, high frequencies tend to be more attenuated for sources in the rear than for sources in the front. For the case of static sounds, such cues would essentially be the only clues to disambiguating source location. With dynamic stimuli, however, the situation improves greatly. A variety of studies have shown that allowing listeners to move their heads substantially improves localization ability and can almost completely eliminate reversals. With head motion, the listener can apparently disambiguate front-back locations by tracking changes in the magnitude of the interaural cues over time.

Whether distance can be reliably judged is more problematic. It seems that humans are rather poor at judging the absolute distance of sound sources and relatively little is known about the factors that determine distance perception. Distance judgments depend at least partially on the relative intensities of sound sources, but the relationship is not a straightforward correspondence to the physical roll-off of intensity with distance (the inverse-square law). For example, as noted previously, distance judgment also depends heavily on factors like stimulus familiarity.

The addition of environmental effects can complicate the perception of location in other ways. Blauert (1983) reports that the spatial image of a sound source grows larger and increasingly diffuse with increasing distance in a reverberant environment, a phenomenon that may tend to interfere with the ability to judge the direction of the source. This problem may be mitigated by the phenomenon known as precedence. In precedence, or the “law of the first wave front,” the perceived location of a sound tends to be dominated by the direction of incidence of the original source even though later reflections could conceivably be interpreted as additional sources in different locations.

The impact of the precedence effect is reduced by factors that strengthen the role of the succeeding wave fronts. For example, in large enclosed spaces with highly reflective surfaces, reflections can occur that are both intense enough and delayed enough (i.e., echoes) to act as “new” sound sources, which can
confuse the apparent direction of the original source. Use of absorbent material on surfaces and walls can help mitigate such effects. Space environments are more likely to involve small enclosures with highly reflective surfaces and irregular shapes. The primary difference between small and large enclosures is that in a large room the statistical reverberant field dominates the sound field, while in a small room the sound field is dominated by resonant room modes. In fact, a reverberant sound field does not exist in a small room because the sound energy is not able to develop a diffuse, uniformly random distribution. Since no reverberant field exists in a small room, random placement of absorbing material will not improve the acoustic quality of the room. Instead, placement should coincide with resonant room modes, and consider reflected paths.

5.5.6 Auditory Perception of Complex Multidimensional Sounds

In the previous sections, aspects of auditory perception that may be thought of as primarily unidimensional have been discussed. For example, sounds can be ordered according to their loudness, or tones can be ordered according to their pitch from low to high. The relationship between this perceptual ordering is rather clearly linked to unidimensional physical sound parameters like sound intensity or frequency. In everyday listening, however, humans are routinely faced with much more complex sounds that typically vary in multiple dimensions of physical sound parameters. Furthermore, these complex sounds may arise from multiple sources and multiple locations and mix acoustically into a single, complex acoustic waveform. The task of the auditory system then is to interpret the incoming sound by identifying and parsing out the individual perceptual sound streams that correspond to the sound sources that contributed to the mixed sound. Thus, the auditory system performs an auditory scene analysis, perceptually grouping and organizing the incoming sound mixture into perceptual sources or streams, each with its own pitch, timbre, loudness, location, and identity.

Such complex, multidimensional sounds are often classified as either nonspeech or speech sounds since these types of sound seem to be processed differently by the auditory system. In particular, it seems that when we listen to speech stimuli, a special “speech mode” of perception occurs that is not engaged when we hear nonspeech sounds such as musical instruments, car alarms, or environmental sounds like animal noises or the wind in trees.

5.5.6.1 Nonspeech: Auditory Pattern and Object Perception

When sounds vary only in a single dimension, such as pitch, listeners are able to name and identify a particular sound only when the total number of sounds in the set is less than about 5 or 6 (Pollack, 1952).

For nonspeech sounds, the ability to identify a particular auditory object or sound source among a large number of possible sources is closely related to the ability to recognize the quality or timbre of sounds that vary in multiple dimensions of physical sound parameters. The American Standards Association (1960) defines timbre as “that attribute of auditory sensation in terms of which a listener can judge that two sounds similarly presented and having the same loudness and pitch are dissimilar.” Timbre differences, for example, allow one to distinguish between the same note played on a piano, a cello, or a flute. Timbre and object identification depend on a sound’s distribution of energy over frequency, or its magnitude spectra, as analyzed by critical band filters. Timbre also depends on a sound’s temporal patterning or variability over time; in particular, the presence of onset transients, the “shape” of the temporal envelope, and the repetitiveness or irregularity of the waveform over time.

Bregman’s (1990) research on auditory scene analysis distinguishes between an auditory source (the physical object that produces acoustic pressure waves) and the auditory stream (the percept of a group of successive and/or simultaneous sound elements as a coherent entity that seems to be from a single
source. Thus, the distinction is between the violin being played and the percepts of hearing a violin. When faced with a whole orchestra, the auditory system somehow interprets the incoming sound by identifying and parsing out the individual perceptual sound streams that correspond to the individual instruments that contributed to the complex mix of acoustic waveforms.

The auditory system uses a number of physical acoustic cues to perceptually separate the components arising from different sound sources. These include

- Differences in fundamental frequency
- Disparities in onset time
- Spectral differences compared to preceding sounds
- Changes in frequency and intensity
- Differences in sound source location

Each difference cue on its own is not always a reliable indicator of source separation, but in combination they provide a good basis for parsing an acoustic input into the appropriate sound streams.

Rapid sequences of sounds may be heard as a single sound stream or they may segregate into a number of perceptually distinct sound streams. Perceptual segregation is more likely to occur when components making up the sequence of sounds differ greatly in frequency, amplitude, location, or spectrum, as would normally be the case for different physical sound sources. When elements of a sound are perceptually grouped into two different streams, it is more difficult to judge their temporal order than if they are heard as part of a single stream. For example, if several high- and low-pitched tone bursts are rapidly mixed with each other, they will be heard as two separate sounds, one composed of the low pitches and one composed of the high pitches. Listeners may be able to discriminate the order of the low pitches relative to each other, or the high pitches relative to each other, but not the relative order of the low and high pitches that have been “assigned” to different sound streams.

Principles of perceptual organization that have been described by Gestalt psychology also apply well to the organization of the auditory world. These principles include

- **Similarity.** Sounds will be grouped into a single stream if they are similar in pitch, timbre, loudness, or subjective location.
- **Good Continuation.** Smooth changes in frequency, intensity, location, or spectrum will be perceived as changes in a single source, while abrupt changes will be perceived as a new or different source.
- **Common Fate.** If two components of a sound undergo a similar change at the same time, they will be perceived as part of a single source. This applies particularly to amplitude modulation and to onset and offset times (asynchronies greater than about 30 s are perceived as segregated sound streams).
- **Disjoint Allocation or “Belongingness.”** A single component in a sound can be assigned to only one sound stream at a time.
- **Closure or the “Continuity Effect.”** When parts of a sound are masked, the sound will be perceived as continuous as long as there are no direct acoustic cues that it has been interrupted. For example, when a tone with an upward glide in frequency is alternated with noise bursts, the upward glide is perceived as continuous even though parts of the glide are physically missing.

Attention may also play a role in perceptual organization, as in the figure-ground phenomenon. Attention can be focused on only one sound stream at a time, and that stream is perceived as standing out from a background of other sound streams. Attentional focus may be constrained by the formation of sound streams; it is difficult to pay attention to and make relative judgments about components in two
different streams. Attentional focus may also influence stream formation. For example, when the frequency difference between alternating tones is not large enough to clearly signal different sound streams (about 7 semitones), the tones can be heard as either one or two streams, depending on the listener’s attentional focus.

5.5.6.2 Speech

Like complex nonspeech sounds, speech is a multidimensional stimulus that varies in a complex way in both frequency and time. Speech may be represented as a time-varying waveform (Figure 5.5-11, top panel), or as a static spectrum plot of the magnitude of frequency components (Figure 5.5-11, middle panel), but the representation of speech that is most consistent with the way the auditory system analyzes speech is the spectrogram (Figure 5.5-11, bottom panel). The spectrogram simultaneously plots the dimensions of intensity, frequency, and time. Speech sounds contain energy throughout the audible range, but most of the energy corresponding to speech phonemes is concentrated below 8000 Hz. Phonemes are a categorical classification system that reflects the basic set of sounds that make up the building blocks of a language. American English, for example, has about 41 phonemes. From the spectrogram, one can see the relative spectral content of the different phonemes. Note that fricative phonemes like “f” and plosive phonemes like “t” have considerable energy in the higher frequency range from 4000 to 8000 Hz.

From a practical communications standpoint, communication systems must be designed to transmit frequencies up to about 7000 to 8000 Hz. Otherwise, listeners may have difficulty distinguishing between sounds like “t” and “s.” While communication is clearly possible with standard telephone speech that cuts off at 3500 Hz, there is a greater likelihood that miscommunications and requests for repetition will occur and that speech quality will suffer. The 3500-Hz cutoff for telephone speech was necessitated by technological limitations at the time analog telephone systems were being designed. Modern communication systems, especially those being designed for special applications like the space environment, do not need to conform to this limitation. For example, modern digital voice codecs readily provide bandwidths of 7000 Hz with high quality (e.g., the International Telecommunication Union standard G.711.1). Even greater bandwidth and audio quality can be achieved by using audio codecs designed for entertainment systems. See section 6.6.2.2 of HIDH chapter 6.6, “Acoustics,” and 10.7, “Audio Displays,” for a further discussion of speech communication issues.

Many of the perceptual organizational principles discussed above for nonspeech sounds also apply to speech stimuli. For example, as in the continuity effect, segments of a spoken sentence interrupted by short noise bursts will be heard as continuous speech in a background of noise. If the noise is replaced by silence, the interrupted speech will sound distorted and much less intelligible, presumably because the abrupt onsets and offsets provide misleading cues about which speech sounds or phonemes are present. The phenomenon also points to the special nature of continuous speech perception. While phonemes provide a convenient framework for describing speech sounds, it is difficult to find the cues in the acoustic waveform that unambiguously identify a phoneme in both isolation and continuous speech. For a phoneme to be correctly identified, it may need to be heard as part of a whole syllable or even a group of words.
The figure shows the methods typically used for representing speech sounds, here applied to the words “sing” and “thing” spoken successively by a male speaker. The bottom panel shows a waveform display of these two words, representing the variation in sound pressure (ordinate) over time (abcissa). The upper panel shows the sound spectrogram of the two words at the equivalent moment in time, with frequency plotted on the ordinate and with the relative darkness of the plot indicating magnitude. The horizontal bands of energy correspond to the vocal resonances, or formants, of the voiced portion of the words. The less-defined dark “bloches” correspond to the unvoiced “s” and “th” at the onset of the words, which are less spectrally defined (noisy). Note that the waveform and spectral representations are very similar; the two words are primarily distinguished by their onsets.

Figure 5.5-11. Representation of speech sounds.

Evidence exists that speech is a special type of auditory stimulus that is perceived and processed differently from nonspeech sounds. For example, studies of cerebral asymmetry show that certain parts of the brain are specialized for processing speech while other parts process nonspeech or musical sounds. Also, unlike nonspeech sounds, speech sounds demonstrate categorical perception: small changes in the acoustic signal may be perceived as a change in the identity of the syllable as opposed to a small incremental change in a single dimension like frequency. Speech perception also demonstrates audiovisual integration; identification of speech sounds is influenced by what is seen on the face of the speaker. It is clear that speech is a highly redundant and complex signal that is processed at many levels of the brain. As such, it is remarkably resistant to many types of distortion including background noise, band-limiting, and peak-clipping. Distortion may destroy some cues in the speech waveform, but other cues are often sufficient to convey meaning. However, even if intelligibility remains relatively high, distortions of the speech signal will increase listening effort and fatigue, and reduce speech quality to the point where communication becomes difficult and annoying. The design of modern communication systems should not simply rely on the remarkably forgiving nature of the human auditory system.

5.5.7 Research Needs

RESERVED
5.6 COGNITION

5.6.1 Introduction

Space missions require that crewmembers have knowledge of the spacecraft hardware and software, the ability to acquire new knowledge about the environment, the ability to remember the information, and the ability to reason in novel situations. These abilities, to obtain, use and maintain knowledge, are referred to as cognition.

The purpose of this section is to provide

- A summary of what is currently known about changes in cognition during space flight
- A concise overview of mission-relevant human cognitive abilities
- Recommendations toward human factors design considerations related to human cognitive capabilities
- A review of current performance tests used to assess astronaut cognitive performance
- A discussion of additional cognitive tests that may augment our understanding of cognitive changes during extended space flights

5.6.2 General Cognition

The role of the astronauts’ cognitive abilities increases as their autonomy increases. On longer space missions, astronauts will have to perform well with less training (e.g., under unanticipated circumstances requiring improvisation). The interaction of astronauts with computers and automated systems emphasizes perceiving, attending, thinking, decision-making, and problem-solving (Rasmussen et al., 1994; Sheridan, 2002). To design these types of work systems effectively, designers must apply knowledge of human information-processing capabilities to the design process.

With increasing autonomy required for long-duration missions beyond low Earth orbit, it is imperative that designers have an approximate, intuitive feel for human memory and problem-solving processes that will serve as a context for use in interpreting guidelines, in making design choices in the absence of guidelines, and in communicating with others on the development team.

Space exploration draws heavily on an astronaut’s cognitive functions. Computers excel at rapid and repetitive calculations, but today’s software and hardware are limited by the current knowledge and imagination of mission planners and designers. It is impossible to anticipate unexpected events. Humans are a critical subsystem in space exploration because they possess the ability for spontaneous observation and the integration and interpretation of dynamic situations as a whole. For example, during the second of three EVAs outside of the Challenger Lunar Lander on the Apollo 17 mission, Jack Schmitt’s expertise in geology led to the discovery of a chemically unusual material known as orange soil.

Space flight is a new experience for each astronaut who flies. Given that the brain shows plasticity (i.e., the ability to reorganize neural pathways based on new experiences), it is not surprising that 0g influences the brain. It is not known, however, how cognitive processes will change with very long-duration space travel.
Cognition includes the mental processes of attention, memory, reasoning, decision-making, judgment, and problem-solving. Figure 5.6-1 shows the flow of human cognitive processes according to an information-processing approach. This approach uses the computer as an analog. Computers accept incoming information, store that information for further use, and use it later for computation in solving problems. Likewise, people take information in the form of physical energy from the environment (stimuli), and this physical energy is transformed into chemical energy and then into electrical energy in the course of transmission from the sense organs to the brain. Stored information can later be retrieved, used to solve a problem, and then expressed as output (responses).

Of course the brain processes are much more complex than those of any existing computer. For example, computers do not have the ability to perform certain cognitive functions, including understanding (Dreyfus, 1979), and the model is not useful when trying to understand some questions of interest, such as consciousness. But the information-processing approach can provide a general way to discuss human cognitive functioning.

![Figure 5.6-1. Model of information processing (adapted from Wickens, 1992).](image)

More recently, the parallel-distributed processing model has driven cognitive research (e.g., McClelland & Rumelhart, 1986). This model of pattern recognition is based on the activity of the brain. All processes in the brain are assumed to interact with one another in parallel, and knowledge is thought to reside in the connections and patterns of activation among neural units. Perception, attention, emotion, planning and action, learning and memory, thinking, language, and all other aspects of cognition take place in the brain. Complex cognitive functions arise from the coordinated action of many parts of the brain, in much the same way that a piece of music may reflect the coordinated action of many musicians within an ensemble.

The brain shows plasticity. Any attempt to measure brain processes in and of itself can alter the brain. Evolving in this solar system, on the planet Earth, has determined how we think and what we know. It is known that the communications between some neurons are affected by 0g. It is not known how
cognitive processes will change with very long-duration space travel. We do have some indications of changes that occur on trips of shorter duration. These will be discussed in the following sections.

5.6.3.1 Reaction Time

Reaction time and accuracy are typical dependent variables used in experimental cognitive psychology. Processing speed has a major impact on higher-level cognitive abilities and is extremely vulnerable to neurological insult. Simple reaction time (e.g., is a stimulus present or absent) is shorter than a recognition reaction time (e.g., has the stimulus been presented before), which is shorter than a choice reaction time (e.g., identify which of multiple presented stimuli has been presented before). Miller and Low (2001) determined that the time for motor preparation, such as tensing muscles, and motor response (in this case, pressing a spacebar) was the same in all three types of reaction time test, implying that the differences in reaction time are due to cognitive processing time.

For about 120 years, the accepted figures for mean simple reaction times for college-age individuals have been about 190 ms (0.19 s) for light stimuli and about 160 ms for sound stimuli (Galton, 1899; Fieandt et al., 1956; Welford, 1980; Brebner & Welford, 1980). Auditory reaction times may be quicker than vision reaction times because an auditory stimulus takes only 8 to 10 ms to reach the brain (Kemp, 1973), but a visual stimulus takes 20 to 40 ms (Marshall et al., 1943). Reaction time to touch is intermediate, at 155 ms (Robinson, 1934). Differences in reaction time between these types of stimuli persist whether the subject is asked to make a simple or a complex response (Sanders, 1998).

Reaction time estimates have been shown to be sensitive to a variety of variables. For example, Hick (1952) found that in choice reaction time experiments, response was proportional to log(N), where N is the number of different possible stimuli. This relationship is called “Hick’s Law.” Sternberg (1969) maintained that in recognition experiments, as the number of items in the memory set increases, the reaction time rises proportionately (that is, proportional to N, not to log N), increasing by about 40 ms every time another item was added to the memory set. Table 5.6-1 lists other variables that can affect reaction time estimates.
### Table 5.6-1 Effects of Variables on Reaction Times

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effects of Variables on Reaction Times</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Become more stable with practice (Sanders, 1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Get faster with practice (Ando et al., 2002) (up to 3 weeks of practice)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Get slower with eccentric viewing (Brebner &amp; Welford, 1980)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are fastest with an intermediate level of arousal (Broadbent, 1971; Freeman, 1933; Welford, 1980)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise improves reaction time by increasing arousal (Davranche et al., 2006).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Get slower with increased fatigue (Welford, 1980)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takahashi et al. (2004) studied workers who were allowed to take a short nap on the job, and found that although the workers thought the nap had improved their alertness, there was no effect on choice reaction time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are unaffected by 3 days of fasting, but fasting did impair capacity to do work (Gutierrez et al., 2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Get slower with distractions (Broadbent, 1971; Welford, 1980)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimmel and Poelzl (2006) found that background noise lengthened reaction time by inhibiting parts of the brain’s cerebral cortex.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard et al. (2002) and Lee et al. (2001) found that college students given a simulated driving task had longer reaction times when given a simultaneous auditory task. They drew conclusions about the safety effects of driving while using a cellular phone or voice-based e-mail.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Get faster when the person is alerted that the stimulus will arrive soon (Brebner &amp; Welford, 1980)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are slower when the person has to react to different types of stimuli in mixed order (e.g., a shift in attention; Hsieh, 2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are faster when the person is anxious (Panayiotou &amp; Vrana, 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verlasting (2006) found that deployment to Iraq caused soldiers to have shorter reaction times, but it also increased tension and reduced proficiency at tasks requiring memory and attention.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are faster with moderate doses of caffeine (Lorist &amp; Snel, 1997; McLellan et al., 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are slower with brain injury (Bashore &amp; Ridderinkhof, 2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collins et al. (2003) found that high-school athletes with concussions and headache a week after injury had worse performance on reaction time and memory tests than athletes with concussions but no headache a week after injury.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are slower with minor respiratory tract infections (Smith et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor respiratory tract infections also cause disturbances of sleep.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.6.3.2 Short-Term Sensory Register

All information about the environment enters through the sense organs of the eye, ear, nose, tongue, and skin. Specialized receptor cells contained in each of these organs respond to physical energy from the environment. Information received by the receptor cells is temporarily stored in a memory system referred to as the short-term sensory register. The function of this brief-storage bin is to maintain sensory information until we are capable of interpreting or adding meaning to it. This helps people avoid losing present information while they are processing information that has just occurred.

The receptors are continually receiving information, so the sensory register has to be cleared quickly to avoid superimposing information from two exposures. For vision and audition, the duration of the registers has been extensively studied, and has the following values:

- **Vision**……..300 ms (Sperling, 1960)
- **Audition**…….20 s   (Watkins & Watkins, 1980)

The auditory sensory register’s trace lasts longer than does the visual sensory register’s trace because their requirements differ. Vision simultaneously takes in a lot of information spatially, whereas audition requires integration over time. For example, to understand a spoken sentence, a person has to remember the first part of the sentence while the second part is being uttered.

Masking, or an erasure, of the information in the sensory register can occur if, for example, another object is immediately presented in the location of important information. Therefore, if the intent is for the astronaut to process simplistic information on a visual screen, the designer must permit at least 300 ms before replacing that information with something else. The 300-ms presentation length assumes that the astronaut was attending to that information at the start of the presentation. Similarly, masking of the end of a list of verbal instructions can occur if additional information is immediately provided.

Display designers should be aware of a phenomenon known as “change blindness,” in which a person viewing a visual scene fails to detect large changes in the scene (Rensink et al., 1997). For change blindness to occur, the change in the scene typically has to coincide with some visual disruption such as a saccade (eye movement), a display being turned off even as briefly as 67 ms (Pashler, 1988), or a brief obscuration of the observed scene or image. When a subject is looking at still images, change blindness can be achieved by changing a part of the image within 13 seconds or longer. Change blindness can occur due to a failure to comprehend or store the information in the first place thus, visual short-term register models may be important for understanding the phenomenon). Therefore, designers should not assume that, just because one feature on a complex display has changed, this change will be immediately noticed by the astronaut.

5.6.3.3 Attention

Not all the information reaching the sensory register can be processed in pattern recognition. Attention is the cognitive process of selectively concentrating on one aspect of the environment while ignoring other things. Research has shown that when an object or area is attended, processing operates more efficiently (Gazzaniga et al., 2002; Posner, 1980). Five types of attentional processes have been identified (Figure 5.6-2).
This model of attention is based on the recovering of attention processes in patients coming out of coma (Sohlberg & Mateer, 1989):

1) **Focused attention** is the ability to respond discretely to specific visual, auditory, or tactile stimuli.

2) **Sustained attention** refers to the ability to maintain a consistent behavioral response during continuous and repetitive activity. Among other activities, EVAs require intense sustained attention.

The continuous performance test (CPT) is a widely used procedure for measurement of sustained attention or vigilance (Mass et al., 2000). A variety of CPTs exist, the more commonly used being the Conner’s CPT-II and the Test of Variables of Attention. These attention tests are often used as part of a battery of tests to understand a person’s “executive functioning” or their capacity to sort and manage information.

Although the tests may vary in terms of length and type of stimulus used, the basic nature of the tests remains the same. A series of numbers, symbols, sounds, or letters are presented on a screen. The task is to click a button (or computer mouse) whenever the “target” stimulus is seen, for instance the letter “X.” The letter frequency may be manipulated to favor different types of errors (i.e., errors of omission versus commission). To increase the difficulty, some tests change the task so that the person clicks only if they see the letter “A” before the letter “X” (Conners & Staff, 2000).

3) **Selective attention** refers to the capacity to maintain a behavioral or cognitive set in the face of distracting or competing stimuli. Therefore it incorporates the notion of “freedom from distractibility.” The “cocktail party” effect describes the ability to focus auditory attention on a single talker among a mixture of conversations and background noises, ignoring other conversations (Cherry, 1953). The effect can occur when we are paying attention to one of the sounds around us or when a stimulus suddenly grabs our attention. Much of the early work in this area can be traced to problems faced by air traffic controllers in the early 1950s. At that time, controllers received messages from pilots over loudspeakers in the control tower. Hearing the intermixed voices of many pilots over a single loudspeaker made the controller’s task very difficult. Recent work on spatially separating multiple auditory inputs is promising (Begault, 2004). Design can augment the attended message with further critical information within the same channel. Providing that information within a different channel (e.g., visually) can overload the person’s cognitive capacity.

The human attentional system is superb at homing in on areas of importance. People use cognitive schemas, or mental models, to guide their attentional focus. In design, an effective way to grab the user’s selective attention is to adhere to the user’s mental model of what is expected. To make an item salient, follow conventions. An example of how things can be overlooked when the user’s mental model is not adhered to is seen by “banner blindness.” Banner blindness refers to the finding that people tend to ignore the flashy, colorful banners at the top of web pages.
4) **Alternating attention** refers to the capacity for mental flexibility that allows individuals to shift their focus of attention and move between tasks having different cognitive requirements. The environment around us is full of objects, features, and scenes that compete for our attention. Unfortunately, the human mind is limited in its ability to process information, and simultaneous processing cannot occur without a cost (Gazzaniga et al., 2002). Therefore, shifting of attention is necessary because it allows us to redirect attention to environmental aspects we want to focus on, and subsequently process. These shifts of attention can help facilitate the processing of multiple stimuli. An astronaut’s duties are goal directed and require an adaptive cognitive control system for selecting relevant information, organizing and optimizing the processing of the information. Astronauts continuously assess their ongoing actions and the outcomes of these actions so that they may adjust their behavior to optimize the situation.

Changes in spatial attention can occur with the eyes moving, overtly, or with the eyes remaining fixated, covertly (Wright & Ward, 2008). Before an overt eye movement occurs, when the eyes move to a target location, covert attention shifts to this location (Deubel & Schneider, 1996; Hoffman & Subramaniam, 1995; Kowler et al., 1995; Peterson, Kramer, & Irwin, 2004). However, it is important to keep in mind that attention is also able to shift covertly to objects, locations, or even thoughts while the eyes remain fixated. For example, a person may be driving and keeping their eyes on the road, but then, even though their eyes don’t move, their attention shifts from the road to thinking about what they need to get at the grocery store. The eyes may remain focused on the previous object attended to, yet attention has shifted (Hoffman, 1998).

5) **Divided attention** is the highest level of attention and it refers to the ability to respond simultaneously to multiple tasks or multiple task demands.

Factors that affect attention include

- **Sleep Deprivation** - Attentional focus requires effort and is significantly affected by sleep deprivation.
- **Sedatives** – Some drugs, including some motion sickness medications, decrease vigilance even in the absence of sleepiness. Lessons learned in transportation safety show that tests of vigilance (i.e., tests of sustained attention) seem to be the most sensitive measures for detecting the sedation effects that may contribute to accidents.

System design can aid in the selection and organization of relevant information to reduce the level of cognitive effort required to attend to a stimulus in the environment:

- Information should be prioritized so that the most important or critical information is displayed all the time and less important or critical information can be displayed upon a user’s request (Avery & Bowser, 1992).
- The system should differentiate items of information. It should call a user’s attention to important information, unusual situations, or potential problems that require user action; or indicate changes in the state of a system by highlighting, inverse video, color-coding, or other means (Department of the Navy, 1992; Smith & Mosier, 1986).
- When users are required to monitor multiple displays, important events should occur in all of the displays to promote effective monitoring performance (Warm et al., 1996).
- Coding techniques that have strong attention-getting qualities (for example, color and flashing) should be used sparingly and judiciously (National Air Traffic Services, 1999).
5.6.3.4 Working Memory

How humans store, retrieve, and use information is still poorly understood. Active theories form a continuum ranging from two separate memory systems that communicate and coordinate the information (e.g., Baddeley & Hitch, 1974) to a single memory system with embedded elements. Regardless of which model guides the research, we do know many things about human memory. In this discussion, the view is taken that working memory and long-term memory are separate but coordinated systems.

The transfer of information from the sensory register to working memory is controlled by attention. Working memory refers to the process of temporarily storing and manipulating information over a time span of several seconds. Other terms used (somewhat) interchangeably with working memory include short-term memory, primary memory, and immediate memory.

Working memory has a limited capacity. Early research estimated the number of working memory chunks to be from five to nine in adults (Miller, 1956). More recent research estimates that number to be about four in young adults (Cowan, 2001). This means that a user can actively cope with only a limited number of chunks of information at any given time, and designers need to take account of this fact.

How then can we understand the complex relations between thoughts expressed in a novel or a scientific text? Ericsson and Kintsch (1995) have suggested that people store most of what is read in long-term memory, linking it together through retrieval structures. We need to hold only a few concepts in working memory, which serve as cues to retrieve everything associated with them by the retrieval structures.

Representations in working memory decay unless they are refreshed through an attentional process. Attention is also needed for any concurrent processing task. When small time intervals exist in which the concurrent processing task does not require attention, this time can be used to refresh memory traces. The task’s cognitive load depends on three variables: the difficulty of the information-processing steps, the rate at which the processing task requires individual steps to be carried out, and the duration of each step. For example, if the processing task consists of adding digits, then having to add another digit every half second places a higher cognitive load on the system than having to add another digit every 2 seconds. Adding larger digits takes more time than adding smaller digits, and therefore cognitive load is higher when larger digits are added. A substantial body of research suggests that the degree of task similarity (e.g., two concurrent visual tasks), response similarity (e.g., verbal response on both tasks), and processing stage similarity (e.g., working memory and response) is of great importance in determining the impact of task load on performance.

Working memory capacity can be tested by a variety of tasks. A commonly used measure is a dual-task paradigm combining a memory span measure with a concurrent processing task. For example, Daneman and Carpenter (1980) used “reading span.” Subjects read a number of sentences (usually two to six) and try to remember the last word of each sentence. At the end of the list of sentences, the subjects repeat back the words in their correct order. Other tasks that do not have this dual-task nature have also been shown to be good measures of working memory capacity. The question of what features a task must have to qualify as a good measure of working memory capacity is a topic of ongoing research.

The topic of dual-task performance is particularly relevant for flight operations, because astronauts are routinely given very high task loads. During an 8-day space mission, one astronaut’s dual-task performance (unstable tracking with concurrent memory search) showed a decline over the course of 13 measurements (Manzey et al. 1995). Because astronauts are exposed to multiple stressors, it is not clear which stressors were associated with the decline.
In real life, memory skills must be compatible with interruptions and many other concurrent activities that demand attention. Working memory processes are highly susceptible to interruptions and distractions because information in working memory has to be actively maintained or rehearsed (e.g., repeating a phone number to yourself until you have dialed it). Once this active rehearsal process stops, information in working memory is generally not retrievable. Maintaining information in working memory is an effortful process that requires concentration and mental energy—energy that is then unavailable for other cognitive processing. Information stored in long-term memory is more robust, but retrieval of information can be disrupted by stress, the passage of time, and the normal aging process. Well-learned or -trained information in long-term memory is less susceptible, but not impervious, to forgetting.

5.6.3.5 Long-Term Memory

Once information is in working memory, rehearsal can transfer it into long-term memory. Long-term memory is the heart of human intellectual functioning. It is more than a storage bin of information. It is a dynamic function that guides activities and decisions. Memory is important not only because it is a repository of the past, but also because it serves as an important basis for making plans for the future, plans that are essential to guiding our behavior. Memory assists in our world perception and is an indispensable tool in reasoning and solving daily problems.

Information stored in human memory is not static but rather “reconstructive,” in that recollections are constructed on the fly based on an amalgam of past events (Bartlett, 1932; Reyna & Brainerd, 1995). Unlike data stored in a book or on a computer, in human memory systems new recollections of a past event or information can be influenced by experiences, states of knowledge, beliefs, and feelings that happened after the information was stored—imagine returning to a book that has been on a library shelf for some time, only to find that words and passages from neighboring books were merging into the text. If the neighboring books were on similar topics, it might be very difficult to discern which words were from the original text and which were from neighboring texts. For this reason, human memory is susceptible to misattribution (attributing memories to incorrect sources, such as neighboring books, to continue the library metaphor), or believing that you have seen or heard something you have not.

Humans encode only that information about an event that seems relevant at the time the event takes place, although the memory of the event can be changed if it is thought about in the future. Although this may seem like a shortcoming, it is this process that allows humans to deal with the multitude and complexity of information in the environment without becoming overloaded (Cohen, 1996).

Long-term memory processes are used to remember to perform tasks that we intend to perform in the future (e.g., going to a meeting next Tuesday). This is referred to as prospective memory, as opposed to retrospective memory, which refers to memory for past events. Prospective memory tasks are subject to forgetting when there are no noticeable or conspicuous reminders in the environment that indicate what task needs to be performed and when it needs to be performed. Ideally, according to Einstein and McDaniel (2004), a good reminder will have the following characteristics:

- The reminder will be highly noticeable or attention-grabbing,
- The reminder will have features that bring the desired intention to mind (i.e., a flashing red light might get a user’s attention, but it is not a good reminder if the user does not remember what to do in response to that light), and
- The reminder is noticed at an appropriate time for the user to perform the intended action (i.e., if the reminder is presented too early, the user is susceptible to forgetting to perform the intended action at a later time).
Some tasks are or can become automatic, having no detectible cognitive capacity requirements, leaving resources for other tasks (Logan, 1985; Shiffrin & Schneider, 1977). Studies can determine if a task has been learned to the point of automaticity. The “secondary task method” involves measuring the amount of interference between two tasks. If a certain task can be performed as well with another task as it can alone, that task is assumed to require no capacity. Automaticity is important for normal functioning in everyday tasks. Reading, for example, requires rapid access to the meaning of verbal units such as words, sentences and paragraphs. Yet reading presumably begins with letter recognition. If recognition of each letter required much effort, reading would be painfully slow (as it is for first-graders). Not only is letter recognition automatic, but also access to word meaning seems to occur with little effort.

The following are factors and recommendations to help the astronaut’s working and long-term memory:

- **Codes** – Categories of data should be coded if a user is required to distinguish the data included in the categories rapidly and if the data items are distributed in an irregular way on the display (Department of Defense [DOD], 1989 MIL-HDBK-761A). Codes should be meaningful and consistent, and should be used only for functional, not decorative, purposes (DOD, 1989 MIL-HDBK-761A; National Air Traffic Services, 1999). For example, male and female might be coded M and F rather than 1 and 2. Codes that are assigned a special meaning in a display should be defined at the bottom of the display.

- **Suppressed Information** – When the display of information is temporarily suppressed, an indication of this suppression should be provided on the display. The user should be notified of any significant changes in suppressed information, and should be able to restore suppressed data quickly to the originally displayed form. The system should provide a quick and easy means for restoring suppressed information. The user should be permitted to suppress displayed data not required for the task at hand (CTA, 1996; DOD, 1989 MIL-HDBK-761A).

- **Help System** – When users are in an unfamiliar situation without a clear sense of what is going on, they can and do detect ambiguities that might remain unnoticed by designers. A person who is uncertain about how to proceed with a particular problem can be aided by a task-specific mini-help system that is coaching him or her through the task and constrains choices to those appropriate for task completion.

- **Purpose** – Humans remember information such as steps in a procedure better if they have a clear understanding of the overall goal or purpose of the procedure. Memory performance will be improved by the extent to which users understand why they are performing tasks or procedures (and the logic behind why steps are performed in a certain order – i.e., why b logically follows a, etc.).

- **Knowledge Structures** – In considering the nature of human memory and the processes involved in storage, retention, and retrieval of information, it is clear that humans interacting with computers can and do use existing memories and memory structures to assign a meaning or interpretation to a wide variety of things, regardless of whether that meaning was the one intended by the designers. Consequently, system designers should understand and take account of existing knowledge structures and how people think about domain problems and the problems of interaction.

- **Cues** – Providing appropriate cues tied to the user’s existing knowledge structures in the interface and the flow of interaction can reduce the demands on human learning and memory (Cohen, 1996). Well-learned and meaningful information is more easily retrieved from memory; therefore cues and symbology that relate to the user’s existing knowledge or experience will increase retrieval success. Note that display conventions used among designers are not necessarily the same as those prevalent with the target user community; it is the user’s knowledge structures that should inform design decisions.
• **Information Access** – Procedures and displays should be designed to provide users with access to all of the information needed to perform a task without having to refer to additional information accessible only through memory. Exception: Tasks that require very rapid responses may be performed via “memory items,” but procedures performed from memory should be backed up with written procedures as soon as it is practical to do so.

• **Reminders** – Because humans display lapses of attention and forgetting to perform tasks, procedures and displays should provide users with cues that remind them to perform tasks at the right time (Einstein & McDaniel, 2004). These cues have the following characteristics:
  - Highly noticeable or attention-grabbing
  - Features that bring the desired intention to mind
  - Being noticed at an appropriate time for the user to perform the intended action

• **Immediate Tasks** – Procedures should be developed to minimize deferred tasks. Whenever possible, procedures should instruct users to perform tasks immediately rather than in response to a future event. The best countermeasure for reducing prospective memory errors is to avoid creating situations that require deferring intentions (Einstein & McDaniel, 2004). Immediate performance of a necessary action eliminates the risk of forgetting to perform the action. Although this is an effective solution, it may not be possible or practical to implement in all situations.

• **Task State** – Displays and interfaces should provide clear indications of the state of a given task (i.e., whether a task has been performed, and/or indication of the current progress or step in a complex procedure or checklist). Although repetition and practice protect against many types of memory failures, “routine” tasks that are performed with minimal cognitive effort are susceptible to a different type of memory failure: forgetting whether or not that task has already been performed (Johnson, Hashtroudi, & Lindsay, 1993). Highly trained experts, who may perform complex well-learned tasks with little cognitive effort, can be particularly susceptible to this type of memory failure precisely because they are experts.

• **Stress** – High levels of stress or anxiety can impair working memory function as well as recall of information from memory. Procedures and displays designed to be used during highly stressful situations (e.g., emergency procedures) should assume degraded memory performance. Research suggests that, under stress, tasks that involve working memory processes such as performing mental calculations or mentally visualizing a spatial layout are impaired. Long-term memory performance shows a pattern similar to attentional “narrowing” under stress, in which retrieval of information in long-term memory is restricted to habits that are well-learned or overlearned (Driskell, Willis, & Cooper, 1992). Thus, in some instances, individuals may revert to earlier learned behaviors or response patterns under stress. These findings suggest that emergency procedures should be extensively trained, and that the procedures themselves should be as compatible as possible with normal, regularly performed procedures.

### 5.6.3.6 Problem-Solving, Reasoning, and Decision-Making

Space flight is a complex, dynamic environment. It is characterized by the need for astronauts to make multiple interdependent, real-time decisions in reaction to both external changes and the effects of their past decisions. Logical decision-making is an important part of all science-based professions, in which specialists apply their knowledge in a given area to making informed decisions.

Decision-making can be regarded as an outcome of cognitive processes (reasoning and emotion) leading to the selection of a course of action among several alternatives in the real world. The context in which a decision is made can have an immense effect on decisions. The strategy used for processing
information, for example, depends on the number of alternatives to be considered. When the decision problem has two or three alternatives, people often use decision strategies that process all relevant information. When faced with more complex choice problems involving many alternatives, people often adopt simplifying heuristic strategies that are much more selective in the use of information.

Factors other than decision task properties can affect how a person decides how to solve a particular decision problem. Prior task knowledge (Alba & Hutchinson, 1987; Chi, Glaser, & Farr, 1988), expertise (Shanteau, 1988), and social factors (Tetlock, 1985) can all affect how one makes a decision. Flexibility of response to decision tasks is a key to survivability (Feldman & Lindell, 1990). For example, either Judy Resnick or Ellison Onizuka had the presence of mind to turn on Mike Smith’s emergency air packs immediately after the Challenger explosion even though this option was never taught during ascent training.

Because people adjust their decision strategies depending on the decision task, decisions can sometimes be improved by rather straightforward, inexpensive changes to the information environment. However, making the information available is not enough; the available information must also be able to be processed (e.g., spatially co-locating information that has to be compared).

The contingent nature of decision processes also has important implications for user preferences. A person’s preferences can be affected by subtle changes in the presentation of information or changes in the way questions are asked (Tversky & Sattath, 1979). The order of elements of a choice set can affect the preference order. This is relevant to the Constellation program because great emphasis is given to astronaut preferences toward design decisions.

The concern is for how a person makes a decision about complex problems that may not have arisen during training. People learn how to handle (or mishandle) risky decisions as a result of their experience of having to deal with different types of problems encountered at different times. When presented with a fresh problem, they may recall the types of problem in their previous experience that most resemble the one that now confronts them and apply whatever approach they considered successful.

Research on problem-solving by experts is relevant to astronaut problem-solving strategies. Through the initial planning and design activity, the expert hierarchically decomposes the problem into subproblems or subactivities (Simon, 1973). In this way the actual production of the solution or product, which would be taxing to working memory, is simplified and the task is limited to a succession of subproblems.

Research using naturalistic methods shows that in situations with greater time pressure, higher stakes, or increased ambiguities, experts use intuitive decision-making rather than structured approaches, following a recognition-primed decision approach to fit a set of indicators into the expert’s experience and immediately arrive at a satisfactory course of action without weighing alternatives. Also, recent robust decision efforts have formally integrated uncertainty into the decision-making process.

Recognition-primed decision (RPD) is a model of how people make quick, effective decisions when faced with complex situations. In this model, the decision-maker is assumed to generate a possible course of action, compare it to the constraints imposed by the situation, and select the first course of action that is not rejected. This technique has benefits in that it is rapid, but it is subject to serious failure in unusual or misidentified circumstances. It seems to be a valid model for how human decision-makers make decisions.

The RPD model identifies a reasonable reaction as the first one that is immediately considered. RPD combines two ways of developing a decision; the first is recognizing which course of action makes sense, and the second, evaluating the cause of action through imagination to see if the actions resulting from that decision make sense. However, the difference of being experienced or inexperienced plays a major factor in the decision-making processes.
RPD reveals a critical difference between experts and novices when they are presented with recurring situations. Experienced people will generally be able to come up with a decision more quickly because the situation may match a prototypical situation they have encountered before. This is one of the primary reasons for flight and ground crew participation in numerous mission simulations before the flight. Novices, lacking this experience, must cycle through different possibilities, and tend to use the first course of action that they believe will work. The inexperienced also have the tendency to use trial and error through their imagination.

A seasoned decision-maker will spend much more time and energy trying to diagnose a problem, and distinguishing between different explanations, than in comparing alternative solutions to a problem. This is where decision aiding could be beneficial, not in providing options for the person, but in problem diagnosis. Decision aids could also help with simulating the course of action chosen by the expert. The aid could help to identify weaknesses in the plan and provide improvement options.

Situation awareness (SA) involves being aware of what is happening around you to understand how information, events, and your own actions will affect your goals and objectives, both now and in the near future. SA has been recognized as a critical, yet often elusive, foundation for successful decision-making across a broad range of complex and dynamic systems, including aviation and air traffic control (e.g., Nullmeyer, Stella, Montijo, & Harden 2005), emergency response and military command and control operations (e.g., Blandford & Wong, 2004; Gorman, Cooke, & Winner 2006), and offshore oil and nuclear power plant management (e.g., Flin & O’Connor, 2001). Thus, SA is especially important in work domains where the information flow can be quite high and poor decisions may lead to serious consequences (e.g., piloting an airplane, functioning as a soldier, or treating critically ill or injured patients).

Designers of systems that provide decision support to humans should be aware of cognitive biases that can creep into human decision-making processes so that they can mitigate (or take advantage of) these biases. Table 5.6-2 lists some of the more commonly debated cognitive biases organized according to design considerations.
## Table 5.6-2 Cognitive Biases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Information integration, communication, and presentation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Selective perception – We actively screen out information that we do not think is salient or important (e.g., Web site banner blindness).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wishful thinking or optimism bias – We tend to want to see things in a positive light and this can distort our perception and thinking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anchoring and adjustment – Our decisions are unduly influenced by initial information that shapes our view of subsequent information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underestimating uncertainty and the illusion of control – We tend to underestimate future uncertainty because we tend to believe we have more control over events than we really do. We believe we have control to minimize potential problems in our decisions. Displays could include the probability of events determined by the “learning” software.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selective search for evidence – We tend to be willing to gather facts that support certain conclusions but disregard other facts that support different conclusions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inertia – We have an unwillingness to change thought patterns that we have used in the past in the face of new circumstances.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choice-supportive bias – We distort our memories of chosen and rejected options to make the chosen options seem relatively more attractive.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision Aids</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Premature termination of search for evidence – We tend to accept the first alternative that looks like it might work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repetition bias – We have a willingness to believe what we have been told most often and by the greatest number of different sources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group think – We experience peer pressure to conform to the opinions held by the group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source credibility bias – We reject something if we have a bias against the person, organization, or group to which the person belongs: we are inclined to accept a statement by someone we like. Similar to the operant behavior of lab animals (no insult intended), human trust in automation is predictable. Itoh, Abe, &amp; Tanaka (1999) found that, if the automation continuously malfunctions, the user’s trust will significantly decrease until the automation is no longer used, and that the longer the automation malfunctions, the longer the human distrusts it. On the other hand, occasional malfunctions have less of an effect on trust. The user may not depend on the automation for a difficult task, but this mistrust does not last long.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incremental decision-making and escalating commitment – We look at a decision as a small step in a process and this tends to perpetuate a series of similar decisions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attribution asymmetry – We tend to attribute our success to our abilities and talents, but we attribute our failures to bad luck and external factors. We attribute others’ success to good luck, and their failures to their mistakes. This bias explains the common reaction to ASRS reports: “I would know better than to do that.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role fulfillment (self-fulfilling prophecy) – We conform to the decision-making expectations that others have of someone in our position.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.6.3.7 Creative Thinking

Human space flight, particularly autonomous space flight, requires creative thinking. Play, or time off, is perhaps the most effective way to stimulate creative thinking. Astronaut schedules should allow adequate time off. Absence of time off may lead the problem-solver to become fixated on inappropriate strategies for solving a problem. The results of several tests have implications for creative thinking, such as the Wisconsin Card Sorting task and the Stroop task. In the Stroop task, for example, human subjects are asked to read color names presented in conflicting ink colors (for example, the word “RED” in green ink). Cognitive control is needed to perform this task, as the relatively overlearned and automatic behavior (word reading) has to be inhibited in favor of a less practiced task—naming the ink color. Both of these tests have been used in space analog environments.

5.6.4 Space Flight Stressors

The success and effectiveness of human space flight depends on the ability of crewmembers to maintain a high level of cognitive performance while operating and monitoring sophisticated instrumentation. Astronauts, however, commonly experience stressors known to impair human information-processing capabilities, including circadian disturbances, sleep deprivation, persistent “head stuffiness” as a result of 0g, long work hours, compressed work schedules, stress from high-risk and dangerous environments, noise, medication, and isolation from family. The deleterious effects of stress on performance are profound and pervasive. Stress has been shown to result in narrowed attention (Combs & Taylor, 1952; Easterbrook, 1959), decreased search behavior (Streufert & Streufert, 1981), longer reaction time to peripheral cues and decreased vigilance (Wachtel, 1968), degraded problem-solving (Yamamoto, 1984), and performance rigidity (Straw, Sandelands, & Dutton, 1981).

Some of the short-term space flight stressors are described below.

- **Noise stress.** Cognitive tasks are much more affected by noise stress than are psychomotor tasks (Theologus et al., 1973).
  - **Sustained attention:** Noise is associated with critical errors on tasks that require sustained attention (Cohen & Weinstein, 1981).
  - **Working memory:** When noise is uncontrollable, as it is on the ISS and will be on Orion, it results in increased errors on tasks requiring working memory such as digit recall (Finkelman, Zeitlin, Romoff, Friend, & Brown, 1979). Studies of dual-task performance indicate that people under noise stress tend to devote more effort to primary task performance at the expense of secondary tasks (Finkelman & Glass, 1970).
  - **Judgment and decision-making:** Broadbent (1979) found that under noise stress, when the probability of a signal was high, people were more likely to assert that they were confident that a signal was present or was not present (i.e., greater confidence in judgments).

- **Stress arising from threat and danger.** A threat-provoking situation is one in which dangerous and novel environmental events pose the potential for pain or discomfort.
  - **Problem-solving:** In realistic flight simulations, Smith (1979) found that multiple system failures resulted in numerous procedural errors by 20 flight crews, many of them arising from poor coordination among crewmembers.

- **Time pressure.** Many tasks assigned to the astronaut have some degree of temporal urgency.
  - **Decision-making:** Time pressure affects the way people make decisions (Perrow, 1984), particularly affecting the mental simulation required to evaluate the course of action...
(Klein, 1996). Although reaction times tend to decrease under time pressure, so does accuracy (Lulofs, Wennekens, & Van Houtern, 1981). When under time pressure, people accentuate negative evidence and use less information in making decisions (Wright, 1974).

- **Fatigue and Circadian Disruption.** There are several explanations for the fatigue reported by astronauts upon return from space missions, including long work hours, compressed work schedules, anxiety produced by high-risk and dangerous environments, and persistent and high levels of auditory noise. In addition, astronauts commonly experience sleep disruption, sleeping only approximately 6 hours per day while in orbit (Monk et al., 1998). Laboratory experiments have shown that chronic reduction of sleep to 6 hours per day leads to cognitive performance impairment (Van Dongen et al., 2003). In addition, in space, circadian rhythms are disrupted because the 24-hour day-night cycle is absent.

  - **Sustained attention:** Sleep deprivation leads to reduced activation of attentional networks (in the frontal and parietal lobes) during a range of cognitive tasks.
  - **Memory:** Over the last 10 years, scientists have come to appreciate the complex relationships between sleep and memory. Not only does sleep prepare the brain for encoding new memories, sleep also provides an opportunity for the brain to consolidate and integrate recently learned information. At the molecular level, this memory formation is thought to depend on a process known as long-term potentiation, which strengthens the connections between nerve cells in a manner that makes it easier for signals to pass between them, as happens when memories are later recalled. Thus, sleep can make memories more stable, so that they are more resistant to interference and decay.
    - Declarative memories, such as a sequence of facts, benefit from adequate sleep, especially when subjects are also challenged with subsequent, competing information. After sleep, memories are resilient to disruption.
    - Procedural and motor memories, such as learning a certain sequence of dance steps, take root more solidly when paired with adequate rest.
    - Object identification is faster when the objects are studied the night before and subjects receive adequate sleep that night.
    - Motor responses (e.g., typing a sequence of numbers) are faster and more accurate when practiced the night before and subjects receive adequate sleep that night.
    - **Problem-solving:** Sleep also can identify, extract, and store key features of memories, leaving a memory that is more useful the next day. Thus a night of sleep can increase the likelihood that the solution to an ongoing problem will be realized (e.g., discovering a hidden shortcut for a mathematical procedure that you laboriously practiced the night before). Lack of sleep has been associated with a reduced ability to adequately prioritize tasks.

- **Hypoxia.** The brain uses approximately 20% of a person’s total oxygen uptake and is therefore very vulnerable to reduction in oxygen partial pressure. Thus the earliest effects of hypoxia, or insufficient oxygen in the body, are the impairment of cerebral functions. There is wide variation between individuals, so it is not possible to predict when mental impairment may occur. The following higher-level cognitive functions are affected by insufficient oxygen (Townes et al., 1984):
  - Judgment and decision-making
  - Communication
  - Reaction times for problem-solving (e.g., tasks such as coding, number comparison, compass tasks, and pattern comparison; Bandaret & Lieberman, 1989)
  - Concentration
  - Normal inhibitory functions of common sense
Using Mt. Everest climbers as analogs for astronauts in deep space flight, Lieberman, Morey, Hochstadt, Larson, and Mather (2005) used the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test\(^1\) (WCST) and mental arithmetic tests to simulate operational tasks encountered in space flight. WCST performance translates to the ability to change plans when circumstances change. At higher altitudes, error rates on the WCST and arithmetic tests tend to increase, and it takes longer to comprehend the meanings of sentences.

Time, exercise, cold, illness, and fatigue all influence the effects of hypoxia:

- The longer the time of exposure, the greater the effect.
- Exercise increases the demand for oxygen.
- Energy is required to generate heat to overcome low temperature, and this increases the demand for oxygen.
- Illness similarly increases the body’s energy demands.
- Fatigue lowers the threshold for hypoxia symptoms.

- **Cosmic Radiation.** Acute and late central nervous system (CNS) risks from space radiation are worrisome for extended exploration missions to the Moon or Mars. Acute CNS risks include altered cognitive function, reduced motor function, and behavioral changes, which may affect performance and human health. The late CNS risks are possible neurological disorders such as dementia or premature aging. Radiation and synergistic effects of radiation with other space flight factors may affect neural tissues, which in turn may lead to changes in function or behavior (NASA Human Research Program, 2008).

Although some studies have shown that stressors can disrupt performance, other studies have focused on how the responses to stressors can sometimes be adaptive. For example, although noise as a stressor does reduce the range of cues attended to, this narrowing of attention can help focus attention on the critical part of the task (Hockey, 1970). In addition, moderate levels of time stress can improve performance, particularly in experts (Shanteau, 1988).

5.6.5 Cognitive Metrics

The ability to measure cognitive performance and its decrements may be important to assess readiness for critical tasks during long-duration space missions. Cognitive performance tests have been developed for the early assessment of performance decrements in the military, aviation, clinical psychology, and education. As of the mid-1990s, no objective measures had been taken of cognitive performance in astronauts (Fiedler, 2004). This need was recognized after a variety of stressors affected performance on *Mir*. Since then, several tests have been developed to assess possible changes in astronaut cognition during space travel; these include the Automated Neuropsychological Assessment Metrics (ANAM), Psychomotor Vigilance Test (PVT), NASA Performance Assessment Workstation (PAWS), MiniCog Rapid Assessment Battery (MRAB), and WinSCAT performance scales. Except for WinSCAT, these tests are not intended to be diagnostic tools, but they enable investigators to compare an astronaut’s preflight, in-flight, and postflight scores to determine the relationship between space flight and cognitive abilities.

---

\(^1\) In the WCST, a number of stimulus cards are presented to the participant. The participant is not told how to match the cards, but is told whether a particular match is right or wrong. The mistakes made during this learning process are analyzed to arrive at a score.
5.6.5.1 Cognitive Performance Tests

1) Automated Neuropsychological Assessment Metrics

ANAM is a validated, computerized cognitive testing battery consisting of a comprehensive set of cognitive subtests, a sleepiness rating scale, and a mood scale. It was developed over 15 years ago to assess the cognitive side effects of chemical warfare antidotes and pretreatment agents. ANAM has since been used to assess change in cognitive functioning secondary to pharmaceuticals.

The WinSCAT and PAWS cognitive tests are based on ANAM, which was developed by the Department of Defense, and have been used to assess astronaut cognitive performance in flight. There is a desire to have a computerized testing scheme for many reasons:

- For long-duration missions, new tests can be uplinked.
- The computer can administer the test in a strictly standardized, objective manner (subtle differences in how physicians administer tests can be eliminated).
- Computers allow flexibility in the presentation of test stimuli, facilitating changes in test characteristics (e.g., presentation time, colors).
- Computers can easily collect a wealth of information about an individual’s test performance, including accuracy and RT.
- The computer can store, sort, and retrieve enormous amounts of information.
- Computerized tests can be designed to permit repeated testing and are therefore suitable for tracking the astronaut’s status over time, including response to countermeasures.

Computerized measures are meant to provide initial hypotheses for further exploration, particularly if the test being administered is not comprehensive. The American Psychological Association (APA) Committee on Professional Standards and Committee on Psychological Tests and Assessment have published guidelines for computer-assisted evaluations.

2) WinSCAT

The WinSCAT has been used on many ISS missions. The number of astronauts taking the test is low and schedule demands sometimes take priority over repeated measures on the test.

The WinSCAT cognitive testing system was used on the 2007 Flashline Mars Arctic Research Station (FMARS) at Devon Island, Canada (Kobrick, 2007; Osburg & Sipes, 2004; Osburg, Sipes, & Fiedler, 2003). FMARS simulates a Mars mission because the island is very remote, the crew follows protocols such as wearing spacesuits every time they leave the habitat, an air revitalization protocol exists, the crew quarters are limited, the walls are not soundproof, distance communication technologies are used, physical training is used as a countermeasure to stress, and the Martian day (which is 39 minutes longer than an Earth day) is simulated.

3) NASA Performance Assessment Workstation

In the mid-1990s, the Air Force studied the effects of 0g on astronaut cognitive performance (Schlegel et al., 1995) using the Performance Assessment Workstation. The tests measured working memory, spatial processing, directed attention, tracking, and dual-task timesharing. The PAWS battery included a Mood Scale, Unstable Tracking, Spatial Matrix Rotation, Stenberg Memory Search, Continuous Recognition Memory, Directed Attention--Manikin and Mathematical Processing, Dual-Task, and a Fatigue Scale. Schlegel et al. (1995) found evidence for PAWS software reliability by measuring the effect of practice and practice schedules. In addition, they generated a database for classifying astronaut performance.
4) The MiniCog Rapid Assessment Battery

MRAB was developed on a personal digital assistant (PDA) platform with the intent of providing a portable cognitive testing device for astronauts. MRAB consists of nine cognitive tasks (including sustained attention, selective attention, divided attention, verbal and spatial working memory, cognitive flexibility as measured by the Odd-Man-Out test and the Wisconsin Card Sorting Task, reasoning, mental rotation, and perceptual reaction times for picture matching and sentence comprehension).

Because the number of people who have experienced space flight is limited, researchers have simulated space flight in long-term isolation experiments on the ground. Cautious interpretation of these analogous environment studies is recommended since the effect of weightlessness cannot be taken into consideration.

MRAB has been used in several space analog environments. Lieberman et al. (2005) measured cognitive abilities in mountain climbers ascending Mt. Everest. Mountain climbing is considered a space analog because the environment is hypoxic, a small group is in close contact for an extended period, critical decisions are required, and many situations are life-threatening. Results showed that RT increases, cognitive flexibility decreases, and math error rates increase with elevation.

The small display size of the PDA proved challenging for test developers. Many previously validated tests had to be modified to fit on the display screen. In addition, the PDA durability in the testing environments was not adequate (Orasanu, personal communication).

5) Psychomotor Vigilance Test

The PVT was developed through Dinges’ work with the National Space Biomedical Research Institute, NASA, the Department of Defense, and the National Institutes of Health. It measures reaction times and sustained attention, and the data is correlated with the astronaut’s sleep-wake cycle, stress, self-reported workload, mood, and interpersonal interactions. Dinges collected PVT, physiological, and subjective data during three NASA Extreme Environment Mission Operations (NEEMO) missions (2006-2008). NEEMO is considered a space analog because it involves a small team working and solving problems together for a long period in an isolated and confined environment with noise and communication delays.

6) Measure Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI) Tests

Following are just a few of the available computerized cognitive tests developed for MCI.

- CogScreen (Kay, 1995) is a group of 11 tests that takes about 30 minutes to complete. It is designed to detect subtle cognitive deficits that could affect aviation performance and is sensitive to mild neuropsychiatric disorder in general.
- MicroCog (Powell et al., 1996) was designed to assess mild cognitive decline in physicians and has been used extensively in older adults.
- The Cambridge Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery (CANTAB; Sahakian & Owen, 1992) includes computerized versions of several common neuropsychological tasks, accompanied by novel measures of RT and executive problem-solving, and has been used extensively in pharmacology and neurotoxicology research. With CANTAB, patients are tested with a touch-sensitive screen that determines motor response time, spatial recognition, and working memory. It also includes an electronic version of the Shallice Tower of London. This is a test of planning using increasingly complex puzzles.
• VigTrack and MAT tests are both used to assess vigilance in pilots and astronauts.

5.6.5.2 Test Validity, Reliability, Sensitivity, and Specificity

It is critical to ensure cognitive test validity, reliability, sensitivity, and specificity. A valid assessment is one that measures what it is intended to measure. For example, it would not be valid to assess astronaut EVA skills through a written test alone. A more valid way of assessing EVA skills would be through a combination of tests that help determine what an astronaut knows, such as through a written test and performance assessment in an analog environment (such as underwater). Reliability relates to the consistency of an assessment. A reliable assessment is one that consistently achieves similar results with the same (or similar) cohort of astronauts. Various factors affect reliability – including ambiguous questions, too many options, vague instructions, and poor training on the test. Sensitivity refers to the proportion of astronauts with a cognitive decrement who show this decrement on the test. Specificity refers to the proportion of astronauts without a decrement who do not show a decrement on the test. Because the astronaut population is small and the number of tests that have been given is even smaller, cognitive tests for astronauts may not have sufficient validity, reliability, sensitivity, and specificity. For example, because cognitive abilities lie on a continuum, some tests may not be sufficiently sensitive to detect MCI, particularly since most tests were designed for clinical populations such as patients with parkinsonism, Alzheimer dementia, schizophrenia, or concussion. In addition, sufficient practice to reach performance stability on cognitive assessment tests is critical (Schlegel et al., 1995).

5.6.6 Quantitative Models of Human Cognition

Understanding when the human operator is most vulnerable to stressors will permit the development and evaluation of mitigation strategies to reduce the likelihood of life- or mission-threatening contexts. Cognitive models and human performance models are two methods that have been used to predict these vulnerabilities.

Process models are analytic models rooted in theory that describes the processes by which a human output is generated and, as such, describe human performance within the system context, rather than simply predicting the results of the human’s actions. The human processes that are embedded in the computational framework are based on empirical research collected over the past 50 to 60 years. Process models include human cognitive models and enable predictions of behavior based on elementary information processing, visual and auditory perception and attention, working memory, long-term memory, decision-making, response selection, and response execution models of human behaviors. The human process models are generally more powerful than those that simply describe observable behavior, because they can be generalized to a wider range of tasks and applications.

Models that predict human output (e.g., task timing and success) without predicting the range of human processes (i.e., attention and cognition) involved are known as performance models. Performance models use a set of relationships between input and output states to predict or describe the outputs of a person or a person-machine system for a given set of inputs. Such a performance-oriented model places no requirement on the internal structure, or even the validity, of the internal model processes. For example, a performance-oriented model may be used to simply ask whether a task that was programmed occurred, not that all of the correct processes were followed to complete the task. All that is desired is that the model produce useful (i.e., in the application context) outputs for specified inputs.
5.6.6.1 Human Performance Models

Human performance models (HPMs) use computer models of human processes to represent virtual human agents interacting with technologies and procedures in an operational environment. HPMs can take many forms, from the purely cognitive models built from empirical research and theories of human processes (e.g., attention, perception, decision-making, response times, and response characteristics) to digital human models, or physical models of human anthropometry, biomechanics, posture, movement, bones, and anatomy. Cognitive and physical HPMs can be combined to produce an integrated HPM that simulates human responses and predicts how humans interact with advanced technologies (Gore & Smith, 2006).

HPM simulations can be used early in the development process of a product, system, or technology to formulate procedures and training requirements, and to identify system vulnerabilities where potential human-system errors are likely to arise. These computational simulations have cost and efficiency advantages over waiting for the concept to be fully designed and used in practice (characteristic of human-in-the-loop tests). The system model development process allows the product designer, system, or technology to fully examine many aspects of human-system performance with new technologies. Proper validation of an HPM permits its application over a broader range of test conditions that, in turn, possesses implications for operator safety, operator productivity, and efficient system design.

A key to both the human process and HPM simulation methodology is that the human operator is simulated rather than physically present in the simulation environment. Only the characteristics associated with the simulated operator’s performance are contained in the environment, and workload predictions, timing considerations, and system state information are produced as outputs of the model. Thus, the risks to the human operator and the costs associated with system experimentation are greatly reduced: no experimenters, no subjects, and no testing time (Gore & Corker, 2001).

Larger-scale HPMs have been used successfully in aerospace and military domains to visualize and evaluate human performance with conceptual designs (Corker & Smith, 1993; Corker, Lozito, & Pisanich, 1995; Gore & Corker, 2000; Gore & Milgram, 2006; Gore & Smith, 2006; Pew, Gluck, & Deutsch, 2005). Specific application domains of HPMs include emergency operator responding to emergencies in a telephone routing experiment, process control procedures undertaken in response to steam threshold violations, helicopter search and rescue procedures, astronaut (Space Shuttle) procedures in response to helium malfunction with two display suites on ascent, mission specialists conducting experiments in 0g according to different schedules of performance, examination of flight deck procedures for conceptual systems (e.g., the 1990s National Airspace System concept of “free flight” or the synthetic vision display concepts of the early 2000s), closed-loop modeling of time estimation and workload impact on task schedules for air traffic control performance, and more recent NextGen concepts requiring multi-crew situation awareness simulations of airport surface navigation.

Six NASA-related examples of larger-scale HPMs highlight the mission-related criticality of the HPMs developed for NASA in the 1999 through 2007 timeframe. In addition, one Army large-scale HPM effort illustrates the cross-domain applicability of the HPM approach to various command and control operations. A NASA HPM tool termed the Man-Machine Integration Design and Analysis System (MIDAS) has generated data output that was critical to support NASA’s mission—human response times with conceptual designs and procedures for the Radio Technical Commission for Aeronautics (RTCA) concept of operations termed Free Flight (RTCA, 1995). MIDAS was used to predict the impact of procedural changes and advanced technologies on air traffic control performance. Corker, Gore, Fleming, and Lane (2000) addressed the issue of controller workload as a function of the mix of aircraft self-separating in the controllers’ airspace. The study combined part-task simulation and follow-up human performance modeling to understand the mechanisms of impact of a procedural change.
without any corresponding change to the controllers’ technical support. The model predicted and the part-task study found an increase in certain aspects of controller workload in such mixed equipage settings.

HPMs have been developed to

- Support the Crew Exploration Vehicle display design in concert with human-in-the-loop simulation testing.
- Generate performance predictions (task timing and workload) and recommend procedural redesigns for operators working in a time-constrained 0g environment.
- Generate more accurate predictions of performance and operator error when operators engage in repetitive task performance.
- Generate more accurate predictions of performance and operator error during periods of high workload in time-pressured environments.

HPMs have indeed been used as a methodology to identify points when the human is vulnerable to error, which then perturbs into the system. The NASA Human Performance Modeling Element of the Aviation Safety Program illustrated this in a 5-year program that saw five modeling teams (Atomic Component of Thought – Rationale [ACT-R], ACT-R + Improved Performance Research Integration Tool [IMPRINT], Air Man-Machine Integration Design and Analysis System [Air MIDAS], Distributed Operator Model Architecture [D-OMAR], and Attention-Situation Awareness – salient, effort, expectancy, valuable [ASA-SEEV]) exercise their modeling architectures in various aviation-related operational contexts (human error modeling during taxi operations, human visual requirements modeling during approach and landing operations). The models produced task timelines and task interleaving, error models, timing performance predictions, workload estimates, and situation awareness measures at various levels of fidelity, which were subjected to extensive validation efforts with human-in-the-loop simulation data. The five simulation efforts that were undertaken as part of a number of NASA programs are clear examples of when HPM simulations generated output that was critical to support NASA’s aerospace missions.

For the Army, HPMs have been used to serve in support of battle management and opposing force simulation development in the Agent-Based Model Representation (AMBR) program. AMBR supported parallel development of ATC simulations in several model architectures (Executive Process-Interactive Control – Soar [EPIC-Soar], Distributed Cognition [DCOG], Cognition as a Network of Tasks / iGEN™ [COGEN/iGEN™], and ACT-R). The report provides comparisons of the performance of models against that of humans in task interleaving, subjective workload and response times under several display conditions, and either with or without high-level architecture inter-model communication protocols. The analysis provides a relative performance comparison of the models.

HPMs have been under development for a number of years. The practical application of these models illustrates that the HPM approach and modeling human cognition, when framed correctly, provide real-world value for NASA missions, particularly when designing complex systems. HPMs can provide answers to design questions rapidly and many “what-if” design questions can be answered by tweaking the “baseline” HPMs that have been developed with the proposed augmentations in the future system under consideration.

5.6.6.2  Cognitive Models

Cognitive models and their architectures have been successfully used to evaluate cognitive design issues primarily in lower level human-computer interaction environments (Anderson, 1993; Lebiere, 2002). Some of the more commonly used cognitive architectures are the ACT-R, Soar, and EPIC. ACT-R
accounts for regularities in cognitive skill such as the recognition and recall of verbal material (e.g., the fan effect), and regularities in problem-solving strategies (Anderson, 1993; Anderson & Lebiere, 1998). Validation of ACT-R has generally been in the area of small problem-solving or memory tasks (pattern matching) given that it is best suited to modeling these low-level behaviors, while larger-scale efforts to validate ACT-R are beginning to occur, as completed in the AMBR (Gluck & Pew, 2005) and the NASA HPM project (Foyle & Hooey, 2007).

Soar (State, Operator And Result) is a parallel matching, parallel firing rule-based system that aims to represent both procedural and declarative knowledge and is used to model all capabilities of an artificially intelligent system and to model human cognition and behavior (Newell, 1990). Pew and Mavor (1998) outline that Soar has been applied extensively as a cognitive architecture and has been validated against human behavior in a wide variety of tasks including natural-language comprehension (Lewis, 1996, 1997a, 1997b), syllogistic reasoning (Polk & Newell, 1995), concept acquisition (Miller & Laird, 1996), use of a help system (Peck & John, 1992, Ritter & Larkin, 1994), learning and use of episodic information (Altmann & John, 1999), and various human-computer interaction tasks (Howes & Young, 1996, 1997; Rieman, 1996).

EPIC is a visual processing model that represents foveal, parafoveal, and peripheral vision. The output processors are items in modality-specific partitions of a working memory structure. Simulation outputs from the visual dimension are in terms of time to detect information and changes in ocular motor processors. In the auditory dimension, output is in terms of a temporally chained representation that decays with time. Tactile information is simply a time-based identification. EPIC has undergone a number of low-level validation efforts and has successfully produced both qualitative and quantitative data for the psychological refractory period (Meyer & Kieras, 1997), a dual tracking/stimulus-response task (Kieras & Meyer, 1997), a tracking/decision-making task (Kieras & Meyer, 1997), verbal working-memory tasks (Kieras et al., 1998), computer interface menu search, and a telephone operator call-completion task (Kieras, Woods, & Meyer, 1997).

5.6.7 Research Needs

In Living Aloft: Human Requirement for Extended Spaceflight, Connors et al. (1985) suggest that a coordinated and integrated use of assessment approaches will provide the data needed to understand human performance requirements during space flight. Research should tease out the effects of space flight stressors on cognitive performance so that appropriate countermeasures can be developed.

Test sensitivity and specificity should be determined for the WinSCAT performance scales in analog environments or astronaut cohort groups, similar to the previous work in determining test sensitivity and specificity in clinical samples.
5.7 COGNITIVE WORKLOAD

Workload is the effort invested by the human operator in task performance; it arises from the interaction between a particular task and the performer. Workload may be associated with either physical or mental load. This section will focus on the mental (cognitive) aspects of workload. (The physical aspects are described in section 5.2, “Physical Workload.”) Too little and too much load can affect crew performance. Because only limited data and countermeasures are available for too little workload, this section will focus on excessive cognitive workload.

5.7.1 Workload Evaluation and Reduction in the System Development Cycle

As is discussed below, excessive workload is an undesirable state, contributing to errors and the potential failure to manage unexpected extra tasks. Designers should avoid imposing such a state. This is accomplished by several actions. At the highest level, two complementary actions can be taken. Before a system is fielded, as it exists “on the drawing board,” its workload can be predicted via task analysis. Once an astronaut uses a completed (i.e., built and fielded) system or system component, workload can be assessed and predictions can be verified and refined.

In either case, if workload is found (or predicted) to be excessive, then decisions can be made to change equipment (the interface), alter the task requirements, automate some functions, or provide extensive training. The latter is usually the least desirable approach, but is often adopted.

Although the two approaches to workload measurement—assessment and prediction—are complementary: each has its own benefits and shortcomings. Assessments are made after the design is completed, whereas predictions can be made early in the development stages. The benefits of assessment are that it tends to be quite accurate, to the extent that measures are selected appropriately and multiple measures are used. But the shortcoming is that accurate assessment of the workload of a full-fielded system cannot be carried out until the full system is built, by definition. At this point in the design cycle, if workload is found to be excessive, it may be too late (and/or too costly) to undertake any major redesign to remedy the problem. Extensive training is then the only alternative solution.

Prediction can be done early in the design cycle. This is the ideal method of workload measurement. Designs can be modified with less expense before heavy investment in physical production is undertaken. However, the limits of prediction also mirror the strengths of assessment. At this writing, no fully valid predictive models of workload exist. Depending on the circumstances, predictive models may be 70% to 80% accurate in predicting high-workload designs and procedures. However, the question remains whether such an accuracy level is “good enough” to make designers abandon a concept that is predicted to produce excessive workload.

Assessment and prediction can be used in a complementary fashion; assessment gains in timeliness, while prediction gains in accuracy when both are used on component tasks of a full mission (e.g., flight control, communications protocol, and thrust fuel management) even if these components are required to be time-shared later in the full mission.

Three main themes related to the issues defined above are discussed in the following sections: first, workload is defined as the interaction between the crewmembers and the task, including the vital issue of what it means for workload to be “excessive,” and the concept of the “Red Line.” Next, the methods used to measure workload, those involved with prediction, and how both measurement and assessment tools are used to define “excessive” workload, are discussed. The effects of two solutions, automation and training, on workload are discussed along with those of stress and workload transition. Finally, the major research requirements that should be undertaken to fully predict cognitive workload are presented.
5.7.2 General Description of Workload

5.7.2.1 Workload and Performance

Workload evaluations and predictions have enhanced the design and operational use of systems, equipment, and procedures for several decades (Gawron, 2000; Moray, 1979, 1988). The performance of highly skilled operators of complex systems fails to attain required levels or completely breaks down for any number of reasons. For example, inappropriate or incompatible control-display relations may invite errors, sleep loss may reduce vigilance, or emergency procedures may be difficult to interpret. One of the most frequent and important sources of performance failures relates to non-optimal levels of workload imposed on the human operator. This relationship has been well documented for a wide range of activities that humans perform (e.g., driving, flying, monitoring, assembling, communicating, supervising, maintaining, entering data) in both real and simulated environments. Furthermore, workload is known to present major challenges to crewmembers in such tasks as monitoring and controlling camera position while controlling a robotic arm, or docking a Space Shuttle orbiter.

Cognitive workload can be suboptimal either because it is too low due to low arousal, or too high due to excessive task demands, poor equipment design, or difficult environmental conditions. Technological advances (e.g., automation) introduced to improve system performance (and reduce workload) may sometimes create underload—that is, the operator simply monitors the automation (Parasuraman, 1987) —or may shift operator workload from one locus to another without achieving the expected reduction in workload.

Although workload and performance are clearly related, the nature of this relationship is not straightforward; measures of operator and system performance and operator workload may be influenced by similar as well as different factors. In fact, operators may trade off workload and performance.

5.7.2.2 Workload Demands and Resources

The concept of cognitive workload is best represented in the graphs of Figure 5.7-1. The increasing demands imposed by a task are shown along the x-axis of both graphs. This demand increase influences two variables shown in the graphs: task performance (top graph) and the mental resources supplied by the operator (bottom graph). The top graph shows what would be observed by looking at the “end of the production line,” or the actual work accomplished. The bottom graph shows what would be measured if a “workload meter” were hooked up to the operator. Initially, with low task demands, the operator is using very few resources (bottom graph). As a consequence, the operator may be bored and become less alert or tend to other tasks. Task performance will likely be less than optimal. With increasing task demands, more operator resources are used (demanding operator attention), and performance (upper graph) will stabilize at an optimal level. A further increase in task demands will start to overload the operator. Operator resources will be less productive due to errors and delayed responses. As a result, task performance will drop off. The point on the x-axis where performance drops off is shown as the “Red Line.” On the left side of the “Red Line,” the operator can keep pace with task demands and may have reserve capacity. Performance remains “perfect” (or above some criterion level such as a response within 1 second, or flight path tracking within 1 meter). On the right side of the “Red Line,” resource demands exceed resource supply and performance breaks down. Thus, the lower graph in Figure 5.7-1 defines two “regions”:

- Reserve Capacity – operator has a reserve capacity of resources to devote to the task
- Overload – operator is overloaded or has exceeded his or her capability
Workload must not exceed “Red Line.” Workload must be to the left of the “Red Line,” so that the crewmember has the resources available to handle an unexpected emergency.

How important guidelines can be proposed for measuring changes in resource demand along the x-axis, despite the imperfect ability to specify the precise properties of the scale and the “Red Line,” is discussed below.

**Figure 5.7-1** The effect of increasing task difficulty (or resource demand) from left to right on task performance.
The lower graph illustrates the two workload regions and the “Red Line” that divides the two, where primary task performance begins to fall off as the resource demands of tasks can no longer be met by increasing the supply.

### 5.7.2.3 Single Versus Multiple Task Demands

Numerous models of attention and performance have been proposed to explain the relationships found between task demands, workload, and performance (e.g., Gopher & Donchin, 1986; Hart & Wickens, 1990; Tsang & Vidulich, 2006; Wickens, 2002; Wickens & Yeh, 1988). These models dictate two categories of factors that drive increasing demands along the x-axis of Figure 5.7-1.

1. **Single-task demands** are factors like the forward speed of a vehicle, memory requirements for remembering parameter settings before they are entered, or time pressure. As these increase, performance will eventually suffer.

2. **Dual-task demands** refer to the increase in workload due to the requirement to perform two or more tasks at once, e.g., stabilizing a vehicle trajectory while searching the lunar surface for a level landing spot.

Multiple-resource models of attention are designed to predict and diagnose instances of excessive workload and performance breakdowns due to dual-task demands and suggest ways to mitigate these breakdowns. The following section addresses generic units that can quantify mental workload (or the demands along the x-axis in Figure 5.7-1) for both single- and dual-task demands.

### 5.7.3 Measures of Workload

A number of valid and practical measures have been developed that can quantify different aspects of the workload experienced by operators performing a wide variety of activities in very different environments. These measures can be distinguished by several criteria and by the questions about workload that each can answer. Since they vary with respect to the type and quality of information each offers, it is always best to use multiple measures to develop a complete workload profile and to obtain converging evidence from different sources.

(For descriptions of available measures, the situations in which they have [and have not] proven to be useful, and how to implement them, see David, 2000; Gawron, 2000; Gopher & Donchin, 1986; Hancock & Meshkati, 1988; Hansman, 2004; Hart, 1986; Hart & Wickens, 1990; Hill et al., 1992; Lysaght et al., 1989; Moray, 1979; Moray, 1988; O’Donnell & Eggemeier, 1986; Roscoe, 1987; and Tsang & Vidulich, 2006. In addition, several Web sites offer descriptions of measures and information about when and how to use them [e.g., Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), 2007, www.faa.gov]).

Operator workload is assessed by using four general categories of techniques:

1. **Primary Task Performance Measures**
2. **Secondary Task Performance Measures** – measures of performance on additional, “secondary” tasks introduced for the purpose of measuring residual attention or capacity
3. **Physiological Measures** – measures of covert response (e.g., changes in heart rate, eye blinks, eye movements, or electrical activity of the brain) generally referred to as “physiological” measures
4. **Subjective Ratings** – ratings provided by operators or observers

Specific examples of each of these will be described below.
Each of the above measure categories has costs and benefits. It is best to use a battery of at least two different metrics to obtain more reliable estimates of workload. The following factors should be considered when choosing a workload measurement tool:

- **Intrusiveness** – Intrusiveness is a clear and critical criterion in choosing a measure. It may contaminate primary task performance in a number of ways. Subjects may focus on the workload measurement tool and lose perspective of the task demands, making subjective data inaccurate. The tool may add a false and unknown amount to the workload. Finally, operators may find the measurement process annoying, and thus disregard it (e.g., in the case of some synthetic secondary tasks). In this regard, embedded secondary tasks and physiological measures that depend on electrodes that are already present are best.

- **Context** – The context in which workload is assessed is important. For example, electrocardiogram (ECG) electrodes already in place for other (e.g., health monitoring) purposes invite easy assessment of heart-rate variability. In contrast, very noisy environments discourage the use of secondary tasks with auditory events. Mobile environments (e.g., EVA) discourage the use of tasks with manual responses, either for secondary tasks or to give subjective ratings. When operators are engaged in safety-critical missions, secondary task intrusiveness is a major concern.

- **Sensitivity** – The sensitivity of a measure describes the extent to which the measure changes when workload changes.

- **Reliability** – The reliability of a measure describes how consistently a measure will change when and only when the workload changes. A measure such as heart rate is not entirely reliable as a workload measure because many other nonworkload factors, such as physical exertion or stress, affect heart rate.

- **Diagnosticity** – The diagnosticity of the measure serves to identify the source of the high workload (e.g., perceptual load versus response load; see below).

- **Range** – The range of resources demanded by the task (see Figure 5.7-1) defines the levels of workload over which a workload measure is reliable.
  - Primary task performance measures should be used for the high-resource-demand (“overload”) region and is not a reliable measure of workload at lower task demand levels.
  - Secondary task performance and physiological measures are best suited for the lower-task-demand (“reserve capacity”) region.
  - Subjective ratings may be appropriate for the entire range.

- **Purpose** – Finally, the purpose of the workload assessment has some bearing on the choice of measure. If it is to establish the workload of a visual task (using, for example, a reformatted visual display), monitoring the operators’ scan patterns is an excellent approach. If the absolute level of workload in a full mission simulation is to be assessed, it is likely that a global measure such as subjective ratings using the National Aeronautics and Space Administration Task Load Index (NASA-TLX) will be ideal. If relative workload is to be assessed for multi-task environments that have generated unacceptable performance (e.g., to ask “How can the workload be reduced?”), then primary task performance measures are critical, particularly if these are coupled with models of the source of high workload (see 4.10.5.3.1, “Predictors of Workload”).

### 5.7.3.1 Measures of Primary Task Performance

Measures of performance can be used to assess workload. Caution should be used, however, because workload and performance can co-vary in different directions. It is important to understand the task
under evaluation and the relationship between performance and workload. Sometimes high performance can be achieved at a cost of high workload for the highly motivated operator who is trying extremely hard to maximize performance. But at other times, good interface design or effective automation may allow high performance with very low workload. It is crucial, therefore, to understand the relationship between performance and workload as systems are compared. For example, two procedures for landing a spacecraft might be compared: one, involving direct inner-loop control, provides very accurate performance but with excessive workload, as the human is involved at all phases with high-gain, precise, manual corrections. Workload is so high that the operator cannot perform any of the other required monitoring tasks. The other procedure involves flying using a tunnel displayed on a navigation screen that specifies acceptable deviations rather than pinpoint accuracy at the middle. This might result in a slightly higher tracking error; however, its workload will be lower, so a clear tradeoff could be made because the pilot now has ample spare attention for concurrent monitoring or communications requirements.

Primary task performance can often reveal the strategies by which operators adapt or adjust to high workload. Even for tasks which rely to a great extent on procedures, such as those performed during space operations, there is some flexibility in when and how to accomplish required tasks. Mission specialists may try to maintain acceptable performance on each of two concurrent tasks (by time sharing or rapidly switching between them), emphasize one task at the expense of the other, or perform the tasks sequentially. In fact, delaying the performance of one (less important) task in favor of another (more important) task may represent an optimal strategy.

### 5.7.3.1.1 Types of Primary Task Measures

Performance measures can provide objective answers to workload questions. Some measures summarize the effectiveness of an operator’s actions, while others also provide information about the fine-grained structure of the operator’s control strategies. The former reflect the combined output of the operator’s behavior and system output, while the latter measure operator effort (and, thus, workload) more directly.

There are three classes of performance measures:

1. **Speed** – the time taken to start and/or complete a task
2. **Accuracy and Error Rate** – how closely discrete responses or continuous control deviations match a target value or acceptable range
3. **Control Activity** – amount of control activity such as the spectral power or amplitude of continuous control activity (e.g., the amount of manual thrust control)

**Speed** – With respect to speed, long times to perform a difficult task are generally associated with higher workload. So too are delays in initiating a task, either because events signaling the task are not noticed, or the operator has intentionally chosen to postpone their start until other aspects of the workload are lower. Such postponement is usually the case for lower priority tasks. For example, in flying tasks, communications are delayed and abbreviated (because they are perceived as lower priority) as other task demands increase. Tasks that require operators to keep track of time typically degrade as overall workload increases: steps are started too soon or too late, or insufficient time is allowed to complete required tasks.

**Accuracy and Error Rate** – An increase in the number of errors in either discrete tasks or continuous ones such as flight control (flight technical error) are often symptomatic of high workload.

For human performance tasks, accuracy and error can have two distinct meanings. Depending on the task, one can provide a clearer measurement of workload than the other. Errors refer to incorrect task performance: the operator may choose the wrong control, neglect to respond to a signal, or select the
wrong switch position. Accuracy is a measure of the degree of successful task performance, the agreement between the actual value and the measurement, or between the target and selection. Accuracy can be measured by how well the operator does the task even if no errors are made.

Accuracy and errors are ambiguous and related to factors other than workload. For example:

- Given the speed/accuracy tradeoff, sometimes errors result from people choosing to make more errors in order to respond rapidly (Drury, 1993) instead of resulting from high workload.
- In some flight control tasks with considerable tolerance for errors, a reduction in error may be unnecessary and, in fact, symptomatic of high workload, as the operator tries too hard to keep error at an absolute minimum (e.g., deviating only 1 meter from a command flight path, when a 10-meter deviation is acceptable).
- Errors may occur at low levels of workload as the operator is “working on mental autopilot” (for example, a driver misses a turn because he was daydreaming). Such errors are, obviously, not diagnostic of high workload.

These qualifications do not mean that errors are invalid measures of workload; because of the operational importance of errors, those attributed to high workload can be of great importance. It only means that errors should be considered in conjunction with other factors that implicate a workload increase. Particularly important among these is the amount of control activity.

Control Activity – More control activity correlates with higher workload (the operator is doing more and doing it more often) (Corwin et al., 1988). In contrast to the accuracy/error category of primary task measures, control activity can help to identify reasons for high workload. Control activity can often be closely linked to the open-loop gain of the pilot in a control loop (Gopher & Wickens, 1977). This can also be measured by the power in a spectral analysis, or the root mean squared control and control velocity. In discrete tasks, control activity is closely related to time (e.g., number of keystrokes or button presses per unit of time).

5.7.3.1.2 Advantages and Disadvantages of Primary Task Measures

Longer response times, more errors, higher control activity, and fewer tasks completed are usually taken as evidence of increased workload. However, the actual relationships between workload and performance are more complex. O’Donnell and Eggemeier (1986) suggested that two classes of tasks define the differential usefulness of primary task measures, corresponding to the two regions of Figure 5.7-1: (1) For relatively easy tasks such as those to the left of the “Red Line” in Figure 5.7-1, consistent performance is maintained over a range of difficulty levels (although workload increases). (2) For moderately difficult tasks, such as those approaching and to the right of the “Red Line” in Figure 5.7-1, performance deteriorates monotonically as task demands increase (and workload increases). Finally, (3) for very difficult tasks, performance may have reached a floor level so that further increases in difficulty can produce no further loss in performance. Thus, measures of performance, task demands, and workload correlate for moderately difficult tasks but dissociate for very easy or very difficult tasks.

Some tasks may generate little measurable performance at all, despite a high level of cognitive effort. For example, many decision tasks involve a simple two-choice response (e.g., a “go-no go” launch decision). However, the outcome of that decision—measurable primary task performance—captures little or no information about the extensive mental workload that may be involved in making the decision. This is especially true for tasks supported by high levels of automation so that moment-to-moment operations depend entirely on the performance of the automated system, while operator inputs occur infrequently. Maintaining situation awareness is also a task with great workload demands, but may be reflected by minimal differences in primary task performance. Finally, some tasks do not have to be
performed perfectly, as long as performance is good enough and completed by the deadline. This is another case where performance measures are insensitive to changes in workload.

5.7.3.1.3 Primary Task Measures Summary

Primary task performance is necessary, but far from sufficient, to assess workload. Gopher and Donchin (1986) concluded that direct measures of task performance are usually poor indicators of mental workload because they do not reflect the resource investments prompted by changes in task demands and do not diagnose the source of the load. In a review of the field that is often cited, Lysaght et al. (1989) concluded that primary task measures “should not be generally treated as appropriate for assessments of overall workload.” Taken together, these recommendations provide a strong note of caution about using primary task measures as the only measure of workload. Nevertheless, other measures of workload are virtually impossible to interpret without some information about performance. Tsang and Vidulich (2006, p. 250) summarized it nicely: “… although the primary task performance is clearly very important to system evaluators as a test of whether design goals have been achieved, primary task performance by itself typically does not provide an adequate test of the operator’s mental workload.” The other categories of measures will now be described.

5.7.3.2 Measures of Secondary Task Performance

Because measures of performance on the task of interest may not provide an adequate objective estimate of workload, the use of “secondary” tasks has been pursued as an alternative (Kalsbeek & Sykes, 1966; Rolfe, 1976). Here it is assumed that the additional demands imposed by a secondary task can challenge or exceed an operator's capabilities, and thereby provide an indirect measure of the resources demanded by the primary task. This in essence measures the reserve capacity or residual attention in the region to the left of the “Red Line” in Figure 5.7-1. Under ideal circumstances, secondary task performance degrades in direct proportion to increases in primary task workload. This has the potential of providing useful information, particularly in the reserve capacity region of the workload continuum where primary task performance measures are insensitive (see Figure 5.7-1). The approach is particularly appealing because one of the design goals of system developers and evaluators is to build systems that can be operated without fully taxing operators’ capacities, because such capacities would prove valuable in performing tasks associated with unexpected events or emergencies (Wickens, 2001).

5.7.3.2.1 Synthetic Secondary Tasks

Synthetic secondary tasks (as described below) are relatively simple activities added by the researcher for which input (visual, auditory) and output (verbal, manual) can be presented precisely and measured directly and accurately. Most have well-known and well-validated metric properties. The intervening cognitive processes are predicted by psychological models and inferred from variations in the speed and accuracy of performance. The following are several of the synthetic secondary tasks that have received the widest application. An exhaustive catalog of the results of secondary task research during its heyday may be found in Gawron (2000).

- **Response time** – Response time (RT) tasks generally include a visual or auditory stimulus presented during performance of a primary task. Operators respond by pressing a button or speaking into a microphone or voice-recognition system. Multiple-choice tasks are more sensitive than single-choice tasks, as they impose some information-processing and response-selection load. Response times and errors index increased with primary task workload (e.g., Kantowitz et al., 1987). Depending on the modality of input (visual or auditory) and output (voice or manual) selected, this task can be designed so as to require many of the same resources...
as those required by a specific primary task. The greater the degree of such overlap (e.g., visual-
visual), the greater the sensitivity.

- **Monitoring** – Monitoring tasks present a series of stimulus events and do not require a rapid
response to each. Since the operator is required to maintain a running count of the target events
and respond periodically or at the end of the task, these tasks also impose a memory requirement.
Count accuracy is usually the performance measure. While this approach expands the aspects of
workload to which the measure is sensitive and reduces intrusiveness (because a response to each
stimulus event is not required), it also reduces the frequency with which measurable behaviors
are available for analysis. The number of errors (misses and false alarms) increases and count
accuracy index is reduced in primary task workload (e.g., Kramer, Wickens & Donchin, 1983).

- **Time Estimation** – Time estimate production tasks require operators to produce a specific
interval of time (usually in the range of 5 to 20 seconds) by manually pressing a button or
verbally indicating its beginning and end (Zakay, 1996). As attention is drawn away from
timekeeping, the length and variability of produced durations increase (e.g., Hart, McPherson, &
Loomis, 1978). Although the demands of this task depend heavily on central processes, it has
been found to be sensitive to variations in perceptual and motor load as well (e.g., simulated
flight, Bortolussi, Kantowitz, & Hart, 1986, and Bortolussi, Hart, & Shively, 1989; navigation,
Wierwille, Rahimi, & Casali, 1985; and precision hovering, Hartzell, 1979). When the requested
interval is reduced to 1 or 2 seconds, performance on this task as well as many of the primary
tasks with which it has been paired is impaired (Gawron, 2000), violating the criterion of
intrusiveness. The results of four field evaluations conducted for the U.S. Army led Lysaght et
al. (1989) to report that performance on a secondary time estimation task reflected different
levels of workload on monitoring and flying primary tasks. (Note that the time estimate
production described above is not the same thing as making a retrospective estimate of how
much time has passed when the operator is asked, unexpectedly, how much time has passed
since some event [e.g., since the beginning of a spacecraft maneuver]. Here, higher levels of
workload may sometimes produce shorter, not longer, estimates, but such retrospective
techniques do not seem to produce reliable estimates of workload.)

- **Mental Arithmetic** – Mental arithmetic tasks also require primarily cognitive resources. The
difficulty of the task can be manipulated by increasing the number of digits or the number of
operations performed. Performance is measured by the speed and accuracy of verbal, written, or
typed responses. Although performance of this task has been found to be generally sensitive to
variations in primary task load, some researchers have found that it interferes with primary task
performance, violating the criterion of intrusiveness (Gawron, 2000). (On the other hand, see
Andre, Heers, and Cashion [1995] for an application conducted in a flight simulation
environment and Lysaght et al. [1989] for evidence of the sensitivity and nonintrusiveness of this
measure.)

- **Memory Search** – Memory search tasks require operators to remember one or more letters,
numbers, or words (the memory set) and then to respond as rapidly as possible whether or not
subsequent stimuli (probes) were members of that memory set. As memory set size is increased,
or as concurrent primary task demands are increased, response times generally increase as well
(e.g., Lysaght et al., 1989; Manzey, Lorenz, Schiewe, Finell, & Thiele, 1995; Wickens et al.,
1986). This task imposes loading on short-term memory, as well as on perceptual and response
resources. Thus, it has also been found to interfere with some primary tasks that require similar
resources. Importantly, this task has been used in space studies, and can be used to distinguish
central (cognitive) load from perceptual-motor load (Manzey et al., 1995, 2004). Wickens et al.
(1986) provide specific recommendations for use of memory search tasks in a flight
environment.
- **Tracking** – Tracking tasks can provide a continuous index of primary task workload, although they are often impractical in operational environments. Manipulations that have different difficulties (e.g., different forcing-function amplitude, bandwidth, order of control, open-loop instability, and number of axes controlled) create different patterns of interference with concurrent primary tasks (e.g., Jex & Clement, 1979; Lysaght et al., 1989; Wickens, 1986). In general, error about a target value increases as the difficulty of a concurrent task is increased. Tracking secondary tasks have the disadvantage of potentially being intrusive, depending on the primary task with which they are paired (Andre, Heers, & Cashion, 1995).

5.7.3.2.2 **Synthetic Secondary Task Drawbacks**

Four drawbacks of synthetic secondary tasks are recognized:

- **Intrusive** – Their greatest drawback is that many of them are intrusive, and because most were originally designed for purposes other than workload assessment, they seem artificial in operational settings. When engaged in safety-critical procedures in highly realistic environments, operators may divert their attention from the needs of the primary task when trying to do a secondary task and suffer a catastrophic failure of the former. Alternatively, they may simply disregard the secondary task as an unwanted annoyance, preventing any measurement at all.

- **Inappropriate** – A lesser drawback is that not all secondary tasks are equally appropriate for all primary tasks; the selection should be judicious. This is because, as noted above, to be most sensitive, a secondary task should use the same resources as the primary task. Concurrent tasks that require similar resources (such as two visual tasks) will interfere with each other, so that secondary tasks will be highly sensitive. However, a secondary task that requires resources different from those required by the primary task (such as a visual secondary task paired with an auditory primary task) is less likely to be a sensitive measure of the primary task. Furthermore, because performance decrements in both tasks are often found, the assumption that secondary tasks were performed only when primary task requirements were met was not always supported. These findings offer support for the use of multiple resources (Wickens, 1984, 2002, 2005, 2008) and ultimately constrain the utility of this measure to situations in which the resources demanded by the primary task are the same as those tapped by the secondary task (e.g., when both primary and secondary tasks are visual-spatial with a manual response).

- **Cover Only a Limited Task Period** – Secondary task performance reflects workload only at the times when tasks are introduced. They do not integrate over time.

- **May Affect Primary Tasks** – If operators modify their primary-task performance strategy when a secondary task is present, the information the secondary task provides about primary task workload becomes ambiguous.

5.7.3.2.3 **Embedded Secondary Tasks**

Embedded secondary tasks are clearly defined, “natural” parts of the repertoire of activities required in a specific operational environment (Shingledecker, 1984; Vidulich & Bortolussi, 1988). As indicated above, synthetic secondary tasks may be considered so irrelevant and uninteresting that their performance may be terminated altogether in operational environments. In contrast, the embedded method of presenting secondary tasks substantially improves user acceptance and increases the likelihood that operators will attempt to perform them (but appropriately delay or abandon them as workload becomes high). Many of the tasks described above can be modified so as to integrate them with an operational task. For example, a memory search task can be designed as a response to a radio call sign (Corwin et al., 1989). The time at which a particular routine activity, such as an altitude check, is performed can be treated as a time production. Discrete responses to alternative display configurations
can be evaluated as reaction time or monitoring tasks. Ververs and Wickens (2000) recently demonstrated the effective use of secondary tasks to assess the benefits of alternative flight symbologies for aircraft approach and landing. Embedded secondary tasks (such as detecting airspeed changes and conflict detections) aided in interpreting the results.

In fact, an embedded secondary task is essentially an aspect of the primary task ensemble that is particularly sensitive to overall task workload and can be singled out for analysis. Such tasks have inherently lower priority than the most important components of the primary tasks, whose workload demands are to be measured (Raby & Wickens, 1994). Thus one may consider, for example, a pilot’s response time to communication probes while landing an aircraft, to be an embedded task.

5.7.3.2.4 Secondary Task Measures Summary

Performance on a concurrent, additional task can be used as an index of the reserve capacity remaining while a primary task is performed. The embedded secondary task can also provide diagnostic information about the specific resources required, as this reserve capacity is manifest in tasks “below the Red Line” in the context of Figure 5.7-1. However, secondary task performance may interfere with or change primary task performance (intrusiveness). Obviously secondary (i.e., unrelated to the mission) tasks may be inappropriate for many operational situations unless they are presented as embedded elements of the primary task. In addition, not all secondary tasks are equally sensitive to the specific types of demands imposed by different primary task activities. A lack of secondary task decrement can never be interpreted with certainty as evidence of low workload; it might just indicate that the resources required by that secondary task were not depleted by the performance of that primary task. Thus, the use of several secondary tasks, each of which demands a different combination of resources, can provide converging information about the sources and magnitudes of workload in a complex task.

Even though the initial promise of secondary tasks has not been realized, they are valuable for assessing complex systems (Tsang & Vidulich, 2006), particularly if they are implemented with careful consideration of the use of common resources and with instructions that strike a balance between “do not ignore” the secondary task and “do not let it intrude on primary task performance.” A thorough task analysis and a strong theoretical basis are necessary to select and implement secondary tasks successfully.

5.7.3.3 Measures of Physiological Functions

Physiological measures have a long history of being advocated as metrics of mental workload (e.g., Beatty & Kahneman, 1966; Kalsbeek & Sykes, 1966; Kramer & Parasuraman, 2008; Sirevaag et al., 1993). The theory behind the sensitivity of such measures to variations in mental workload is that as task difficulty is increased and more resources are demanded, the human operator mobilizes more resources or mental effort to cope with this demand. Such mobilization will be reflected in various manifestations of autonomic nervous system arousal (Kahneman, 1973) as well as in direct measures of brain activity. In addition, most physiological measures have the potentially appealing property that they do not require an overt response of the operator that could disrupt ongoing primary tasks. In this sense, they are less intrusive (although sometimes measuring devices like electrodes encumber performance). This is in contrast to secondary tasks and, as can be seen below, subjective measures.
5.7.3.3.1 Physiological Function Measurements

Some of the more popular measures are described very briefly in Table 5.7-1.

Table 5.7-1 Measures of Physiological Functions Used to Index Mental Workload (MWL)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Typical Results</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Increased MWL</strong></td>
<td><strong>Decreased MWL</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (beats per minute)</td>
<td>Increase as stress-related aspects of workload increase</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate variability (at low frequencies, around 0.10 Hertz)</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
<td>Increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pupil diameter</td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye blink rate (blinks per minute)</td>
<td>Increase as workload increases</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of closure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye blink duration (duration of closure)</td>
<td>Increase with fatigue</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direction of eye gaze (number of fixations and their duration on target area)</td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Measures of Physiological Functions Summary

Collectively in these and other studies, most physiological measures are problematic because of their limited reliability. Thus, only positive recommendations (based on consistently demonstrated workload sensitivity) are offered for the following two measures:

1) **Low-frequency (0.1 Hz) variability of heart rate.** Heart rate normally waxes and wanes at a cycle time of around 10 seconds (0.10 Hz). It is found that the variation at this frequency consistently declines with higher cognitive load (e.g., heart rate tends to remain constant) in a way that does not seem to be contaminated with the higher frequency beat rate (which is affected also by physical load and stress). In space, low-frequency heart rate can be collected nonintrusively as heart rate is normally assessed by attached ECG electrodes. Of course, by definition, such a measure cannot easily capture rapid workload fluctuations (i.e., greater than about 0.1 Hz, or changes within less than 10 seconds; Veltman & Verwey, 1996).

2) **Direction of eye gaze.** This measure has two primary benefits. It has high face validity as a workload measure (e.g., people look longer at displays that impose greater information-
processing demands) and it has been thoroughly validated in high-fidelity flight research (Helleberg & Wickens, 2003; Sarter, Mumaw, & Wickens, 2007; Svennson et al., 1996; Wierwille et al., 1985). Furthermore, if workspace regions are fairly widely separated, as in the typical space vehicle, it is easy to use relatively gross measures like head orientation to make workload inferences as long as the operator is positioned (e.g., seated or tethered) in front of a workstation. For example, direction of gaze can discriminate between head-out glances and head-down glances in the cockpit as indices of head-down display workload. The two major limitations of this measure are that it does not capture overall workload, but only the workload of visually displayed tasks, relative to other visual areas in the workspace, and that it is often time- and computer-intensive to analyze.

5.7.3.4 Subjective Rating

Ratings are the most widely used measures of workload and they often serve as the criteria against which other measures and models are judged. They can provide an integrated summary of workload from the perspective of the operator, and are the most direct way to evaluate the human cost of task performance. Ratings may be provided by operators during or immediately after performing a task, by observers monitoring the behaviors of such operators in real time or from a video recording, or subject-matter experts providing more abstract judgments.

Rating scales generally consist of one or more subscales represented by an ordered sequence of response categories. Labels define the correspondence between stimuli (workload experiences) and responses (workload ratings) on each subscale. However, there is no direct relationship between values on any workload scale and specific, measurable phenomena in the physical world; furthermore, the intervals may not be psychologically equal, and practically speaking, the upper limit is not defined. Finally, most scales provide relative differences rather than absolute levels.

Ratings may be obtained while a task is being performed, during intervals between task segments, immediately upon its conclusion, or while watching a replay of their performance. Although ratings are generally obtained from the person actually performing a task, observers who can mentally project themselves into the situation experienced by the operator can provide useful information about workload using the same or different scales. For example, Johnston, Vincenzi, Radtke, Salter, and Freedman (2005) found that observer ratings obtained with NASA-TLX covaried with experimentally manipulated levels of task difficulty in flight simulation and were reliable across observers. On the other hand, it may be difficult for observers to infer, for a particular operator, the mental effort, stress, and psychological consequences of performing a task, no matter how familiar the observer is with the task and environment. This limits the information observer ratings can provide.

In successful demonstrations, the intervals of time evaluated have ranged from several minutes to many hours and have been either arbitrary in length (e.g., one rating every 5 minutes) or meaningful units of activities (e.g., completing a procedure, landing an aircraft). Thus, the activities performed within the rated intervals ranged from either relatively homogeneous to quite diverse.

Although no rating scale can represent any more than the rater’s memory of what was experienced integrated across time, this has not proven to be as great a problem as it would seem. For example, in a series of studies comparing available workload measures, the four types of rating scales reviewed below were compared (Hill et al., 1992). Ratings were obtained during, immediately after, and long after the task was performed. The results demonstrated an acceptable level of rating stability across time. On the other hand, when ratings are obtained infrequently (to minimize interference with the primary task), they become necessarily insensitive to momentary variations in workload; not only may important information be lost if a rater forgets what she experienced by the time she is given an opportunity to
respond, but she must also integrate varied workload experiences to develop a summary rating. There is an obvious tradeoff between the sensitivity and precision offered by frequent ratings obtained during the task and the possibility of task performance disruption and rater “burnout.”

5.7.3.4.1 Subjective Rating Scales

Several rating scales have been developed that have provided valuable information in a wide variety of applications. These scales may be grouped into three categories:

1) Unidimensional or Global
2) Hierarchical
3) Multidimensional

Dozens of other rating scales are available, but they have not been used as widely nor subjected to adequate validation studies.

5.7.3.4.1.1 Unidimensional or Global Ratings

Unidimensional ratings involve asking a participant to provide a single workload rating during performance of the task. These ratings are easy to obtain and provide a convenient summary value. One such scale, the Pilot Objective/Subjective Workload Assessment Technique (POSWAT) was developed by the FAA (Stein, 1984) and used in an aviation environment, where it was found to be sensitive to pilot experience and flight-segment difficulty. However, unidimensional ratings provide no diagnostic information and between-rater variability is generally high (Byers, Bittner, & Hill, 1988; Hart & Staveland, 1988); different raters base their evaluations on different aspects of the situation. There is no standard format for unidimensional ratings, although most require raters to provide numeric values (using scales that range from 1 to 7, 1 to 10, or 1 to 100), descriptive labels (e.g., very low, low, and moderate), or magnitude estimates marked on scales presented on paper or a computer screen that are later converted to numeric values.

5.7.3.4.1.2 Hierarchical Ratings

In using hierarchical ratings, raters make a series of decisions, each of which discriminates between alternatives. Each decision leads the rater to another choice or to a final numeric rating. Hierarchical rating scales are easy to implement and score, and can be used without creating unacceptable interference in even demanding operational environments. It is crucial, however, that test subjects have sufficient training in how to interpret and use the scales. The order in which raters make decisions as they go through the tree process to arrive at a single rating was developed by trial and error for all of these scales, rather than being based on a well-founded theory of workload or extensive research. The psychological distance between the labeled workload categories has never been established for the descriptive categories; they do not provide diagnostic information and many users simply provide a numeric rating without going through the decision process. Finally, they have not received the extensive evaluation and application that other scales have received.

5.7.3.4.1.2.1 Handling Qualities Rating

The Cooper-Harper Handling Qualities Rating Scale (Cooper & Harper, 1969) was one of the earliest rating scales developed and is still used widely. Although it was developed to obtain subjective evaluations of aircraft handling qualities, subsequent applications have shown that it is sensitive to many factors that also influence workload. Raters make a series of decisions, each of which discriminates between two or three alternatives. Each decision leads the rater to another choice or to a final numeric rating ranging from 1 to 10. Raters may read the scale each time they provide a verbal or written rating
or do so from memory. Several modified versions of the scale have been developed that retain the decision-tree format and 10-point scale, but substitute terms that address workload more directly.

5.7.3.4.1.2.2 Modified Cooper-Harper

The Modified Cooper-Harper Scale (Wierwille, Casali, Connor, & Rahimi, 1986) is a variant of the original Cooper-Harper Rating Scale (scale descriptors were reworded). The structure and format of the scale were retained, but the wording of the questions and scale descriptors was changed to address mental workload explicitly. It has been tested in the laboratory, simulated flight, and Army field tests of ground-based systems (e.g., Wierwille, Rahimi, & Casali, 1985). Although it has been found to be sensitive to workload variations in simulated flight, it has proven to be less useful in other environments. Independent evaluations, such as those conducted by Hill et al. (1992), found that it was less sensitive than a simple unidimensional rating or the NASA-TLX (see below).

5.7.3.4.1.2.3 Bedford Workload Scale

The Bedford Scale (Roscoe, 1987) was developed for and with the help of test pilots at the Royal Aircraft Establishment in Bedford, England. It retained the decision-tree format familiar to test pilots, but modified the wording of the choice points to focus on spare capacity. It has been used widely in England and Europe to evaluate pilot workload in military and civilian aircraft and in simulation and flight research in the United States, but has received limited use in non-aviation environments. For this and other reasons, it has not been included in most of the large workload measure evaluation studies. It was one of several methods used to assess proposed upgrades for the Space Shuttle cockpit avionics displays (McCandless et al., 2005). Although the ratings were found to be sensitive to experimentally manipulated variations in load, the ratings provided no diagnostic information. The primary advantage of this and other decision-tree formats is that they separate the evaluation process into a series of explicit decisions. In particular, the Bedford scale provides an option that explicitly identifies a “Red Line” level of workload (0 reserve capacity). The primary disadvantage is that it is often less sensitive than other measures, provides no diagnostic information, and has received only limited use in the U.S.

5.7.3.4.1.3 Multidimensional Ratings

This group of rating scales includes two that have become industry standards, Subjective Workload Assessment Technique (SWAT) and NASA-TLX. They were designed with the underlying assumption that people can evaluate component factors more reliably than they can the global concept. Thus, one of their greatest strengths is that subscale ratings can provide diagnostic information about specific source of workload, while global summaries developed by combining weighted subscale ratings quantify overall workload experienced. This sort of information is useful, particularly early in design, as it allows designers to identify workload peaks and valleys to make decisions about how to allocate tasks, improve display or control designs, and establish training protocols.

5.7.3.4.1.3.1 SWAT

The subjective workload assessment technique or SWAT consists of three subscales (Reid, 1985; Reid & Colle, 1988):

- Time load
- Mental effort load
- Psychological stress load
Each subscale is represented by a three-point rating scale (1 = low, 2 = medium, and 3 = high). The 27 possible combinations of 3 levels of each of 3 scales are presented on individual cards for subjects to rank from lowest to highest workload. These rankings are used to create a 100-point unidimensional score. Each combination of ratings on the three subscales has a unique position on the overall workload scale that is assigned a numerical (global workload) value ranging from 0 to 27 (defined by the combination of all possible values). The sorting process, although time-consuming, is valuable as it provides an interval scale (Nygren, 1991) and considers at least some individual differences in workload definition.

SWAT has been used successfully to evaluate workload in the laboratory, simulation, flight research (e.g., Corwin et al., 1989a; Hancock, Williams, Manning, & Miyake, 1995), and various ground-based operations (e.g., Lysaght et al., 1989). Thus, evidence has accumulated that SWAT provides valid results and can be implemented in most environments, and the subscales offer valuable diagnostic information. However, in several head-to-head comparisons, SWAT was found to be less sensitive than NASA-TLX in the context of complex simulated transport flight operations (e.g., Battiste & Bortolussi, 1988; Corwin et al., 1989) and was not recommended for use in some of the more recent cross-measure comparisons (e.g., David, 2000; Rubio, Diaz, & Martin, 2004). The limited number of dimensions and interval scale values make SWAT attractive for use in operational settings; however, this limited range of allowable ratings (e.g., low, medium, and high) also reduces the sensitivity of the scale. Additional drawbacks are relatively high between-rater variability and low sensitivity in situations where overall workload levels are generally low (see, for example, Reid, 1985). Some SWAT users have dispensed with the tedious sorting procedure (by simply summing rated values on the subscales) and increased sensitivity by adopting continuous scales instead of three discrete choices (Adams & Biers, 2000; Luximon & Goonetilleke, 2001). Such variants have not achieved widespread use, however.

5.7.3.4.1.3.2 NASA-TLX

NASA-TLX provides an estimate of overall workload based on a weighted average of six subscale ratings: Mental Demand, Physical Demand, Temporal Demand, Own Performance, Effort, and Frustration (Hart & Staveland, 1988). Subscale ratings, which range from 1 to 100 in 5-point increments, are given verbally or by selecting a position along a scale presented on a rating form or computer screen. In addition, raters quantify the relative importance of each factor in creating the workload they experienced. These values, which range from 0 to 5, are used to weight the magnitude ratings when the overall workload score is computed. Diagnostic information is provided by variations in subscale ratings as well as the weight given to each factor. This process is less time-consuming than the sort required for SWAT and also provides a wider range of possible ratings per dimension.

Subscale ratings continue to play an important role in diagnosing workload peaks (Lee & Liu, 2003). NASA-TLX has been used successfully in a wide variety of environments and translated into many languages in addition to English (see Hart, 2006, for a review). In the past 15 years, many variants of the scale have been developed. Subscales have been dropped or redefined and new ones added to meet the needs of particular applications. Because one of the disadvantages of the TLX technique in its full form is the time taken to develop the weights before the study or provide ratings on all six subscales throughout the task, some users have elected to simply average subscale ratings (the so-called “Raw” TLX), dispense with computing any global value (Byers, Bittner, & Hill, 1989; Hendy, Hamilton, & Landry, 1993), and/or drop or redefine subscales thought to be less relevant to the task of interest.
Summary: Rating Scales

Rating scales are the most practical and generally applicable measure of workload. They are easy to implement and score, have face validity, and are acceptable in most environments and to most operators. The rank ordering of ratings is generally stable across raters, although the absolute values may exhibit considerable variability. Since workload is not completely defined by objective task demands (it can also reflect operators’ physical and emotional states, pre-existing biases and experiences, and the environment in which the task is performed), the experiences of different individuals faced with identical task requirements may be somewhat different. In addition, raters may consider different variables when providing a rating (because their personal definitions of workload vary) and express different subjective biases that may have little to do with workload. These factors result in the primary drawback of subjective ratings: relatively high between-rater variability (Hart, 1993; Hart & Wickens, 1990).

In a frequently cited series of studies comparing available workload measures (Hill et al., 1992; Lysaght, 1989), generally high correlations were found between the four types of rating scales evaluated, suggesting that they all reflected the same “overall workload factor.” Many of the scales were able to distinguish crew position, variations in task demands, and meaningful workload differences between mission segments. However, NASA-TLX ratings were more closely related to performance, and had the lowest between-rater variability, the best user acceptance, and the highest overall workload factor validity. Because of their sensitivity and the ease with which unidimensional scales can be implemented, the above authors recommended unidimensional scales for initial screening of gross workload levels, in preparation for a more diagnostic evaluation using a multidimensional rating scale, such as NASA-TLX. In aviation simulations, both Corwin et al. (1989) and Verwy & Veltman (1996) have identified specific limitations of SWAT.

Although improvement in the psychometric properties of workload rating scales might be warranted, their practical utility and the wealth of information they provide outweigh their limitations.

Conclusions: Workload Assessment

The choice of the best workload assessment technique clearly depends on a number of factors; critical among them is the region of Figure 5.7-1 where the workload in question is suspected to be.

- Primary task performance measurement may not be sensitive to important workload differences within the reserve capacity region, which, for many operations, is a desirable region for designers to seek. (Some amount of residual capacity will allow operators to address unexpected events without sacrificing ongoing tasks.)
- If embedded secondary tasks can be identified and carefully measured, these are desirable approaches within the reserve-capacity region.
- Although synthetic secondary tasks are sensitive measures of workload, they are less desirable for the reasons described above.
- Subjective measures, particularly those with higher resolution scales than the three levels of SWAT, can be useful across the entire range in Figure 5.7-1.
- Most physiological measures remain problematic, although eye movements can be diagnostic of the source of visual workload.

Whatever assessment techniques are used, it is strongly recommended that they be coupled with careful task analysis. Such analysis can support the complementary approach of workload prediction.
Predictors of Workload

It is often of great value to predict whether workload will be excessive (i.e., exceed the “Red Line” in the context of Figure 5.7-1) before a system is fielded or a procedure is implemented. Such predictions can be obtained by using computational workload models. Even in the absence of an absolute prediction, information about relative levels of workload can be of great value to identify:

- The relative workload of different candidate procedures (e.g., what is the workload change imposed by hand flying versus monitoring automation flight in a docking or landing approach?)
- Which piece of equipment or interface will impose greater workload (e.g., a graphics versus a text display)
- The points in a mission where workload will be greatest, and thus the likelihood of workload-induced error will be greatest

Described below are three approaches to workload modeling that increase in complexity and sophistication: here, the benefits of more complex modeling for accurate prediction can be offset by the greater degree of expertise and training required to use them. These approaches are:

- Table lookups
- Multiple-task models
- Dynamic simulation models

Predictors of Workload: Table Lookup for Single Task

The table of values used most frequently to characterize the workload imposed by the basic tasks and subtasks performed by human operators was developed by McCracken and Aldrich (1984; Aldrich, Szabo, & Bierbaum, 1989). This table has evolved in subsequent model development, and a current version used in Improved Research Interaction Tool (IMPRINT) is shown in Table 5.7-2. The values, however, are conventionally referred to as “McCracken & Aldrich” values. As can be seen in the table, seven different workload channels are defined. Within these, different tasks are defined along a scale of workload values ranging from 0 to a maximum of 7. Using this information, an analyst can identify the types of basic tasks that will be performed in a particular mission and then estimate the workload that might be experienced. For example, an analyst might compare the perceptual workload of a task that requires visually reading a symbol (5.1; Table 5.7-2, Panel G) with that of interpreting the semantic content of the spoken word generated by a synthesized voice display characterizing that symbol (3.0. Panel B) and predict that an interface involving the latter would impose lower workload than that of the former.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Auditory Demand Values</th>
<th>Cognitive Demand Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0 Detect / register sound (detect occurrence of sound)</td>
<td>1.0 Automatic (simple association)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0 Orient to sound (general orientation / attention)</td>
<td>1.2 Alternative selection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2 Orient to sound (selective orientation / attention)</td>
<td>4.6 Evaluation / judgment (consider single aspect)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3 Verify auditory feedback (detect occurrence of anticipated sound)</td>
<td>5.0 Rehearsal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0 Interpret semantic content (speech) – simple (1–2 words)</td>
<td>5.3 Encoding / decoding, recall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0 Interpret semantic content (speech) – complex (sentence)</td>
<td>6.8 Evaluation / judgment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.6 Discriminate sound characteristics (detect auditory difference)</td>
<td>7.0 Estimation, calculation, conversion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.0 Interpret sound patterns (pulse rates, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fine Motor Demand Values</th>
<th>Gross Motor Demand Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.2 Discrete action (button, toggle, trigger)</td>
<td>1.0 Walking on level terrain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6 Continuous adjustment (flight control, sensor control)</td>
<td>2.0 Walking on uneven terrain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6 Manual (tracking)</td>
<td>3.0 Jogging on level terrain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5 Discrete adjustment (rotary, vertical thumbwheel, lever position)</td>
<td>3.5 Heavy lifting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.5 Symbolic production (writing)</td>
<td>5.0 Jogging on uneven terrain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.0 Serial discrete manipulation (keyboard)</td>
<td>6.0 Complex climbing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speech (Voice) Demand Values</th>
<th>Tactile Demand Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.0 Simple (1–2 words)</td>
<td>1.0 Alerting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0 Complex (sentence)</td>
<td>2.0 Simple discrimination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.0 Complex symbolic information</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visual Demand Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.0 Visually register / detect (detect occurrence of image)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0 Visually inspect / check (discrete inspection / static condition)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4 Visually track / follow (maintain orientation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0 Visually discriminate (detect visual differences)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1 Visually read (symbol)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0 Visually scan / search monitor (continuous / serial inspection)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An alternative approach to the values in Table 5.7-2 is to refer to a table of task attributes known to affect the basic workload of common tasks in a consistent way as represented in Table 5.7-3. Each entry is coupled with some examples. Such tables can serve as checklists of features designers should avoid and suggest attributes that can be changed to reduce workload. For example, any design change that reduces working memory load is likely to reduce operator workload.
Table 5.7-3  Sources of Mental Workload

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Load</th>
<th>Specific Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perceptual load</td>
<td>• Signal-to-noise ratio: varying the brightness of text on a display, increasing the illumination of a workspace, obscuring a landing site by dust blowback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Amount of clutter in visual search: changing the amount of symbology on a map that is not relevant to the operator’s task</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Legibility: varying the size of text and symbols, or their contrast ratio with the background.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Confusability between perceived elements: varying the extent to which symbols with different meaning sound or look similar (e.g., the code ALT vs. ATT for altitude and attitude). Coloring items with different meanings in the same color enhances confusability. Voice commands can also be confusable (e.g., “fly to” vs. “fly through”).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Spatial separation of elements that need to be compared: displaying a command value of a parameter and the actual value with which it is to be compared, on separate displays or separate panels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive Load</td>
<td>• Working memory load (number of elements to be retained in memory before use): requiring that a 5- vs. a 3-digit number be looked up and entered into a keyboard.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Working memory time: requiring that the operator navigate (physically) to another location after looking up, but before entering, digits. This prolongs the time during which rehearsal of the digits is required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Number of logical operations (e.g., negatives, true-false logic): interpreting the instructions, “If the light is not on, then do not start the component unless the vehicle state is not in motion.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Need to mentally keep track of modes of automation: remembering that three different systems are in automated mode, when any of these could also be switched to manual mode.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Need to mentally predict variables: extrapolating the trajectory of a vehicle to predict the time and location of contact with a target, or mentally predicting the effect on trajectory change of a thrust input delivered for X seconds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Need to translate between frames of reference: working a remote manipulator arm according to input from a camera placed on an external surface that is facing the arm.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Response Load

- Incompatible display-control relations: responding with a left button push to a right-positioned light; moving a slider downward to increase a value.
- High precision of control requirement: requiring precision navigation within a 1-meter rather than a 3-meter tolerance.
- Confusing (similar) response alternatives: positioning a slider to the right at position 7 has a qualitatively different effect from positioning it at position 8; two keys that are located close together look similar.

It is important to note that the entries in Table 5.7-3 cannot be used to compute the level of workload. For this, return to Table 5.7-2. However, all of the examples shown in Table 5.7-3 indicate design features that impact workload. For example, the second item (clutter) within the perceptual load entry, decluttering logic (Yeh & Wickens, 2001), can reduce the workload of searching through maps. For cognitive load, providing visible text that mimics digits that are spoken (and need to be remembered until entered) can reduce the working memory load. In another example of reducing cognitive workload, visual marking of key features in two display views or simply repositioning cameras can reduce problems of spatial frame of reference transformations (Wickens, Vincow, & Yeh, 2005).

Table 5.7-2, taken from the commercially available IMPRINT-PRO software (Imprint-info@arl.army.mil) or a similar representation in the Man-Machine Integration Design and Analysis System (MIDAS) (with a slight modification of cognitive resources dividing these into verbal and spatial components) can be easily used to compute the total workload imposed on a worker. (The most recent information on MIDAS can be found at http://hsi.arc.nasa.gov/groups/midas). One can, for example, add the workload values of all tasks carried out simultaneously. In the single-task situation, as described above, the workload of hearing a word is 2.1 “McCacken & Aldrich units” less than that of reading the same word (5.1 vs. 3.0). One can also compute the workload separately within a channel or category, determining for example that the visual workload (Table 5.7-2) imposed by the task combination of tracking (4.4) while reading a symbol (5.1) is 9.5.

This approach is adequate for several purposes; however, it is not fully accurate to predict multitask interference, or the level of workload within the overload region to the right of the “Red Line” in Figure 5.7-1 or the workload when two tasks compete for resources across different workload channels (e.g., comparing the workload of reading while tracking with that of listening while tracking; Wickens, 2008).

5.7.4.2 Predictors of Workload: Multiple Tasks

Multiple-task models characterize how two tasks will interfere or interact with each other when they are to be performed concurrently, such as when a pilot flies a path to touchdown while listening to communications that may call out hazards. These models will predict how far multitask performance will degrade relative to single-task baselines, as demands move to the right of the “Red Line” in Figure 5.7-1. Both static and dynamic multitask models exist. The former are computationally simpler and adequate for some uses. The latter are more complex, requiring more user training, but can make more precise predictions, as explained below.

5.7.4.2.1 Static Multitask Models: Single Resources

Static models are essentially analytic equations that can predict the workload of multitasking situations when two tasks are performed concurrently. The simplest form of a static model assumes that all tasks compete for a single resource: time. Using the Time-Line Analysis Procedure (TLAP; Parks & Boucek, 1989; Sarno & Wickens, 1995), the analyst simply lays out the times at which each task is performed.
Each interval of time (e.g., 10 seconds) can be characterized by the ratio of time required to time available within that interval. For example, if one 7-second task is performed during the interval, workload = 70%. If two 6-second tasks are to be performed, workload = (6+6)/10 = 120%. This model has the advantage of being simple and provides an output on a well-established ratio scale of time. At least one set of empirical results using TLAP (Parks & Boucek, 1989) provided data contributing to a “Red Line” estimate. Parks and Boucek assert that errors begin to occur in task performance when the ratio exceeds 80%. This type of static model “assumes” that all tasks are equivalent insofar as workload is concerned in a multitask environment, an assumption that is clearly an oversimplification, and so it will not always predict workload differences when these may actually be observed. For example, pilots have little difficulty continuously monitoring and even controlling their flight path during a 10-second period (workload = 100%) while continuously conversing (workload = 100%), even when these tasks are imposed concurrently. This multitasking demand would yield 200% workload in such a time-occupancy model. Since the two tasks can be time-shared easily, observed performance would be at odds with the very high predicted workload.

Thus, TLAP models are best for predicting workload differences in the reserve capacity region to the left of the “Red Line” in Figure 5.7-1. They are appropriate with tasks that rely to a great extent on procedures, whose time estimates can be reliably specified. For example, during landing, an astronaut may be required to perform a series of discrete tasks, whose times are estimated, before touchdown. The percentage time can be determined, and evaluated against the time until touchdown. Increasing the speed of approach (thereby decreasing the time available) can be predicted to increase workload up to a point (here, the “Red Line”) in which not all tasks can be performed in the time available. In contrast, if the speed of approach is lowered and the resulting workload is computed to be 60%, it will be feasible to ask the astronaut to perform another task during this interval (perhaps off-loading a fellow crewmember who may have a workload of 100%).

A slight variation of the time-line model, accommodating differences in task demand, is a model that simply sums the workload of single task components, across channels obtained from a table lookup such as that in Table 5.7-2. However, such a model is still overly simplistic and does not fully account for dual task performance (Sarno & Wickens, 1995). This is because it is well known that two tasks that demand resources from within the same workload channel (e.g., two visual tasks) will tend to interfere more, and thus create more workload, than two that use different resource categories (e.g., a visual and an auditory task, or a psychomotor and a verbal task; Wickens, 2002). This means that such simple summing will overestimate the workload of tasks that require different channels or resources.

The human capability to use multiple resources in parallel should be considered when predicting workload. When it is considered, models are available (see next section) to make more accurate predictions (Sarno & Wickens, 1995; Wickens, Dixon, & Ambinder, 2006).

### 5.7.4.2.2 Static Multitask Models: Multiple Resources

From the above, the most comprehensive static model, the Multiple Resource Model (Horrey & Wickens, 2003; Sarno & Wickens, 1995; Wickens, 1991, 2002, 2005, 2008), is seen to generate workload predictions that are best tailored for the overload region of Figure 5.7-1 in dual-task situations. The Multiple Resource Model typically includes two additive components: one based on total demand (effort component) and one on resource overlap interference (Boles et al., 2007; Wickens, 2002, 2005, 2008).
The total demand component reflects the plenary demands of the concurrent tasks and can be estimated by summing the demands from a table such as that shown in Table 5.7-2. Alternatively, it can be estimated by simply assigning each task a value of 0 (fully automatic), 1 (easy), or 2 (difficult), and summing the values across tasks. This process would produce a predicted range of scores from 0 (minimum) to 4 (maximum) for a dual-task situation. This alternative approach has the advantage that it is not limited to tasks within the table, and that it provides values that are equally weighted to the resource overlap component.

The resource-sharing (or resource-overlap) component is based on the extent to which concurrent tasks demand resources along each of four dichotomous dimensions:

- Modalities (e.g., auditory versus visual)
- Visual channels (focal vision used for object recognition versus ambient vision used for ego-motion control and visual [versus instrument] flight path guidance)
- Processing codes (spatial [including spatially guided manual control such as tracking] versus verbal [linguistic] processes [including voice control])
- Stages of processing (perceptual-cognitive versus response)

Each task can be characterized by its reliance on one or more of these resource levels (e.g., the task of reading = visual, verbal, perceptual-cognitive).

Application of the model proceeds by counting, across the four dimensions, the number of cases in which two tasks require identical resources in each of these dimensions (e.g., both visual → 1 identity; both visual/spatial → 2 identities). An example of two visual tasks is reading a checklist while monitoring an altitude or speed tape. An example of two spatial tasks is keeping track of camera orientation while maneuvering a robotic arm toward a target (both of these are visual as well, and so they would share both a visual identity and a spatial identity). Again, the resulting values can range from 0 (no identity) to 4 (a level shared on all four dimensions). In a simplified version of such a model, these two components (total demand and resource sharing), added together and equally weighted, provide a predicted total interference measure that could range from 0 to 8.

Wickens (2002, 2005) provides a specific example of this calculation for a vehicle control task. A simple example of such a calculation in a space context is as follows. The astronaut needs to stabilize an alignment with an external object seen through the window while speaking corrections to the pilot (task A). At the same time, the astronaut needs to listen to instructions over the radio (task B). The first task is quite challenging because of the visual precision needed (demand = 2) while the second is fairly routine (demand = 1). Thus for the two tasks the total demand component = 3.

Regarding resource competition (C) on the four dimensions, the two do not compete for modalities (vision or audition; C = 0) and do not compete for focal or ambient vision (they cannot, since only one is visual; C = 0), but both compete for the common code, since both involve language (task A: speaking, task B: listening; C = 1). Finally on the stage of processing dimension, both compete for perception: seeing and listening are both perceptual activities (C = 1). Thus, the resource competition component is 0 + 0 + 1 + 1 = 2, and the total workload predicted from the two components is 3 + 2 = 5. If the task structure were changed to require the astronaut to monitor a visual (i.e., text) display for task B instead of a radio voice communication, the resource competition component would then be increased to 3, and the total workload increased to 6.

Validation studies have shown that this type of model can account for over 50% of variance (and as much as 90%) in dual task interference across a set of heterogeneous task combinations and interfaces used in aviation (Sarno & Wickens, 1995), driving (Horrey & Wickens, 2005), and robotics and unmanned vehicle control (Wickens, Dixon, & Ambinder, 2006).
It is important to note four limitations of the static multiple resource model:

1. First, it predicts only the total interference between two tasks; it specifies nothing about which task suffers (i.e., is of lower priority) and which is preserved (higher priority) when there is interference between them.

2. Second, it assumes that when two tasks compete heavily, operators will still try to complete them concurrently, thus suffering a performance loss in one or both (depending on priority). That is, it does not accommodate the possible actions of operators to reschedule one task or the other to avoid the overload of high resource conflict.

3. Third, the output of the model has not yet been compared against a “Red Line” value. That is, it is impossible to say, for example, that “4” is above the “Red Line.”

4. The model could feasibly be used for more than two simultaneous tasks; however, it has not been validated for these conditions.

In spite of these shortcomings, the benefit of a static multiple resource model is in establishing relative levels of dual task performance, imposed by different interface and task combinations (Wickens, Sandry, & Vidulich, 1983). This approach is computationally simple and requires neither a computer nor a special software package. Furthermore, the limitations of static models are to some extent addressed by the dynamic simulation models, described in the next subsection.

5.7.4.2.3 Predictors of Workload: Dynamic Simulation Models

Two computational models, IMPRINT (Laughery, LaBier, & Archer, 2006; Mitchell et al., 2003) and MIDAS (Gore, 2007; Gore & Corker, 2000; Smith & Gore, 2007), have advantages because they overcome some of the deficits described above, despite being more complex and requiring special software. In particular, they

- Allow the model user to lay out the set of tasks to be performed at certain times
- Specify the nominal task order that, by default, incorporates the expected task starting and completion times (In IMPRINT, variance in completion times can be specified as well.)
- Specify the demand in at least seven resource channels (IMPRINT: visual, auditory, tactile, cognitive, fine motor, gross motor, and voice response; MIDAS: distinguishes verbal and spatial cognition). Default demand levels are provided from lookup tables (e.g., Table 5.8-3)

Information about the two simulation models can be found at

MIDAS: http://humansystems.arc.nasa.gov/groups/midas/ and at
IMPRINT: http://www.maad.com/index.pl/ongoing_projects#IMPRINT.

The two models differ with respect to the basis for workload-peak identification (totaled across channels or focused on an overload task within any one channel), resource competition coefficients (e.g., the conflict matrix used by W/Index, North & Riley, 1988; and MIDAS, Gore, 2007), and the consequences of overload.

When the simulation model is “run,” it specifies instances in which two (or more) tasks are carried out concurrently (i.e., one is initiated before another is terminated), produces a timeline, and uses the multiple resources algorithm described above to compute a workload profile over time based on task concurrence. While these time-varying, total workload values are not associated with a specific, empirically established workload level that should not be exceeded (a “Red Line” level), users may establish a “Red Line” for their own application or use the default values within the program. IMPRINT also allows the user to specify a series of workload management strategies for the model to implement when a “Red Line” is exceeded. These include the following:
• Allow both tasks to suffer
• Complete the ongoing task and wait to begin a second task until the first is completed
• Based on user-specified priorities, defer the lower-priority task until the higher-priority task is completed

Thus, when this model is run with either of the second two strategies implemented, the original high workload peaks that exceeded the “Red Line” value will be smoothed out considerably because one of the high workload-causing tasks is deferred. This mimics what a human might do, managing or deferring tasks to avoid overload and catastrophic consequences for high-priority tasks.

MIDAS operates a little differently from IMPRINT but does also allow the user to incorporate a workload management strategy. MIDAS schedules its tasks according to the following rules:

• A first-in, first-out principle is followed.
• A time-degradation function for performance on multiple tasks is implemented that increases the time to complete tasks that use the same resource.
• Task failure occurs when multiple tasks exceed a maximum threshold.

A TEMPORA model (reported in Gore & Milgram, 2006) augments the MIDAS scheduler. TEMPORA is a model of time estimation that influences the task schedule. It is a model of the operator’s estimate of time available and the time required to complete the tasks in the specific workload environment.

As of this writing, MIDAS and IMPRINT have not been compared in a “head-to-head” competition. Both require a considerable amount of expertise from the analyst to set up the model and the multitask scenario, and run the program. IMPRINT contains a well-designed user manual as a commercial product. MIDAS was developed in collaboration between the U.S. Army, NASA, academia, and industry.

5.7.4.2.4 Subjective Ratings as Predictors

It is possible for designers themselves to assign, as a predictive tool, subjective ratings of the difficulty they infer workers will have with a system using, for example, a NASA-TLX scale. Such values could be assigned either to a multitask ensemble as a whole or to the component tasks. On the one hand, such a technique needs to be used with extreme caution. There is no clear validation that such expert ratings will reflect what others will do or will experience. On the other hand, user expert opinion (not designer rating) may be required to rate the single-task difficulty of certain procedures or individual tasks, particularly those not well represented in the context of the task description in Table 5.7-2.

5.7.4.2.5 Predictors of Workload: Summary

As should be apparent, the main challenge of all three modeling approaches is that only the simplest (timeline analysis) provides a ratio scale of workload that can produce an empirically established “Red Line.” The elaborations of such a model that accommodate task demands and multiple resources do not provide such a scale, and thus do not provide a validated “Red Line” or “unacceptable” workload level. This makes establishing workload standards that are not arbitrary a somewhat problematic issue. Some dynamic simulation models can accommodate user-specified “Red Lines” and offer a default value (e.g., 60 in IMPRINT). However, the research community is still waiting for empirical validation of any particular value (or range of values) that leads to multiple-task performance breakdowns.

Despite some limitations, workload models have been used for some time to predict workload throughout system development. For example, an analysis of the US Army Comanche helicopter performed using IMPRINT/CREWCUT (Booher & Miminger, 2003) revealed that it would be
impossible for one crewmember to perform the required mission, even with the assistance of automation. Thus, a two-crew configuration was recommended, with the result that the final decision was based in part on workload modeling.

The workload predictions of MIDAS have been used to estimate times to complete complex experiments on board the ISS (Gore & Smith, 2006; summarized in Hart, Dahn, Atencio, & Dalal, 2001). Procedure developers have used the workload spikes generated from the MIDAS model to redefine the procedures, to reduce the task demands on the operator while concurrently reducing the amount of time needed to complete the experiments, thereby reducing the risks to successful mission completion.

5.7.5 Establishing Workload Limits

How do system developers know when a workload is too high or too low? People who study and apply human factors research, as well as their customers, have recognized the need for the workload “Red Line” discussed above—upper and lower boundaries drawn on a subjective rating scale, levels of secondary task performance, or a model output that workload must not exceed. Above these values performance will degrade, and below them, workload assessments will be based on measures of residual capacity, rather than primary task performance.

Three somewhat complementary approaches can be taken to identifying such a “Red Line”:

- One approach is to establish a somewhat arbitrary standard along a workload (or performance) scale, so as to define a mean rating of 5 on the NASA-TLX scales. At least one problem with this approach is that it is not possible to measure the workload of a particular combination of system and environment until the full system (or a human-in-the-loop simulation of it) is available to assess performance (or workload) accurately.
- An alternative approach is to seek reliable predictors of a discontinuity in the supply/demand/performance curve shown in Figure 5.7-1. The goal would be to specify this capacity in quantifiable units based on a priori task analysis. This is most appropriate for specifying workload limits for single tasks when time-sharing is not required.

The following subsection describes both approaches to defining a “Red Line.”

5.7.5.1 Workload Limits for Single Task Demands

The following three approaches are proposed to establish a workload “Red Line” for specific types of task demand (i.e., time, memory, and cognition).

Time Occupancy: As noted previously, Parks and Boucek (1989) argue that when a task requires 80% of the available time on a timeline, this defines a “Red Line” above which errors begin to occur. This approach is recommended when the workload level is clearly within the reserve capacity region of Figure 5.7-1.

Working Memory Load: It has long been recognized that the capacity of working memory is somewhere in the range of 5 to 7 “chunks” of information (e.g., unrelated digits; Card, Moran, & Newell, 1983; Miller, 1956). Thus, imposing demands greater than this will likely produce errors of memory; for example, requiring the operator to retain an arbitrary 7-digit code from hearing to entering it on a keyboard. One could thus define a “Red Line” at 7, or conservatively at 4. As a design guideline, this approach is quite appropriate. However, such a measure is fairly specific to memory tasks and not more generally applied to other sorts of workload.

Cognitive Complexity: An approach to cognitive workload, related to memory capacity described above, is the quantification of relational complexity (Boag et al., 2006; Halford et al., 1995, 2002).
Relational complexity can be defined as “the number of related variables that must be considered in parallel in order to solve a task problem” (Boag et al., 2006). For example, if solving an energy management or fuel conservation problem involves considering two variables (e.g., fuel needed minus fuel available), cognitive complexity = 2. If it involves considering three (fuel needed and fuel available as modulated by speed), complexity = 3. This variable is not task-specific and can be applied to activities as diverse as air traffic control, graph interpretation, or troubleshooting logic. Importantly, empirical data by Halford and colleagues has established that there is a discontinuity of performance speed and accuracy somewhere between three and four elements, indicating an upper workload boundary that could be used as a “Red Line.” Variation in relational complexity below this will not affect (single task) performance, but will affect the capacity available to perform additional concurrent tasks. Of course, such a measure applies only to cognitive elements.

5.7.5.2 Workload Limits for Multiple Task Demands

The above three approaches were proposed as ways to find workload “Red Lines” for specific types of task demand (i.e., time, memory, and cognition). However, they are less applicable to predicting the total workload of any operator, particularly one engaged in multitasking. It is not clear, for example, how to “add” the demands of a tracking (flight control) task to those of cognitive problem-solving to predict a “Red Line.” The output of computational workload models has the potential to define a workload “Red Line” (e.g, an IMPRINT workload of 60; Mitchell et al., 2003), but have yet to be validated in this regard.

All of the above techniques have the advantage that they can be derived from a task analysis (i.e., with no human-in-the-loop simulation required).

There is one additional approach to multitask “Red Line” estimates that is problematic (because full mission simulations are required to establish with validity the proximity of task demands to a workload “Red Line”). This is to define certain critical values along the subjective workload scales as being “unacceptable.” For example, Colle and Reid (2005) have argued that SWAT values above a rating of 40 produce an unacceptable loss of performance. Moray & Liao argued that a NASA-TLX rating above 60 produced unacceptable time-sharing behavior. However, neither of these cutoff values along the subjective workload scale seems to have been well validated.

Probably the most valid of subjective workload ratings, in terms of face validity, is the rating along the Bedford scale. As described above, the Bedford scale requires qualitative ratings or descriptions that explicitly rate reserve capacity. For a Bedford rating to be valid, the operator must be performing the full task in the operational environment or a full-mission simulation of it. This measure purports to quantify the operator’s own assessment of his reserve capacity. Thus, a Bedford rating of “6” corresponds to an operator’s judgment that the workload was satisfactory without any reduction in performance, but that he had little spare capacity; his level of effort allowed little attention to additional tasks. If that level of workload is deemed satisfactory for the task and environment being assessed, then a rating less than 6 could be deemed “below the Red Line” and a rating equal to or greater than 6 deemed “above the Red Line” and thus unacceptable. This “Red Line” relies on very close correspondence between the descriptors for each level of the scale, and the way they are interpreted by the raters and by whoever established the criteria. And, finally, it is important to consider how accurately people can, in fact, estimate their capacity and how much has been used or remains.

5.7.5.3 Summary of Estimates of Workload Limits

In summary, there is no single optimal approach to providing a workload limit; rather, there are some contingent guidelines:
• If a reliable human-in-the-loop simulation can be performed (i.e., with enough participants to generalize), then the Bedford scale subjective measure can be collected, defining a limit of 6.

• When component tasks that use memory or cognition are analyzed, then the “Red Line” limits on these tasks can be used (keeping working memory load less than 5 chunks, and cognitive complexity less than 4). However, these limits might be overly optimistic if such processes are used within a concurrent task situation.

• If a series of procedural tasks are to be performed in isolation (i.e., without concurrent task requirements), then timeline analysis procedures can be used, limiting the ratio of time required to time available to be under 0.80.

If multiple complex tasks have to be performed concurrently, then either static equation or dynamic simulation models can be run. Periods of higher and lower workload will be output from these models. Although they cannot yet be placed on a “Red Line,” these procedures will reveal “red flags” of high workload, for which redesign, training, or task rescheduling and reassignment can be used. Then, when a full mission simulation is run, the performance and workload measures obtained can be cross-validated against the predicted workload values and the Bedford scale or NASA-TLX ratings associated with the point at which performance started to decline.

5.7.6 Workload and Other System Factors

5.7.6.1 Workload and Training

As noted in Tables 5.7-1 and 5.7-2, several task features impose workload. Clearly, however, not all people experience the same workload for a given task. Damos (1976) revealed in a study of novice versus expert pilots that the latter are far superior in time-sharing because many of the tasks are well learned or “automated,” demanding few resources (low workload) and thus making available plentiful resources for other tasks. Correspondingly, a given operator will experience diminishing workload on a task as it is practiced, here too making more resources available for additional tasks and acquiring better multitasking ability.

This general finding of reduced workload with practice has four important elaborations:

Task differences in achievement of automaticity: Research by Schneider and his colleagues (Fisk, Ackerman, & Schneider, 1987; Schneider & Shiffrin, 1977; Schneider, 1985) revealed that certain tasks are more likely to develop automaticity (low workload) from repeated practice than others. In particular, tasks that benefit the most from practice are consistently mapped in the brain: environmental or procedural conditions remain the same every time the task is performed. For example, a repeated sequence of the same keystrokes during an unchanging start-up procedure is consistently mapped. So is a trajectory maneuver with a particular robotic arm under consistent set of operating conditions, or a landing maneuver to a flat (and thus consistent) lunar site. Extensive practice will allow it to be performed automatically, allowing at least some attention to be directed elsewhere. On the other hand, a corresponding task that may require an altered sequence depending on environmental conditions is called a varied mapped task. Repeated practice will not yield the same benefits. Such a difference has led training experts to recommend that the most productive use of a limited amount of training time is to focus on training those consistently mapped elements that will produce the greatest reduction in workload (Fisk, Ackerman, & Schneider, 1987; Schneider, 1985).

The training curve: A fundamental principle of human learning and skill acquisition is that response times, error rates, and workload or resource demand will follow an exponential function of practice time; the greatest benefits will be realized early in training, with somewhat diminished returns later in training (Newell & Rosenbaum, 1983). Thus, if multiple consistently mapped skills are to be trained
within a limited amount of time, it is optimal to distribute that training time more or less equally across all of them. This will yield the greatest collective benefit in developing some level of automaticity. Such a schedule will also benefit performance (e.g., speed or time reduction and accuracy or error reduction). However, in this regard it is important to note that full automaticity is reached only by overtraining well beyond the level at which error-free performance is obtained (Wickens et al., 2004). Thus, overtraining is a wise strategy, particularly for tasks that may need to be carried out in environments with very high workload, such as after emergency procedures.

**Time-sharing skill:** As people become more proficient with practice in multitask environments, they develop automaticity and the workload of component tasks is reduced, as described above. However, that is not all that is acquired with practice. Equally (and sometimes more) important is the acquisition of a skill in time-sharing. Such time-sharing and task management skills involve knowing when to focus on one task and ignore or postpone another; or knowing how long to neglect a background task before checking its status again. For example, the astronaut may be engaged in troubleshooting a failure, clearly a high-priority task that necessitates delegating other systems-monitoring tasks to a background status of lower priority. Yet the astronaut who is skilled in task management will still continue to monitor these other systems, but at a reduced rate. One without such skill may well succumb to cognitive tunneling or channelized attention (Dismukes et al., 2007; Moray & Rotenberg, 1989; Wickens, 2005; Wickens & Alexander, in press) and neglect totally all other tasks.

**Workload and learning:** Finally, workload is not only influenced by training, it can also affect the efficacy of training itself. In particular, successful learning requires attention and when learners attempt to master skills under conditions with extremely high workload, insufficient attention may be available to acquire the skills and knowledge being taught. Thus, designers of training regimes should be careful to balance challenging the trainee with creating such an overwhelmingly complex, multitask, high-workload environment that long-term knowledge acquisition becomes impossible (Schneider, 1985). The basic tenets of cognitive load theory in instructions are highly relevant here (Mayer & Moreno, 2003; Paas et al., 2003; Sweller, 1994; Wickens & McCarley, 2007; Wickens, Lee, Liu, & Gordon-Becker, 2004).

### 5.7.6.2 Workload, Automation, and Situation Awareness

A logical, and often successful, approach to problems of overload is to automate task functions, using a combination of software and hardware. The long history of flight deck automation certainly reveals the benefits of autopilots in reducing the attention demands of continuous inner-loop flight control (Billings, 1997; Degani, 2004; Wiener & Curry, 1980). Alerts and alarms, another form of automation, have drastically reduced the visual workload of continuous visual monitoring of variables like pressure, temperature, and altitude. In addition to these general benefits, three critical qualifications are discussed below.

**Automation setup:** First, the finding with automated systems and particularly the flight management system of the modern commercial airliner (e.g., Degani, 2004; Sarter, Mumaw, & Wickens, 2007; Sarter & Woods, 2004; Sarter, Woods, & Billings, 1997; Sheridan, 2002) is that, while many such systems reduce workload when in normal operation, the setup or change in system functioning may impose considerably greater workload than does the system’s nonautomated (manual) counterpart. For example, the number of procedural steps to change a runway on an approach using the flight management system can be so great that the workload imposed would be unacceptable, particularly in comparison to a similar change in a nonautomated aircraft that can be accomplished with only two steps.

**Imperfect automation and situation awareness (SA):** Second, the consequences of low workload while automation is in service are not always beneficial when conditions that are unexpected by the
automated process develop. Here either the automation may not be programmed to handle the current circumstances (e.g., an unusual environmental input) or the automated system itself may “fail” (e.g., software bugs or hardware failures). Under such circumstances, we speak of the need for the human operator to “enter the loop.” However, situation awareness is often low, because the operator had been devoting few resources to monitoring the process being controlled by that automation, (Endsley, 2006; Sarter, Woods, & Billings, 1997; Wickens, 2000). With low situation awareness, appropriate and timely action to deal with the failure may not occur; the action is either delayed (while situation awareness is restored by time-pressured information seeking) or erroneous.

Thus, automation should provide a balance between workload and situation awareness. Workload should be high enough to keep the human involved, but not so high as to overwhelm the operator so that situation awareness and performance become unacceptable. Also, it is sometimes possible to force operators to update SA by periodically turning off automation, or mandating that this be done (Kaber & Endsley, 2004; Parasuraman, Moloua, and Molloy, 1996). This is analogous, though at higher frequency, to requiring pilots periodically to “hand fly” an aircraft.

Alarms and alerts: Alarms and alerts are forms of automation explicitly designed to relieve workload of continuous visual monitoring of variables such as those related to engine parameters or trajectory adherence. If the alerts are reliable they will readily succeed in this goal. However, in many alerting systems certain features lead to inevitable errors, thereby reducing their reliability: (1) misses, where a true abnormal condition is not signaled, and (2) false alerts, where the alert sounds in a safe state (Dixon & Wickens, 2005; Getty et al., 1995; Pritchett, 2001). Perhaps the most important cause of such imperfections is the look-ahead time for predictive alerts, such as those used for collision avoidance in aircraft (Wickens & Colcombe, 2007). The longer this time is, the greater is the likelihood that the future state will be mispredicted, and one or the other type of error will occur.

The relative frequency of the two types of automation errors reflects the alert threshold specified by the designer (Allendorfer et al., 2007; Wickens et al., 2007; Wickens & Colcombe, 2007); low thresholds generate many false alarms, while the high threshold generates more misses. Both kinds of automation errors have consequences for human workload (Meyer, 2004; Dixon & Wickens, 2005; Parasuraman & Wickens, 2008). Miss-prone automation increases the visual workload. If the user suspects that a miss-prone automated alert system will not detect all events, he may monitor the raw data used by the alert system to maintain situation awareness, thereby increasing visual workload. On the other hand, false alarm-prone automation requires users to often divert unnecessary attention to the automation-monitored domain whenever the alert sounds, and further, leads to a general decline in trust of the system. Although designers generally prefer to set the threshold quite low, so as to avoid misses but produce false alarms, the workload cost of such a threshold setting is far from trivial (Dixon, Wickens, & McCarley, 2007).

5.7.6.3 Workload Transition

The previous discussion has focused heavily on measuring, modeling, and managing high workload. However, it is also important to realize that workload changes, independent of levels, can also be worthy of concern (Huey & Wickens, 1992). Such changes can be in one of two directions. Sudden, unexpected workload increases, such as those produced by an unexpected crisis like the 9/11 attacks or the explosion in Apollo 13, can obviously leave the unprepared operator less capable of effectively handling the emergency. This becomes a highly relevant issue when pre-emergency conditions are those of very low workload, where sleep loss and decrements in vigilance monitoring can impair the normal monitoring of the system state (Huey & Wickens, 1992; Warm, 1983). (Note that when the unexpected event that induces the workload increase also places the operator at risk, this perceived danger factor may lead to a further impediment to the information-seeking necessary to understand what has
happened, as the well documented effects of high stress on attentional tunneling are played out [e.g., Moray & Rotenberg, 1989; Rubenstein & Mason, 1987]. Constant maintenance of situation awareness and emergency response training are the best inoculation against such unexpected workload increases. In this light, it is to be noted that the attention resources necessary to maintain situation awareness represent one critical reason why workload must be maintained below the “Red Line,” so that such resources are available.

Correspondingly rapid decreases in workload, after intense periods of high load, can also leave the operator vulnerable to “letting down one’s guard” and/or recovering from the stress and mental effort depletion of the high-workload period. For example, mountaineering accidents are most prevalent after the summit has been reached, as the workload of the summit ascent has been reduced. In terms of remedies, training operators to make them aware that after emergencies or other high-workload periods they are particularly vulnerable to errors is one solution. Operators could be trained to identify these periods, and to closely monitor their own (and others’) performance for lapses during these times.

**5.7.6.4 Workload and Stress**

Although considerable attention has been devoted to the performance and medical consequences of missions performed in space, especially long-duration missions, relatively less attention has been devoted to the impact of the unique set of environmental stressors associated with space flight on crew workload. The focus of this section is on the relationship between stressors that are unique to this environment and workload. Some of the unique stressors that the crew might encounter are confinement, isolation, time pressure, and noise in addition to the potential challenges of performing tasks in greater- or less-than-Earth gravity. These environmental stressors are likely to affect sleep, mood, and vigilance. These, in turn, can affect how efficiently a crew will perform and, therefore, the workload they experience. But well-trained operators will adapt if properly prepared, and the duration of the experience is limited.

An exhaustive review of the effects of space-related stressors was performed by Bourne and Yarouch (2003) and will not be duplicated here. Another examination of the impact of environmental stressors on astronaut performance during an 8-day mission was performed by Manzey, Lorenz, Scheve, Filleli, and Thiele (1995). They found no significant effects on simple cognitive tasks, such as memory search and grammatical reasoning, using a battery of tests. In other studies, although initial decrements in performance (responses slowed, errors increased) and increases in workload were found, both recovered to levels close to those measured on Earth. This suggests another factor that should be taken into account when developing task schedules and procedures: schedules might be less demanding early in a mission and critical tasks might be deferred, if possible, until performance recovers from initial environmental stresses.

Under stress, people tend to focus on the present; thus, lapses in prospective memory (remembering to take future actions) are more frequent (Dismukes & Nowinski, 2007). As alluded to in the previous section, emergencies are even more disruptive; they can be sudden, startling, confusing (hard to diagnose), complex, and stressful. Expected logical and temporal relationships are disrupted, or the operator’s own lapses in memory disrupt well-learned and thus “automatic” behaviors, adding additional stress and workload. Designing procedures and decision aids for particularly stressful situations can reduce the likelihood of workload-related problems (Wickens, 1996). Furthermore, integrating formal team-building and stress-management training into procedural training can mitigate the effects of many environmental stressors; effective crews are substantially more resilient to unexpected events and stress (Orasanu & Becker, 1996).
In summary, the environmental stressors created by living and working in space modify the workload imposed by the cognitive, physical, and perceptual demands of the task. Such “performance modifying factors” can be incorporated into models used to predict workload (Laughery et al., 2006) and should be considered when extrapolating the results of simulations performed on Earth to the target environment. Although data are available that compare performance before, during, and after space missions, less information is available about the influence of space flight on workload. In either case, however, research is needed to incorporate available information into predictive models and workload assessment techniques to produce results better tailored to this environment. For example, the function followed by the workload associated with tracking task performance (characterized by a significant decrement during the first few weeks of space flight and again postflight, as shown by Manzey et al., 1995) may be similar to the function followed by the performance of this task, being higher during the periods when astronauts need to make physiological and psychological adjustments to very different environmental conditions. However, the magnitude of the effects of space flight on workload should be established through research and incorporated into assessment and prediction techniques to provide timely and useful information to designers.

5.7.7 Research Needs

As should be evident from the material described above, the greatest research needs in the field of mental workload are those that focus on validating predictive workload models that can predict when a “Red Line” will be crossed. The most complex predictive models (e.g., IMPRINT, MIDAS) contain no validated “Red Lines,” and the simpler approaches that contain “Red Lines” are either overly simplistic for many environments (e.g., timeline analysis), cannot be deployed until the full system is fielded (e.g., Bedford ratings), or can be used only with respect to single-task components (e.g., cognitive complexity).

To meet these research needs, complex pilot-in-the-loop simulation needs to be carried out. This simulation should be coupled with careful measurement of performance, measurement of other workload assessment techniques, and application of predictive models. Ideally, such a simulation should also be accompanied by a manipulation of demand variables that are suspected to drive performance over the “Red Line,” so that the discontinuity shown in Figure 5.7-1 can be identified, and both the predicted and assessed variables at that “Red Line” can be compared.

Useful websites:

http://hsi.arc.nasa.gov/groups/midas
http://iac.dtic.mil/hsiac/Products.htm
http://www.hf.faa.gov/Portal/default.aspx)

5.8 CREW COORDINATION AND COLLABORATION

5.8.1 Introduction

Future human space missions will be significantly different from current missions: they will be longer, the systems involved will be more complex, and resources will have to be used more efficiently. Furthermore, delays in communication between space crews and Earth-based support during Mars missions will necessitate greater crew autonomy than at present.
Success of exploration missions will depend in part on coordinated skilled performance by a distributed team that includes both the astronauts in space and the Mission Control personnel who support them. Other sections of this “Human Performance Capabilities” chapter deal with individual astronaut performance issues, such as visual or cognitive capabilities. This section deals exclusively with factors that influence teamwork, primarily in astronaut crews, but also in ground crews and in the interaction between the two. These extended crews are part of the integrated crew-vehicle system, which consists of the people and the spacecraft that transports the crew to space and sustains them in a hostile environment, including its equipment, software, information, and communication systems.

Coordinated and collaborative teamwork will be required to cope with challenging, complex problems during exploration missions. Crews sent to explore the Moon or Mars are likely to encounter unforeseen problems that will require them to make decisions affecting the success of the mission and even their own survival. Although thorough preflight training and procedures for abnormal and emergency events will equip crews to address technical problems that can be anticipated, preparing them to solve novel problems is much more challenging. Decisions may be required concerning interactive system failures or problems with the habitat, equipment, science procedures, EVA gear, or health of the crew. In some cases, decisions will be made with little or no support from the ground when communications are delayed or disrupted.

This section summarizes knowledge from several lines of research on crew factors that are relevant to the design and functioning of the crew-vehicle system. It builds on issues and limitations in current systems that have been identified through crew debriefings, questionnaires, and other sources of lessons learned from previous long-duration space flight missions involving the Mir space station and the ISS. It also draws on ground-based research conducted with various types of teams, especially those in high-risk, high-stress environments.

5.8.1.1 Definitions

5.8.1.1.1 Coordination and Collaboration

Investigators often distinguish between coordination and collaboration in teamwork. Coordination refers to an “attempt by multiple entities to act in concert in order to achieve a common goal by carrying out a script/plan they all understand” (Klein, 2001, p. 70). Tasks are largely procedural in nature, with specific subtasks assigned to different members; subtask interdependence arises from the need to accomplish tasks in the proper sequence specified in advance (Elliot, Shiflett, Hollenbeck, & Dalrymple, 2001). Collaboration refers to contributions to joint problem-solving, decision-making, or task completion that are not procedurally defined. According to Rawlings (2000), it is “the process of shared creation: two or more individuals with complementary skills interacting to create a shared understanding that none had previously possessed or could have come to on their own.” It involves greater uncertainty and unpredictability than coordination. Collaboration is characterized by interdependence among team members in all aspects of a task, as individuals make unscripted contributions (O’Brien, 1968).

5.8.1.1.2 Team, Crew, and Group

Researchers use several different terms to refer to units of two or more people. In this section the following definitions are adopted:

Team. “A distinguishable set of two or more people who interact dynamically, interdependently, and adaptively toward a common and valued goal/objective/mission, who have each been assigned specific roles or functions to perform, and who have a limited life span of membership” (Salas, Dickinson,
Converse, & Tannenbaum, 1992). Team members may be co-located or distributed in both space and time (as in shifts at Mission Control).

**Crew.** A crew is a specialized type of team, often smaller and more stable, that is trained to work together over a period of time, such as an astronaut crew.

**Group.** Groups are collections of people that, although their members are engaged in some common task, lack the task interdependence, specialized knowledge, and role differentiation of teams. Members may be homogenous or diverse in their knowledge, but this is not essential to their membership; members may be interchangeable, as in juries (Sundstrom, DeMeuse, & Futrell, 1990).

The term *crew* is used to refer to the astronauts and *team* refers to the larger unit that includes the astronauts and ground support personnel in Mission Control. *Teamwork* refers to the dynamic processes and interactions among members of a crew, team, or group as they engage in a common effort. In reporting on research in this section, the terms used by the investigators (i.e., crew, team, or group) are adopted.

### 5.8.1.1.3 Distributed Teamwork

When problems arise during missions in low Earth orbit, the crew can usually rely on assistance from Mission Control to solve the problem (as illustrated so brilliantly in the Apollo 13 mission). But successful joint efforts require communication and distributed teamwork. This joint work may include diagnosing the problem, developing plans, assessing risks, allocating tasks and resources, managing workload, and deciding what to do. Tradeoffs between completing mission tasks and ensuring the safety of the crew and equipment in time-critical situations, especially when problems are ambiguous and outcomes uncertain, will require analysis.

Two dimensions characterize the interaction of distributed teams:

1. **Extent of common expertise.** Common expertise refers to the extent to which team members have similar skills, training, and types of experience. Airline pilots have high levels of common expertise, despite differences in levels of experience, types of aircraft, or routes flown. In the operating room, the degree of common knowledge between nurses, anesthesiologists, and surgeons is substantially lower, though certainly above zero. In space operations, the crewmembers of a Shuttle mission typically have quite different backgrounds and training, such as piloting, medical, or scientific skills, though all receive common training on aspects of vehicle systems, procedures, and protocols. Likewise, Mission Control personnel are highly differentiated in terms of their expertise, but they have a core of common knowledge.

2. **Amount of shared data or information.** Data or information may be fully shared when team members are in the same physical location and are privy to the same information sources. Team members who are not co-located may also share data or information through technology that provides access to common data sources. But even with collaborative work tools, shared information can be only partial among physically distributed teams. When important information is not technologically shared, team members may need to communicate it verbally, through written or spoken language.

A general rule of thumb is that the extent of common expertise and amount of shared information determine the amount of effort required to ensure effective coordination and collaboration (typically called “process cost,” Steiner, 1972); the greater the shared expertise and information, the lower the effort. Although distributed expertise contributes significant power to team performance, it extracts a price in increased communication and coordination, and possibly conflict over goals and strategies that must be resolved.
5.8.1.2 Section Overview

This section covers three major topics:

- **Ingredients of effective team coordination and collaboration**: Research in many high-risk, high-consequence domains has identified behaviors that characterize high-performing teams. These are described in section 5.8.2.

- **Challenges to effective teamwork**: Even highly trained and motivated teams sometimes fail to function as a cohesive unit, possibly leading to errors or poor solutions to emergent problems. These challenges and their impact on various components of crew functioning are described in section 5.8.3.

- **Techniques to support effective teamwork and team performance**: Four strategies to develop and sustain effective teamwork skills involve team design (selection and composition), team training, teamwork monitoring, and designing appropriate team collaboration tools. These strategies are described in section 5.8.4.

5.8.2 Components of Effective Team Coordination and Collaboration

This section describes the teamwork skills and behaviors that have been found to characterize effective team performance, especially in the face of complex high-risk, high-stress situations. These are the skills and behaviors that astronaut crews will need for successful coordination and collaboration during long-duration missions, especially during autonomous operations, when communications with ground are delayed or disrupted.

These components include the behaviors involved in developing shared mental models, team situation awareness, collaborative decision-making, metacognition, adaptive coordination behaviors, effective team communication, and team cohesion.

5.8.2.1 Shared Mental Models

For crewmembers to coordinate and collaborate effectively on team tasks, they must have shared knowledge and understanding of their environment, systems, operations, and team tasks and goals (Cannon-Bowers, Salas, & Converse, 1993; Orasanu, 1994). Mental models, or knowledge about elements and causal relations among system components, support interpretation of system cues, explanation of system events, and predictions of outcomes when other events occur (Rouse & Morris, 1986).

Shared mental models are developed initially through training; in operations they are supported by shared data or information, either from engineered systems or from communication among team members. Shared mental models are essential for coordinated actions among team members because they provide an integrated framework for how actions of all members are sequenced and fit together to reach the common goal. An important practical question concerns the optimal level and type of shared knowledge. Research indicates the following:

- High levels of shared knowledge lead to better performance (Kraiger & Wenzel, 1997). Specifically, teams with highly shared team mental models perform significantly better than those with less well-developed team models (Smith-Jentsch, Campbell, Milanovich, & Reynolds, 2001). These models include knowledge about the engineered system with which they interact—system components, procedures, and crew roles and responsibilities. Another element is
knowledge about team interaction and coordination processes, such as who has what information, how and when it should be shared, and what others are likely to do.

- On the other hand, there is an advantage to team members having different knowledge bases and perspectives on problem-solving and decision-making (Kleinman, Luh, Pattipati, & Serfaty, 1992; Orasanu et al., 1993). Superior team performance is associated with partial overlap of responsibilities and information, which permits adaptive workload tradeoffs under high-workload conditions (Cooke, Kiekel, & Helm, 2001).

Fully shared understanding of goals and constraints may be most important for effective team decision-making, rather than fully shared task-specific knowledge. Shared understanding of goals enables team members to reach common understanding of situations and to contribute appropriately to problem resolution (Orasanu, 1994).

5.8.2.1.1 Team Situation Awareness

Distributed teams have to develop shared situation models, also known as team situation awareness (team SA), to make effective collaborative decisions under dynamic conditions. Shared team SA is a subset of more generic “shared mental models,” and reflects a shared understanding of an emergent situation that requires the crew to make a decision (Endsley & Jones, 2001). Team SA also is needed to ensure team coordination. Crews must have shared awareness of the cues that signal initiation and progress of a coordinated task, like a string quartet playing without a conductor.

Team situation awareness may develop spontaneously if all team members attend to and interpret environmental cues similarly. However, it is risky to assume that all team members have equal access to information about an emerging problem or have the same understanding of what the information means. Even when team members have the same data, they may still interpret it differently (Bearman, Paletz, Orasanu, Farlow, & Bernhard, 2005; Davison & Orasanu, 2001; Fischer & Orasanu, 2000a; Fischer, Orasanu, & Davison, 2003). A more solid approach is for the crew to develop shared awareness through communication (Orasanu, 1994). Without building shared models, the crew runs the risk of producing an uncoordinated response, with members pursuing somewhat different goals (Robertson & Endsley, 1995).

Updated situation awareness is essential for dynamic replanning when conditions change. A plan is predicated on a set of requisite conditions; if those conditions no longer hold, the plan may need to be altered. For example, in aviation, a plan to fly a certain route depends on the weather along that route. If weather deteriorates en route, then the route must be changed. Failure to adjust the plan, a so-called “plan continuation error” (Orasanu, Martin, & Davison, 2002), may put the flight at risk, a problem evident in a number of aviation accidents (Berman, 1995). Effective cockpit crews have been found to develop contingency plans that specify what will be done if or when particular conditions arise (Orasanu, 1995; Stout, Cannon-Bowers, & Salas, 1996). The success of contingency plans depends on situation monitoring to maintain updated team SA. These are prime ingredients of successful collaborative decision-making.

5.8.2.2 Collaborative Decision-Making

Robust and flexible collaborative decision-making—both within the crew and between crew and ground—will be one of the most critical skills to develop for exploration missions. Decision-making is collaborative when different team members have different information, capabilities, resources, and perspectives, and when the problem is sufficiently complex that its solution requires the concerted efforts of all team members. Ambiguous cues, dynamic conditions, high workload, time pressure, and uncertain outcomes make decisions particularly difficult and can create stress for the decision-makers.
Poor decisions may have high consequences for mission safety and success, as noted by the Committee on Space Biology and Medicine of the National Research Council (1998): “The history of space explorations has seen many instances of poor interpersonal relations and faulty decision making [emphasis added].” While no major accidents have resulted directly from crew decision-making during a space mission, they have in aviation (NTSB, 1994). Of all types of crew errors observed during commercial flights, Klinect, Wilhelm, and Helmreich (1999) found that decision errors were the least frequent but the most likely to result in reductions in flight safety.

5.8.2.2.1 Dynamic Decision-Making

An element of collaborative performance that is especially important in the high-risk environment of exploration missions is the need for effective dynamic decision-making. All decisions in complex dynamic environments involve two primary components: assessing the situation and choosing a course of action to manage the outcomes (Brehmer & Allard, 1991).

- **Situation assessment** involves detecting cues in the environment, understanding their meaning, and projecting how the situation will develop in the future (Endsley, 1995). Understanding the nature of the problem also includes assessing the level of threat associated with it and determining the amount of time available for dealing with it. Available time and cue diagnosticity are major determinants of subsequent strategies (Fischer, Orasanu, & Wich, 1995). If risk is high and time is limited, action may be taken to “safe” the situation without a thorough understanding of the problem. If possible, crews take steps to create additional time to diagnose the problem (Orasanu & Strauch, 1994).

- Once the condition is assessed, a **course of action** is chosen based on goals and situational constraints. Three types of responses have been identified in many engineered domains (Orasanu & Fischer, 1997; Rasmussen, 1985):
  - **Rule-based** – A specific response is prescribed in procedure manuals, to be applied when a particular condition is determined to exist.
  - **Choice** – Multiple options exist, one of which must be selected on the basis of goals, risks, constraints, and anticipated outcomes.
  - **Creative** – On some occasions, no response is readily available and the crew needs to invent a course of action; this type is more properly considered creative problem-solving than decision-making.

5.8.2.2.2 The Role of Expertise

Experts in many high-risk domains (e.g., firefighting, military, medicine), operating under time constraints and stress, have been found to make decisions in ways that seem to be intuitive or heuristic (Klein, 1989, 1998, 2004). Without going through an analytical and exhaustive option comparison process, skilled decision-makers recognize situations as examples of a particular type and quickly generate a response. Klein (1989) calls this “recognition-primed” decision-making (RPD). If the first response generated meets the goals and constraints of the situation, no further options are considered (called “satisficing”). This initial course of action is frequently appropriate because experts’ experience provides prototypes or condition-action rules (Klein, 1993; Lipshitz, Klein, Orasanu, & Salas, 2001). If the situation or the likely outcome is not clear, additional situation assessment and mental simulation of alternatives are necessary (Klein, 1998).

---

3 Rasmussen’s (1985) typology involved skill-based, rule-based, and knowledge-based decisions.
An important component of expert decision-making is risk assessment. This applies to the risks associated with events or off-nominal conditions and their possible consequences, as well as to the consequences that may result from various courses of action (Brehmer, 1994; O’Hare, 1990; Orasanu, Fischer, & Davison, 2004; Yates & Stone, 1992). A study of experienced commercial airline pilots indicated that decisions in dynamic, ambiguous conditions typically are made to avoid or mitigate perceived risks (Fischer, Orasanu, & Davison, 2003). If perceived risk exceeded the pilot’s comfort zone, then a conservative or risk-averse option was chosen. But if pilots judged risk to be less serious, they usually continued with the original plan of action, but modified it to account for the threat.

Consideration of worst-case outcomes is most important when action is irreversible. Explicit discussion of worst cases is helpful to draw upon diverse perspectives among distributed team members when the situation is highly uncertain and no single “correct” solution is prescribed. However, team members with different kinds of expertise may interpret information differently, leading to different decisions, as shown in several studies of pilots and air traffic controllers (Bearman et al., 2005; Davison & Orasanu, 1999). Negotiating these differences is essential for successful collaborative decision-making.

5.8.2.3 Metacognitive Strategies

Metacognitive skill refers to an individual’s awareness of her/himself as a thinker in relation to the demands of a situation: what needs to be done, what resources are required, and what capabilities are available to manage the situation (Means, Salas, Crandall, & Jacobs, 1993). It includes assessing one’s own knowledge or understanding of a problem, as well as workload, stress level, and availability. This skill enables a crew to assess its own resources in an emergency and take appropriate actions.

Metacognitive skills are essential for recognizing and managing the increased workload posed by unfamiliar or nonprocedural problems. Captains in higher performing crews in full-mission simulated flights turned over the job of flying the plane to the first officer so they could deal with the complex problem; they recognized that making decisions requires mental capacity and time for gathering and evaluating problem-relevant information (Orasanu, 1994). Crew strategies that have proven to be effective in dynamic ill-structured situations include

- Update goals and reassess priorities
- Take actions that “buy time” to gather decision-relevant information and evaluate options
- On the basis of assessment of anticipated workload, shift non-time-critical activities to low-workload periods, freeing more time for high-workload tasks
- Recognize the need to call on other resources in the crew or on the ground

Cohen, Freeman, and Wolf (1996) demonstrated that military teams can be taught a “recognitional-metacognitive” strategy for decision-making in complex ill-structured situations. This strategy combines elements of Klein’s recognition-primed decision process with metacognitive elements.

5.8.2.4 Adaptive Coordination Skills

Metacognitive skills and shared mental models are essential for maintaining adaptive team coordination. Adaptive coordination has two primary components:

- Recognizing changes in environmental conditions, team member states, or task demands
- Adjusting strategies or plans to satisfy the team’s goals in the face of these changes (Burke, Stagl, Salas, Pierce, & Kendall, 2006)
Under high workload, time pressure, stress, and fatigue, individual team members may become overloaded and prone to error (Hancock & Desmond, 2001). To ensure that team goals are met under these conditions, it is important for team members to monitor each other for cues indicating overload, stress, or errors, and to shift task responsibilities or provide assistance as needed. When workload is high, communication further increases task load. An optimal strategy for managing high workload is for team members to rely on their shared mental models of the task, team goals, and individual team-member responsibilities and capabilities. These enable team members to anticipate their teammates’ information needs and provide the information without being asked for it (Entin & Serfaty, 1999; LaPorte & Consolini, 1991; Serfaty & Entin, 1997), which is a form of implicit coordination. In a flight simulation study, effective crews used down time to prepare for upcoming events and thus talked less during a high-workload abnormal flight phase, communicating only what was essential to maintain team situation awareness, whereas less effective crews talked more (Orasanu & Fischer, 1992), a finding confirmed by Rasker (2002) using a firefighting simulation.

Several team-training techniques support development of adaptive coordination skills (e.g., Entin & Serfaty, 1999), which will be described in section 5.8.4.2.

### 5.8.2.5 Team Communication

Team communication is the vehicle through which adaptive coordination and collaboration take place. Communication also maintains team cohesion and supports the psychosocial well-being of the team. Effective crew communication is especially important to ensure shared situation models and to facilitate collaborative efforts, especially in dynamic, ill-structured situations under heavy workload and time pressure (Helmreich & Sexton, 2004; Orasanu & Fischer, 1992). The following features characterize the communication of effective teams in numerous environments:

**Explicitness.** Effective crews in many complex high-risk environments (e.g., aviation, military, nuclear power) were found to use explicit and efficient language to generate shared situation models (Orasanu, 1994). Effective crews shared more task-critical information than less effective crews, especially concerning the problem at hand, task goals, and team strategies (Bowers, Jentsch, Salas, & Braun, 1998; Orasanu & Fischer, 1992; Sexton & Helmreich, 2000). Moreover, members of effective teams anticipated each other’s information needs and volunteered information and assistance (Serfaty, Entin, & Volpe, 1993).

**Feedback.** Communication efficiency is evident in what Kanki, Lozito, and Foushee (1989) called “closed-loop” communication: Respondents close the conversational loop by acknowledging or answering the initiating utterance, even if only with an “uh huh.” The reply lets the initial speaker know that the utterance was heard and, hopefully, understood. If there is no reply, the speaker’s cognitive burden is increased by wondering if the utterance was heard, which may lead to repetition, further reducing communication efficiency.

**Crew-Oriented Error Correction.** Effective error-correction strategies enable crews to successfully address problem situations while maintaining a positive crew climate. A documented contributing risk factor to many commercial aviation accidents is the “monitoring and challenging” error, in which one crewmember, usually a junior one, is unable to get the other crewmember to take action to resolve an important safety issue (NTSB, 1994). If one crewmember has made an error, calling it to their attention may involve a direct challenge to their status, judgment, or skill.

A study in which commercial airline pilots were asked to rate the effectiveness and directness of communication strategies in threatening scenarios revealed that both captains and first officers favored crew obligation statements (such as “We need to deviate right about now”), preference statements (e.g., “I think it would be wise to turn left”), and hints (e.g., “That return at 25 miles looks mean”; Fischer &
Orasanu, 2000b; Fischer, Rinehart, & Orasanu, 2001). Common to these strategies is that they address a problem without disrupting the team climate. Also, requests that were supported by problem or goal statements (e.g., “We need to bump the airspeed to Vref plus 15. There’s windshear ahead.”) were rated as more effective than communications without supporting statements (i.e., the first sentence alone in the airspeed example), presumably because the supporting statements contributed to a shared problem model and reduced the addressee’s cognitive load by clarifying the rationale behind the request.

Relational Communication. Communication not only supports crew coordination and collaboration; it also carries relational meaning, which creates the social context for joint task work (Ginnett, 1993; Keyton, 1999). Superior task performance was found to be related to symmetric and inclusive team interactions a high degree of team member responsiveness, collaboration, agreement, and positive affect (Fischer, McDonnell, & Orasanu, 2007).

Briefings. Crew briefings are an effective way to establish crew climate and to define norms for interactions and collaboration among crewmembers. Briefings can set the stage for how crewmembers interact with each other and especially for how they manage difficult situations (Ginnett, 1987). Briefings can establish common goals, invite free and open communication, set the tone for safety, and create positive crew climate—not just by talk, but also by modeling.

5.8.2.6 Cohesion

Festinger (1950) defined group cohesiveness as “the resultant forces which are acting on the members to stay in a group” (p. 274). Aspects of cohesion that have been studied include work satisfaction, commitment to group goals, interpersonal attraction, and group identification. Cohesion arising from common response to a challenge has been termed task cohesion. It is defined as “shared commitment among members to achieve a goal that requires the collective efforts of the group” (MacCoun, 1993, p. 291). A second type of cohesion is social cohesion, the extent to which team members “like each other, prefer to spend social time together, enjoy each other’s company, and feel emotionally close to one another.” Clearly, both types of cohesion are relevant to exploration missions. Cohesion is typically measured by members rating their team on the degree of attraction they feel to the team, using instruments such as the Group Environment Scale (Moos & Humphrey, 1974) or the Group Environment Questionnaire (Carron, Widmeyer, & Brawley, 1985).

In a recent meta-analysis, Beal (2003) found a small population correlation (rho = .17) between team cohesion and performance (47 studies, 2,125 teams). However, he did not differentiate between teams in field and laboratory settings. Mullen and Copper (1994) did so and found a higher cohesion-performance relationship with teams in field settings (rho = .27) than in lab settings (rho = .17). The highest correlation between team performance and cohesion was with sports teams (rho = .60; Carron, Coman, Wheeler, & Stevens, 2002; Mullen & Copper, 1994), followed by military teams (rho = .33 from 16 studies, 577 teams; Oliver, Harman, Hoover, Hayes, & Pandhi, 2000).

Beal differentiated between task cohesion and social cohesion as predictors of team performance. He found a stronger population correlation (rho = .27) between task cohesion and team performance (25 studies, 1,187 teams) than between social cohesion and team performance (rho = .14, 11 studies, 342 teams).

Recent analyses suggest that high performance is both the result and the antecedent of high cohesion. In an attempt to determine the causal effects of performance on cohesion and vice versa, Carron et al. (2002) separated studies on sports teams into those that measured cohesion first—before measuring performance—and those that measured cohesion second—after performance. Correlations were high in both directions: rho = .57 and .69 respectively. (These correlations were not significantly different from each other.) In an earlier meta-analysis, Mullen and Copper (1994) found more support for high
In general, cohesive teams have been shown to engage in more effective team behaviors. Beal (2003, p. 991) states that “Although the temporal placement of cohesion in this causal process is uncertain, researchers have found cohesive groups to have increased efficiency of language behavior (Mickelson & Campbell, 1975), greater team mental model convergence (Mathieu, Heffner, Goodwin, Salas, & Cannon-Bowers, 2000), and greater use of transactive memory systems (Hollingshead, 1998, 2000; Wegner, Erber, & Raymond, 1991).”

In addition to its relation to performance, team cohesion also increases resilience to stress and trauma. Norwegian sailors who experienced accidents at sea but were members of highly cohesive units experienced reduced levels of post-traumatic stress disorder relative to those in less cohesive units (Eid & Johnsen, 2002). Similarly, family cohesion helped children adjust to cancer treatments; in contrast, family conflict served as a direct risk factor, adversely affecting adjustment after treatments (Phipps & Mulhern, 1995).

### 5.8.3 Challenges to Effective Teamwork

Numerous factors present during space missions will have the potential to disrupt effective teamwork and negatively affect team performance. Some of these factors are inherent in the space environment, while others are found in the social and organizational dynamics in any work environment. Negative consequences of the social and organizational factors may be exacerbated by isolation and confinement, especially during lengthy missions. Consequences may be manifest in emotional, psychosocial, cognitive, and team interaction functions. A host of environmental, operational, organizational, and psychosocial stressors may have an impact on the crew, influencing both individual adjustment and team performance.

#### 5.8.3.1 Stressors in Space

Poor adaptation to space stressors has resulted in a number of performance-related effects that could compromise a crew’s ability to function, especially under abnormal or emergency conditions (Harrison, 2001; Shepanek, 2005). After his experience on board the Russian orbiter Mir, U.S. astronaut John Blaha commented that he never anticipated the situation would be so stressful—or that it would interfere so much with his performance (Burrough, 1998). Psychosocial factors, “such as isolation, confinement, and workload, can become significant triggers or sources of stress; and these space flight stressors paired with traditional life stressors likely have an exponential impact on behavioral health for long duration astronauts” (Kanas & Manzey, 2008, as cited in Kanas, 2009). “There is considerable anecdotal and behavioral evidence that many crewmembers have experienced psychological and interpersonal difficulties arising from the myriad stressors inherent in space missions, especially those involving longer durations” (Shayler, 2000, as cited in Kanas, 2009). Evidence from isolated and confined environments, including space, indicates that “intra-crew tension, leadership styles, and group dynamics are key factors responsible for exacerbating or ameliorating stress, or facilitating coping and adaptation” (Kanas & Manzey, 2008; Sandal, Værnes, & Ursin, 1995; as cited in Slack, Shea, Leveton, Whitmire, & Schmidt, 2008).

Space crews will be exposed to both chronic and acute stressors:

- **Chronic space stressors** include noise, weightlessness, poor sleep, circadian desynchrony, monotony, and absence of family and friends, in addition to the ever-present dangers of working in a hostile environment.

- **Acute stressors** such as high workload, time pressure, imminent danger, fatigue, and inadequate or ambiguous information are common to many high-risk work environments including space.
Stressors that represent potential risks to safe and productive space missions include team conflict, social pressures, organizational factors, cultural diversity, and sleep and circadian factors.

5.8.3.1.1 Team Conflict

Team conflict is a risk factor for long-duration space missions because of its potential impact on team-member satisfaction, team cohesion, and performance. Although relationship conflict is acknowledged to be dysfunctional, some management courses suggest that task conflict may be productive.\(^4\) However, a meta-analysis by De Dreu and Weingart (2003) showed that both relationship conflict and task conflict led to lower performance and satisfaction in groups, as shown in Table 5.8.1. Moreover, conflict degrades team performance more strongly on complex tasks involving decision-making than on less complex tasks such as production (De Dreu & Weingart, 2003). Given that astronaut tasks often involve decision-making, crew performance could be expected to be even more adversely affected by conflict in both task and relationship areas than indicated in Table 5.8-1.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|}
\hline
 & Task Conflict & Relationship Conflict \\
\hline
Team Performance & -0.23 & -0.22 \\
Team Member Satisfaction & -0.32 & -0.54 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Task and Relationship Conflict Correlated with Team Member Satisfaction and Team Performance in a 2003 Meta-Analysis}
\end{table}


5.8.3.1.2 Social Pressures

Social factors may depress team performance by interfering with rational evaluation of problems or by inhibiting contributions to collaborative decision-making. Team members may feel pressured—directly or indirectly—to take a particular action (Orasanu et al., 2002). The influence may be subtle: knowing that others in the same circumstances have taken a particular course of action may induce a decision-maker to choose it (Paletz, Bearman, Orasanu, & Holbrook, under review). An example would be pilots deciding to take off or land in marginal weather because other pilots before them have done so. Maintaining one’s own reputation or self-concept as a competent professional also falls into this category (Paletz et al., under review). In addition, wanting to be agreeable and similar to others may compromise decision-making, as documented in the “groupthink” literature, when social harmony goals trump good judgment (Janis, 1982). In aviation, social factors have been implicated in accidents when a pilot has been unwilling or unable to correct an error by another pilot (Berman, 1995; NTSB, 1994)

5.8.3.1.3 Organizational Factors

Organizational factors that influence team performance include pressure to conserve resources, to meet a particular schedule, or to achieve a productivity target. These pressures may induce the crew to take greater risks than prudent or to cut corners. Organizational decisions may result in personnel schedules that induce fatigue, or inadequate documentation, training, or procedures (Reason, 1997). These factors

\^4 Task and relationship conflict are sometimes assessed with a scale developed by Jehn (1994, 1995). Task conflict reflects disagreement about the task; relationship conflict taps into team friction, personality clashes, and emotional conflict.
may reduce cognitive preparedness and alertness, or interfere with the crew’s ability to gather information and evaluate options to make good decisions.

5.8.3.1.4 Cultural Diversity

Experience with the Russian and American joint space programs demonstrates that cultural misunderstandings within multinational crews can lead to interpersonal tension, low productivity, and mission difficulties for both space and ground personnel (Holland, 1997b; Holland, 1998; Santy, Holland, Looper, & Marcondes-North, 1992).

Cultural issues may affect team functioning in several ways (Kanas, 2009):

- Differences in styles of coping with stress can be more complicated in multicultural crews because characteristics, such as emotional expressivity, that are common in some cultures may be unusual in others.
- Mental health issues may manifest differently in different cultures (e.g., depressed mood may co-occur with anxiety for Americans but with fatigue for Russians; Ritsher, Kanas, Gushin, & Saylor, 2007).
- Cognitive styles, decision-making styles, and individual behavior (e.g., privacy expectations, personal grooming habits) may vary by culture.
- Cultural differences in social behavior norms (e.g., how hosts treat guests, whether socializing together is expected at mealtime) can cause tension and affect cohesion during missions (Kozerenko, Gushin, Sled, Efimov, & Pystinnikova, 1999).

Living and working with members of other cultures can be stressful. It is thus critical that crewmembers appreciate the cultural basis of differences in their cognitions and behavior, and perceive diversity as an asset rather than a threat to team functioning.

5.8.3.1.4.1 The Impact of Culture on Cognition and Behavior

Fundamental values differ among cultures, shape how members of cultural groups think and behave, and affect team functioning and performance. The most pervasive difference between cultures, most notably between North America and Western Europe on the one hand, and Asian and Eastern European societies on the other, concerns the extent to which they are characterized by an individualistic as opposed to a collectivistic orientation (Hofstede, 1980; Hui & Triandis, 1986). Individualism-collectivism relates not only to different notions of personhood, motives and intentions of behavior, and norms of interaction, but has also been associated with distinct cognitive processes.

The following highlights differences between individualistic and collectivistic cultures in people’s perceptions, categorizations, deductive reasoning, and behavior:

- **Field-dependent vs. field-independent perception.** As members of an individualistic culture, North American participants were found to focus on a central object to the exclusion of its context, while Asian participants, representing collectivistic cultures, showed a preference for a field-dependent cognitive style; i.e., they attended to the relationships between objects (Kitayama, Duffy, Kawamura, & Larsen, 2003; Masuda & Nisbett, 2001).
- **Rule-following.** North Americans were likely to emphasize analysis and formal rules (Nisbett, Peng, Choi, & Norenzayan, 2001). When conflicting information was presented, North Americans were more likely to magnify differences between options for better analysis, while intuitions that were inconsistent with formal rules were pushed aside. Asian participants, in
contrast, favored intuitive strategies and tended to look for a compromise solution that would accommodate contradictory information (Norenzayan, Smith, Kim, & Nisbett, 2002; Peng & Nisbett, 1999).

- **Independent vs. interdependent self.** Individualistic cultures encourage their members to assert their autonomy, to demonstrate their uniqueness, and to get ahead. Accordingly, by stressing the self, personal choices, and achievements, cultural individualism is associated with a conversational style that is explicit, unambiguous, brief, goal-directed, and first-person oriented (Gudykunst & Ting-Toomey, 1988). Individuals are assertive, saying what they mean and what they want (Thompson & Klopf, 1991). Disagreement is voiced and discourse may be adversarial, with parties presenting arguments to prove the correctness of their views (Markus & Lin, 1999). This conversational style is fairly typical in the U.S., at least for males. Female discourse, on the other hand, is believed to share features with a conversational style prevalent in collectivistic cultures (Gilligan, 1985; Tannen, 1990). However, research on request strategies by cockpit crews found that female captains were as direct as their male counterparts (Fischer & Orasanu, 1999).

Collectivist cultures expect their members to honor social relationships, to fit in, and to benefit group goals (Markus & Kitayama, 1991). For instance, Russians, compared to North Americans, were found to “have a more interdependent sense of self, greater context-sensitivity, a stronger focus on group-enhancing goals, and a stronger focus on avoiding negative outcomes rather than achieving positive outcomes” (Ritscher, 2005). As a result, members of collectivist cultures emphasize cooperation in their interactions and favor indirect communication strategies that conceal their true intentions, wants, and goals (Gudykunst & Ting-Toomey, 1988; Wagner, 1995). Talk is role-centered, and emotions, especially negative ones, are expressed only indirectly, if at all. In situations of interpersonal conflict, members of collectivistic cultures are likely to avoid a contentious issue or to withdraw from the situation to protect the relationship. North Americans, in contrast, are likely to address their disagreement directly and to look for solutions that satisfy not only their own but also the other party’s goals (Ohbuchi & Takahashi, 1994).

- **Power distance.** Cultures differ in the extent to which social relationships are based on hierarchical structures, roles, and obligations, or build on equality and social justice (Hofstede, 1980). While power distance influences the communication strategies of members in both individualistic and collectivistic cultures (Fisher, 1984; Linde, 1988; Maynard, 1991; Mehan, 1985), status effects are more pronounced in collectivistic cultures (Holtgraves & Yang, 1992). Similarly, gender roles are more strongly defined in collectivistic than in individualistic cultures. However, differences in sex role differentiation also occur within individualistic cultures. Several studies report that sex roles are more differentiated in the U.S. than in Western European countries (Salamon, 1977; Ting-Toomey, 1987; Tomeh & Gallant, 1984). For instance, Ting-Toomey (1987) observed gender-specific self-disclosure and conflict style patterns in the U.S. but not in France.

- **Teamwork.** Pilots from individualistic cultures exhibited more instances of effective team behaviors (as defined by effective U.S. crews), such as assertiveness by subordinates and closed-loop communications. Pilots from individualistic cultures also expressed strong preference for leaders who are consultative and expect junior crewmembers to contribute to team decision-making (Helmreich & Merritt, 1998). Pilots from non-Anglo cultures, in particular from Asia and Russia, indicated a preference for leaders who are authoritative, take command of the aircraft in emergencies, and tell other crewmembers exactly what to do.
5.8.3.1.4.2   Effects of Cultural Diversity on Team Performance

Cultural diversity in teams may enhance team performance when team members appreciate and capitalize on their diverse perspectives. However, it also brings the potential for miscommunication, stereotyping, and debilitating conflict, especially if team members have strong attitude and value differences.

Most research on diversity in teams does not explicitly investigate cultural diversity, but focuses instead on the effects of deep-level diversity (in team members’ attitudes, values, and beliefs) as opposed to surface-level differences (e.g., team members' ethnicity, gender, and age) or functional differences (e.g., team members’ education, expertise, or experience; see also chapter 15 in the NASA Human Research Program Evidence Book, 2008, for a related literature review). Both positive and negative effects of diversity on team processes and performance have been reported (Jackson, Joshi, & Erhardt, 2003; Mannix & Neale, 2005). One factor moderating the diversity-outcome relation pertains to the nature of diversity. Research in both classroom and professional settings indicates that deep-level diversity is associated with relationship conflict and low team cohesion, which in turn impairs team productivity (Harrison, Price, Gavin, & Florey, 2002; Jehn, Northcraft, & Neale, 1999). For instance, a survey of U.S. astronauts who had flown on a Shuttle mission with one international crewmember revealed an average of five incidents involving miscommunication, misunderstanding, and interpersonal conflict. Moreover, 57% of these incidents were rated as having had a moderate or high impact on the mission (Santy, 1993; Santy, Holland, Looper, Marcondes-North, 1993).

Research also shows that the negative impact of attitude and value differences increases over time. This is in contrast to surface differences, such as age, gender, ethnicity, and marital status, whose effects disappeared by the later phases of teamwork (Harrison et al., 2002). Fundamental differences between team members may thus threaten team functioning when collaborations extend over a period of time, such as long-duration space missions.

In contrast to deep-level diversity, functional diversity was found to boost team effectiveness (Horowitz & Horowitz, 2007), especially for complex, nonroutine tasks (Jehn, Northcraft, & Neale, 1999). In these task contexts, diverse opinions, attitudes, and perspectives fostered more thorough examination of the problem (Mannix & Neale, 2005) and led to more novel, complex, and integrative approaches (Antonio et al., 2004). While informational diversity (i.e., lower shared knowledge) increased team conflict, these instances were disagreements about ideas rather than relationships and led to superior team performance (Jehn et al., 1999). On the other hand, high levels of task conflict may increase the workload of team members and thus interfere with their task performance (De Dreu & Weingart, 2003).

For any type of diversity to enhance team performance, team members need to appreciate it as an opportunity and make a conscious effort to use it constructively in their joint work (Bunderson & Sutcliffe, 2002; Shaw & Barrett-Power, 1998; Van Knippenberg, De Dreu, & Hofman, 2004; van Knippenberg, van Knippenberg, & van Dijk, 2000; Watson, Kumar, & Michaelsen, 1993). Interpersonal leadership, conflict-management strategies, and team-oriented behavior were found to reduce the likelihood of relational conflict and help teams to overcome initial task process and communication difficulties (Mohammed & Angell, 2004; Watson, BarNit, & Pavur, 2005; Watson, Johnson, & Zgourides, 2002).

Also, it is important for diverse teams to have a unifying theme that transcends differences between team members (Mannix & Neale, 2005). If a culturally diverse team develops its own team culture—a “hybrid culture”—with a common identity, shared norms for interpersonal interactions, and high team performance expectations, then team success is likely to follow (Earley & Mosakowski, 2000). When a heterogeneous team is pulled together by a common goal, increased task-focused communication occurs, which leads to improved performance and increased team-member satisfaction (Schippers, den Hartog,
Koopman, & Wienk, 2003). However, if differences are salient to team members, team social integration is low and team performance is likely to be impaired (Harrison et al., 2002).

5.8.3.1.5  **Sleep and Circadian Factors**

Research on the effects of sleep deprivation have found that sleep-deprived subjects “performed considerably worse on motor tasks, cognitive tasks, and measures of mood than non-sleep-deprived subjects,” with multiple days of partial sleep deprivation (i.e., chronic sleep loss) showing the greatest impact on cognitive performance (Pilcher & Huffcutt, 1996, as cited in Whitmire et al., 2008). Sleep debt has been found to accrue in less than a week when sleep is restricted to 4 to 6 hours per night, which is common in space crews, and performance deficits “reach levels of serious impairment” (Dinges et al., 1997, as cited in Whitmire et al., 2008). Reports from *Mir* indicate that many participants on missions lasting over six months “developed symptoms of fatigue, irritability, and minor disorders of attention and memory” (Boyd, 2001; Kanas et al., 2001; as cited in Schmidt, Keeton, Slack, Leveton, & Shea, 2008).

Likewise, sleep deprivation has been linked to behaviors that may compromise team interactions and performance. These include increased outward expression of hostility, a greater tendency to blame others, and less willingness to alleviate conflict by accepting blame, suggesting that sleep deprivation weakens the inhibition of aggression and the willingness to act in ways that facilitate effective social interaction (Kahn-Greenea, Lipizzia, Conrada, Kamimoria, & Killgore, 2006).

5.8.3.2  **Stress Effects on Teamwork and Performance**

Team coordination, collaboration, and performance may be affected both directly and indirectly by space stressors. Indirect effects arise from reduced levels of individual cognitive functioning or emotional adjustment, which then require other crewmembers to compensate. Direct effects on teamwork arise from disruptions to team communication or loss of team orientation. Exposure to chronic and acute stressors may be manifest in crewmember cognitive dysfunctions, psychosocial adjustment, and communication problems.

5.8.3.2.1  **Cognitive Effects**

Cognitive changes associated with stress occur at the individual level, but may have repercussions on team performance. The literature on stress and performance is vast and beyond the scope of this chapter, but several relevant findings stand out: Time stress typically increases errors (Hockey, 1986; Mandler, 1982; Wallace, Anderson, & Schneiderman, 1993); psychosocial and other stressors reduce voluntary control of attentional focus (Connor, Egeth, & Yantis, 2004; Liston, McEwen, & Casey, 2009; Yantis, 2008), leading to “tunnel vision” (Easterbrook, 1959); and social stress reduces working memory capacity (but facilitates implicit memory for negative emotional material) (Luethi, Meier, & Sandi, 2009). Several outcomes may influence collaborative team efforts, such as loss of situation awareness (Janis, 1982), the tendency to choose strategies that involve less effort (Edland & Svenson, 1993; Payne, Bettman, & Johnson, 1988), nonsystematic information scanning and premature closure (Keinan, 1987, 1988), decreased creativity and problem-solving (Shanteau & Dino, 1983), and greater risk-taking (Harrison & Horne, 2000).

---

5Recent reviews include Driskell & Salas (1996); Hammond (2000); Hancock and Desmond (2001); Matthews, Davies, Westerman, & Stammers (2000); and Sandi & Pinelo-Nava (2007).
The greatest stress on individuals often arises from situations in which perceived demands exceed their ability to cope (Harrison, 2001; Shepanek, 2005). These conditions are likely to result in perceived lack of control, which increases stress levels even further (Lazarus & Folkman, 1984; Stokes & Kite, 1994). Situations most vulnerable to stress are those that require shifting attention due to dynamic conditions and that impose heavy working memory loads, such as evaluating alternative hypotheses or courses of action (Orasanu, 1997). These situations tend to be ones that are unfamiliar or for which cues are ambiguous, thus requiring information search or diagnostic strategies. In unfamiliar or ambiguous situations, multiple goals and hypotheses have to be integrated and evaluated, so demands on working memory, and therefore cognitive processing, will be greater.

5.8.3.2.2 Psychosocial Effects

Crewmembers’ ability to identify causes of interpersonal tensions and to respond constructively to them will be essential to survival under the hostile conditions of space. Psychosocial factors have resulted in early termination of several space flight missions (Cooper, 1976; Clark, 2007; Slack et al., 2008). Team psychosocial problems that have been reported from extended-duration missions include hostility toward other crewmembers or ground personnel, social withdrawal, increased need for privacy, and interpersonal friction (Flynn, 2005; Shepanek, 2005; Kanas & Manzey, 2003). Some consider interpersonal issues to be the limiting factor in long-duration space missions. Dr. Oleg Gazenko, medical director of the Soviet and Russian space programs, noted that “The limitations of life in space are not medical but psychological” (Oberg & Oberg, 1986).

5.8.3.2.3 Communication Effects

Team members may experience difficulty communicating when stressed or sleep-deprived. Both production and comprehension of language may be affected. While acoustical and phonetic changes such as pitch, amplitude, vibration, and voice onset time may be good indicators of stress (Stokes & Kite, 1994), they may not affect team communication as much as changes in lexical or content aspects of communication. For example, when stressed, speakers may revert to clichés or other imprecise abbreviated expressions (Cushing, 1994; Davison & Fischer, 2003). Sleep deprivation and stress lead to less explicit communication, such as use of exophoric (e.g., “this,” “that”) or generic pronouns (“it”) in place of specific nouns, thereby placing a greater burden on addressees (Harrison & Horne, 1997; Stokes, Pharmer, & Kite, 1997; Tilley & Warren, 1984). In simulated military operations after 36 hours of sleep deprivation, team members requested less information and engaged in less discussion of strategy regarding movement of assets or coordination of team actions than when they were rested (Harville, Barnes, & Elliott, 2004). The frequency of some communication behaviors, such as issuing orders, declined with increasing hours of sleep deprivation.

Language comprehension ability may also be impaired under stress, due to distraction, so they are not “listening up,” or to more fundamental cognitive processes (Lieberman, Morey, Hochstadt, Larson, & Mather, 2005; Pilcher et al., 2007).

Additionally, sleep deprivation degrades mood, which can have a negative impact on morale and thereby on effective crew communication (Dinges et al., 1997). Stress and fatigue may also cause team members to narrow their focus from the team to themselves, further disrupting communication and collaboration activities (Driskell, Salas, & Johnston, 1999).

5.8.4 Supporting Effective Teamwork

This section addresses four strategies for overcoming the challenges to effective team performance described in 5.8.3. These include composing crews for optimal performance, training crews in the skills
needed to ensure effective coordination and collaboration under challenging conditions, monitoring team
dynamics, and designing information and communication systems that support collaboration.

5.8.4.1 Composing Crews

Highly skilled individuals do not always perform well as a team, as indicated by problems in past
missions (Burrough, 1998; Kanas & Manzey, 2003; Shepanek, 2005). “Probably the most important
issue of long-term space flight with respect to the human element is that of compatibility” (Putnam,
2005). Consideration of team composition and performance factors will be especially important for
longer-duration missions and will be reviewed in this section.6

5.8.4.1.1 Team Member Characteristics and Skills

Teams perform better when composed of individuals who have a high general mental ability (GMA),
according to three meta-analyses (Bell, 2007; Devine & Phillips, 2001; Stewart, 2006). Astronauts
already are selected for high GMA; however, their high mental abilities and task expertise do not ensure
high team performance. Bell (2007) analyzed laboratory and field studies (separately), and found only a
small correlation between performance and average GMA in teams in the field (i.e., long-lasting
teams)—a finding most relevant to astronaut crews.

On the other hand research suggests that team performance is superior when team members have higher-
than-average planning and coordination skills and are homogeneous in this regard. Miller (2001) found
a moderate correlation between performance and planning and coordination skills, as measured by the
responses to a paper-and-pencil scenario test called the Teamwork Test (Stevens & Campion, 1999).
These skills include “coordinating and synchronizing activities, information, and tasks between team
members, as well as aiding the team in establishing individual task and role assignments that ensure the
proper balance of work-load between team members” (Miller, 2001, p. 748). Some of these skills are
addressed by Team Adaptation and Coordination Training (TACT), to be discussed in section 5.8.4.2.3.
Since the Teamwork Test predicts team performance, it would be useful to administer it before and after
training to see if training improves these scores. Schmidt et al. (2008) also cite studies demonstrating
that teams composed of team members with more knowledge about teamwork perform better
(Hirschfeld, Jordan, Feild, Giles, & Armenakis, 2006; Morgeson, Reider, & Campton, 2005). In a
manufacturing organization, Morgeson and colleagues (2005) observed that individual knowledge about
teamwork helped to predict team performance. In a field study of 92 teams (1,158 team members) in a
United States Air Force officer development program, Hirschfield and colleagues (2006) found that
team members’ mastery of teamwork knowledge predicted better team task proficiency and higher
observer ratings of effective teamwork (Schmidt et al., 2008, p. 14).

5.8.4.1.1 Values and Teamwork Attitudes

Teams perform better when they are composed of members who have higher scores on measures of
collective orientation and who prefer to work in teams instead of working alone. Team members who
have a collective orientation prefer procedures that foster harmony and solidarity (Earley & Gibson,
1998) and rate themselves as being loyal to their team and willing to sacrifice for it (Triandis, 1995).

---

6This section refers to correlations between team characteristics and performance. Statistical correlation coefficients range
from 0 (no correlation) to 1 (perfect correlation). In this section, instead of precise numbers, statistical correlations are
interpreted as small, medium, and large (r = 0 to 0.30, 0.31 to 0.50, and 0.51 to 1.00, respectively) on the basis of rules
suggested by J. Cohen (1992). All correlations reported here are statistically significant, i.e., not likely to be due to chance.
Bell (2007) found a moderate correlation between team performance and collectivism and a small correlation between team performance and measures of preferring teamwork.

### 5.8.4.1.1.2 Personality Factors

Studies indicate that high-performing teams in the field have high mean team scores on Agreeableness and Conscientiousness on the Five Factor Model Personality Test (Costa & McCrae, 1992; John & Srivastava, 1999). Table 5.8-2 shows the correlations from a recent meta-analysis (Bell, 2007).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Big Five Personality Factor</th>
<th>Corrected Population Correlation with Team Performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agreeableness</td>
<td>.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conscientiousness</td>
<td>.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Openness to experience</td>
<td>.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extraversion</td>
<td>.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional stability</td>
<td>.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Openness to experience and extraversion were also related to team performance, but less strongly. Variability on agreeableness and conscientiousness was negatively related to team performance, meaning that the more similar the team members’ scores were on these two measures within each team, the better the performance. It was also found that team performance was most highly correlated ($\rho = .37$) with the minimum score of Agreeableness in the team (Bell, 2007). This indicates that one disagreeable member can disrupt the social harmony of the team and thus its performance. (See also Schmidt et al., 2008, ch. 15, p. 6 for details on earlier studies.) These findings suggest that assembling teams with members whose scores are uniformly high on agreeableness and conscientiousness can benefit team performance.

### 5.8.4.1.2 Group Behavioral Profile

Analyses of teams using the Group Diagramming Method (GDM; described in section 5.8.4.3.2) have identified group characteristics that lead to cohesion and high team performance. Designing teams to have these characteristics should contribute to enhanced team performance and mission success.

Recent research using GDM with commercial airline crews and crews in simulated lunar expeditions indicate that when crews perform highly structured tasks, performance is higher when the crew center of gravity\(^7\) is more expressive, i.e., less task-oriented and more socio-emotional (Parke, Kanki, Nord, & Bianchi, 2000, Orasanu et al., 2008). Performance is also higher when crewmembers are not polarized on the dominance dimension, i.e. when there is more equal participation (Orasanu et al., 2008). These

\(^7\)High mean score on this dimension weighted by dominance.
findings indicate that it is important to have crewmembers who are able to engage in expressive behaviors such as joking and who participate equally.

5.8.4.1.3 Crew Size

Many of the studies pertinent to crew size have been with groups rather than with teams. Rasmussen (2006) reviewed the team literature for size effects on psychological outcome (e.g., member satisfaction) and finds that out of 55 studies, 20 did not report the team size, and 20 did not report the results in relation to size. The typical size was 8 to 14 members. Of those studies in which team size was examined, size was not found to affect psychological outcome measures of the team. One study in which size of teams was examined found that members of larger teams were less satisfied, participated less, and cooperated less than members of smaller teams (Guzzo, Jette, & Katzell, 1985).

Optimal group size has been found to depend on the task. An optimal size for group discussion and decision-making is around five members (Hackman & Vidmar, 1970; Hare, 1982; Hare, Blumberg, Davies, & Kent, 1994; Slater, 1958), although a comparison of three- and seven-member groups found the smaller group to be more effective in solving a social dilemma (Seijts & Latham, 2000). Clearly, astronaut crews perform numerous tasks, so studies involving only one type of task cannot help determine ideal crew size.

Although larger groups have an obvious advantage in terms of potential diversity of knowledge, skills, and resources (Levine & Moreland, 1998), smaller groups are generally more cohesive and perform better. As groups become larger, members’ liking for the group decreases (e.g., Indik, 1965; Katz, 1949; Slater, 1958). Performance also decreases as groups get larger (Mullen & Baumeister, 1987; Mullen, Johnson, & Drake, 1987). Several explanations have been offered to account for these findings.

- Larger groups are likely to experience process losses (Curral, Forrester, Dawson, & West, 2001; Steiner, 1972), in particular concerning group member coordination and motivation (Cohen & Bailey, 1997; Levine & Moreland, 1998; Poulton & West, 1999; West, 1995).
- As group size increases, individual participation goes down and groups are more likely to be dominated by a few individuals (see Morgan & Lassiter, 1992, for a review).
- Larger groups have more conflict due to variability in values, motives, and attitudes (Levine & Moreland, 1998).
- Larger groups have more difficulties in adopting innovative solutions or practices than smaller groups (Drazin & Schoonhoven, 1996).

The need for backup should also be considered when considering crew size. Single-point failures that may occur when only one individual has a skill can be catastrophic. Crew cross-training can ensure skill redundancy to prevent these single-point failures in exploration missions (Cannon-Bowers, Salas, Blickensderfer, & Bowers, 1998).

5.8.4.1.4 Gender Composition

The determination of gender composition of expedition crews should take into account both the physical and social attributes of the crewmembers required to maintain psychosocial well-being and to accomplish mission tasks that may require strength and endurance.

Recent case studies of all-male, all-female, and mixed-gender polar expeditions found that all-male groups tended to be highly competitive; all-female groups focused on interpersonal issues related to
teamwork; and in mixed-gender groups, the women often took on socio-emotional maintenance roles, acting as peacemakers and confidants for the men (Leon, 2005). A meta-analysis by Wood (1987) found that all-male groups performed better than all-female groups in strictly task-oriented activities, while all-female groups performed better in socially oriented activities. In a summary of literature relevant to space missions, Bishop (2004) suggested that the presence of both men and women might serve “to normalize group behavior in ways that promote individual and group functioning” (p. C17).

Some problems can occur with mixed-gender teams, however. When the women are close in age to the men, sexual rivalries, tension, and harassment may result (Rosnet, Jurian, Cazes, & Bachelard, 2004). Culture can interact with gender roles, resulting in misunderstandings, conflicting expectations, and in some cases, serious clashes (Leon, 2005; Paletz, under review; Sandal, 2004).

Based on the experience of a three-couple Arctic ship-based expedition, it has been suggested that stable couples would be the ideal gender mix for long-duration missions because of the social and emotional support the couples could provide each other (Leon, 2005; Leon, Atlis, Ones, & Magor, 2002; Leon & Sandal, 2003). Spousal relationships and physical contact have also been shown to help adaptation to stressful conditions. For instance, Coan, Schaefer, and Davidson (2006) found, using functional magnetic resonance imaging, that spousal hand-holding attenuated neural response to threat and decreased the experience of task-related unpleasantness. They also found that the benefits of spousal hand-holding were sensitive to marital quality, suggesting that “individuals in higher-quality relationships benefit from greater regulatory effects on the neural systems supporting the brain’s stress response, including the affective component of brain processing” (Coan et al., 2006, p. 1037).

5.8.4.1.5 Command Structure and Leadership

Leadership is a crucial factor in team composition because leadership greatly affects team performance and cohesion. “Leadership concerns building cohesive and goal-oriented teams; there is a causal and definitional link between leadership and team performance” (Hogan, Curphy, & Hogan, 1994, p.493). Schmidt, Wood, and Lugg (2004) found that average leadership effectiveness scores significantly predicted team climate ratings among over 400 participants in 19 Antarctic teams.

Recent research on highly autonomous teams working on complex tasks suggests that shared leadership involving team members, rather than just the appointed leader, enhances team performance; high-performing teams tend to exhibit more shared leadership than low-performing teams (Pearce & Sims, 2002). Shared leadership enables team members to look to members with the most knowledge and experience in given situations for guidance. These findings suggest that team performance, cohesion, and satisfaction can be enhanced by allowing leaders to emerge naturally, with the ideal of having more distributed leadership (i.e., many leaders) and a combination of male and female leaders. This does not, however, imply that the mission commander does not have full authority and responsibility for decisions.

The following are some core findings from the vast literature on leadership.

- Leadership can be divided into task-focused work and relationship-focused work (as applied to the Shuttle-Mir Program in Kanas & Ritsher, 2005).

- Leadership styles and effectiveness are contingent on the specific situation—the type of task, how much trust is held by followers, and so on (e.g., Fiedler, 1967; Strube & Garcia, 1981; Vroom, 2000; Vroom & Jago, 2007). For example, in self-selected tank crews, performance was high in two different situations: when cohesiveness was low and the commander style was people-oriented, and when cohesiveness was high and the commander had both a strong task- and people-orientation (Tziner & Vardi, 1982, as cited in Paletz, under review). Also, research
on decision-making by business managers has found that they use more participative styles when decisions are highly significant, group commitment is needed, personal expertise is low, group expertise is high, and the group has a history of working together effectively (Vroom, 2000).

- Personality of the leader plays an important role in team performance (Hogan, Curphy, & Hogan, 1994; Hogan & Kaiser, 2005). In aviation, performance of the flight crew (measured by number and severity of errors) was significantly correlated with the captain’s personality: crews with warm, friendly, self-confident captains who stood up well to pressure made the fewest errors (Chidester, Helmreich, Gregorich, & Geis, 1991). Effective leaders possess the “bright side” of the five-factor model of personality (i.e., Extraversion, Agreeableness, Conscientiousness, Emotional Stability, and Openness; Judge, Bono, Ilies, & Gerhardt, 2002). Perhaps more importantly, they do not possess dysfunctional “dark side” characteristics (e.g., narcissism), which may be hard to detect initially but which are very detrimental to teams in the long term.

Who emerges or is accepted as a leader may be influenced by demographics. For example, in groups of Caucasian and Chinese males, a Caucasian usually became the leader, even when he was in the minority. This seemed to be due to his higher rate of participation (Kelsey, 1998, as cited in Paletz, under review). Women may be less likely to emerge as leaders than men, particularly in short-term groups (Eagly & Karau, 1991). However, although men tend to exhibit more leadership behavior than women in mixed-gender groups (Craig & Sherif, 1986), women tend to attain higher levels of informal leadership status, and are “more effective than males in positively affecting team performance” (Cohen & Ledford, 1994; Neubert, 1999) when team tasks require more social interaction (Eagly & Karau, 1991). A higher number of informal female leaders within a team is significantly related to higher supervisor ratings of team performance (Neubert, 1999).

How team leaders emerge also can have an impact on team performance. Research suggests that self-managed teams are superior in performance and team-member satisfaction to teams managed by an appointed leader (Cohen & Ledford, 1994; Neubert, 1999). Group-member agreement regarding informal leadership is associated with group cohesion (Shelley, 1960) and satisfaction of group members (Heinicke & Bales, 1953). Moreover, the higher the proportion of informal leaders in a team, the more cohesive the team (Neubert, 1999). The equal communication and participation among team members that comes with a higher ratio of informal leaders to members is associated with higher levels of team-member satisfaction (Misiolek, 2005). Recent research indicates that both vertical (appointed) and shared (emergent) leadership predict team performance; appointed leaders still play an important role in developing and maintaining shared leadership, but shared leadership is a more useful predictor of team effectiveness (Pearce & Sims, 2002). Promoting shared leadership within highly autonomous teams enables the team to benefit from the expertise of all members, while still maintaining the important leadership role and necessary chain of command of appointed leaders.

5.8.4.1.5.1 Leadership Styles

High flexibility in leadership style is necessary in conditions of rapid environmental change and innovative tasks such as those expected during long-duration space missions (Hersey, Angelini, & Carakushansky, 1982). Leadership style is a critical factor in promoting positive team climate and cohesion. Team climate and cohesion, in turn, were related to interpersonal tension and work satisfaction among Antarctic overwintering teams (Wood et al., 2005). Questionnaires provided insights into what constitutes effective leadership: “Highly regarded leaders fixed problems, united the group, rewarded desirable behaviors, and solicited feedback. Negatively rated leaders blamed others, engaged in behaviors that divided the group, punished, and ignored feedback when it was offered. One poorly
regarded leader said, ‘I’m a good leader. They just don’t know how to follow’” (Wood et al., 2005, p. B29).

In a study of military leaders, the effects of different leadership styles on team cohesion and team efficacy as mediators of team performance were examined (Bass, Avolio, Jung, & Berson, 2003). Three leadership styles were compared:

- **Transactional contingent reward** leadership “builds the foundation for relationships between leaders and followers in terms of specifying expectations, clarifying responsibilities, negotiating contracts, and providing recognition and rewards for achieving expected performance” (Avolio, 1999, p. 212).

- **Transformational** leadership “enhances the development of followers, challenging them to think in ways in which they are not accustomed to thinking, inspiring them to accomplish beyond what they felt was possible, and motivating them to do so…” (Avolio, 1999, p. 215).

- **Passive-avoidant / laissez-faire** leadership “either waits for problems to arise before taking action or takes no action at all” (Bass et al., 2003, p. 208).

The strongest positive influence on both team cohesion and performance were senior leaders with transformational leadership styles (Bass et al., 2003). Team performance was partially enhanced by cohesion as well. These findings show two paths by which leadership can influence team performance—a direct one and an indirect one, through team cohesion.

A summary of research on leadership shows that personality predicts leadership style, which predicts employee attitudes and team functioning, which in turn predict performance (Hogan & Kaiser, 2005).

### 5.8.4.1.5.2  Leadership in Multicultural Teams

It is important that leaders of multicultural teams be aware of and sensitive to cultural differences in the team, while using their role as sense makers to build a strong team culture (Salas, Burke, Wilson-Donnelly, & Fowlkes, 2004). Team leaders may be instrumental in team-building by emphasizing commonalities and shared goals among team members (Gaertner, Mann, Murrell, & Dovidio, 1989; Schippers, den Hartog, Koopman, & Wienk, 2003) and by facilitating the formation of a “hybrid” culture (Earley & Mosakowski, 2000). Managers’ cross-cultural communication competence was found to be positively related to team performance (Matveev & Nelson, 2004). The following are key leadership competencies in a multicultural team context (Elmuti, 2001; Matveev & Nelson, 2004; Salas et al., 2004):

- Awareness of the cultural basis of one’s own and team members’ perceptions and actions
- Positive attitude toward and appreciation of differences
- Social intelligence (in particular, sensitivity to verbal and nonverbal cues)
- Flexibility in resolving misunderstandings
- Ease in communicating with foreign nationals, in particular the ability to align goals, standards, and procedures with the cultural expectations of individual team members
- Basic knowledge about the country, culture, and language of team members
5.8.4.1.6 Evaluating Crew Formation

Despite the advances in research on crew composition and performance described in the preceding sections, it is important not to rely on any single method, model, or finding in trying to compose optimal crews for exploration missions. Observing composed crews over a significant period is the ultimate test of their ability to work together effectively, productively, and happily. Stuster (1996) suggests that it would be useful to conduct high-fidelity six-month simulations “as the final step in the selection process, for formal evaluation of the relevant performance of candidate crewmembers before final assignment” (p. 270). No amount of testing can predict all reactions of crewmembers to each other. For example, the physical appearance of a crewmember can remind another of a disliked teacher and influence interaction between these crewmembers in a negative and unpredictable way. Thus, observation and awareness of important aspects of human interaction should be the final criterion for crew composition. However, a number of approaches to evaluating crew composition may be useful adjuncts in both short-term and long-term simulation environments, including the GDM, Linguistic Analysis of communication, and automated measures such as those developed by Pentland (2008) for analysis of dyadic interaction.

5.8.4.2 Training to Enhance Team Performance and Cohesion

This section addresses how team coordination, collaboration, and performance can be enhanced through training. Training requirements include teamwork skills, techniques for coping with interpersonal stressors, intracrew and intercrew communication, multicultural teamwork, and conflict resolution (Stuster, 2005).

5.8.4.2.1 Current NASA Training

NASA conducts classroom training in Space Flight Resource Management (SFRM), cultural awareness for multicultural crews, and stress management. It also provides opportunities for team-building through outdoor survival training exercises using the National Outdoor Leadership School (van der Ark, personal communication, January 2005).

SFRM is based on aviation crew resource management (CRM) training and includes modules on situation awareness, decision-making, crew coordination, workload management, leadership-followership, and risk management. This instruction is done primarily through lecture and discussion, followed by practice in challenging mini-simulations with feedback. Part-task simulators are used for technical training. Integrated simulations are conducted involving the flight crew and ground crew, thereby providing an opportunity to integrate various skills needed for coordination and collaboration. SFRM training is provided to both astronauts and flight controllers early in their training. However, no refresher training in SFRM skills is provided later in their careers after crews have been assembled for specific missions.

5.8.4.2.2 Crew Resource Management Training

The type of team training most widely adopted for use in high-risk domains such as aviation, military operations, nuclear power, and medicine is some variant of CRM. CRM training has been implemented as a way to combat stress and to ensure effective crew performance by using all available resources, both in flight and on the ground (Helmreich & Foushee, 1993).

CRM focuses on desired communication and behavioral skills identified through analyses of effective crew performance, mainly in aviation, in aspects such as decision-making, risk management, team coordination and communication, leadership-followership, and interpersonal skills (team support). The most recent generation of CRM training focuses on threat and error management (TEM; Helmreich,
Klinect, & Wilhelm, 2001). Threats tend to be events in the aircraft (e.g., system malfunctions) or the environment (e.g., traffic or weather) that pose a challenge to the crew and to the mission; errors may be committed by the flight crew, by air traffic control (ATC), or by others in the system. Acknowledging that all humans are fallible and will make errors, TEM training includes error-recovery strategies in addition to error prevention.

Over the past 25 years, research has identified the importance of experience-based training. Rather than simply being told how to work together as a crew, members must have an opportunity to learn by doing in meaningful task environments (Salas, Wilson, Priest, & Guthrie, 2006). Crew-focused simulation exercises are based on realistic operational scenarios (see Wiener, Kanki, & Helmreich, 1993), creating opportunities to develop and practice crew coordination and teamwork skills integrated with technical challenges.

5.8.4.2.3 Team Adaptation and Coordination Training

Although additional research is still needed in this area, training that emphasizes crew coordination and adaptation seems to be most effective (Salas, Nichols, & Driskell, 2007). TACT (Entin, Serfaty, & Deckert, 1994) is a training intervention in which team members are trained to adjust their coordination and communication strategies to maintain successful task performance under high workload and time pressure. TACT is based on the premise that effective teams must develop shared situation models of the task environment, be sensitive and responsive to changes in task demands, and build mutual mental models of interacting team members’ tasks and abilities (Serfaty, Entin, & Johnston, 1998). These shared models help to generate shared expectations for how a situation will evolve and for the needs of other team members. Team members are taught how to recognize signs of stress in themselves and others, and how to deal with the coordination and communication overhead required to keep the team organized under varying levels of stress and shifting conditions.

5.8.4.2.4 Team Cross-Training

Team cross-training (e.g., Cannon-Bowers et al., 1998) is a team-training intervention in which team members rotate positions to develop an understanding of the knowledge and skills necessary to perform the work of other team members. The technique may prove useful for NASA exploration missions, given the limited number of crew members and the need for skill redundancy to ensure that mission tasks will be performed even if one skilled crew member is not available.

An evaluation of cross-training found that it was important only when team workload was high and it was necessary for tasks to be reallocated across crew members (Cannon-Bowers et al., 1998). Cross-training may also contribute to implicit coordination, that is, the ability of team members to coordinate their joint efforts without the need to communicate overtly, an important skill in high-workload conditions, although the evidence on this point is inconsistent (see Rasker, 2002).

5.8.4.2.5 Team Dimensional Training

The aim of Team Dimensional Training (TDT) is to develop self-managing teams by fostering team self-diagnosis, correction, and debriefing skills, based on review of past performance (Smith-Jentsch, Zeisig, Acton, & McPherson, 1998). Four dimensions of team process are emphasized in the training: information exchange, communication, backup (supporting) behaviors, and initiative and leadership.

A recent validation of TDT found that Naval aviation command and control teams using guided team self-correction developed more accurate mental models of teamwork and demonstrated greater teamwork processes and more effective outcomes than did teams using the traditional debriefing
approach, which was less participative and more linear (Smith-Jentsch, Cannon-Bowers, Tannenbaum, & Salas, 2008).

5.8.4.2.6 Interpersonal Skill Training

Central tenets of interpersonal human relations training are to increase individual awareness of individual and cultural differences (e.g., how different people see the world, their expectations, norms and roles) and to increase awareness that much group friction is based on these differences, rather than on “difficult individuals” (Kass & Kass, 1995). Interpersonal Skill Training (IST) training typically includes working with others, leadership, communication, conflict management, and team-building, all of which relate to cohesion as well as to team performance. A recent meta-analysis of the relations between various training programs and outcomes in nontechnical organizations found that IST had the largest effect on productivity gains (Arthur, Bennett, Edens, & Bell, 2003). IST also had positive effects on team cohesion, morale, and job satisfaction (Bradley, White, & Mennecke, 2003). However, training effects diminished over time without ongoing practice of these skills, an important finding for long-duration space missions (Guzzo et al., 1985).

5.8.4.2.7 Multicultural Training

Adequate training and support for multicultural teams must be implemented to prevent the difficulties that have resulted from cultural misunderstandings in previous missions. Many crewmembers have identified cross-cultural misunderstandings during space missions as causing interpersonal tension, low productivity, and mission difficulties for both space and ground personnel (Carter, Buckey, Holland, Hegel, & Greenhalgh, 2003; Holland, 1997, 1998; Santy, 1993).

Cultural differences in gender attitudes also have been problematic. Male cosmonauts have shown gender stereotyping toward their female counterparts during missions (Chaikin, 1985; Oberg, 1981; Oberg & Oberg, 1986). A cosmonaut chief has been quoted as saying that space flight is too demanding for women (Oberg, 1981).

Multicultural team training requirements include leadership, communication, and coordination strategies that aid taskwork as well as teamwork, and techniques that support teams in developing their own team culture, common identity, and shared goals and norms. In addition, team members need to value diverse perspectives. They should also be competent in one common language (Kelly & Kanas, 1992; Merritt & Helmreich, 1996), or should have conversational knowledge of most team members’ languages (Dion, 2004; Matveev & Nelson, 2004). Training approaches that emphasize behavioral competencies and provide opportunities for practice were found to be more effective than programs aimed at changing attitudes (Kealey, 2004; Kealey & Protheroe, 1996).

NASA conducts classroom training in cultural awareness for multicultural crews; however, validation of multicultural training programs is meager (Kealey, 2004). Crewmembers also participate in joint training in Russia with non-U.S. team members, but unfortunately, the amount of time the crew actually spends together in training is minimal and likely to diminish further in the future.

5.8.4.2.8 Conflict-Management Training

Given that task-related disagreements are perceived as negative by those who are involved, learning to manage task conflict is essential to both team performance and satisfaction (Bales, 1950). However, the goal should not be to eliminate disagreements, since disagreements may be essential to correct errors and to evaluate alternative perspectives on a problem, especially in high-risk situations (Orasanu & Fischer, 2008; Paletz, 2006). Crewmembers need to disagree in a way that does not aggravate the situation and to focus on ways of restoring harmony after the disagreement. Skillful ways of disagreeing
include framing one’s position as being motivated by a concern for crew welfare and maintaining joint responsibility for problem solution (Fischer & Orasanu, 2000b). De Dreu and Weingart (2003) recommend that team leaders, advisors, and facilitators should help the team to diagnose the types of conflict that emerge and teach team members how to manage these conflicts. Cartreine has developed a self-administered conflict-management training module for astronauts to use during space missions, which directly addresses this need (Cartreine, Buckey, Hegel, & Locke, 2009).

Regarding task conflict, De Dreu and Weingart (2003) cite research indicating that team performance may benefit, but only when team members do the following:

- Perceive cooperative rather than competitive goal interdependence (e.g., Alper, Tjosvold, & Law, 2000; for a review, see Tjosvold, 1997)
- Cultivate an environment that is open and tolerant of diverse viewpoints, and work with cooperative norms to prevent disagreements from being misinterpreted as personal attacks (Amason, 1996; De Dreu & West, 2001; Jehn, 1995; Lovelace, Shapiro, & Weingart, 2001; Simons & Peterson, 2000)
- Use more collaborative and less contentious communication when expressing disagreements (Lovelace et al., 2001)

5.8.4.2.9 Skills for Coping with Stress

The effects of stress can be mitigated and team performance improved by reducing anxiety and increasing technical skill proficiency, confidence, and team coordination skills. Crewmembers can monitor each other’s efforts and be alert to cues signaling stress, overload, or fatigue (Baranski et al., 2007). One countermeasure recommended for sleep-deprived teams is to talk, which stimulates alertness (Dinges et al., 1997).

Training can improve performance directly by making knowledge more readily available and skills more automated. Training can also affect performance indirectly by increasing the crew’s confidence in their ability to cope. It is typically the perception of threat that determines stress reactions (Hockey, 1986; Lazarus & Folkman, 1984). If a person feels confident when facing a potentially stressful situation (e.g., parachute jumping), the stress reaction is reduced (Epstein & Fenz, 1965; Fenz, 1975). Anxiety (i.e., fear of anticipated threats) can be further reduced through techniques such as stress inoculation training (SIT) (Meichenbaum, 1996, 2007). A similar technique exists for stress-reduction training in teams (Driskell, Salas, & Johnston, 2001).

An important question for crew training is whether training on cognitive-appraisal or stress-coping techniques can also change emotional and physiological stress responses, and thereby improve team performance. The following have been established:

- Individuals vary in their typical cognitive and emotional responses to stressors: some interpret threatening events as challenges, while others interpret those same events as threats. How a person appraises conditions can elicit qualitatively different emotional, behavioral, and physiological responses (Tomaka, Blascovich, Kelsey, & Leitten, 1993).
- Individuals also differ in their preferred coping strategies (Endler & Parker, 1990). Task-oriented coping typically is related to reduced stress and better performance than emotion-oriented, social-diversion, or distraction types of coping strategies.
5.8.4.2.10 Training for Productivity

Reduced productivity has been a problem in crews living for extended durations in isolated and confined environments such as wintering over in Antarctica or living aboard the Mir space station (Connors, Harrison, & Akins, 1985). The reasons for this are not fully understood. However, declining productivity among space crews can be mitigated in at least two ways—indirectly by maintaining good crew morale, cohesion, and a sense of efficacy, and more directly through work structures, schedules, and reward practices. Of 11 different types of workplace interventions, training and goal-setting were most effective in improving worker output, including both the quantity and quality of work (Guzzo et al., 1985).

Increasing productivity does not necessarily depress morale. Productivity training that involved team-building, process consultations, and management skills not only resulted in significant increases in an organization’s productivity that were maintained over a year, but also resulted in significantly increased employee job satisfaction (Paul & Gross, 1981).

5.8.4.2.11 Intact vs. Remixed Teams

In environments such as the military, intact work teams are trained together in teamwork skills (Kanki & Foushee, 1989; Leedom & Simon, 1995). This process is believed to enhance the opportunity of team members to develop more robust team models based on experience with each other’s strengths and limitations, as well as task roles and responsibilities (Foushee, Lauber, Baetge, & Acomb, 1986; Kanki & Foushee, 1989). In other environments such as aviation, a more common strategy is to assemble crews after members have completed teamwork training in larger groups. New teams are expected to coordinate and collaborate based on the role-based teamwork behaviors they have learned. This approach limits the opportunity to build team models involving specific team members.

The power of team familiarity was demonstrated in a study designed to assess the effects of fatigue on commercial flight crew performance. Fatigued crews who had just come off duty after three or four days flying together performed better in a challenging flight simulation than newly formed, fully rested crews (Foushee et al., 1986). This surprising finding suggests that crew familiarity acquired while the fatigued crews were flying together gave them a performance advantage sufficient to overcome the effects of fatigue. This finding is supported by a National Transportation Safety Board report (1994) that found accidents to occur disproportionately on a crew’s first day flying together, before they had time to develop specific team models based on familiarity.

The question of which strategy—training intact teams or configuring teams after training—leads to more effective and robust teamwork has rarely been tested empirically. Two recent studies address this issue:

- Remixed teams of college students after training them to perform simulated unmanned aerial vehicle missions resulted in more flexible and adaptive team behaviors by disrupting established coordination patterns (Gorman et al., 2006). However, the remixing did not enhance overall team performance.

- Intact, battle-rostered Army aircrews performed no better than newly formed crews after a week of team coordination training and simulator practice (Leedom & Simon, 1995). However, the intact crews reported lower workload and greater implicit coordination, both of which are potential benefits but raised management concerns about complacency and overconfidence.
5.8.4.3 Monitoring Tools

Interpersonal friction has been noted on the Mir, and NASA astronauts have also reported conflict on missions (Kanas et al., 2005; Flynn, 2005; Shepanek, 2005). Difficulties between the crew and ground operations (Mission Command and Control, or MCC) have also occurred (Kanas, 2005). Interpersonal problems have been reported in long-duration analog environments as well (Stuster, Bachelard, & Suedfeld, 2000; Wood et al., 2005). Currently, the crew can get support from the flight surgeons. However, on long-duration missions, especially to Mars, ground support will not always be available. Flight surgeons have stated the need for unobtrusive monitoring tools that detect change in crew cohesion that may be precursors of crew dysfunction and poor performance (NASA Human Research Program, 2007).

Technologies are needed that are embedded or nonintrusive (i.e., do not disrupt ongoing crew activities), and that provide the crew with readily understood, actionable, diagnostic feedback. Three strategies that show promise, though they are not yet ready for implementation, are automated dyadic monitoring, group diagramming, and linguistic analysis.

5.8.4.3.1 Automated Measures of Group Interaction

Automated devices have been developed to monitor and assess some aspects of team performance and may in the future be useful in composing teams. Pentland (2004) described a wearable device that automatically records conversations between two people and analyzes (1) the extent one person is in control of the interaction (by monitoring the amount of speech and the influence on turn-taking), (2) the participants’ emotional involvement (by measuring changes in voice stress), and (3) their empathic responses (by measuring frequency of backchannel and mimicking speech). These measures were found to correctly predict outcomes in dyadic interpersonal situations, such as getting a job, a raise, or a date. For instance, five minutes of role-played negotiations was sufficient to predict the objective outcome with an average decision accuracy of 70%. This tool currently monitors dyads only, but tools that monitor multiple-member team interaction may become available in the future.

5.8.4.3.2 Group Diagramming Method

There is not only a need to monitor the interaction among members of a team, but also to take into consideration the many types of team interactions that can lead to poor performance and decision-making. For example, in just a two-person team,

- Both can be viciously fighting one another.
- One person can be accusing the other of misdeeds and the other can be sulking.
- One person can be task-oriented and positive in their interaction toward someone who simply won’t respond.
- One person can be overly dominant—continuously talking so that the other cannot get a word in edgewise.
- One person can be continuously joking while the other is trying to be serious.
- Both people can be continuously task-oriented and the interaction can become monotonous and boring.
- Both people can be very quiet and not interact.
- Both people can be so positive that they never give an opposing point of view.
- Both people can be continuously joking and never get down to business.
The GDM can identify and portray these interaction patterns as well as the more complex patterns that can exist in larger teams (Bales & Cohen, 1979). Examples of Group Diagrams in large groups are shown in Figure 5.8-1 below (Parke & Houben, 1985). Each circle represents one individual’s rated behaviors on the two dimensions. The size of a circle represents relative dominance, with bigger circles indicating more dominant behavior. The color of a circle indicates gender—men blue, women red.

![Figure 5.8-1 Group Diagrams of a unified group (left) and a polarized group (right).](image)

This method builds on the finding that the most important dimensions of group interaction are

- Positive versus negative behaviors
- Task-oriented versus expressive behaviors
- Dominant versus submissive behaviors


A cohesive group in this system is represented by

- A center of gravity^9 on the positive side of the space
- Closeness in the diagram plane (i.e. similarity in positive and task-oriented behaviors) (Keyton & Springston, 1990; Orasanu et al., 2008)

Such a group has higher group-member satisfaction (Fine, 1986), lower scores on anger and aggression (Orasanu et al., 2008), and higher team performance (Jaffe & Nebebzahl, 1990; Parke et al., 2000)^10.

Closeness in the diagram plane is related to the finding in the personality literature that variability on agreeableness and conscientiousness is negatively related to team performance, meaning that the more similar the team members’ scores are on these two factors within each team, the better the performance.

---

^8 A different approach involves using these three dimensions to classify values, not behaviors (Koenigs & Cowen, 1988).

^9 High mean score on the positive dimension weighted by dominance.

^10 A different approach involves using these three dimensions to classify values, not behaviors (Koenigs & Cowen, 1988).
(see section 5.8.4.1.1.2). However, an advantage to the GDM is that it taps team members’ perceptions of other team members’ behaviors in a specific team.

A Group Diagram can be generated with currently available software that enables crewmembers to rate each other on a 26-item behavioral checklist (Parke, 1985). This checklist has a high inter-rater and item-to-scale reliability (Parke, 1985; Rywick, 1987). Moreover, Group Diagram variables based on team-member behavior ratings have been shown to be reliable over time (Orasanu et al., 2008).

An expert system to give advice can be based on patterns of team interaction produced by crewmember ratings. Ultimately, a Group Diagram can be generated automatically from team interaction. Recent advances in acoustic analysis, audio mining of speech content, and video mining integrated by an Adaptive Fuzzy Inference System can feed data into the 26 behavioral spaces defined by the adjective list. This automatically generated Group Diagram can tie into the expert system and give advice on current team dynamics to the crew and to ground support.

5.8.4.3.3 Linguistic Indicators of Team Cohesion

Recent team communication research has adopted the conceptual tools of socio-linguists and clinical psychologists and identified several features of team communication that indicate a team’s social climate or cohesion.

- *Communication flow* among team members (i.e., who is talking, how much, and to whom) was found to be a sensitive measure of interpersonal team processes such as dominance and inclusiveness. Research by Fischer and her colleagues (Fischer, McDonnell, Ho, & Orasanu, 2008; Fischer, McDonnell, & Orasanu, 2007) showed that interactions in cohesive teams were more balanced (i.e., no individual dominated the discourse) and inclusive (i.e., there were no outliers, and interactions involved more team members) than interactions in teams in which team conflict had been induced.

- The extent to which team members are *responsive to one another, take up and extend each other’s contributions, and build consensus* are other features reflecting social climate (Bales, 1976; Rogers & Farace, 1975). High levels of team members’ responsiveness, collaboration, and agreement were related to team cohesion and superior team performance (Fischer et al., 2007; Orasanu, Fischer, Tada, & Kraft, 2004).

- Social climate is reflected in team members’ *affective* communications, such as expressions of praise, sympathy, anger, and disdain. Cohesive teams were found to express more positive affect than competitive teams during missions on the last day of a 3-day experiment, but not during missions on the first day (Fischer et al., 2008).

- More subtle linguistic devices indicating team cohesiveness include using a “*common*” lexicon that is unique to members of the team or group (Conquergood, 1994; Heath, 1983) and use of *first person plural* (“we”) over first person singular (“I”) pronouns (Sexton & Helmreich, 2000).

5.8.4.3.4 Evaluating Organizational Factors

Surveys have been designed for operations personnel that identify cost and schedule pressure, inadequate documentation, and other organizational factors responsible for previous aerospace accidents (Parke, Orasanu, & Tada, 2004). These surveys have been successful in identifying sources of inefficiencies or vulnerabilities within space organizations and for framing potential solutions to those problems (Parke, Orasanu, Castle, & Hanley, 2005; Parke & Dismukes, 2008). The authors recommend
that such surveys be administered periodically to both astronauts and operations personnel to identify organizational vulnerabilities before these vulnerabilities contribute to errors or result in an accident.

5.8.4.4 Technologies to Support Team Coordination and Collaboration

Distributed work is prone to losses in coordination and cohesiveness (Kiesler & Cummings, 2002). To ensure optimal team collaboration in exploration missions, it will be essential to design systems that provide an accurate, comprehensive, real-time picture of the current situation, and to implement tools that enable team members to communicate and collaborate effectively.

Tools and procedures should be designed to support distributed team coordination and collaboration processes as described in section 5.8.2, both within flight crews and between flight crews and ground personnel.

In the space flight environment, team coordination and communication occur in three contexts:

- Locally distributed coordination within space crews (e.g., semiautonomous subteams interacting with an onboard crewmember, such as on ISS missions where a subteam conducts an EVA while another crewmember remains on board the station)
- Distributed coordination and communication with no or minimal time lag (synchronous) between space crew and ground operations
- Distributed coordination and communication with time lag (asynchronous) between space crew and ground operations

Support tools will need to accommodate the specific problems posed by these different contexts.

5.8.4.4.1 Tools to Support Team Situation Awareness

As described in section 5.8.2.1.1, team situation awareness is essential for collaboration in coping with challenging and unexpected problems. When crewmembers have shared task and team models, they are more likely to notice opportunities for collaboration, will need to talk less to coordinate their efforts, and will be able to anticipate the actions and needs of others (Gutwin & Greenberg, 2004), resulting in better team performance (Mathieu et al., 2000).

Establishing and maintaining team awareness occurs naturally in face-to-face teams, but is much more complicated in distributed teams where critical cues, including nonverbal communication, are lacking (Gutwin & Greenberg, 2004). Also, distributed team members “can face difficulties in forming conventions when they hold different mental models of their work processes” (Mark, 2002).

Research has indicated that overall effectiveness (i.e., quality) of idea-generation tasks and other intellectual tasks is similar for face-to-face teams and distributed teams using computer-mediated communication. However, when it comes to complex tasks, e.g., judgment tasks that require more collaboration among members, face-to-face teams show superior performance (Straus & McGrath, 1994).

5.8.4.4.2 Audio Communication

To ensure effective distributed team coordination and collaboration, it is essential that efficient and reliable communication systems between crew and ground be provided and that open communication be maintained. A wireless system is needed to support both intra-vehicle (crew-to-crew) communication and crew-to-ground communication. Currently, the ability to hear voice communication with the ground is sometimes degraded, costing the crew extra time to clarify issues and repeat messages. Also, no
wireless communication system is available for the crew to communicate across the ISS modules, which have high ambient noise levels. The current system consists of audio terminal units located at the ends of the modules, to which the crew must move to talk to ground personnel or to each other between modules. An estimated six hours per week of crew time is spent moving to audio terminal units to facilitate communication. During drills and alarms, the crew has reported difficulty contacting the ground without first acknowledging the alarms, which can take up to 20 to 30 minutes (Baggerman, Rando, & Duvall, 2004).

5.8.4.4.3 Onboard Video Capability

Adoption of videoconferencing capabilities is important for maximizing efficiency and productivity in spatially distributed teams. Videoconferencing is a promising tool to support team situation awareness and task models, especially during tasks involving high levels of team coordination and collaboration. Sharing a visual environment greatly improves communication and facilitates understanding (Fussell, Kraut, & Siegel, 2000; Karsenty, 1999; Kraut, Fussell, Brennan, & Siegel, 2002; Whittaker & Geelhoed, 1993). Teams with videoconferencing capabilities are significantly more efficient in completing problem-solving tasks than teams that rely on online chat communications (Hambley, O’Neill, & Kline, 2007).

For tasks that require minimal coordination and do not have tight time restrictions, nonvisual computer-mediated communication between space crews and ground operations may be adequate. However, when time is limited, videoconferencing capabilities can greatly improve efficiency. This is especially relevant for tasks where enabling ground operations to see what is happening on board could save substantial time compared to explaining verbally what the crew is seeing.

An additional benefit of videoconferencing capabilities is that members of teams with video interaction rate their team higher on cohesion and report higher levels of satisfaction than those using chat room technologies (Hambley et al., 2007; Wakertin, Sayeed, & Hightower, 1997).

5.8.4.4.4 Tools for Asynchronous Collaboration and Coordination

Incorporating procedures and tools that promote successful asynchronous collaboration enables distributed team members to continue working during communication lags and outages. Communication media that introduce even small delays make establishing common ground (i.e., mutual awareness and knowledge) substantially more difficult to accomplish (Krauss & Bricker, 1966; Kraut et al., 2002). Asynchronous text-based communication, such as e-mail, requires more explicit message formulation than spoken communication due to the lack of immediate feedback that the message has been understood. Whereas mutual awareness and understanding can develop in a matter of minutes during synchronous conversations, it can take hours or days to develop with asynchronous communications (Kraut et al., 2002). This type of delay can have a substantial impact on the efficiency and success of distributed team collaborations, especially those that are very complex or time-intensive.

Audio and video communication tools can be useful during asynchronous operations because they can provide auditory and visual details about problems that would be much harder to convey through text-based communications. This can reduce the number of information transfer cycles required to resolve problems by providing enough quality information in the first transmission that further clarification is reduced or unnecessary. It also provides the added benefit of allowing the monitoring of crewmembers for signs of stress or illness that can be detected via voice and visual observation.

Although delays in communication will be unavoidable as missions travel farther from Earth, some of the disruption in performance and efficiency imposed by the delays can be mitigated by incorporating procedures and mechanisms that enable space crews to proceed with tasks, make decisions, and solve
problems autonomously during lags in communication. Tools that are useful in asynchronous operations include domain-specific tools, whiteboards, and groupware (see section 5.8.4.4.6 for details).

5.8.4.4.5 Tools to Support Autonomous Operations

Autonomous flight crews will need ready onboard access to a full database of appropriate information and tools to facilitate decision-making when ground support is not available. This implies (a) a data architecture that is easily searchable and (b) access to relevant contractor documents. With space crews flying longer distances from Earth and gaps in communication with ground also becoming longer, there will be a need for space crews to have access to simplified, readily understandable procedures and information that will enable them to complete tasks and make decisions without outside assistance. Information must also be available to support autonomous medical care and crew health (see section 8.6). In addition to specific tools, training techniques such as cross-training crewmembers to establish multiple proficiencies, as well as CRM, TACT, TDT, and IST training to teach crewmembers to effectively support and rely on each other, can enable crews to function and adapt more effectively during autonomous operations. (See section 5.8.4.2 for details of training techniques.)

5.8.4.4.6 Selection of Team Collaboration Tools

Choosing tools to promote good team situation awareness, efficient teamwork, and successful outcomes among distributed crews depends on several factors (Bolstad & Endsley, 2005):

- **Specific collaboration characteristics**: Synchronous vs. asynchronous, scheduled (predicted times) vs. unscheduled, co-located vs. distributed, and one-way vs. interactive communication
- **Specific tool characteristics**: Recorded trail of contributions, identification of contributors, and structured vs. flexible communications
- **Types of information**: Oral (spoken), written, spatial-graphical, emotional, photographic, video
- **Types of collaborative processes**: Planning, scheduling, brainstorming, information tracking, data gathering and distribution

The following collaborative tools have been identified as flexible enough to accommodate synchronous or asynchronous interaction and co-located or distributed teams, making them well suited to support collaboration between space crews and ground personnel in future space missions (Bolstad & Endsley, 2003):

- **Domain-specific tools** enable distributed participants to transmit information required for specific tasks or individuals from one person’s computer display to another’s.
- **Whiteboards** enable distributed participants to share and modify information in a dedicated space on a computer display.
- **Groupware** enables distributed participants to work on a common task in a shared, interactive computer-based environment and is designed to focus and enhance communications, deliberations, and decision-making of groups.

5.8.4.4.7 Emerging Distributed Team Support Tools

Many new options in groupware are being developed to help distributed teams share information and gain the awareness needed for optimal performance. The five most critical elements for supporting group awareness during synchronous distributed collaborative tasks including brainstorming, technical document preparation, and creative writing are these (Tran, Raikundalia, & Yang, 2006):

- Being able to comment on what other users have done
Knowing what actions other users are currently taking
Providing a communication tool when audio is not available
Knowing other users’ working areas in the document or task
Knowing other users’ tasks

These elements have specific relevance for space flight, where the space crew and ground control need to be able to effectively revise procedures, brainstorm solutions, and perform other work collaboratively, sometimes in time-critical high-risk situations. Therefore, these elements should be used as criteria for choosing team collaboration tools.

Four new awareness mechanisms are presently being developed (Tran et al., 2006) and could prove useful for space operations:

- **Dynamic Task List**: An active list of all collaborators’ tasks, identified by names and corresponding text colors, that is immediately updated as tasks are added, removed, and modified.
- **Modifications Director**: Notifies users immediately when other users have modified their work by flashing a corresponding icon on the user’s screen.
- **Advanced Chat**: Enables users to attach document objects such as text and diagrams to a chat conversation message, especially useful when audio communication is not possible.
- **Split Window View**: Provides the views of other authors’ working areas and viewing areas simultaneously.

When the appropriate teamwork support systems are designed into new space vehicles, future missions can support more effective collaboration and better performance than previously possible, despite the stressors of long-duration missions.
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6  NATURAL AND INDUCED ENVIRONMENTS

6.1  INTRODUCTION

This chapter discusses design considerations for ensuring crew health and safety throughout a space mission, to protect the crew from the natural environments of space flight such as the atmosphere and space radiation, and induced environments such as acceleration, vibration, acoustics, and human-made non-ionizing radiation.

6.2  INTERNAL ATMOSPHERE

6.2.1  Introduction

This section discusses the composition of the internal atmosphere of spacecraft, and includes data on safe atmospheric composition, total pressure, limits on contaminants, and atmospheric temperature, humidity, and airflow.

Additional considerations for an extravehicular activity (EVA) pressure suit atmosphere are discussed in section 11, “Extravehicular Activity.”

6.2.2  Internal Atmosphere Composition and Pressure

Maintaining a habitable atmosphere in a spacecraft includes providing the proper atmospheric constituents in the proper quantities necessary to sustain life. At sea level on Earth, the atmosphere is composed of approximately 78.1% nitrogen (N₂), 21.0% oxygen (O₂), and several trace gases including carbon dioxide (CO₂) and water vapor, with a total atmospheric pressure of 101.36 kPa (14.7 psi) (Table 6.2-1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Standard Sea-Level Atmosphere Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mmHg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Pressure</td>
<td>760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen Partial Pressure</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen Partial Pressure</td>
<td>594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Vapor Partial Pressure</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ Partial Pressure</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

However, humans can survive in a wide range of atmospheric compositions and pressures. Atmospheres deemed sufficient for human survival are constrained by the following basic considerations:

- Total pressure must be sufficient to prevent the vaporization of body fluids (ebullism), which occurs at approximately 45 mmHg at 37°C.
- Oxygen must be at a suitable partial pressure for metabolic use.
Oxygen partial pressure must be low enough to prevent oxygen toxicity.

For durations in excess of 2 weeks, some physiologically inert gas must be provided to prevent atelectasis.

All other atmospheric constituents must be physiologically inert or of low enough concentration to preclude toxic effects.

The breathing atmosphere must have minimal flame or explosive hazard.

More restrictive limits may be applied to atmospheric parameters to ensure crew health.

6.2.2.1 Total Pressure

Atmospheric pressure refers to the pressure of the surrounding gases that are applied equally to the entire body. Besides the danger of exposure to pressures below 45 mmHg at 37°C, human tolerance to high pressure is limited, presumably due to changes in biomolecular conformations and inactivation of critical enzymes (Waligora et al., 1994). The current maximum pressure endurance for humans, based on diving exposure, is 3,304,291 Pa (479.4 psia; 24,789 mmHg) using self-contained underwater breathing apparatus (SCUBA) at 318.25 meters (1044.1 ft) of seawater; this exposure occurred on June 13, 2005, for less than 1 hour.

Selecting spacecraft cabin pressure is difficult because of several competing needs, including safe O₂ content, the ratio of cabin pressure to spacesuit pressure for minimizing the risk of decompression sickness (DCS), and several engineering constraints including structural integrity to maintain cabin pressure, gas density for cooling, and gas concentration for minimizing flammability. A lower pressure limit, around 7.5 psia, can enhance operational capability for EVA by reducing prebreathe time without affecting DCS risk, as well as potentially reduce the amount of atmosphere consumed. The most significant health concerns regarding atmospheric pressure are DCS and barotrauma.

6.2.2.1.1 Decompression Sickness

DCS occurs when the inert gas (typically N₂) that is dissolved in body tissues under pressure forms bubbles on exposure to decreased pressure. If the rate of depressurization is great enough, bubbles may become trapped as they leave the tissues and cause several kinds of problems. During space missions, DCS may occur because of a slow cabin depressurization due to a leak, but is more likely to occur during preparations for EVA, since there is a transition from cabin pressure to suit pressure, which is normally much lower.

DCS can be classified as type I (mild), type II (serious), and arterial gas embolism. Type I DCS is characterized by itching or mild pain, especially in a joint or tendon area, that begins to resolve within 10 minutes of onset. Type II DCS is more serious because it involves the nervous system, and can create pulmonary and circulatory problems. Symptoms are variable and diverse, and may be delayed by as much as 36 hours. Arterial gas embolism occurs when small gas bubbles expand as pressure decreases, and may become lodged in coronary, cerebral, or other arterioles, possibly causing myocardial infarction, stroke, or seizure. Symptoms typically occur within 10 to 20 minutes of exposure to decreased pressure.

The precise conditions under which a particular individual will develop symptoms of DCS are impossible to predict. The tissue tension of the diluent gas at any particular time will depend on the initial and final equilibrium tensions, the solubility of the diluent in the subject tissues, and
the rate and duration of decompression. For example, hypobaric DCS from a normal sea-level atmosphere will occur typically only after decompression below 490 mmHg (9.48 psia). This “threshold” pressure for DCS will vary with the susceptibility of the individual to influence by any of the following risk factors:

- **Body Build** – Obesity may increase susceptibility to DCS, since N₂ is more readily absorbed in fatty tissue, although no data exists to support this.
- **Temperature** – Cold may increase susceptibility (Macmillian, 1999). A correlation has been found at ambient temperatures between 20°C (68°F) and 30°C (86°F), and DCS incidence is doubled at much lower temperatures (–20 °C / -4°F) (Heimback & Sheffield, 1996).
- **Previous Exposures to Low Pressure** – Repeated exposures to hyperbaric conditions may increase susceptibility to DCS depending on the nature of the exposure. Second altitude exposure within 3 hours was found to greatly increase risk, but the effect of repeated daily exposure is not known (Heimback & Sheffield, 1996).
- **Dehydration** – The role of dehydration as an isolated risk factor has not been established, but inadequate hydration may contribute to DCS risk by increasing blood viscosity. Aspirin is used to help prevent DCS by reducing platelet aggregation.
- **Age** – A threefold increase in incidence of DCS has been observed in going from the age groups 19–25 years to 40–45 years, possibly because of circulatory changes with age (Macmillian, 1999).
- **Gender** – Data from chamber exposures indicates that women may be more susceptible to DCS than men (0.224% in women versus 0.049% in men, a 4.6-fold increase). Additional research is needed at higher altitudes under identical conditions with both genders to enable comparisons of DCS susceptibility (Pilmanis & Webb, 1996).
- **Exercise** – The effect of exercise is roughly equivalent to the effect of an increase of 3,000 to 5,000 feet in the altitude of exposure (Macmillian, 1999; Heimback & Sheffield, 1996). However, exercise during EVA prebreathe periods using 100% O₂ produces higher blood flow and is recommended to help eliminate trapped N₂ in body tissues.
- **Injury** – Perfusional changes in an injured area, particularly in a joint, may create an increased susceptibility to DCS.

When too much diluent gas is dissolved into tissue, some gas must be removed from tissue before decompression occurs, to avoid DCS. Displacing N₂ with O₂ is used to accomplish this, by breathing 100% (or at least > 95%) O₂ for a short period before planned decompression. Oxygen is used for this “prebreathing” since it has a high rate of use by tissue, ensuring that it does not contribute significantly to the formation or growth of bubbles in tissue. If a diluent gas other than N₂ is used, then the risk of using it and measures to reduce the risk must be investigated.

The ISS uses three prebreathe protocols with the 217.2-mmHg (4.2 psi) Extravehicular Mobility Unit (EMU) suit. A different prebreathe protocol is used for the Russian Orlan suit since it has a higher operating pressure. The selection of protocols for a given EVA depends on the mission objectives, DCS risk, crew timeline, and overall operational risks:
• Exercise – Exercising while breathing 100% O₂ has been shown to more quickly eliminate N₂ from the body tissues. The protocol includes exercising on a cycle ergometer for 10 minutes of an 80-minute mask prebreathe of 100% O₂, with cabin pressure starting at 760.2 mmHg (14.7 psia) and decompressing to 527.5 mmHg (10.2 psia) over 20 or more minutes, followed by a 60-minute in-suit prebreathe that is completed before the airlock begins its purge to vacuum.

• Airlock Campout – This is a 2-day protocol. On the first day, crewmembers preparing for EVA use a mask to prebreathe 100% O₂ for 60 minutes while pressure in the airlock decompresses from 760.2 mmHg (14.7 psia) to 527.5 mmHg (10.2 psia). On the second day, 8 hours and 40 minutes after 527.5 mmHg (10.2 psia) is reached in the airlock, a 70-minute mask prebreathe of 100% O₂ is performed, and is followed by a final 50-minute in-suit prebreathe.

• 4-hour In-Suit Prebreathe – Includes 4 hours of unbroken breathing of 100% O₂ at an airlock pressure above 646 mmHg (12.5 psia).

Rapid and appropriate intervention is required to optimize the outcome for a crewmember affected by DCS. In the case of a depressurization, the spacecraft must be capable of pressurizing from vacuum to nominal spacecraft pressure within 20 minutes. Beyond 20 minutes, higher pressures are required to address DCS symptoms. The U.S. Navy Treatment Table 6 is the terrestrial standard for treating DCS. However, the terrestrial standard will not be achievable, nor required, in space because the resources required to support it would be prohibitive, and the expected outcome from sub-terrestrial-standard therapy is likely to be adequate for “altitude-induced” DCS symptoms. Instead, treatment vessels for the delivery of hyperbaric oxygen may include pressure suits, airlocks, and spacecraft habitable volumes, which may be used independently or in combination to achieve specified pressures.

After the initial treatment of DCS symptoms with hyperoxic pressure, it is usually necessary to provide follow-on treatment with higher levels of pressure for treatment of unresolved or recurrent DCS symptoms, or prevention of recurrent symptoms. To prevent progression of DCS symptoms or the development of DCS-induced deficits or aftereffects, in cases of unresolved or recurrent DCS symptoms, it is necessary to provide prompt pressure to the crewmember, above that of the starting spacecraft pressure. Rapid and appropriate intervention is required to optimize the outcome for the affected crewmember(s).

The DCS treatment pressure may be achieved by a combination of pressure vessels to include maximal spacecraft or airlock pressure + maximal suit pressure. A pressure of at least 156.5 kPa (22.7 psia, 1174 mmHg) should be provided, to match current DCS treatment capability on the ISS consisting of 101.4 kPa (14.7 psia, 760 mmHg) spacecraft pressure + 55.2 kPa (8.0 psia, 413 mmHg) EMU suit pressure when operating the Bends Treatment Apparatus (BTA). If maximal operating lunar pressure is assumed to be 72.4 kPa (10.5 psia, 543 mmHg) + suit is 56.5 kPa (8.2 psia, 424 mmHg), then the airlock or portable chamber would need to provide an additional 27.6 kPa (4 psia, 207 mmHg) of pressure to meet the 156.5 kPa level.

The treatment plan for affected crewmembers will also include specific diagnostic and therapeutic procedures, including guidance for decisions on return contingencies. Additionally, plans will be needed for terrestrial response for returning crewmember(s) with DCS, if the in-flight response is inadequate for treatment.
Late-onset or severe DCS requires higher pressures to treat, but for maximum effectiveness, pressure must still be administered as quickly as possible after the onset of symptoms. In a scenario where the spacecraft cannot maintain pressure, such as the uncontrolled cabin depressurization contingency (120-hour), then 156.5 kPa (22.7 psia, 1174 mmHg) DCS treatment pressure will not be obtainable. In this case, provisions should be made to produce a minimum of 55.2 kPa (8 psia, 413 mmHg) greater than ambient pressure for a minimum of 6 hours.

6.2.2.1.2 Barotrauma

Barotrauma occurs when gas trapped in body tissues and cavities is subjected to changes in internal pressure, and the resultant pressure difference across cavity walls causes pain or tissue damage. Barotrauma can occur with relatively low pressure differences and slow decompression and recompression rates. Cavities such as the ears, sinuses, and teeth with cavities or fillings are especially vulnerable.

The rate of change of total internal spacecraft pressure must be limited to between −206,842 Pa (−30 psi; −1,552 mmHg)/min and 93,079 Pa (+13.5 psi, 698 mmHg)/min during nominal operations to prevent injury to crewmembers’ ears and lungs during depressurization and repressurization. In 0g the danger is greater because head and sinus congestion may already be present, and so the positive limit is more conservative than the U.S. Navy dive manual limit for descent rate of 310,264 Pa (45 psi; 2,327 mmHg)/min (100 feet/min). The negative limit is consistent with the U.S. Navy dive manual ascent rate limit of 66 feet/min. On the Space Shuttle, the maximum rate of pressure change during nominal cabin decompression and recompression for EVA preparation is 0.1 psi/s, and for emergency recompression the rate, is limited to 1.0 psi/s. Ear problems associated with change in barometric pressure are listed in Table 6.2-2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Differential pressure mmHg</th>
<th>Differential pressure psid</th>
<th>Symptom</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No sensation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>.06-.12</td>
<td>Feeling of fullness in ears</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-15</td>
<td>.19-.29</td>
<td>More fullness, lessened sound intensity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-30</td>
<td>.29-.58</td>
<td>Fullness, discomfort, tinnitus in ears: ears pop as air leaves middle ear; desire to clear ears – if accomplished, symptoms stop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-60</td>
<td>.58-1.16</td>
<td>Increasing pain, tinnitus, dizziness, and nausea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-80</td>
<td>1.16-1.55</td>
<td>Severe and radiating pain, dizziness, and nausea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~100</td>
<td>~1.93</td>
<td>Voluntary ear clearing becomes difficult or impossible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 +</td>
<td>3.87+</td>
<td>Eardrum ruptures.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When decompression happens very rapidly, more severe injury is likely, especially in the lungs and other air-filled cavities. The injury caused by rapid decompression depends on the rate of pressure change, the initial and final pressure levels, and the overall amounts of air in the lungs
and throughout the body. The causes of rapid decompression may be a puncture of the spacecraft by debris (in orbit, or at high altitude during launch or entry), damage to and failure of windows and hatches, or the deployment of a launch or entry escape system. Explosive decompression was a concern during early Space Shuttle missions that included an ejection escape system. The maximum altitude for ejection of unsuited crewmembers was limited because of decompression concerns.

The rate of pressurization during hyperbaric treatment must not result in a differential pressure across a crewmember’s chest in excess of 80 mmHg (1.55 psid), or in excess of 40 mmHg (0.77 psid) for a period longer than 5 seconds. Decompression scheduling and gas composition changes in the chamber depend on atmospheric composition. Oxygen toxicity is the main concern in hyperbaric therapy regimes.

6.2.2.1.3 Total Pressure Limits

The total pressure limits in Table 6.2-3, developed by the Exploration Atmosphere Working Group to reduce the risk of DCS, must be met throughout a mission.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Pressure (mmHg)</th>
<th>Total Pressure (psia)</th>
<th>Exposure Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pressure ≤ 155.15</td>
<td>Pressure ≤ 3.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155.15 &lt; Pressure ≤ 222.37</td>
<td>3.0 &lt; Pressure ≤ 4.3</td>
<td>12 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>222.37 &lt; Pressure ≤ 387.36</td>
<td>4.3 &lt; Pressure ≤ 7.5</td>
<td>14 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>387.36 &lt; Pressure ≤ 775.73</td>
<td>7.5 &lt; Pressure ≤ 15.0</td>
<td>Indefinite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>775.73 &lt; Pressure ≤ 879.16</td>
<td>15.0 &lt; Pressure ≤ 17.0</td>
<td>12 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure &gt; 879.16</td>
<td>Pressure &gt; 17.0</td>
<td>Contingency only</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.2.2.2 Oxygen

The partial pressure of oxygen at sea level on Earth is 158.3 mmHg (3.06 psia), which is reduced to 104.0 mmHg (2.01 psia) at the alveoli, the site of gas exchange, in the lungs. Although people can live continuously at an altitude of 3,658 m (12,000 ft) with an alveolar oxygen pressure of 54.3 mmHg (1.05 psia), this is possible only with acclimatization, and performance is reduced. Too little oxygen (hypoxia) induces sleepiness, headache, the inability to perform simple tasks, and loss of consciousness. Too much oxygen (hyperoxia) can also be harmful. Prolonged breathing of pure oxygen at sea-level pressure (and perhaps even at lower pressures) can eventually produce inflammation of the lungs, respiratory disturbances, various heart symptoms, blindness, and loss of consciousness. Figures 6.2-1 and 6.2-2 show atmospheric pressure and percent oxygen combinations where hypoxia and hyperoxia are likely to occur.
6.2.2.2.1 Hypoxia

Inadequate oxygen can affect humans at an alveolar oxygen partial pressure of 85 mmHg (1.65 psi), beginning with reduced low-illumination color vision. Below this level, down to around 69
mmHg (1.33 psi), mental performance, such as learning novel tasks, is degraded. Visual, mental, and motor impairment increase as oxygen levels decrease, until consciousness begins to be affected around 35 mmHg (0.67 psi) (Waligora et al., 1994).

Alveolar partial pressure can be calculated for any atmosphere using the equation:

$$PAO_2 = \text{FiO}_2(Pb-47) - \text{PCO}_2 \times (\text{FiO}_2 + 1 - \text{FiO}_2/0.85)$$

where

- $PAO_2 = \text{alveolar partial pressure of oxygen}$
- $\text{FiO}_2 = \text{oxygen fraction in the breathing atmosphere}$
- $Pb = \text{barometric pressure of the breathing mixture}$
- $0.85 = \text{assumed respiratory exchange ratio}$
- $\text{PCO}_2 = \text{partial pressure of CO}_2$

Table 6.2-4 lists some effects of hypoxia on humans at approximate atmospheric oxygen partial pressures.

**Table 6.2-4 Effects of Hypoxia**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxygen partial pressure (mm Hg)</th>
<th>Oxygen partial pressure (psia)</th>
<th>Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>Normal (sea-level atmosphere)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>Accepted limit of alertness. Loss of night vision. Earliest symptom is dilation of the pupils.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>Performance seriously impaired. Hallucinations, excitation, apathy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>Physical coordination impaired, emotionally upset, paralysis, loss of memory.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>Eventual irreversible unconsciousness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–46</td>
<td>0–.89</td>
<td>Anoxia – near-immediate unconsciousness, convulsions, paralysis. Death in 90 to 180 seconds.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The effect of falling oxygen pressure is insidious, as it dulls the brain and prevents realization of danger.

The visual functions of a human are particularly sensitive to hypoxia. The retina is the most oxygen-sensitive tissue in the body. Figure 6.2-3 shows some thresholds of visual determination.
The Space Shuttle maintains oxygen at 165.5 mmHg (3.2 ± 0.25 psi), and oxygen masks are donned if the oxygen pressure falls below 121.0 mmHg (2.34 psi).

6.2.2.2 Oxygen Toxicity (Hyperoxia)

Molecular oxygen (O₂) can also manifest toxic effects at high partial pressures (ppO₂). Figure 6.2-4 shows times to onset of symptoms. As shown in the figure, the symptoms in this region will generally be respiratory. At pressures of oxygen around 251 mm Hg, changes in red blood cell fragility and cell wall permeability have been reported after long periods of exposure.
6.2.2.2.3 Oxygen Level Limits

Spacecraft must support atmospheric ppO₂ levels as defined in Table 6.2-5 to ensure adequate delivery of O₂ to the pulmonary alveoli from inspired oxygen and minimize the risk of hyperoxia.

Limits for ppO₂ levels below 2.5 psia are to prevent hypoxia, while those above 3.4 psia are to prevent hyperoxia.

The lower levels represent the minimum ppO₂ needed to maintain the alveolar pressure of oxygen equivalent to that of breathing air at a range of altitudes from approximately 3,000 to 10,000 feet, at which degradation in performance is expected to occur with acute changes.

Keeping oxygen partial pressure above 139 mmHg ensures that the crewmembers will be comfortable performing on-orbit tasks requiring enhanced mental alertness and concentration, and will be able to sustain physically demanding cardiopulmonary and muscular loading such as that performed during countermeasure exercises or EVA, without any performance decrements or toxicity that could be induced by insufficient or excess oxygen partial pressure. The U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) specifies that the minimum O₂ level for entry into an enclosed space is 19.5% at sea-level pressure (ppO₂ 148 mmHg; equivalent 2,000 ft). The range of ppO₂ available to be breathed on Earth by >80% of the world’s population is 145–178 mmHg, equivalent to sea level to 3,000 feet (914.4 m) altitude. This is the ppO₂ recommended for extended nominal space flight operations by several space biomedical sources. Joint U.S. and Russian biomedical sourcebooks recommend keeping spacecraft ppO₂ above 128 mmHg (below the equivalent flight altitude of approximately 6,000 ft [2,000 m]) to allow the performance of physical work in the face of cardiovascular and vestibular effects of weightlessness.
Table 6.2-5 Oxygen Partial Pressure Levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ppO₂ (Pa)</th>
<th>ppO₂ (mmHg)</th>
<th>ppO₂ (psia)</th>
<th>Maximum time allowed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ppO₂ &gt; 82,737</td>
<td>ppO₂ &gt; 620</td>
<td>ppO₂ &gt; 12.0</td>
<td>≤ 6 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70,327 &lt; ppO₂ ≤ 82,737</td>
<td>527 &lt; ppO₂ ≤ 620</td>
<td>10.2 &lt; ppO₂ ≤ 12.0</td>
<td>≤ 18 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60,674 &lt; ppO₂ ≤ 70,327</td>
<td>456 &lt; ppO₂ ≤ 527</td>
<td>8.8 &lt; ppO₂ ≤ 10.2</td>
<td>≤ 24 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33,095 &lt; ppO₂ ≤ 60,674</td>
<td>251 &lt; ppO₂ ≤ 456</td>
<td>4.8 &lt; ppO₂ ≤ 8.8</td>
<td>≤ 48 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23,442 &lt; ppO₂ ≤ 33,095</td>
<td>178 &lt; ppO₂ ≤ 251</td>
<td>3.4 &lt; ppO₂ ≤ 4.8</td>
<td>≤ 14 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18,616 &lt; ppO₂ ≤ 23,442</td>
<td>139 &lt; ppO₂ ≤ 178</td>
<td>2.7 &lt; ppO₂ ≤ 3.4</td>
<td>Nominal physiological range. Indefinite with no measurable impairments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17,237 &lt; ppO₂ ≤ 18,616</td>
<td>126 &lt; ppO₂ ≤ 139</td>
<td>2.5 &lt; ppO₂ ≤ 2.7</td>
<td>Indefinite with measurable performance decrements until acclimatized (after 3 days).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15,168 &lt; ppO₂ ≤ 17,237</td>
<td>112 &lt; ppO₂ ≤ 126</td>
<td>2.2 &lt; ppO₂ ≤ 2.5</td>
<td>1 hour, unless complete acclimatization, otherwise risk acute mountain sickness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppO₂ ≤ 15,168</td>
<td>ppO₂ ≤ 112</td>
<td>ppO₂ ≤ 2.2</td>
<td>Not allowed. Supplemental O₂ is required to perform tasks without significant impairment.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The minimum limit for O₂ partial pressure of 16.8 kPa (2.44 psia, 126 mmHg) without acclimatization is set at approximately 9,000 ft (2,743 m) altitude equivalent. This level is set below the 10,000-ft altitude level where oxygen masks are required per Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and Department of Defense (DoD) requirements, and is set to reduce the likelihood of development of acute hypoxic symptoms such as acute mountain sickness. With continued exposure to less oxygen than stated in the table limits, especially with increasing level of activity, a risk of acute altitude sickness may result. The limits are in accordance with international standards, and with variations in total cabin pressure that affect the partial pressure of oxygen. Russian standards for hypoxia limits allow exposure to 15,998.68 Pa (2.32 psia, 120 mmHg) to 18,665.13 Pa (2.71 psia, 140 mmHg) O₂ for a maximum of 3 days.

The lowest ppO₂ level in Table 6.1-5 represents an O₂ equivalent altitude (breathing air) of 10,000 feet. Rapid ascents to 10,000 feet cause an incidence of mild to moderate altitude sickness in 20 to 40% of those ascending. The risk of altitude sickness is increased principally because of the reduced alveolar ppO₂ and to a lesser degree because of the decrease in the
ambient air pressure. The 10,000-ft altitude equivalent (14,798.78 Pa ppO₂) represents the maximal altitude that DoD and commercial FAA pilots may fly without supplemental O₂ (accepted masking level).

It is important to note that an increased fire hazard is involved in using enriched (>21%) O₂ atmospheres as the method of maintaining this alveolar partial pressure, because of the increased flammability of typical cabin interior non-metallic materials.

6.2.2.3 Carbon Dioxide

Carbon dioxide (CO₂) is present on Earth at sea level in concentrations of about 0.04%. Since CO₂ is a product of respiration, its concentration increases in confined areas, especially if they are poorly ventilated.

6.2.2.3.1 Carbon Dioxide Effects

No minimum CO₂ atmospheric requirement for human existence has been set, but too much CO₂ can cause symptoms such as headache, nausea, rapid breathing, and increased heart rate. Figure 6.2-5 shows effects of increased CO₂ concentration on respiration volume and rate, and on pulse rate. It has been noted that individuals with a relatively large tidal volume and slow respiratory rate show less respiratory and sympathetic nervous system response while breathing low concentrations of CO₂. At very high levels, CO₂ exposure can lead to confusion, convulsions, and loss of consciousness.
CO₂ withdrawal symptoms can be experienced after the cessation of certain exposures to CO₂ and can result in even greater functional impairment than the exposure itself. Headaches of varying severity are common and may be potentiated by the fluid shifts associated with operations in 0g. Withdrawal from more acute exposures may cause dizziness. Symptoms are more marked during acute exposures to 5% to 10% CO₂ than during chronic exposures to CO₂ concentrations below 3%. In the extreme case, profound hypertension and grave cardiac arrhythmias may occur. It has been observed that subjects recover from CO₂ exposure better when breathing O₂ than when breathing air.

The Space Shuttle limits CO₂ partial pressure levels to 7.76 mmHg (0.15 psia), and the crew dons breathing masks if the level exceeds 15.51 mmHg (0.30 psia). Due to lack of convection in 0g, spacecraft may develop areas with low atmospheric circulation, which could result in a localized area of increased CO₂. In addition, combustion events can contribute to overall CO₂ levels in the spacecraft.

In Figure 6.2-6, alveolar partial pressure of CO₂ is plotted against alveolar partial pressure of O₂. Shown on the graph are relationships between atmospheric CO₂-O₂ composition and human
performance response. The normal 36 mmHg (0.7 psia) alveolar partial pressure corresponds to approximately 3 mmHg (0.06 psia) of CO₂ cabin partial pressure.

![Figure 6.2-6. Relationship of alveolar O₂ and CO₂ composition to performance.]

6.2.2.3.2 Carbon Dioxide Limits

CO₂ levels must be limited to the values in Table 6.2-6, which are defined in the Johnson Space Center (JSC) publication “Spacecraft Maximum Allowable Concentrations for Airborne Contaminants (SMAC)” (JSC 20584).

**Table 6.2-6 Spacecraft Maximum Allowable Concentrations for CO₂**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Limit (mmHg)</th>
<th>Limit (psia)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 h</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 to 180 d</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000 d</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The SMACs are somewhat lower than limits for other Earth-based applications, which are shown in Table 6.2-7.
Table 6.2-7 Industrial and U.S. Navy Recommended Limits for CO₂

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Limit (mmHg)</th>
<th>Limit (psia)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Cable, 2004) – IDLH</td>
<td>Brief</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Cable, 2004) – STEL</td>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRC (2004) – EEGL</td>
<td>1 h</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EEGL</td>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CEGL</td>
<td>90 d</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Cable, 2004) – REL</td>
<td>Working lifetime</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSHA – PEL</td>
<td>Working lifetime</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Cable, 2004) – TLV</td>
<td>Working lifetime</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACGIH, American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists; CEGL, continuous exposure guidance level; EEGL, emergency exposure guidance level; IDLH, immediately dangerous to life and health; NRC, National Research Council; PEL, permissible exposure limit; REL, recommended exposure limit; STEL, short-term exposure level; TLV, threshold limit value.

Crewmembers may be more sensitive to CO₂ or other atmospheric pollutants during space flight than they are on Earth. This may be associated with physiologic alterations induced by adaptation to 0g, and thus limits may need to be set more conservatively than those used in terrestrial applications. The 1000-day CO₂ SMAC is based on anecdotal observations that above this level sensitive persons experience subtle behavioral deterioration, perhaps due to mild headaches. Such behavioral issues are considered undesirable for shorter, near-Earth missions, but they cannot be accepted for long-duration exploration missions.

The rate of rise of CO₂, based on calculated respiration rates and the number of crewmembers on board, will be slow and predictable. High levels of CO₂ are unlikely to be reached acutely unless an off-nominal event (e.g., fire) has occurred, which will be associated with other more toxic compounds being given off into the common atmosphere. Humans usually can adapt to slow elevation rates of CO₂ exposure, and therefore a reduction in the number and severity of symptoms may be observed. The SMAC values in Table 6.2-6 are time-weighted averages with the understanding that deviations more than 10% above the average are extremely rare and are fully compensated by periods during which the CO₂ levels are well below the limit. Spacecraft must be designed to minimize areas where CO₂ could accumulate; however, it is recognized that various operational activities may result in local CO₂ accumulations that elicit mild symptoms. If symptoms associated with CO₂ exposure do occur, then action must be taken to maintain better airflow in the suspect areas. If the level of CO₂ exceeds the SMAC levels listed in Table 6.2-6, then the risks of symptoms and/or performance decrements are considered unacceptable.

Carbon dioxide limits for the Constellation Program were based on the SMAC levels and the Russian “State Standard,” referred to as GOST (Table 6.2-8). These limits may differ because of the location of sensors.

The time allowed by a local sensor may differ from that allowed by the module sensors because CO₂ may accumulate in local regions of the spacecraft and there is an uncertain disparity.
between what is being measured at the module sensor location vs. what the crewmember is actually breathing where he or she is located.

The reason for including module sensor values is to provide daily averages. For inspired ppCO₂, module sensors are intended to be more time-sensitive than a 24-hour time-weighted average; their readings are available hourly, or for the worst case, every 8 hours.

**Table 6.2-8 Constellation Program Partial Pressure CO₂ Limits for Crew Exposure**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ppCO₂ (Pa)</th>
<th>ppCO₂ (mmHg)</th>
<th>Time allowed in area using inspired ppCO₂*</th>
<th>Time allowed in module using module sensor**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>NOMINAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0 – 653</td>
<td>0.0 – 4.9</td>
<td>indefinite</td>
<td>indefinite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SUBOPTIMAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>667 – 707</td>
<td>5.0 – 5.3</td>
<td>30 days</td>
<td>7 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>720 – 787</td>
<td>5.4 – 5.9</td>
<td>7 days</td>
<td>24 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800 – 1,000</td>
<td>6.0 – 7.5</td>
<td>24 hours</td>
<td>8 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,013 – 1,320</td>
<td>7.6 – 9.9</td>
<td>8 hours</td>
<td>4 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OFF-NOMINAL &amp; EMERGENCY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,333 – 1,987</td>
<td>10.0 – 14.9</td>
<td>4 hours</td>
<td>1 hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,000 – 2,653</td>
<td>15.0 – 19.9</td>
<td>2 hours</td>
<td>30 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,666 – 3,986</td>
<td>20.0 – 29.9</td>
<td>30 minutes</td>
<td>Do not exceed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,000 – 5,320</td>
<td>30.0 – 39.9</td>
<td>Do not exceed</td>
<td>Do not exceed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,333 – 10,119</td>
<td>40.0 – 75.9</td>
<td>Danger Zone</td>
<td>Danger Zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10,133</td>
<td>&gt;76.0</td>
<td>Emergency</td>
<td>Emergency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Area sensors are used to measure the ppCO₂ that a person in the area is inspiring.
**The module sensor may not be in the area where crewmembers are located at the time.

**6.2.2.4 Diluent Gas**

Earth’s atmosphere provides a physiologically inert gas, nitrogen, which comprises 78% of Earth’s air by volume, with a partial pressure of 594 mmHg (11.48 psi). The best candidate atmospheres will contain one or more of the following physiologically inert diluent gases: nitrogen, helium, neon, argon, krypton, or xenon. The diluent gas can serve several functions:

- It can be used to increase cabin total pressure without necessarily increasing oxygen partial pressure – this is important in spacecraft that operate in a high-absolute-pressure ambient, e.g., a diving bell.
- In the event that a closed pocket of gas occurs in the crewmember’s body, the pocket may collapse. This may occur in the middle ear if the middle ear is not periodically ventilated (ear clearing). It can also occur in small segments of the lungs during high stress, since the oxygen and carbon dioxide present in the pocket are absorbed rapidly. A diluent gas added to the mixture will be absorbed more slowly, and will help prevent such a collapse.
- Experiments, particularly in life sciences, may be sensitive to atmospheric parameters. A choice of Earth-normal atmosphere (i.e., 760 mm Hg and 79% nitrogen, 21% oxygen, plus minor constituents) would typically provide a less complicated laboratory test environment than pure oxygen. Normal atmosphere would allow use of standard laboratory equipment.
- Diluent gas is required in nominal long-duration breathable atmospheres to prevent pulmonary alveolar atelectasis.
- Except for hydrogen, the diluent gas(es) in the cabin atmosphere will act as a suppressant in case of fire.

A choice of atmospheric composition that contains a diluent gas other than nitrogen may have associated side effects for a spacecraft crew. The following sections discuss metabolic, thermal, and vocal factors that may affect crew performance.

6.2.2.4.1 Metabolic Factors

All gases considered for the role of diluent in an atmosphere must be physiologically inert (i.e., the body must have relatively little metabolic response to the diluent under normal conditions). At higher pressures, however, diluent gases can exhibit toxic effects.

Using nitrogen as a diluent poses the risk that it will bubble out from the tissue and cause DCS when the individual is exposed to hypobaric conditions. For nominal operations, the maximum limit for nitrogen must be set to reduce excess nitrogen saturation in the event a contingency EVA will be performed without a prolonged oxygen prebreathe period. Because it is inert, nitrogen does not cause significant measurable physiological effects in humans until it reaches levels equivalent to several atmospheres of depth, and therefore the nitrogen narcosis limit is 395,070 Pa (57.3 psia, 296 mmHg).

6.2.2.4.2 Thermal Factors

With the exception of helium, the diluent gases considered for use in cabin atmospheres do not present difficulties with thermal regulation significantly different from nitrogen.

The thermal conductivity of helium is six times that of nitrogen. For this reason, experience has shown that air temperatures must be maintained at least 2°C to 3°C higher than normal for subjects at rest.

6.2.2.4.3 Vocal Factors

The low density of helium-oxygen mixtures induces an increase in the frequencies of the human voice. In gas mixtures with a high percentage of helium, substantial problems with speech intelligibility may be encountered. In these circumstances, partial mixes with nitrogen or neon added to the heliox (helium-oxygen) mixture will be of benefit. Electronic processing has also been used to improve communication clarity.

6.2.2.5 Internal Atmosphere Composition and Pressure Monitoring and Control

The crew must have the ability to monitor atmospheric parameters, including total pressure, ppO₂, ppCO₂, temperature, and humidity, to ensure the health of the spacecraft and prevent
potential health threats to the crew. The crew must have the ability to review previous atmospheric data for analysis, such as trending. Various procedures, including opening and closing hatches, EVA prebreathe, and loss-of-pressure procedures, may also require knowledge of these values by the crew.

In addition to passive monitoring capability, alerting functions must exist to warn the crew when atmospheric parameters exceed high and low limits. Certain procedures (e.g., a loss-of-pressure emergency) will be initiated based on the values of major constituents in the spacecraft’s atmosphere. Alerting removes the need for the crew to constantly monitor these atmospheric parameters during periods when there is no communication with the ground.

Although the spacecraft’s environmental control system automatically controls atmospheric parameters to within safe limits, the crew must have the ability to control certain parameters including total pressure and ppO2. For example, EVA prebreathe may require a drop in cabin pressure or change in ppO2, and a contingency or damage to sensors may require the crew to manually control some parameters.

6.2.2.6 Mission-Related Effects on Internal Atmosphere Composition and Pressure

Various flight regimes may influence the choices a designer makes in selecting an atmosphere, and the following should be considered:

- Pre-launch – Contamination from ambient atmosphere during boarding may influence the pressurization or depressurization schedule. Low-pressure cabins may require an oxygen prebreathe.
- Launch – The possibility of oxygen atelectasis during high-g stress with a 100% O2 atmosphere suggests that a diluent gas should be included in the mixture. The shallow breathing that may result from high-g loading may dictate a higher O2 concentration or an increased ventilation rate.
- Short Flights – Greater ranges of atmospheric parameters (e.g., CO2 partial pressure and pure O2 atmospheres) may be tolerated on short flights as the detrimental effects of these are time-dependent.
- Long Flights – For longer flights, tolerance to irritating or toxic substances is reduced, and trace contaminants become more important and crew comfort of greater concern.
- Entry and Landing – Same as launch, plus consideration of ambient conditions if landing in an extraterrestrial environment.
- Post Landing – If on Earth, reintroducing ambient atmosphere on an appropriate schedule may be desirable while waiting for debarkation. If not on Earth, ambient conditions, EVA operations, experiments, etc., may influence atmosphere design.
6.2.3 Atmospheric Temperature, Humidity, and Ventilation

The combination of atmospheric temperature, humidity, and ventilation regulates thermal comfort by maintaining a careful balance of these parameters. A given temperature may be uncomfortable in the presence of high humidity and low ventilation, but acceptable with a small variation in one or all of the parameters.

6.2.3.1 Temperature

Maintaining proper atmospheric temperature is important for maintaining a safe body core temperature, and is also important for comfort. Humans can survive in a wide range of atmospheric temperatures over various amounts of time, but human comfort without use of thermal protective garments requires a fairly narrow temperature range. The Space Shuttle temperature can be controlled within the range of 18°C to 27°C (64-81°F). The effects of direct contact with hot and cold items are discussed in section 9.12, “Hardware and Equipment Hazards.”

6.2.3.1.1 Heat

As temperature begins to rise, the body responds by increasing peripheral circulation, vasodilation, and sweating in an attempt to remove heat from the body. However, if heat cannot be removed fast enough, body core temperature rises, which results in discomfort as well as cognitive and physical impairment. Table 6.2-9 identifies core temperature range limits and associated performance decrements.

Table 6.2-9 Core Temperature Range Limits and Associated Performance Decrements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core Temperature °C (°F)</th>
<th>Equivalent Heat Storage kilojoules (BTU)</th>
<th>Medical Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>37.7–38.2 (99.9–100.8)</td>
<td>317–422 (300–400)</td>
<td>Onset of decrement in performance of cognitive tasks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Decreasing manual dexterity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Discomfort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hyperthermia (or heat stress)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.2–39.2 (100.8–102.6)</td>
<td>422–633 (400–600)</td>
<td>Slowed cognitive function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased errors in judgment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loss of tracking skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25% risk of heat casualties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Possible heat exhaustion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.2–39.6 (102.6–103.3)</td>
<td>633–844 (600–800)</td>
<td>Functional limit of physical tasks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50% risk of heat casualties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Probable heat exhaustion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Possible heat stroke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;40 (&gt;104)</td>
<td>&gt;844 (&gt;800)</td>
<td>100% risk of heat casualties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Probable heat stroke</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A spacecraft cabin with excess heat load may quickly reach crew tolerance limits and may impair crew performance and health. Crew impairment begins when skin temperature increases more than 1.4°C (2.5°F) or core temperature increases more than 0.6°C (1°F), or if pulse is greater than 140 bpm. Precise prediction of crew tolerances during Earth entry is not possible; therefore environmental temperature must be controlled.

Excess heat storage can be mitigated with special cooling garments, such as the Liquid Cooling and Ventilation Garment used on the Space Shuttle.

6.2.3.1.2  Cold

In a cold-stress situation, the body will rapidly reduce peripheral circulation in an attempt to conserve core heat. As the core and skin temperatures continue to drop, shivering begins and discomfort is continually present. Eventually shivering may become violent and uncontrollable.

If the surface temperature of the hand falls below 12–14°C (54–57°F), manipulative ability begins to fail. As the hand temperature drops lower, more serious loss of manipulative ability occurs, partly from stiffness and partly from the loss of tactile sensitivity. The final decrement is caused by the loss of brain functioning due to a drop in core temperature. The brain loses the capacity for cognitive functions if its temperature drops much below 34°C–35°C (93–95°F) even though the body is still capable of responding to instruction.

As the core continues to lose heat, shivering eventually lessens, and then stops altogether. At this point, complete loss of thermoregulation is imminent. Death, however, may not come quickly. The core temperature can be drastically reduced, to 26°C (78.6°F) or lower, and the body can still survive. If the core experiences such extreme cooling, however, death can occur when attempts are made to re-warm the body, cardiac fibrillation being the common cause of death.

Excess heat rejection can be mitigated to some degree by the use of insulating garments. Figure 6.2-9 shows the effect on tolerance to cold temperature and wind of the addition of various degrees of thermal protective clothing.

6.2.3.1.3  Thermal Limits

The thermal conditions in the comfort zone in Figure 6.2-7 must be met throughout nominal mission phases. The comfort zone is defined as the range of environmental conditions in which humans can achieve thermal comfort and not have their performance of routine activities affected by thermal stress. Thermal comfort is affected by the work rate, clothing, and state of acclimatization.
The comfort zone does not include the entire range of conditions in which humans can survive indefinitely. The indefinite survival zone is larger and might require active perspiration or shivering, which are initiated by elevated or lowered core temperatures. Operation outside the comfort zone may be associated with performance decrements. The graph implies minimal air movement and assumes the radiant temperature of the surroundings to be equal to the dry bulb temperature. The effects of acclimatization, work, and heavier clothing are shown as data trends by the arrows on the graph. The temperature range that bounds the comfort zone is 18°C (64.4°F) to 27°C (80.6°F), has been used successfully for STS and ISS nominal spacecraft operations, and is recommended for future spacecraft.

For operations such as ascent, entry, suited operation, exercise, and off-nominal situations, brief temperature excursions may occur. Instead of defining absolute temperatures for excursions, body heat storage can be calculated. The thermal comfort objective is to maintain body thermal storage within the comfort zone defined by the following equation:

$$\Delta Q_{stored} = \frac{MR - 278}{13.2} \pm 65 \text{ BTU}$$
where \( MR \) = metabolic rate in BTU/h, and \( \Delta Q_{\text{stored}} \) is the change in heat stored from the nominal quantity of heat stored in the human body at normal body temperature at rest. This calculation can be converted to BTU/lb by dividing the \( \Delta Q_{\text{stored}} \) (BTU) by 154 lb (the legacy standard man weight). This number can be further converted to kJ/kg using the conversion factors of 1 BTU = 1055.056 J and 1 lb = 0.4535924 kg.

The accepted means of calculating heat storage or rejection (\( Q_{\text{stored}} \)) is to use the 41-Node Man or Wissler model. The 41-Node Man model has been used and incorporated into NASA testing, tests since the 1960s have shown it to be accurate within 5%.

During those portions of a mission when cabin conditions cannot be maintained within nominal limits, short periods of departure from the comfort zone can be accommodated by crewmembers through heat storage or rejection, but must not exceed the cognitive deficit onset limits, defined by the range:

\[
4.7 \text{ kJ/kg (2.0 BTU/lb)} > \Delta Q_{\text{stored}} > -4.1 \text{ kJ/kg (-1.8 BTU/lb)}
\]

Outside this range, impairment of cognitive performance occurs. Beyond the cognitive impairment zone is the tolerance zone, where there is a loss of physical skills (tracking) and a possibility of injury. The limits for retention of physical skills are defined by the following range:

\[
6.0 \text{ kJ/kg (2.6 BTU/lb)} > \Delta Q_{\text{stored}} > -6.0 \text{ kJ/kg (-2.6 BTU/lb)}
\]

Figure 6.2-8 shows the \( \Delta Q_{\text{stored}} \) zones for comfort, performance impairment, and tolerance. The vertical axis is the change in body heat storage. The tolerance zone ranges from –6.0 kJ/kg (for physical cold injury risk greater than 50%) to +6.0 kJ/kg (for physical heat injury risk greater than 50%). The cognitive performance impairment zone ranges from –4.1 kJ/kg (for cold) to +6.0 kJ/kg (for heat). The figure also shows a plot of the comfort zone as a function of metabolic rate. For example, a crewmember with a metabolic rate of 1,705 BTU/h will be in the middle of the comfort zone at approximately 1.6 kJ/kg (0.7 BTU/lb) of stored body heat above normal resting storage.
Figure 6.2-8. Heat storage zones for comfort, performance impairment, and tolerance.

Keeping the crewmember heat storage value below the performance impairment line allows the crew to conduct even complex tasks without heat-induced degradation. In a non-acclimatized individual, water loss is approximately 0.95 L (32 oz) per hour and salt loss is approximately 2 to 3 grams (0.0044 to 0.0066 lb) per hour. In 0g and elevated humidity, sweat forms an insulating layer over the body, further adding to the heat stress instead of relieving it. If the crewmember is in a suit, the heat load may increase rapidly. JSC thermoregulatory models (Wissler and 41-Node Man) simulating entry of a hot cabin by crewmembers wearing launch and entry suits with the properties (thickness, conductance, wickability, emissivity) of the Advanced Crew Escape Suit (ACES) predicted loss of all body cooling mechanisms. Supporting data from military aircrew protective ensembles suggests that body temperature may increase more rapidly over time in the ACES than in a shirtsleeve environment.

Keeping the crewmember heat rejection value above the performance impairment level in Figure 6.2-10 allows the crew to conduct tasks without cold-induced degradation, which occurs at approximately –280 kJ (–265 Btu).

In summary, the thermal comfort objectives are that

1) Body thermal storage be within the comfort zone.

2) Evaporative heat losses be limited to insensible evaporation of moisture produced only by respiration and diffusion through the skin without active sweating.

3) There be no thermogenic shivering.

4) Body core temperatures be maintained near the normal resting values of approximately 37°C (98°F).
5) Skin temperatures be maintained near normal resting values of approximately 32.8 to 34.4°C (91 to 94°F) when no liquid cooling garments are used. During their use, skin temperatures will be significantly lower.

6.2.3.1.4 Expected Metabolic Loads

To allow calculation of spacecraft environmental control system capacity, it is necessary to know expected crewmember metabolic loads, which will be affected by the magnitude of work being performed. Tables 6.2-10 and 6.2-11 provide estimates of metabolically generated heat (column 5), water (column 6), and CO₂ (column 9). These tables were populated with physiologically measured variables as well as 41-Node Man simulations. These are expected crew-induced loads based on the assumptions and conditions stated in the legend, and therefore will be altered if any of these variables change.

The data represent crew-induced loads from a single crewmember. In addition to any spacecraft- and equipment-induced loads, the spacecraft must accommodate crew-induced loads for the entire crew, assuming only one crewmember can exercise at a time, and assuming that other crewmembers will be at nominal activity level during that time. Each crewmember must be able to exercise at this level once per day.

Total heat output from a single crewmember is the sum of sensible (dry) heat and wet heat outputs. The sensible (dry) heat component includes only direct radiation and convection of heat from a crewmember. Total wet heat has two components: 1) latent heat, including heat in water vapor that is exhaled and water vapor that evaporates directly from the skin, and 2) heat in sweat runoff, which leaves the body in the form of liquid.

For purposes of spacecraft design modeling, O₂ consumption and CO₂ output are considered to be at the 75% VO₂max level during exercise, and return to nominal values when exercise has stopped. Water, O₂, and CO₂ are reported as kilograms and pounds mass, with O₂ and CO₂ converted from standard temperature and pressure, dry (STPD) data. The table data assumes the following:

- An 82-kg (181-lb) crewmember
- A 30-minute exercise period
- VO₂max = 45 mL/kg/min (1.25 in³/lb/min) at STPD
- 5% work efficiency of the exercise device
- Air and wall temperature = 21°C (70°F)
- Airflow = 9.1 m/min (30 ft/min)
- Dew point = 10°C (50°F)
- Spacecraft pressure = 70.3 kPa (10.2 psia)
- 0g loading
- Respiratory quotient = 0.92 (applied volumetrically)
- Crewmember wearing shorts and T-shirt
- Sleeping metabolic rate of 300 BTU/h
- Nominal metabolic rate of 474 BTU/h
- A metabolic rate of 500 BTU/h for the hour immediately after exercise completion

The variability of this analysis is 5%. If any of the above conditions or assumptions changes, the described loads will be altered.

**Table 6.2-10  Crew-Induced Metabolic Loads for a Standard Mission Day with Exercise**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crew Member Activity Description</th>
<th>Duration of Activity (hr)</th>
<th>Sensible (dry) Heat Output kJ/hr (btu/hr)</th>
<th>Wet Heat Output (includes latent and sweat runoff) kJ/hr (btu/hr)</th>
<th>Total Heat Output Rate kJ/hr (btu/hr)</th>
<th>Water Vapor Output kg/min* 10^-4 (lbm/min* 10^-4)</th>
<th>Sweat Runoff Rate kg/min* 10^-4 (lbm/min* 10^-4)</th>
<th>O2 Consumption kg/min* 10^-4 (lbm/min* 10^-4)</th>
<th>CO2 Output kg/min* 10^-4 (lbm/min* 10^-4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sleep</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>224 (213)</td>
<td>92 (87)</td>
<td>317 (300)</td>
<td>6.30 (13.90)</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00)**</td>
<td>3.60 (7.94)</td>
<td>4.55 (10.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>329 (312)</td>
<td>171 (162)</td>
<td>500 (474)</td>
<td>11.77 (25.99)</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00)**</td>
<td>5.68 (12.55)</td>
<td>7.20 (15.87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise 30 min at 75% V02max</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>514 (487)</td>
<td>692 (656)</td>
<td>1206 (1143)</td>
<td>46.16 (101.76)</td>
<td>1.56 (3.43)</td>
<td>39.40 (86.86)</td>
<td>49.85 (109.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise 15 - 30 min at 75% V02max</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>624 (591)</td>
<td>2351 (2228)</td>
<td>2974 (2819)</td>
<td>128.42 (283.13)</td>
<td>33.52 (73.90)</td>
<td>39.40 (86.86)</td>
<td>49.85 (109.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery 0 - 15 min post 75% V02max</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>568 (538)</td>
<td>1437 (1362)</td>
<td>2005 (1900)</td>
<td>83.83 (184.82)</td>
<td>15.16 (33.43)</td>
<td>5.68 (12.55)</td>
<td>7.2 (15.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery 15 - 30 min post 75% V02max</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>488 (463)</td>
<td>589 (559)</td>
<td>1078 (1022)</td>
<td>40.29 (88.82)</td>
<td>33.52 (73.90)</td>
<td>5.68 (12.55)</td>
<td>7.2 (15.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery 45 - 60 min post 75% V02max</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>466 (442)</td>
<td>399 (378)</td>
<td>865 (820)</td>
<td>27.44 (60.50)</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00)**</td>
<td>5.68 (12.55)</td>
<td>7.2 (15.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery 30 - 45 min post 75% V02max</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>455 (431)</td>
<td>296 (281)</td>
<td>751 (712)</td>
<td>20.40 (44.98)</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00)**</td>
<td>5.68 (12.55)</td>
<td>7.2 (15.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Total Per Day(3)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>7351 (6967)</td>
<td>4649 (4410)</td>
<td>12000 (11377)</td>
<td>1.85 (4.07)</td>
<td>0.08 (0.17)</td>
<td>0.82 (1.80)</td>
<td>1.04 (2.29)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Footnotes are explained below Table 6.2-11.

**Table 6.2-11  Crew-Induced Metabolic Loads for a Standard Mission Day, No Exercise**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crew Member Activity Description</th>
<th>Duration of Activity (hr)</th>
<th>Sensible (dry) Heat Output kJ/hr (btu/hr)</th>
<th>Wet Heat Output (includes latent and sweat runoff) kJ/hr (btu/hr)</th>
<th>Total Heat Output Rate kJ/hr (btu/hr)</th>
<th>Water Vapor Output kg/min* 10^-4 (lbm/min* 10^-4)</th>
<th>Sweat Runoff Rate kg/min* 10^-4 (lbm/min* 10^-4)</th>
<th>O2 Consumption kg/min* 10^-4 (lbm/min* 10^-4)</th>
<th>CO2 Output kg/min* 10^-4 (lbm/min* 10^-4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sleep</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>224 (213)</td>
<td>92 (87)</td>
<td>317 (300)</td>
<td>6.30 (13.90)</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00)**</td>
<td>3.60 (7.94)</td>
<td>4.55 (10.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>329 (312)</td>
<td>171 (162)</td>
<td>500 (474)</td>
<td>11.77 (25.99)</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00)**</td>
<td>5.68 (12.55)</td>
<td>7.20 (15.87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Total Per Day(3)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>7056 (6696)</td>
<td>3472 (3288)</td>
<td>10536 (9984)</td>
<td>1.43 (3.16)</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00)**</td>
<td>0.72 (1.59)</td>
<td>0.91 (2.00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* lbm, pound mass.
(1) These values do not include a sweat runoff component, as none is expected.
(2) This column reflects a lag between metabolic rate and heat output.
(3) No multipliers are applied to the Totals row.
(4) A respiratory quotient of 0.92 was assumed for the oxygen consumption and carbon dioxide output determinations.

6.2.3.2 Relative Humidity

Average relative humidity must be maintained between 30% and 50% over each 24-hour period during all mission operations, excluding suited operations of less than 4 hours and post landing. For suited operations less than 4 hours and during nominal post-landing activities, humidity must be limited to the levels in Table 6.2-12. Note that the nominal range (≥30% – 50%) is the preferred range for crew comfort and the tolerable range ensures crew health, but may be outside of some crewmembers’ comfort zones.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Average Relative Humidity</th>
<th>Time Allowed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≤5%</td>
<td>1 hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5% – 15%</td>
<td>2 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;15 – 25%</td>
<td>4 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;30 – 50% (nominal range)</td>
<td>Indefinite*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;25 – 75% (tolerable range)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;75 – 85%</td>
<td>24 hours**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;85 – 95%</td>
<td>12 hours**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;95%</td>
<td>8 hours**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nominal humidity range is included for completeness.

*Assumes temperature is within nominal range.

**Only after doffing a suit post landing; duration may be shorter if temperature is outside nominal range.

Relative humidity is the amount of water vapor in the atmosphere relative to the maximum amount of water vapor the atmosphere can hold at a given temperature. Low humidity causes drying of the eyes, skin, and mucous membranes of the nose and throat, which can lead to an increased incidence of respiratory infections (Carleton, 1971). High relative humidity can result in condensation on surfaces, which can be conducive to microbial and fungal growth. Water vapor pressure of 0.19 psi is optimal for habitability. The Shuttle’s environmental control system controls water vapor pressure between 0.12 and 0.27 psi. Variations in humidity have little effect on comfort if a good heat balance is maintained, but becomes significant at the upper range of comfortable temperatures.
6.2.3.3 Ventilation

Crew and equipment give off heat, moisture, and CO₂ that will lead to cabin parameters being outside the bounds of environmental requirements if adequate ventilation is not provided. This is especially likely in 0g, where convective mixing does not occur. Maintaining proper ventilation within the internal atmosphere is necessary to ensure that stagnant pockets do not form, and the temperature, humidity, and atmospheric constituents are maintained within their appropriate ranges.

For 0g operations, the nominal ventilation rate in the internal atmosphere must be such that two-thirds (66.7%) of the atmosphere velocities are between 4.57 m/min (15 ft/min) and 36.58 m/min (120 ft/min). Similar values have been used on the ISS. The two-thirds value for atmosphere velocities has historically proven to be a reasonable balance within design constraints such as power, acoustics, and safety. The effective atmosphere velocity range of 4.57 to 36.58 m/min (15 to 120 ft/min) pertains to the time-averaged velocity magnitudes in the crew-occupied space, using averages over periods long enough to achieve stability. This range is considered to provide circulation that prevents CO₂ and thermal pockets from forming. Cabin ventilation is not needed during suited operation since the suit will provide necessary air circulation. Sometimes, such as during a fire or other release of toxic substances into the atmosphere, the mentioned ventilation rates are not in the best interest of air quality and crew health. In those cases, the ventilation system may need to be shut down to protect the safety of the crew.

Below are special considerations for design of the ventilation system. (Details for the design of specific ventilation areas within the living environment can be found in chapter 7, “Habitability Functions”).

- Exercise station – The exercise area should be provided with an increase in airflow to facilitate heat transfer and to relieve sweat accumulation. Individual airflow units with air temperature control will help the crewmember match the airflow to the activity. The direction of airflow should not blow sweat into other station areas, particularly eating or sleeping stations, and air should blow over the entire body, not just one part.
- Sleeping station – Individually adjustable airflow controls should be considered.
- Eating station – Airflow should not blow loose morsels of food away from crewmembers.
- Ventilator intakes – Ventilation system inlets should be accessible to crewmembers for recovery of lost objects. Airflow in the vicinity of the inlets should not exceed 0.2 m/s (40 ft/min).
- Maintenance areas – Crewmembers may be required to perform maintenance behind a panel in an area that is not part of the normal habitable volume and therefore does not have ventilation. Local ppO₂, ppCO₂, and relative humidity must be controlled for temporary maintenance activities in areas not in the normal habitable volume. This is necessary to ensure that stagnant pockets do not form, and that temperature, humidity, and atmospheric constituents are maintained within their appropriate ranges. Historical examples of ventilation techniques include equipment such as flexible (reconfigurable) ducting, portable fans, and diverters.
6.2.3.4 Temperature, Humidity, and Ventilation Monitoring and Control

Atmospheric parameters, including humidity, temperature, and ventilation, must be controlled automatically with the capability for crew override.

A monitoring and recording capability must be provided to continuously quantify gross atmospheric parameters, including humidity and temperature, and provide automatic feedback to the system when these need to be corrected to ensure crew health and comfort. This atmospheric parameter data from each isolatable compartment (e.g., airlock) must be available to the crew.

6.2.3.4.1 Temperature

- Temperature Displays – The system must display temperature with a display step size of 1°C (1.8°F).
- Temperature Adjustment Increments – To provide adequate adjustability for crew comfort, the system must provide and display temperature set points in increments of 1°C (1.8°F).
- Temperature Adjustment Ranges – The system must allow crewmembers to adjust the atmospheric temperature between 21°C (70°F) and 27°C (81°F), inclusive, to account for individual comfort preferences and workload variations.
- Temperature Set Point Error – The temperature must be controlled to ±1.5°C (2.7°F) of the set point of the operational temperatures. This precision is sufficient to maintain crew comfort.
- Temperature Adjustment Accessibility – Temperature set point control must be accessible to at least one crewmember during all nominal operations, including times when the crew is restrained (such as before launch and during entry).
- Temperature Recording – Temperature data must be recorded, to allow the crew and ground to analyze the data in real time to understand trends and help to prevent temperature excursions beyond acceptable limits.

6.2.3.4.2 Relative Humidity

Humidity data must be displayed and recorded. Recording is necessary to allow the crew and ground to analyze the data in real time to understand trends and help to prevent humidity excursions beyond acceptable limits.

6.2.3.4.3 Ventilation

The ventilation rate and direction must be adjustable by the crew. The ability to control local cabin ventilation by adjusting the direction of airflow will enable the crew to prevent exhaled, CO₂-rich air from building up around the head (i.e., adjust for too little ventilation), and to prevent drying of facial mucous membranes (i.e., adjust for too much ventilation).
6.2.4 Atmosphere Contamination

The spacecraft atmosphere must not be contaminated with substances that can be harmful to the crew. The following are contaminants to be considered for prevention, monitoring, and protection:

- Biological
  - Fungi
  - Bacteria
- Particulates (including lunar dust)
- Toxic substances (including those produced by combustion events)

To alert the crew to the presence of contaminants, or to ensure that cleanup activities have been successful, the capability must exist to detect contaminants that pose a plausible risk to crew health.

While spacecraft and system design needs to minimize the existence of contaminants in the atmosphere, damage to fluid lines, loss of containment in payloads and systems, use of utility compounds, entry of propellants after an EVA, and fire can introduce contaminants into the cabin. The ability to detect contaminants is necessary to ensure crew safety.

Monitoring must be both targeted at potential threats to the atmosphere (such as fires, toxin release, and system leaks) and broad-spectrum to alert the crew to less predictable risks to air quality.

To ensure safety, the following functions must be provided:

- Alerting the crew to anomalies
- Providing sufficient time for effective corrective action
- Providing sufficient information for timely corrective action (including trend data, if necessary)

Personal protective equipment (PPE) must be provided to protect the crew from exposure to contaminants, such as liquid spills, gaseous leaks, and smoke. The PPE required depends on the type of contamination. Exposure to a minimally toxic contaminant (sodium chloride, an eye irritant) may require only the donning of protective goggles and nitrile gloves and the use of wipes to clean up. However, should the crew be exposed to a more toxic contaminant, such as acetonitrile, the response would require goggles and chemical-resistant gloves to protect the crew. The use of chemical-resistant bags and cleanup mitts may also be required for cleaning up the contamination. On the ISS, the Crew Contamination Protection Kit (CCPK) provides the crew with the necessary items to respond to most contamination scenarios. The CCPK contains goggles for eye protection, masks for respiratory protection, gloves for skin protection, waste bags for containment, decals for labeling the containment bags, and the Space Station Eyewash (see Figure 6.2-9), which allows a continuous flow of water to flush contaminants out of the eyes. The PPE contained in the CCPK does not provide adequate protection for highly volatile substances such as ammonia, and to avoid exposure to such substances, evacuation from the contaminated module is required.
6.2.4.1 Biological

Microbial contamination must be limited to the values in Table 6.2-13.

**Table 6.2-13 Microbial Contaminant Levels**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contaminant</th>
<th>Limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fungus</td>
<td>Below 100 colony-forming units/m$^3$ with a crew-generated rate of 1,640 CFU/person-minute.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>Below 1000 colony-forming units/m$^3$ with a crew-generated rate of 1,640 CFU/person-minute.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CFU, colony-forming units.

Although humans are continually exposed to a wide variety of microorganisms, only a small fraction of them actually cause disease in a healthy population. In fact, disease is a rare consequence of infection. Usually the presence and even multiplication of microorganisms on or within the host does not result in clinical disease. However, some bacteria, fungi, viruses, and protozoa can cause infectious diseases and allergic reactions, and reasonable precautions must be taken to prevent or limit the clinical impact during a space mission.

While microorganisms can also be responsible for other adverse effects, including material degradation and toxin and allergen production, the primary purpose of microbiological assessments of spacecraft is the mitigation of crew illness, impairment, or death due to infectious disease during a mission. In this section, infectious disease includes all adverse effects associated with human exposure to microbial agents, including toxin and allergen exposure. The risk of infectious disease during space missions is composed of several factors, including (a) the
crewmember’s susceptibility, (b) the crewmember’s exposure to the infectious disease agent, (c) the concentration of the infectious agent, and (d) the characteristics of the infectious agent.

Microbial action on airborne compounds can also cause toxicity concerns. During STS-55, the storage of waste in contingency waste containers allowed the microbes inside to produce several kinds of alkyl sulfides, which are extremely noxious compounds. These compounds were able to escape into the cabin because the bags were permeable to these small, volatile compounds. In another lesson, it was discovered that residual ethylene glycol that could not be easily cleaned up was an adequate substrate for microbial growth. In the process of growing, the microbes oxidized the glycol to organic compounds that were much more toxic to skin than the glycol. Therefore, it is important that the spacecraft be kept free of accumulations of anything that microbes can use as a substrate.

The ability to monitor, identify, and characterize the microbial flora of the space module is essential because of continual habitation, relatively crowded conditions, and possible altered host-microorganism interactions. The following three factors are of particular importance when attempting to monitor, measure, and control microbiological contaminants:

- Traditionally, an Environmental Control and Life Support System (ECLSS) can usually maintain air quality through good ventilation and filtration practices, though remediation after a contamination event can be limited due to design constraints.
- The unique properties of 0g affect the distribution of microbial agents in the space module environment. On Earth, gravity is an important physical force in reducing the presence of aerosols in the air and thus helps contain the spread of some infectious diseases. Whereas large particles and droplets containing microorganisms are removed from the air in minutes in a 1g environment, these aerosols may remain suspended indefinitely in 0g.
- The immunological status of crewmembers may be compromised by physiological effects associated with stress and long periods of habitation in a 0g environment.

By far the most important strategy in mitigating microbial risk has been found to be prevention, which includes a variety of spacecraft design and operational methods to prevent accumulation and transfer of microorganisms. Limiting crew exposure during a mission minimizes the chance of a crewmember encountering medically significant organisms at an infectious dose.

Recirculated cabin air should be filtered through devices that provide high-efficiency removal of particles. Most of these controls are not unique to space missions and are common in terrestrial use, such as the use of high-efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filters in air systems. When filtration is combined with operational controls during flight, the spread of microbial contamination should be minimized even during extended-duration missions. The use of a microbial air filter on board the ISS has decreased microbial concentrations in the breathable air to very low levels. Specifically, the ISS air system incorporated a HEPA filter that is designed to remove at least 99.97% of airborne particles $\geq 0.3 \mu m$ in diameter. The use of this engineering solution has decreased the need for in-flight microbial monitoring.

Historically, microbial air quality has been monitored using air impaction devices that draw the air onto a base plate containing culture medium. After incubation, the microorganisms that have grown can be counted and identified. Monitoring frequency depends on the type of system and the duration of the mission. Short missions, as seen during the Space Shuttle Program, did not require monitoring. Longer habitation where the environment can substantially change, as seen
during the ISS Program, requires the capability for air monitoring. The frequency of sampling varies. Initially, sampling is more frequent, to ensure the ECLSS is performing as designed. On the ISS, this frequency was every three months. As the system demonstrates an ability to perform, the time between sampling sessions can be extended, possibly to the point where sampling is required only during a potential contamination event.

### 6.2.4.2 Particulates

The concentration in the cabin atmosphere must be less than 0.2 mg/m³ for particulate matter ranging from 0.5 to 100 μm in aerodynamic diameter, with a crew generation rate of 0.3 mg/person-minute.

These limits are based on OSHA standards. Particulates have many sources on Earth, including sloughed skin cells and hair, dirt, dust, food, and lint. On Earth, these particulates generally settle to the floor or other horizontal surfaces, keeping them out of the atmosphere and making them easy to remove. In 0g, particulates do not settle out, and tend to remain in the atmosphere until they are filtered in the atmosphere revitalization system. Inhalation of particulates can cause irritation of the respiratory system, and contact with them can cause irritation of the eyes.

Apollo crewmembers reported irritation from lunar dust, which was brought into the spacecraft as soil on the EVA suits. Although the 1/6g environment on the Moon generally kept the dust on the floor, as soon as 0g was achieved in lunar orbit, the dust became airborne and began affecting crewmembers. Additional research is needed to understand the effects of lunar dust on humans, but it is currently understood that atmospheric contamination by lunar dust must be minimized. It is recommended that lunar dust contaminant of less than 10 μm aerodynamic diameter and equal to or greater than 0.1 μm (TBR) in the internal atmosphere be limited to a concentration below 0.05 mg/m³. This limit is a 180-day (6 months of episodic exposures) limit and is based on the minimum currently expected permissible limit, as estimated by the Lunar Airborne Dust Toxicity Advisory Group.

Monitoring of ordinary particulates is not a routine necessity as long as HEPA filters are operating and air circulation ensures that particulate material is delivered to the filter beds. However, if the particles have reactive surfaces (e.g., fresh lunar dust) and the crew could receive significant exposures before the particle surfaces are passivated, then monitoring may be required.

The recirculation of cabin air through filters, such as HEPA filters, can remove not only microbial contaminants but also nonmicrobial particles of very small size. Larger particulates must be removed by vacuum cleaners, similar to those used on the Shuttle and ISS.

### 6.2.4.3 Toxic Substances

Safe levels of air pollutants are established specifically for human-rated spacecraft by the JSC Toxicology Group in cooperation with a subcommittee of the National Research Council (NRC) Committee on Toxicology. The “Spacecraft Maximum Allowable Concentrations for Airborne Contaminants” (JSC 20584) defines the limits for toxic compounds, and they must not be exceeded.

Design considerations and analyses that have been used previously to achieve the SMACs are outlined in NASA/TP-1998-207978 (1998), “Elements of Spacecraft Cabin Air Quality Design.”
Historical methods to achieve these values include a combination of air scrubbing, materials control (e.g., using NASA-STD-6001), and containment of system chemicals.

Separately from SMACs, materials that could suddenly pollute the atmosphere are reviewed for the toxic hazard posed to the crew. The categories of hazard levels are summarized in Table 6.2-14. The habitable volume must contain only chemicals that are hazard level 3 or below. Chemicals that are hazard level 4 must be prevented from entering the habitable volume. These levels depend on the inherent toxicity of the material, the amount of material that could be released into the atmosphere, the volume into which the release could occur, the nature of the injury (contact or systemic), and the means available to clean up the release. For example, large volumes of relatively nontoxic material such as ammonia can be toxic hazard level 4, whereas small volumes of extremely hazardous materials such as thionyl chloride (from some types of batteries) can be toxic hazard level 4.

Table 6.2-14 Criteria for Assignment of Toxicological Hazard Levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hazard Level</th>
<th>Irritancy</th>
<th>Systemic Effects</th>
<th>Containability and Decontamination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 (Nonhazard)</td>
<td>Slight irritation that lasts &lt; 30 min and will not require therapy.</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Gas, solid, or liquid may or may not be containable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (Critical)</td>
<td>Slight to moderate irritation that lasts &gt; 30 min and will require therapy.</td>
<td>Minimal effects, no potential for lasting internal tissue damage.</td>
<td>Gas, solid, or liquid may or may not be containable. However, the crew will be protected from liquids and solids by surgical masks, gloves, and goggles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (Catastrophic)</td>
<td>Moderate to severe irritation that has the potential for long-term performance decrement and will require therapy.</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>A solid or nonvolatile liquid. Can be contained by a cleanup procedure and disposed of. The crew will be protected by 5-μm surgical masks, gloves, and goggles.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Hazard Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hazard Level</th>
<th>Irritancy</th>
<th>Systemic Effects</th>
<th>Containability and Decontamination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>3</strong> (Catastrophic)</td>
<td>Irritancy alone does not constitute a level 3 hazard.</td>
<td>Appreciable effects on coordination, perception, memory, etc., or has the potential for long-term (delayed) serious injury (e.g., cancer), or may result in internal tissue damage.</td>
<td>A solid or nonvolatile liquid that can be contained by a cleanup crew and disposed of. Surgical masks and gloves will not protect the crew. Either quick-don masks or an emergency breathing system and gloves are required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4</strong> (Catastrophic)</td>
<td>Moderate to severe irritancy that has the potential for long-term crew performance decrement (for eye-only hazards, there may be a risk of permanent eye damage.) Note: Will require therapy if crew is exposed.</td>
<td>Appreciable effects on coordination, perception, memory, etc., or the potential for long-term (delayed) serious injury (e.g., cancer), or may result in internal tissue damage.</td>
<td>Gas, volatile liquid, or fumes that are not containable. The atmosphere revitalization system will be used to decontaminate. Either quick-don masks are required, or the contaminated module will be evacuated.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Human space flight has involved numerous toxicological events that have ranged in severity from trivial to life-threatening. Experience has shown that the typical frequency of such events during operation of a single spacecraft is as follows: potentially catastrophic event, once per decade; critical event, once per year; and trivial event, several times per year. Potentially catastrophic events have included the entry of propellants into the Apollo capsule (1975), the solid fuel oxygen generator (SFOG) fire aboard *Mir* (1997), the trace contaminant “fire” aboard *Mir* (1998), and the metal oxide (Metox) CO₂ absorption canister regeneration that released noxious fumes aboard the ISS (2003). Critical events have included any number of small electronics pyrolysis events, system leaks of ethylene glycol aboard *Mir*, and microbial release of noxious gases aboard STS-55. Trivial events typically center on unexpected smells detected by the crew.

In addition to monitoring for expected toxic substances, monitoring for unexpected toxic substances must be possible also. A broad-spectrum analyzer for air pollutants is a valuable tool in managing unexpected events, and should be considered. Because Metox CO₂ absorption canisters were inadvertently stored in the oven on the ISS for 6 months, pollutants accumulated in the canisters’ charcoal beds. When crewmembers attempted to regenerate the canisters by heating them, the pollutants were rapidly discharged, and the crew reported a noxious odor. They took refuge in the Russian segment and allowed 30 hours for the ECLSS to scrub the pollutants from the air, since no onboard means was available to assess the toxicity of the atmosphere. During a later nominal regeneration, the Volatile Organics Analyzer was used to show that the increased pollution from a nominal regeneration was minor.
6.2.4.3.1 **Derived Toxic Substances**

Spacecraft must use only chemicals that, if released into the habitable volume, do not decompose into hazardous compounds that threaten crew health during any phase of operations.

A few compounds have been shown to decompose into hazardous compounds during nominal atmosphere revitalization system operations on the Space Shuttle, and could present a toxic threat if the amount of the compound involved is sufficient and the product compound is hazardous. Halon is an example of such a chemical; if it is sufficiently heated during its normal use as a fire suppressant, it breaks down into highly toxic gaseous compounds; however, this requires an extremely hot fire, such as the SFOG fire aboard *Mir*. Experts on ECLSS determine whether a compound at risk for release into the atmosphere poses a threat to the system or could be converted to a more toxic compound by the environmental control system. Plausible off-nominal operations of the ECLSS should be considered. For example, off-temperature operation of an ECLSS during a NASA ground-based test in 1963 caused the conversion of trichloroethylene to dichloroacetylene. The latter is an extremely toxic compound that quickly affected crew health and caused termination of the test.

6.2.4.3.2 **Fluid Systems**

Fluid systems must use non-toxic fluids, to eliminate concerns of contamination due to leaks.

Persistent leaks of ethylene glycol, which is not especially toxic, aboard *Mir* caused some eye and respiratory irritation to the crew. On the ISS, ethylene glycol was replaced by Triol, which is much less toxic, as the heat-exchange fluid. Considerable effort was put into managing the possibility of an ammonia leak from the external coolant loops on the U.S. portion of the ISS, but choosing a less toxic fluid could have mitigated the concerns and effort.

6.2.4.3.3 **Combustion Events**

The crew must be able to monitor the concentrations of combustion-derived products to determine the correct course of action after a combustion event to mitigate risk to crew health. Monitoring systems must provide a real-time capability for the measurement and display of atmospheric concentrations of toxic combustion products in the following ranges:

- Carbon monoxide (CO) from 5 to 500 ppm
- Hydrogen cyanide (HCN) from 1 to 50 ppm
- Hydrogen chloride (HCl) from 1 to 50 ppm

In addition, the crew must be alerted when CO concentrations exceed 5 ppm.

Fire, and the resulting smoke, is one of the most difficult hazards to cope with in the space environment. From the first statement of mission concept, the interactions between fire hazards and spacecraft configuration need to be analyzed. Besides injury due to fire itself, a secondary hazard of fires is the inhalation of toxic combustion products such as CO, HCN, and hydrochloric acid (HCl). During and after a fire event, combustion products can present an
immediate threat to the life of the crew because of the limited escape options, the fragility of the atmosphere, and the crew’s immediate need for safe air.

The Compound Specific Analyzer – Combustion Products, which is flown on the ISS, measures CO, HCN, and HCl. The Mir SFOG fire showed that a large, hot, oxygen-rich fire does not necessarily produce many toxic products. The trace contaminant control fire on Mir showed that CO, which can be generated in large quantities from a small fire, can cause serious, insidious effects on crew health. Lesser fires have shown that the crew needs immediate assurance of the limited threat to their health when they smell combustion products. This requires a carefully planned monitoring strategy, which includes volatile gases as well as smoke.

6.2.4.3.3.1 Fire Prevention

While the potential for fire in a spacecraft cabin cannot be eliminated, it can be reduced by maintaining the oxygen level below hazardous levels and by carefully selecting materials for compatibility with the O₂ atmosphere.

Oxygen pressure must be maintained below 30% of total pressure. While O₂ levels need to be high enough to sustain respiration, the presence of O₂ can be hazardous because it accelerates combustion. The nominal physiological range of O₂ partial pressure is 2.7 – 3.4 psia (18,616 – 23,442 Pa). These limits, however, may be exceeded for a limited amount of time (see section 6.2.2.2.3, “Oxygen Level Limits”). In an O₂-enriched atmosphere that is greater than about 23% O₂, some materials that are noncombustible in air can easily burn. High-pressure O₂ is even more hazardous. The Apollo 1 fire, started by a spark in the 15-psi 100% O₂ atmosphere, quickly consumed the command module.

Materials used in pressurized cabins of spacecraft must have high ignition temperatures, slow combustion rates, and low potential for explosion. Some materials that have been approved as having a low risk of flammability for space flight are Nomex and GORE-TEX®. A secondary method to reduce hazards from fire is to incorporate within the design the ability to localize and contain a fire if one were to start. The use of panels, internal fire dividers, and port holes to provide extinguishers with access to interiors, are features of the design of pressurized cabins on the ISS.

6.2.4.3.3.2 Fire Detection

Once a fire does occur, the first step in protecting the crew is detecting the fire. In 0g, the lack of natural convection requires airflow to move smoke and other combustion products near sensors or crewmembers to be detected. This is especially true for enclosed and out-of-sight regions, such as equipment bays. Even in a gravity environment, airflow and placement of fire or smoke sensors is important.

When designing fire-detection systems, consider the following features:

- Smoke detectors should be located in equipment bays, the cabin, and nearby ventilation return ducts.
- Airflow must be provided in occupied areas to make smoke visible and/or cause its odor to be detected.
- The fire-detection system must be capable of operating independently of other components of a caution and warning system in the event of a triggered failure of power or some other associated system.
• Failures of the fire warning system must be annunciated to the crew, due to the extreme danger a fire presents.
• Since the first detection of the fire may be a visual or olfactory indication to a nearby crewmember, the fire-detection system must be capable of being manually activated in the event a fire is detected by a crewmember, who could then warn other crewmembers.

The smoke detectors used on the Space Shuttle and ISS are generally not desirable for use on exploration missions. Standard smoke detectors are designed to detect smoke particles that form on Earth. Experiments conducted in 0g have shown that particles formed in low gravity are larger than those produced on Earth.

6.2.4.3.3 Fire Extinguishing

Once a fire is detected, crewmembers need to act quickly to extinguish it. Selection of fire-extinguishing systems for spacecraft has presented unique problems. In 0g, the extinguishing system must be usable without assistance from gravity conditions. The selected extinguishing agent must not

• Support combustion in an O₂-enriched environment
• Contain toxic agents
• Emit toxic products when applied to a fire
• Interfere with visual observation
• Be difficult or time-consuming to initiate

Portable breathing apparatuses are needed to protect the crew from smoke and combustion products, and must allow communication between crewmembers and with ground personnel. Because fire cannot continue without O₂, the ability to stop the flow of O₂ into the cabin must be provided. If loss of O₂ in an unoccupied area or isolated compartment can be tolerated, then the use of depressurization for fire control or cleanup should be considered. However, the characteristics of the fire and the burning agent determine how to handle the fire in terms of airflow distribution and pressurization. Cabin depressurization may be useful in addressing a fire, but may initially accelerate flame propagation depending on the location of depressurization valves (i.e., they may increase airflow to the fire) and other considerations. Cabin depressurization may be impractical for the following reasons:

• Crewmembers will need to don spacesuits, which requires time and which may have to occur in poor lighting or visibility.
• The quantity of O₂ on board may be inadequate to replenish the atmosphere.

Design of the spacecraft and its components must provide for rapid access to fire-extinguishing equipment (e.g., fire port holes for manually supplying extinguishing material could provide access to all potential sources of fire). Portable fire extinguishers must be provided for extinguishing fires in open areas, as well as areas behind panels.
Resources for recovering from a fire must include proper procedures for removal of contaminants from the atmosphere, and the ability of the crew to determine if the atmosphere is safe enough for them to remove their breathing apparatuses.

Fire extinguishing has been accomplished in different ways on different spacecraft, including the following examples:

- **Space Shuttle** – On the Space Shuttle, the fire extinguisher contains Halon. However, Halon creates a toxic atmosphere that is difficult to clean up after dispersion, requiring venting of the cabin or return to Earth. Halon also does not possess any cooling properties to be able to reduce heat from the fire and prevent melting of structures or equipment.

- **ISS** – The ISS has a portable CO₂ extinguisher for use in the U.S. modules, and two types of water-based extinguishers for the Russian modules.
  
  - The U.S. Portable Fire Extinguisher (PFE) is used for extinguishing fires or suspected fires behind rack bay closeouts or in module open areas, and is designed to be operated with one hand. The PFE consists of a large pressurized cylinder, trigger mechanism, handle, and nozzle attachment. The PFE can accommodate either a tubular nozzle designed to be inserted into rack extinguisher ports, or a conical nozzle for fires in open areas. The PFE uses pressurized (850 psi) gaseous CO₂ as the extinguishing agent. A fully discharged PFE will fill a full U.S. rack bay with CO₂ to the point that the O₂ concentration is 10% or less. The CO₂ is removed by the ISS ECLSS.
  
  - The Russian ОСП-4 is a backpack-style fire extinguisher used to control and suppress localized fire aboard the ISS. The ОСП-4 is mounted on brackets and is automatically activated when removed. The fire suppressant is 2.5 kg of a solution of 3% foaming agent (PO-3A1, a secondary alkyl sulfate solution) and 97% distilled water. When released, the suppressant produces a nontoxic foam that is 40 times its initial volume. The suppressant is fed by 0.3 kg of compressed sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆). The duration of uninterrupted operation of the fire extinguisher is approximately 1 minute, and it may not be used a second time if the contents are not fully used. The fire-extinguishing agent may be released in two different modes, as a liquid spray or as foam. An open-cabin fire is extinguished by using the fire extinguisher in the liquid spray mode. If there are no visible flames but smoke is present, the foam mode is used.

  - The Russian ОКП-1 is a hand-held fire extinguisher used to control and suppress localized fire aboard the ISS. The ОКП-1 is mounted on brackets. It contains a 6% solution of foaming agent in distilled water as the extinguishing agent (OTB). The OTB, its foaming products, and the products of their interaction with the fire are nontoxic. The foam clings to the surface of materials and remains there until it breaks down (evaporates), it does not harden, and if necessary it can be wiped clean using towels. The OTB does not chemically react with interior materials and hardware. The foam is stable for at least 5 minutes (the time required for 50% of the foam volume to settle). The OTB and its decomposition products do not disrupt the operations of the regeneration systems. Figure 6.2-10 is a diagram of the ОКП-1.
The combination of CO₂ fire extinguishers and water-based fire extinguishers has proven to be very useful. In the event of a fire, CO₂ will extinguish almost any type of fire and the ISS also has CO₂ filters to clean CO₂ out of the atmosphere. However, during the fire on Mir, the fire had its own O₂ source and the U.S. CO₂ fire extinguisher would have been ineffective. Thus, use of the Russian water-based fire extinguisher was critical and kept the Mir bulkhead cool enough to prevent the structure from melting or the occurrence of a pressure leak.

6.2.4.3.4 Off-Gassing

Spacecraft that will be entered by crewmembers for the first time in space, such as new modules attached to the ISS, need to be tested for off-gassing to determine if there will be a hazard to the crew when they first enter the module and to estimate the additional load on the ECLSS.

For the initial test of Node 1, it was learned that any fresh use of adhesives and similar material that need to cure will cause the module to fail the test. Careful choices need to be made about which solvents and volatile materials are used in preparation and cleaning of the interior of a module to limit airborne volatile contamination on first entry.

6.2.4.3.5 Propellants

Since EVA translation paths or worksites may be located on the exterior surface of a spacecraft, the design of the spacecraft and location of translation paths must prevent contact with potential contamination sources, such as propellants.

Also, on landing and egress, the crew must not come in contact with residual propellants. The only incident in which propellants caused significant health effects on the crew occurred during the descent of the Apollo-Soyuz Test Project, when nitrogen tetroxide propellant entered the spacecraft due to inadvertent Reaction Control System jet firings while the pressure equalization valve was open to the outside. Upon landing, the Reaction Control System isolation valves were closed and the crew donned oxygen masks. One crewmember was unconscious when the capsule
was opened. The crew complained of burning of the eyes, burning and itching of the skin, and chest and breathing problems, but all fully recovered within 4 weeks of landing. The lesson learned is that thrusters cannot be placed where their products can enter the atmosphere of the habitable volume of a spacecraft.

Lesser concerns have arisen over the possibility of propellants getting on EVA suits and being inadvertently brought into the airlock. This concern has been addressed by flight rules that call for suit brushing and bake-out if contamination is suspected, and then propellant monitoring in the airlock. Precautions are in place to keep the EVA crew away from the fuel-oxidizer residue product that accumulates around the nozzles of some thrusters on the ISS.

6.2.5 Research Needs

The need for broad-spectrum monitoring is dictated by the possibility of slow accumulation of volatile pollutants and by the possibility of accidental release of a volatile compound(s) from hardware maintenance (e.g., Elektron), small pyrolysis events, or unpredictable events such as the Metox regeneration. Analyzers need to detect and quantify alcohols, ketones, aldehydes, aromatics, Freons, and siloxanes. In general these need to be detected at 0.1 mg/m³ with a dynamic range approaching two orders of magnitude. Such instruments need to be reliable (> 1 year untended operation), small < 250 in³ (< 4097 cm³), and able to give the crew a simple but relevant display of pollution levels. Such instruments need to be capable of functioning during an accidental release when the atmosphere may be 10-fold more polluted than under nominal conditions.
6.3 WATER

6.3.1 Introduction

Water is a critical space flight resource that needs to be carefully managed for crew health and safety. In the context of human space flight, water has a variety of uses to support crew health, including hydration, food rehydration, and personal hygiene. Water is also used for technical purposes: as a coolant for various systems, as flush water for sanitary purposes, and even as a source of oxygen.

In this section, the quality and quantity of water needed for the various uses is discussed as well as the measures to ensure space flight water quality, including defining contaminant limits, monitoring, and mitigation. This focus includes toxicological and microbiological parameters associated with crew health aspects of maintaining the quality of the potable water supply.

This section also addresses operational concerns of providing safe, useful, and palatable water including water sources, access, flow rates, and temperature guidelines.

Additional considerations related to water can be found in chapter 11, “Extravehicular Activity.”

6.3.2 Water Quality

The quality of water can vary, depending on whether and how it is filtered or purified. Water of sufficient quality to be ingested by humans is termed potable water. Any water used by the crew for drinking or hygiene must be potable, to ensure crew health and to not predetermine which quantities of water are to be used for which purposes. In describing water quality, the discussion will focus on identification of contaminants, the establishment of safe levels of exposure, and the monitoring necessary to ensure that those exposure limits are maintained.

6.3.2.1 Water Contamination

The types of contaminants that are of concern in a spacecraft are not the same as those that pose a risk to groundwater or surface water resources on Earth. Factors such as the use of recycled spacecraft humidity condensate as potable water introduce different risk factors and require a change in technical perspective. Figure 6.3-1 illustrates the possible sources of contamination of space flight water that should be considered when assessing water quality.
Table 6.3-1 shows possible water contamination sources, the process by which the contamination occurs, and some of the specific chemical contaminants.

**Table 6.3-1 Water Chemical Contamination Sources**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water Contamination Source</th>
<th>Contamination Process</th>
<th>Specific Contaminants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contamination of</td>
<td>- Present in the source water</td>
<td>- Contaminants from a diversity of chemical-containing spacecraft payloads and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground-Supplied Water</td>
<td>- Introduced unintentionally during processing</td>
<td>materials (Schultz et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Derived from the material that comprises the bladder and/or on-orbit delivery</td>
<td>- Airborne microbes, chemicals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tank, or is transferred by a dispensing system</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-Flight Contamination</td>
<td>- Recycled humidity condensate may contain water-soluble chemicals that are transferred</td>
<td>- Human metabolic products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>from the spacecraft atmosphere</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Human metabolic products</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Contaminants from a diversity of chemical-containing spacecraft payloads and materials</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Schultz et al., 2006)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Chemical Contaminants

Table 6.3-2 is a list of primary chemical contaminants that are present in recent space flight water sources. For the ISS, this list is specific to raw condensate. Raw condensate is not consumed by the crew without extensive processing that is extremely effective in removing pollutants of concern.

### Table 6.3-2 Comparison of Chemical Contaminants of Concern from Different Potable Water Sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISS U.S. Laboratory Condensate</th>
<th>Shuttle Water (fuel-cell water)</th>
<th>Ground-Supplied Russian “Rodnik” Water (transported by unmanned Progress vehicle)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benzyl alcohol</td>
<td>Nickel&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>Ethanol&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Manganese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>Iodine&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Silver&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetate</td>
<td>Free gas</td>
<td>Turbidity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formate</td>
<td>Cadmium&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propionate</td>
<td>Lead&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Caprolactam&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formaldehyde</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene glycol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propylene glycol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Generally resulting from releases from metallic heat-exchanger coatings, or dispenser parts  
<sup>b</sup> Related to biocide addition  
<sup>c</sup> Resulting from leaching of bladder material

## Microbiological Contaminants

Ingestion of potable water is considered a likely source of infectious disease during flight, as the medium provides an opportunity for microbial growth and a potential route of infection by many pathogens that may affect crew health. Spacecraft should include mitigation controls that are designed into the spacecraft. Most of these controls are not unique to space missions and are common in terrestrial use, such as the use of filters and residual disinfectants in potable water systems. When such controls are combined with operational controls during flight, the spread of microbial contamination should be minimal even during extended-duration missions.
The risk of contracting infectious disease during space missions is composed of multiple factors including the concentration and characteristics of the infectious agent. Although stringent steps are taken to minimize the transfer of potential pathogens to spacecraft, several medically significant organisms have been isolated from spacecraft potable water. Spacecraft design needs to include measures to minimize microbial concentrations and organisms that would be considered medically significant. When exposure of crewmembers to microorganisms during a mission is limited, the chance of a crewmember encountering medically significant organisms at an infectious dose is minimized.

6.3.2.2 Water Quality Limits

Once pollutants and compounds of concern in spacecraft potable water are identified, it is necessary to set appropriate health-protective water quality limits for chemical constituents. Likewise, appropriate microbial requirements, based on expected microbial diversity, must be established. Two types of errors can be made in setting water quality limits:

- Limits that are too high can lead to an immediate (acute) or long-term (chronic) risk to crew health.
- Conversely, limits that are inappropriately low can result in making unnecessary changes in system designs (e.g., through incorporating specially designed filters or mistakenly excluding certain materials from use in construction), reprocessing or discarding vital water resources, or incorrectly focusing limited crew and ground-support time and resources on addressing benign issues.

Although both types of errors need to be avoided, an intentional bias exists toward crew health protection in setting exposure limits when data is sparse and assumptions need to be made.

It is beyond the scope of this handbook to fully present the variety of risk-assessment considerations and toxicity and microbiological evaluation strategies that are used in setting water quality limits. “Methods for Developing Spacecraft Water Exposure Guidelines” (NRC2000) is an excellent resource for toxicological considerations.

6.3.2.2.1 Chemical Limits

For missions between 100 and 1,000 days in duration, water quality must meet the limits in Table 6.3-3. For other mission durations, limits for the compounds in Table 6.3-3 must be established using available NASA Spacecraft Water Exposure Guidelines (SWEGs). For crew exposures beyond 1,000 days, additional research may be required to ensure crew health protection. Table 6.3-3 is intended as a guide, and is not meant to be all-inclusive of the types of compounds that may be of concern in space flight water. If the presence of another compound is anticipated, it will generally be necessary to establish limits to ensure crew health protection. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency maximum contaminant levels can generally be applied as conservative guidelines, but may be overly stringent for many compounds (http://www.epa.gov/safewater/contaminants). Accordingly, it is generally recommended that the NASA water quality technical monitor be contacted for more specific guidance on appropriate limits for any particular compound in question.
In assessing water quality on Earth, health-based limits for many pollutants and compounds of concern have been set by the Environmental Protection Agency in the form of maximum contaminant levels, or health-advisory levels. NASA has established a relationship with the NRC to form a Subcommittee on Spacecraft Exposure Guidelines. The NRC subcommittee works with NASA to establish water quality limits, referred to as SWEGs, that are based on the unique characteristics of astronauts and space missions. The following are some of the assumptions made when SWEGs are established:

- The astronaut population is healthy and prescreened.
- Exposure is over much shorter durations than ground lifetime limits.
- Unique physiological changes and challenges associated with space flight need to be considered. For example, space flight can result in crewmembers having a reduced mass of red blood cells, a factor that should be considered when determining a water quality limit for a pollutant that may cause anemia.
- The average crewmember has a standard adult body weight of 70 kg (154 lb).
- A crewmember consumes 2.8 L of water per day on average (including use in food rehydration, etc.).

SWEGs are established for specific exposure timeframes: 1, 10, 100, and 1,000 days. The 100- and 1,000-day limits are used for nominal mission planning; the 1- and 10-day limits are used only for contingency planning.

For the most current SWEG data, refer to the latest version of JSC 63414.

For a given spacecraft design, the expected chemical compounds and longest expected crew exposure length should be determined, and physiochemical limits defined per the SWEGs. Some compounds may be permitted at higher levels for shorter durations. In applying these limits, note that crew exposure to water may extend beyond the short-term period when water is delivered. For example, a mission to the ISS could last only a week for a crew, but water delivered to the ISS by the mission may be on board for several months.

**Table 6.3-3 Potable Water Physiochemical Limits**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>Limit</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antimony&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total iodine&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total organic carbon&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkylamines (di)&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Chemical Limits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>Limit</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alkylamines (mono)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkylamines (tri)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caprolactam</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Di-n-butyl phthalate</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichloromethane</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene glycol</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formaldehyde</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formate</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Mercaptobenzothiazole</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl ethyl ketone (MEK)</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenol</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Phenyl-beta-naphthylamine</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propylene glycol</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1,000-day SWEG in Johnson Space Center (JSC) 63414, Spacecraft Water Exposure Guidelines.

2 Derived from the total iodine intake limits specified in Shuttle Flight Rule A13-30.

### Microbial Limits

Microorganisms are widespread in nature and have developed mechanisms for colonization and growth even under hostile conditions. Thus, microorganisms have been isolated from water system samples in locations that might not seem intuitive. Acceptability limits for the concentration of microbiological constituents, such as bacteria, fungi, and protozoa, and the location of sampling are different for the different spacecraft in each space flight program. Other factors influencing microbiological limits include the duration of the mission, susceptibility of the crew to infectious disease, environmental factors that influence microbial characteristics, and exposure of the crew to infectious agents.

Potable water must be maintained at or below the microbial limits of Table 6.3-4 for missions less than 30 days.
Table 6.3-4  Potable Water Microbiological Limits for Missions of Less Than 30 Days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Maximum Allowable</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bacterial Count</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>CFU/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coliform Bacteria</td>
<td>Non-detectable</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fungal Count</td>
<td>Non-detectable</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parasitic Protozoa (e.g., <em>Giardia</em> and <em>Cryptosporidium</em>)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Examples of microbiological requirements for potable water on ISS are shown in Table 6.3-5, documented in the Space Station Program (SSP) 50260 International Space Station Medical Operations Requirements Document. On the ISS, maintenance of these specifications has been accomplished using flow through a 0.2-micron filter and use of a residual biocide.

Table 6.3-5  Potable Water Microbiological Limits for the International Space Station

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Russian Ground-Supplied Potable SVO-ZV</th>
<th>Regenerated Potable SRV-K</th>
<th>Hygiene</th>
<th>Shuttle-Supplied Potable CWC</th>
<th>Shuttle-Supplied Technical CWC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bacterial count</td>
<td>CFU/mL</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coliform bacterial count</td>
<td>CFU/100mL</td>
<td>Non-detectable</td>
<td>Non-detectable</td>
<td>Non-detectable</td>
<td>Non-detectable</td>
<td>Non-detectable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protozoa</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>TT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CWC, Contingency Water Container; CFU, colony-forming unit; TT = treatment technique. Source water filtered through a 1-µm filter. No analysis is required.

6.3.2.2.3  Aesthetic Limits

Drinking water must meet the physiochemical limits defined in Table 6.3-6. These limits on water quality are needed for water palatability to the crew. It is a significant crew health risk if the crew does not drink enough water. Therefore, all reasonable steps should be taken to ensure that the water is palatable to the crew.
Table 6.3-6 Potable Water Aesthetic Limits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>Limit</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taste</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>TTN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odor</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>TON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbidity</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NTU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color, True</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>PCU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free &amp; Dissolved Gas(^a)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acidity (pH)</td>
<td>4.5 - 9.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TTN, threshold taste number; TON, threshold odor number; NTU, nephelometric turbidity unit; PCU, platinum-cobalt units; N/A, not applicable.

\(^a\)Free gas at spacecraft atmospheric pressure and 98.6°F, dissolved gas saturated at spacecraft atmospheric pressure and 98.6°F.

Some evidence suggests that the addition of certain minerals (e.g., calcium, magnesium, sodium) may improve the taste of potable water. In support of this, it is worth noting that virtually all bottled drinking waters sold commercially are mineralized. However, no credible evidence indicates that consumption of water without these minerals is a health concern. The spacecraft diet is carefully controlled, and evaluations ensure that these minerals are provided in adequate amounts in the crew diets.

The Low Iodine Residual System (LIRS) was designed to reduce iodine and iodide contents of the Shuttle water and was flown as a detailed test objective on Space Transportation System (STS)-95. An adverse taste in the water was reported after the LIRS was activated on orbit for the STS-95 flight. Analyses of both in-flight samples and samples from an LIRS cartridge prepared for flight showed that tripropylamine and tributylamine were detected. Further investigation confirmed that these trialkylamines were released during gamma sterilization of the LIRS resin materials and these compounds caused the adverse taste. Although these compounds did not create a direct health hazard, the adverse taste caused the crew to drink less water, which could have led to dehydration and other health problems over a longer duration. During the acceptance and certification process, the LIRS was not completely tested after gamma sterilization since it was not classified as critical hardware. The lessons learned from this experience were that all hardware (system or payload) related to the life support system must be classified as critical hardware and the certification testing of the hardware needs to be conducted on the final flight configuration.

6.3.2.3 Shelf Life

To allow operational flexibility and to relieve design constraints on when ground-supplied water needs to be stowed, the shelf life of ground-supplied water at ambient cabin temperature should be at least 6 months. The documented shelf life of Contingency Water Container potable water stored in the current-design Contingency Water Container is 64 weeks, based on the results of ground-based testing. Longer shelf life will likely be required for Mars missions.
6.3.3 Water Quantity

The water quantities in Table 6.3-7 must be met to ensure crew health. Water is needed in sufficient quantity to meet human consumption and hygiene needs for nominal and off-nominal conditions. Water is required for

- Hydration (drinking)
- Food and beverage rehydration
- Personal hygiene
- Medical use
- End-of-mission fluid loading
- Hydration on Earth post landing and before recovery of the crew
- Inflight or archive monitoring to verify water quality and crew health protection
- EVAs as required

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Rationale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hydration (drinking)</td>
<td>2.0 kg per crewmember per mission day</td>
<td>Individuals vary in the amount of water they need for hydration, depending on body mass, physical activity, and other factors. The current ISS water quantity is 2 kg per crewmember per mission day.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food rehydration</td>
<td>Approximately 0.5 kg per crewmember per mission day</td>
<td>Value of 0.5 kg water for food rehydration is based on current (2007) ratios of thermostabilized, freeze-dried, and natural-form foods from the ISS menu. If the ratio of thermostabilized, freeze-dried, and natural-form foods is revised, the water quantity must be adjusted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal hygiene</td>
<td>0.4 kg (0.88 lb) per crewmember-day</td>
<td>Clean water is necessary for maintaining skin, hair, and dental health of crewmembers. Some of this water quantity can be met with water in pre-wetted towels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluid loading</td>
<td>1.0 kg (2.2 lb) per crewmember</td>
<td>The 1.0 kg (2.2 lb) quantity is based on the Shuttle aeromedical flight rule for entry fluid loading, which requires 1.5 L (48 oz) for initial fluid loading; however, 0.5 L of this comes from unconsumed daily water allocation per crewmember. This allocation protects for nominal end-of-mission fluid loading plus one additional wave-off opportunity, if applicable, 24 hours later. Lunar missions would not have a wave-off opportunity. Without the additional water allocation, the crew might have inadequate water available to fluid load and thus have hemodynamic compromise during and after deorbit. Having inadequate fluid loading will almost certainly cause physiological difficulties in some, if not most, crewmembers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydration on Earth</td>
<td>4.5 kg (9.9 lb) per</td>
<td>4.5 kg is based on each crewmember needing 1.0 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>Quantity</td>
<td>Rationale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earth post landing</td>
<td>crewmember</td>
<td>(2.2 lb) per 8-hour period, for 36 hours of post-landing recovery.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical use</td>
<td>5 kg plus 0.5 kg per crewmember</td>
<td>5 kg would be used for a medical contingency, including irrigation of eyes and wounds after exposure to a toxic level 2 substance. 0.5 kg provides for eye irrigation of normal particulate exposure (i.e., dust, foreign object).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydration on EVA</td>
<td>0.24 kg per hour above nominal water provision</td>
<td>Potable water is necessary during suited operations to prevent dehydration due to perspiration and insensible water loss as well as to improve crew comfort. The additional 240 mL (8 oz) is based on measured respiratory and perspiratory losses during suited operations. During a lunar EVA, crewmembers will most likely be suited for 10 hours, and for approximately 7 of those hours they will be expending energy on the lunar surface. Apollo Summit strongly recommended the availability of this quantity of water for consumption during a lunar EVA.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional water for hydration may be needed for certain tasks, including EVA and exercise.

**6.3.4 Water Temperature**

The water temperature ranges in Table 6.3-8 must be met to ensure crew health and comfort. Different water temperatures are needed to support food and drink rehydration, personal hygiene, and medical tasks during space flight missions. From the perspective of crew palatability and preference, cold and hot drinks become more important for missions of longer duration.

**Table 6.3-8 Water Temperatures**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Temperature Range</th>
<th>Constraints and Rationale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rehydration of cold drinks</td>
<td>Maximum of 15.6ºC (60ºF). Cold water temperature between 2ºC (35.6ºF) and 7ºC (44.6ºF)</td>
<td>For missions longer than 3 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehydration of food and hot drinks</td>
<td>Between 68.3ºC (155ºF) and 79.4ºC (175ºF)</td>
<td>Temperatures were selected for better rehydration of food and beverages and to maintain food temperature during the rehydration process so that the completed food product does not require additional heating. 79.4ºC (175ºF) water also allows the temperature of the food to remain above 68.3ºC (155ºF) to prevent microbial growth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal hygiene</td>
<td>Between 29.4ºC (85ºF) and 46.1ºC (115ºF)</td>
<td>Supports body cleansing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical</td>
<td>Between 18ºC (64.4ºF) and 28ºC (82.4ºF)</td>
<td>This temperature range will prevent thermal injury to tissues during irrigation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.3.5 Water Quality Monitoring

Maintaining water quality requires early identification and mitigation of potential water quality impacts. Preflight, in-flight, and postflight monitoring procedures allow verification of water quality, evaluation of trends, and documentation of potential exposures.

6.3.5.1 Preflight Materials Evaluations and Testing

Preflight efforts to ensure water quality are critical. The following measures are examples of proactive safety considerations used by the ISS Program and essential for all programs:

- Container System Evaluations – Materials and payloads used for containing water are carefully evaluated by water quality engineers, NASA toxicologists, and the rest of the safety community to ensure that any adverse effects on water quality are anticipated and mitigated.
- Recovery Systems Testing – Water recovery systems are required to clearly demonstrate their performance in extensive ground-based testing.
- Container Longevity Evaluations – Containers used to transfer potable water are subjected to longevity studies and tests designed to reveal unacceptable levels of component leaching.
- Additional analytical studies (e.g., ensuring that materials are selected from a list that incorporates compatibility considerations).

6.3.5.2 Preflight Monitoring

Comprehensive water sampling must be conducted before launch on potable water that is prepared on the ground and loaded for flight. It is critical that problems be identified as early in the process as possible to maximize flexibility of any mitigation efforts. Therefore, the timing of the sampling should allow time for mitigation steps if any concerns are identified. Extensive preflight sampling is conducted on Shuttle water, with samples collected 15 and 3 days before launch (L–15, L–3). Although this schedule is set for the Shuttle Program, the exact timing of these samples may be somewhat flexible. The samples should be collected late enough that minimal changes are made after they are collected, and the community can be confident that the samples are representative of launched water. Conversely, any anomalies should be addressed early enough for the concern to be reasonably serviced or otherwise mitigated. Thus, some balancing needs to occur on the exact dates selected for sampling, and the dates will likely depend on the spacecraft. The current L–3 sample is useful, but provides water-quality data that can really be considered only after launch, when it can be used in health decision-making to ensure that water-quality requirements are met (Hwang et al., 2006). Russian ground-loaded water is also tested and evaluated before flight.

Water sampling must be performed before and after biocide addition to ensure the quality of the ground servicing equipment before the spacecraft water system is serviced, as follows:

- Immediately after tank disinfection and initial filling
- Between servicing and final preflight sampling after launch has been delayed, after samples have been found to be out of specification, or after equipment has malfunctioned (samples must be collected a minimum of every 90 days)
• L–15 days
• L–3 days

The need for preflight water samples demands that systems be designed with this need in mind. Access to any stowed ground-supplied water should be made as easy as practical, and preflight operations timelines should allow sampling time.

6.3.5.3 In-Flight Monitoring

The following criteria for in-flight monitoring of water quality apply to all missions:

- The capability to collect samples during flight must be provided.
- The water system volume should accommodate in-flight sampling of at least 500 mL.
- The capability to store samples for postflight analysis should be provided. However, in-flight analysis should be considered for missions to the Moon and Mars, as return mass will be limited.
- Communications with technical experts to resolve any quality concerns should be provided.

Real-time monitoring involves the use of technologies focused on generating data concerning a specific pollutant that can be evaluated quickly during a flight. Ideally, all monitoring information would be available in real time so that health and safety decisions could be made in an expeditious manner, with minimal uncertainty.

In the absence of specific technologies for all pollutants, total organic carbon (TOC) is a general chemical parameter that has been valuable in evaluating water quality in the spacecraft environment, at least with respect to organic compounds. While not compound-specific (TOC data reflects any organic carbon that is present in the sample), TOC can provide a useful screening tool. By setting an appropriate screening limit (through assuming that a reasonable worst-case compound is contributing the TOC), NASA can make real-time decisions as to whether the organic pollutant load is low enough to be health-protective. However, a tradeoff for not having specific data for each individual pollutant is that false positives can occur (i.e., TOC may be elevated by a low-toxicity compound) when this screening approach is followed.

In addition, for missions lasting from 2 to 4 weeks, and those involving consumption of water from recycled humidity condensate or urine, provisions must be made for in-flight testing of the water for pH level, total organic carbon, and biocide levels. Four weeks is based on an estimate of the mission length that might require potable water recovery from recycled sources. An in-flight monitoring solution is the Total Organic Carbon Analyzer that has been used on the ISS in the past; a next-generation unit was delivered in 2008. Missions of longer duration may require autonomous monitoring or hardware that requires minimal consumables.

6.3.5.4 Archive or Postflight Monitoring

Despite the importance placed on preflight evaluations, these measures are not meant to eliminate the need for post-launch water-quality monitoring as a foundation of space flight safety. A second general class of monitoring, the collection of archive samples, is currently the
most used method and presents a number of advantages and disadvantages. With archive
sampling, water samples are collected during flight and returned to Earth in specially designed
sampling bags to preserve sample integrity. Water systems should be designed to accommodate
at least a 1-liter archive sample if necessary for a given flight. These samples are then analyzed
in ground-based laboratories. The main disadvantages of this approach are 1) the inability to
make real-time decisions based on the data, and 2) for long-duration or distant missions,
returning samples for analysis may not be practical. However, a much more complete list of
analytes can be tested than is possible with real-time monitors. Heavy reliance on archive
samples places greater significance on the regular return of samples for analysis. Since there is
tremendous competition for crew time resources (which affects the sample collection schedule)
down mass, this is an area that requires vigilant advocacy to ensure that adequate data are
available to reliably make crew health decisions. Ideally, when water potability is monitored
strictly through the use of archive samples, such as for ISS CWC potable water, the water to be
tested is stored and not released for consumption until ground-based analysis results have
confirmed potability. For long-duration missions with limited or no opportunity to return archive
samples, increased risk will be associated with crew consumption of untested water. Such
missions would benefit from improved capability for in situ analysis.

Archive samples from the ISS stored water system, which is dispensed through a Russian system
called the “SVO-ZV,” were returned and analyzed for a suite of contaminants (Straub et al.,
2006). Cadmium was observed to be present at levels that were not a health threat, but were
higher than normally observed on the ISS. Evaluation of the source water for that system
(ground-loaded “Rodnik” water) showed cadmium to be below analytical detection limits. The
dispensing system was found to be introducing low levels of cadmium; cadmium levels in an
initial “flush” of water from the dispenser (water that had a longer residence time in the system)
were much higher than in post-flush samples. Subsequently, it was decided that replacement of
the SVO-ZV dispenser was warranted.

6.3.5.5 Microbial Water Monitoring

Although prevention is the primary method for control of microbial contamination, the success
of that prevention and its true contribution to risk reduction is determined by the actual
concentration of microorganisms in the water and their characteristics (usually determined by
their genus and species identification). Historically, these variables have been measured by
growth on media and biochemical testing. Although changes in technology may increase the
quality and quantity of data and improve the risk assessment, previous flight experience and
research experiments have provided a solid basis from which to plan future monitoring and
assess the current risk. Depending on the spacecraft design, monitoring must include preflight
sampling and analysis, and should include in-flight and postflight sampling and analysis.
Monitoring of both microbial concentration and characterization is preferable, though available
resources and time may limit full characterization.

Collection and analysis of samples from a potable water system requires adequate sample
volumes to accurately reflect the bacterial concentration in a system. To avoid sampling small,
stagnant dead legs associated with sample collection pipes and valves, an initial flush volume is
collected and disposed of before samples are collected. The flush volume is selected to ensure
that a representative, homogeneous sample will be collected from the water system. It is
recommended that the initial flush volume be at least the same as the volume of the dead leg,
which will vary with system design. Historically, sample volumes of at least 100 milliliters have been collected from each sampling point after the initial flush has been collected. Designs incorporating pumped loops can eliminate stagnant zones and provide better mixing of biocides. Furthermore, when determining the amount of water needed for a mission, consideration should be given to the volume needed to accommodate sampling.

Other monitoring considerations include the following:

- During long missions or missions where the source of water creates an elevated risk, periodic testing of the potable water should be considered to monitor water quality and allow timely action if necessary. Short missions with simple water systems or open-loop systems will not require extensive microbial sampling and analysis. However, as mission duration and system complexity increase, the risk of microbial contamination will increase. Long-duration water systems, such as those in a remote habitat, will likely suffer a contamination event. Sources of potable water that are uncontrolled after launch have a greater risk of microbial contamination. These sources can include environmental sources such as humidity condensate or crewmember sources such as urine. In general, crewmember sources have a greater potential for carrying medically significant organisms. For this reason, source water that was loaded before flight may have less demand for water analysis than water recovered from the environment, which in turn may have a lower demand than water recovered from the crew. Water recovered from the crew requires specific tests to limit transfer of medically significant organisms. Monitoring frequency is often a function of the duration of the mission and source of the water.

- The spacecraft must have monitoring for its potable water system that fits within the limited resources available during a mission. Historically, resources such as crew time, power, mass, and volume have driven the need for small, simple hardware. Longer-duration missions may require autonomous monitoring or hardware that requires minimal consumables.

The SRV-K water regeneration unit aboard Mir pasteurized water at the galley immediately before dispensing. Monitoring of this “hot” water port indicated very low numbers of bacteria. However, after pasteurization the same water could also be piped through a heat exchanger, where the water temperature was decreased, and dispensed through a “warm” port at the galley. The bacterial concentration at this port was much higher and displayed a much greater diversity than the “hot” port. The probable cause was a biofilm created by bacteria that were able to survive the heat of pasteurization and grow in the heat exchanger. Thus, during spacecraft development, it should be recognized that contamination is possible at almost any point within the system.

6.3.6 Water Contamination Control and Remediation

Monitoring provides a means to ensure that water is safe and acceptable, but controls can also be put in place to prevent contamination of water once it has been delivered to the spacecraft. Contamination of water is typically biological, although the potential for chemical contamination exists as well as physical contamination from particles in the spacecraft, such as dust.
One common in-flight control is the use of water disinfectants. Disinfectants for microbial control of potable water are widely used in terrestrial water systems. Accordingly, NASA has used a disinfectant in every potable water system since the Apollo Program. Various disinfectants have been used successfully, including chlorine, iodine, and silver. While each has its own advantages, each also has limitations. Chlorine was used in the Command Module during the Apollo Program, but its use was curtailed because of incompatibilities with the water systems, such as corrosion and rapid loss of the biocide. Although iodine was used successfully for many years in the Space Shuttle potable water system, an investigation into deleterious effects of excess iodine on thyroid function revealed a potential hazard to crew health (Wiederhoeft et al., 1999). Restrictions on crew intake of iodine were enforced, greatly limiting the use of the disinfectant without a point-of-use decrease in concentration. The ISS contains Russian water systems, and for these, silver has performed well as a disinfectant. Still, previous experience with non-potable water systems, such as the Thermal Control System aboard the ISS, suggests that the “plating out” of silver on metal surfaces in the system could rapidly decrease the concentration of the disinfectant. Chemical and physical contamination are best prevented with proper material selection and by ensuring adequate separation between system interfaces.

Once a microbial or chemical contamination event is detected, remediation techniques aboard a spacecraft may be necessary to return the water to an acceptable quality. The determination of the need for such remediation capability is based on several factors such as the duration of the mission and the potential for medically significant organisms or chemicals to contaminate the water. The spacecraft must provide a mechanism for remediating potable water and the water system in general, if the risk of microbial or chemical contamination warrants this action. Remediation actions can include techniques to return the system water to its original state or techniques to convert the water after it is removed from the system.

The Russian water system on the ISS, the SVO-ZV, remediated microbial contamination using a 0.5 parts per million silver disinfectant. Unfortunately, multiple monitoring results of water from the SVO-ZV indicated that water exceeded the specification of 100 colony-forming units per 100 milliliters. To remediate the system, 10 liters of a 10-parts-per-million silver disinfectant was flushed into the systems. This action temporarily suppressed the bacterial concentrations, but the levels eventually increased above the acceptability limits again. This effect, called “regrowth,” is common in terrestrial water systems and suggests that a microbial biofilm is entrenched in the system. The usual in-flight remediation has been repeated treatments with biocides or replacement of system parts.

The “closed-loop” nature of spacecraft can pose a challenge when humidity condensate is recovered and treated for use as potable or technical water. That is because water-soluble volatile chemicals (e.g., low-molecular-weight alcohols) can be transferred to condensate from the spacecraft atmosphere. These compounds may be of toxicological concern (e.g., formaldehyde), but even high concentrations of relatively low-toxicity compounds (e.g., ethanol) can represent an increased load for the water recovery systems (affecting performance and consumable rates). For this reason, volatile usage limitations have been established on the ISS. Although carefully controlled, the list of compounds that could be potentially released to the atmosphere is quite extensive, especially given the diversity of chemical-containing spacecraft payloads and materials. Certain human metabolic products (e.g., organic acids and esters) are also important contributors of atmospheric pollutants (either through volatilization and solubilizing in humidity condensate or through direct water transfers through perspiration), and impacts from
pharmaceutical agents and drug metabolism should be considered. Through these controls, impacts on spacecraft water resources through the capture of atmospheric contaminants can be minimized.

6.3.7 Other Considerations

Space flight programs should consider the following operational aspects of supplying, using, and monitoring water:

- How to supply sufficient water quantity (sources)
- Access for water sampling before, during, and after flight
- Flow rate and quantity of water dispensing

6.3.7.1 Water Sources

Water sources can include bringing water, making it by means of fuel cells or in situ resource utilization, or recycling water (hygiene water, urine, humidity). The considerations about which type of water source to use are much broader than water-quality considerations. One advantage of using ground-supplied stored water is that generally, more opportunities exist for testing and remediation of launched water, which can reduce uncertainties about water quality. For long-term missions, however, the expense and logistics of launching stored water may be difficult to overcome. Mir and ISS experiences show that recycled water (from either humidity condensate or urine) can be used to provide high-quality potable water. However, careful monitoring or other controls are important in verifying system performance. Also, some additional risks are assumed because of the interrelationships between water and the atmosphere in a closed-loop spacecraft environment.

6.3.7.2 Water Access

Access to potable water systems must be provided for preflight, in-flight, and postflight analysis. Access should be provided as late as possible before launch, and as soon as possible after landing, to ensure that potable water systems function properly before and after a mission. Multiple access ports must be available for in-flight access, to ensure an accurate representation of potable water, including possible stagnant water locations.

6.3.7.3 Water Dispensing

Because crew time is valuable, consideration should be given to the rate of dispensing potable water. For the crew to be able to prepare for and perform tasks that require potable water in a reasonable amount of time, water must be dispensed at a rate of 500 mL/min (16.9 oz/min) or greater. This is based on a maximum of 30 seconds between fills.

To prevent overflow, water must be dispensable in specified increments that are compatible with the food and drink bags. Rehydration of food and drinks is designed for a specific amount of water, to ensure the desired texture and palability.
6.3.8 Research Needs

For a variety of reasons, real-time monitoring capabilities are currently limited, accounting for a current inability to generate real-time water-quality data. To determine if future technologies can be used for in-flight, real-time monitoring, consider the following questions:

- Can the technology meet required analytical detection limits?
- Does the technology require resupply of consumables?
- Does the technology use chemicals or reagents that can pose a crew health concern in a closed-loop spacecraft environment?
- Does the technology have the specificity to handle mixtures of pollutants without affecting the reliability of the individual results?
- Can the technology be adapted to the uniqueness of a 0g or partial-g environment? Is it rugged enough to perform in that environment?
- Does the technology minimize critical crew time?
- Are weight and power needs within practical limits?

A need exists for enhanced technologies that can provide real-time data on specific organic and inorganic compounds in water. Such technologies (e.g., colorimetric techniques that can provide data on iodine, silver, and other compounds) are being developed. The sensitivity of a monitoring technology to air-water separation is an important factor to consider, and one area of focus is on developing effective bubble mitigation techniques that can preserve analytical performance.

To allow operational flexibility and to relieve operational constraints on when ground-supplied water can be stowed, research is needed into how to prolong the shelf life of ground-supplied water at ambient cabin temperature, which may be required for Mars missions.

Developing a better understanding of changes that occur in microorganisms in a spacecraft, how the astronaut’s susceptibility to disease may change, and how the organisms may adversely affect the spacecraft are needed to fully understand the microbial risk during space flight. In addition, technology to determine microbial concentration and organism characteristics will be required for future missions, especially as more crew autonomy is needed for mission success.
6.4 CONTAMINATION

6.4.1 Introduction

Contamination is a generic term describing the presence of an environmental constituent that has the potential to be a health hazard, cause damage to the spacecraft, or disrupt mission activities. Cross-contamination is the transfer of contaminants from one source to another. Exposure of space flight crewmembers to contamination may be chemical, biological, or physical in origin. The crew may be exposed to contaminants through the air, water, food, or spacecraft and equipment surfaces. Many constituents, such as dust or microorganisms, are naturally present in low numbers. When their concentration increases and their presence becomes a problem, these constituents are considered “contamination.” Thus, acceptability limits for many constituents are developed to minimize health risk to the crew.

To ensure crew health and safety, it is important to understand the types of potential contamination, the routes of exposure, and the potential hazards to the crew. In addition, spacecraft designs need to include the ability to monitor or measure the contaminant, the ability to prevent and mitigate the contamination, and to clean affected surfaces and systems to ensure a safe environment.

6.4.2 Contamination Type

Chemical contamination (examples are in parentheses) may occur as a result of leaks from spacecraft systems (Freon 218), use of utility chemicals (lubricants), human metabolism (carbon dioxide), microbial action (alkyl sulfides), pyrolysis (carbon monoxide), use or leakage of chemicals in payloads (fixatives), use of medications (vasodilators), or external reactive dust (fresh lunar dust). Contamination from some of these sources can be predicted; however, some forms of contamination are nearly impossible to anticipate.

Biological contamination includes microorganisms, such as fungi, viruses, and bacteria. Sources of these microorganisms include food, payloads, potable water, spacecraft surfaces, and the crew themselves. Microorganisms can easily become widespread, and any uncontrolled interface poses the risk of contamination. Preflight screening and quarantine mitigate the risk of carrying medically significant organisms. Space flight foods can be an excellent medium for microbial growth. Improper preparation or packaging of space flight foods could rapidly lead to a contaminated food supply and the potential of food-borne illness. Environmental microorganisms on surfaces are transported by normal activities in a spacecraft. Microorganisms can proliferate against fluid flow directions, through ventilation or water systems that do not have microbial control, and even when exposed to microbial disinfectants. Biological payloads can also be affected by bacteria from the crew, as well as contaminate the crew.

Physical contamination may include non-reactive dirt, dust, metal shavings, broken glass, and other particulates that may present a hazard to the crew. While great efforts are made to minimize foreign object debris, its presence is common when new modules are first entered on orbit. During the Apollo Program, lunar dust became a problem because of contact with both the crew and hardware. It was reported that lunar dust became embedded in the spacesuit zippers and O-rings, making them difficult to operate.
6.4.3 Contamination Hazards

The effects of exposure to contamination hazards can vary in type and severity, and depend on the mode of contact with the crew. Contact exposure to chemicals can cause injury to the skin and eyes, inhalation can damage the lungs, ingestion can damage the digestive tract, and any method of exposure can have a systemic effect that could be hazardous depending on the chemical and amount.

The impact of crew exposure to biological contamination may include infection and illness. One result of microbial contamination is food poisoning, which can cause symptoms such as nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea. In certain circumstances, food poisoning can be fatal.

Physical contaminants can become irritants to the eye, cause irritation and lacerations to skin, or can affect the physical properties of equipment (this was an effect of lunar dust on the Apollo EVA suit), which can ultimately affect crew health and performance.

6.4.4 Contamination Monitoring and Control

The ability to monitor and control contamination (including cross-contamination) largely depends on the source of exposure – the atmosphere, potable water, food, or spacecraft or payload surfaces – and on the built-in systems for management of contamination. Exposures are generally controlled by such measures as layers of containment, filtration, housekeeping, and biocides. When the level of contamination exceeds the normal bounds of the environmental control systems, then the crew could be harmed by exposures. In many cases, the crew can use PPE to control the exposure of individuals to harmful contaminants.

Atmospheric chemical contamination can be very hazardous since it can quickly affect the entire habitable volume of a spacecraft. The ability must exist for the crew to quickly protect their skin, eyes, and lungs; then identify a chemical release, control the release, and remove the contaminants; and then monitor the atmosphere to ensure it is safe to breathe again. If biological contamination of the air occurs, the air can act as a transport medium. Biological contamination usually thrives on reaching a moist surface, food, or water. Physical contamination of the air is almost exclusive to the 0g environment, where any type of particle can become, and remain, airborne. However, small particles such as lunar dust may be a problem in lunar gravity as well. Because physical contamination can come from many different sources, monitoring is often not practical. Control of particulate material is achieved primarily by circulating and filtering the air. However, in cases such as glass breakage or loss of containment of another type of material, immediate protection and remediation is necessary, and may require the use of a vacuum.

Contamination of water can be biological, chemical, or physical. Biological contamination can occur from crew or other biological contact with water-dispensing valves or during system repair. It can also occur if the method of microbial control does not continue to function, as when a filter is breached or microorganisms develop resistance to a disinfectant. Chemical contamination of water could occur from capture of contaminated humidity condensate, leaching of water system materials into the water, or failure in the operation of the water purification system. The result could affect crew health directly, or affect the palatability of the water, causing the crew to drink less water, which would indirectly affect crew health. Chemical contamination of surfaces could occur during a spill, and may or may not be visible. Physical contamination could occur as a result of broken systems introducing particulates into the water.
Food can be contaminated chemically, physically, or biologically. Historically, these types of contamination are minimized through careful preflight processing and random sampling of food lots for analysis. Thus, the risk of food contamination during a flight is minimal; however, appropriate packaging, preparation, and monitoring must be maintained because of the severe consequences associated with food contamination, such as food poisoning and infectious disease.

Biological contamination of surfaces may occur in areas of high condensation and exposure to contaminants such as those from food and waste. Proper thermal control and air movement can help to prevent some condensation. Biological contamination is often visible as discoloration of materials. Most importantly, surface contamination must be cleaned and controlled with proper cleaning materials and practices.

Minimizing the possibility of crew contact with contamination of any type is achieved by first limiting its use in the spacecraft. In some cases, this is not possible or feasible, and some potentially hazardous elements need to be used. Providing redundant levels of containment is the next consideration for minimizing contact, to ensure that even if one or more levels of containment fails, the crew is still protected. Although operational procedures can be used to avoid contact with materials, design solutions should be investigated first.

Monitoring contaminants, especially during flight, can be difficult. Thus most chemical, physical, or biological agents are not monitored continuously. Certain agents are used as indicators of other potential contaminants. For example, compounds such as carbon monoxide indicate a potential fire. The presence of coliform bacteria in potable water has been used to indicate the potential presence of medically significant microorganisms in potable water. To quickly identify potential contaminants within the spacecraft, all toxicological and environmental hazard information (e.g., Material Safety Data Sheets [MSDS]) must be accessible to the crew throughout the mission. The potential modes of contamination and their methods of monitoring and control are discussed further in the following sections:

- 6.2.4 Atmosphere Contamination
- 6.3.2.1 Water Contamination
- 7.4 Body Waste Management
- 7.6 Medical
- 7.12 Housekeeping

To restore a contaminated spacecraft to a safe environment, materials must be available to clean the contaminated surfaces, such as the previously mentioned ISS Crew Contamination Protection Kit. The type of materials needed will depend on the type of contamination and the hazard level.

6.4.5 Biological Payloads

Biological materials are classified as nonhazardous and biohazardous. Biohazardous materials may include bacteria, fungi, protozoa, viruses, cell cultures, recombinant DNA, and others. Plants, animals, and some inanimate objects may also be vectors of biohazardous agents.
For space flight applications, biohazardous materials are defined in Table 6.4-1. Because of the unique environment and conditions associated with space flight, Biosafety Level-2 (BSL-2) agents are divided into two classes, BSL-2 (Moderate Risk) and BSL-2 (High Risk) agents. This represents a break from the classification system used by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention / National Institutes of Health guide and the World Health Organization manual. However, because of the 0g conditions, aerosols of microorganisms can be more of a risk factor than under Earth gravity (1g). Larger particles and droplets can be suspended as aerosols for longer periods than at 1g. In addition, it can be seen in the table that BSL-3 and BSL-4 agents are not allowed on crewed spacecraft because of the dire health consequences.

Table 6.4-1  NASA In-flight Biosafety Levels (JSC 63828)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Allowed</th>
<th>BSL</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agent not known to cause disease in healthy adults.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (moderate)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate-risk agents associated with human disease.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (high)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Higher risk agents associated with human disease. Risk is increased by larger amounts of agent, lower infectious dose required, likelihood of aerosolization, and other factors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not allowed</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Agents with potential for airborne transmission. May cause life-threatening disease.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Agents with high potential for life-threatening disease. High potential for aerosol transmission of agent with no prophylactic or specific therapy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.4.6  Research Needs

RESERVED
6.5 ACCELERATION

6.5.1 Introduction

This section addresses the design considerations for ensuring crew health during linear and rotational accelerations. Additional discussions regarding the use of displays and controls during acceleration can be found in chapter 10, “Crew Interfaces.” The acceleration environments experienced during a space flight have the potential to cause illness and injury, as well as affect crew performance. The effect of acceleration on crewmembers depends on the type (linear or rotational), duration (sustained or transient), and direction with respect to the crewmember (i.e., through the head, chest, or shoulders) of the acceleration.

- Sustained accelerations, linear or rotational, are events with a duration of greater than or equal to 0.5 seconds.
- Transient accelerations, linear or rotational, are events with a duration of less than 0.5 seconds.

The magnitude of the inertial resultant to whole-body acceleration is expressed in multiples of the magnitude of Earth’s gravity, \( g = 9.80665 \text{ m/sec}^2 \). The capital letter \( G \) is used to express the direction of the inertial resultant to whole-body acceleration, as shown in Table 6.5-1.

### Table 6.5-1 Inertial Resultant of Body Acceleration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Linear Motion</th>
<th>Physiologic Descriptive</th>
<th>Physiologic Standard</th>
<th>Vernacular Descriptive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>Transverse G, chest to back</td>
<td>(+G_x)</td>
<td>Eyeballs-in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backward</td>
<td>Transverse G, back to chest</td>
<td>(-G_x)</td>
<td>Eyeballs-out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upward</td>
<td>Positive G</td>
<td>(+G_z)</td>
<td>Eyeballs-down</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downward</td>
<td>Negative G</td>
<td>(-G_z)</td>
<td>Eyeballs-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To the right</td>
<td>Lateral G</td>
<td>(+G_y)</td>
<td>Eyeballs-left</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To the left</td>
<td>Lateral G</td>
<td>(-G_y)</td>
<td>Eyeballs-right</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.5.2 Sustained Linear Acceleration

6.5.2.1 Sustained Linear Acceleration Regimes

For space systems, sustained linear accelerations include those in Table 6.5-2.
Table 6.5-2 Sustained Linear Acceleration Regimes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gravity / Acceleration</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Values Observed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0g</td>
<td>Orbit</td>
<td>Approximately $10^{-6}$ to $10^{-1}$ g, omnidirectional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interplanetary flight</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial gravity (hypogravity)</td>
<td>Planetary surfaces</td>
<td>$&lt;1g$:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• 0.17g on the Moon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• 0.38g on Mars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earth gravity</td>
<td>Earth surface</td>
<td>1g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypergravity</td>
<td>Launch</td>
<td>$&gt;1g$:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Entry</td>
<td>• 3g maximum in Shuttle launch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• 1.5g in Shuttle during entry (for up to 17 minutes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• 6.4g in Mercury launch (for 54 seconds)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• 7.6-11.1g in Mercury entry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• 6g in Gemini launch (for 35 seconds)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• 4.3-7.7g in Gemini entry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• 4g maximum in Apollo launch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• 8g in Apollo entry</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.5.2.2 Effects of Sustained Linear Acceleration on Humans

Space flight can create significant changes in the cardiovascular system. These changes begin on the launch pad and continue on orbit, but are usually of most concern during entry and landing, when astronauts are reintroduced to gravity and acceleration.

The 0g environment causes cardiovascular deconditioning due to the reduced load on the heart and vasculature, because the heart does not need to pump blood against gravity and physical workload is markedly reduced. In addition, a headward fluid shift occurs in 0g, which the body perceives as an increase in fluid pressure and mitigates by eliminating fluid from the vasculature. This results in an overall decrease in blood plasma volume in 0g. Although the body’s adaptation is appropriate for the 0g environment, it is maladapted for reexposure to gravity and acceleration. During entry on the Shuttle with the crew seated upright, the body’s fluid is pulled downward toward the legs, but with reduced blood volume and diminished cardiovascular capacity, hypotension can occur, which is the primary cause for gravity-induced loss of consciousness (G-LOC). G-LOC is often preceded by visual symptoms progressing from tunnel vision to grey-out before complete blackout, and is accompanied by deficits in motor and cognitive function. If not mitigated, these problems can create a dangerous situation during flight, reducing the ability of the crew to perform piloting tasks. While it has not been an issue for space flight to this point, the potential for G-LOC should still be considered, especially when astronauts are returning from planetary missions under higher entry decelerations than are encountered during orbital flights such as the Space Shuttle. Shuttle entry forces do not exceed 1.5g, but they last up to 17 minutes and are much more provocative than normal due to the cardiovascular deconditioning caused by adaptation to 0g.
Sustained linear acceleration can also cause injury, depending on the magnitude, duration, and relative direction. During the Soyuz-18A launch in 1975, failure of a third-stage booster to separate exposed the crew to 20.6g during entry, and resulted in internal injuries. A pad fire occurred before the Soyuz T-10A launch in 1983 and the Launch Escape System was activated, so that the crew experienced 14 to 17g for 5 seconds, but they were not injured.

Also of great concern for returning from space flight is orthostatic intolerance, which is characterized by a variety of symptoms that occur on standing, including lightheadedness, increase in heart rate, altered blood pressure, and fainting. Without proper countermeasures, this condition would make rapid egress from the spacecraft difficult.

Sustained linear acceleration is also associated with effects on the vestibular system. Stimulation of the otoliths in the inner ear can create disorienting sensations when the head is tilted in flight. When a person moves the head in hypergravity, an otolith signal is generated that corresponds to a greater change in attitude than has actually occurred. Nausea, vertigo, sensations of tumbling, as well as an apparent change in attitude, can also be evoked by a head movement during acceleration. These effects are even more pronounced on return from space flight, due to increased sensitivity of the vestibular otoliths that results from adaptation to 0g. For additional information on the effects of acceleration on the vestibular system, see section 5.3, “Sensorimotor Function.”

The following is a summary description of the combined human responses to specific sustained linear acceleration vectors in a relaxed, unprotected individual adapted to Earth’s gravity. It is important to note that physiological effects of sustained acceleration will be exaggerated for 0g-adapted astronauts returning from space, and will occur at lower thresholds, which is not taken into account below. It is unclear how adaptation to partial gravity, such as on the Moon or Mars, might affect acceleration tolerance. Accelerations may be accompanied by complex oscillations and vibrations. It is important to note that the physiological effects described below depend on the onset rate (gradual onset < 1g/s; rapid onset 1–2g/s; very high onset > 6g/s). The information in Table 6.5-3 is derived mainly from studies involving gradual onset exposures (Kumar and Norfleet, 1992).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effects of Sustained $+G_z$ Acceleration (eyeballs down)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>+1g</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>+2 to +2.5g</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>+3 to +4g</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.5-3 Physiological Effects of Sustained Linear Acceleration
| +4 to +6g | Total loss of vision (blackout) after about 5 seconds; hearing and then consciousness lost if exposure continued; mild to severe convulsions in about 50% of the subjects after unconsciousness, frequently with dreams; occasionally paresthesias (abnormal nerve sensations, such as tingling or burning); confused states; pain not common, but tension and congestion of lower limbs with cramps and tingling; inspiration difficult; loss of orientation of time and space for up to 15 seconds post acceleration; after unconsciousness, return to purposeful action takes an average of 24 seconds |
| > +6g | Protection is needed to preserve health |

**Effects of Sustained $-G_z$ Acceleration (eyeballs up)**

| $-1 \ G_z$ | Tolerable; sense of pressure and fullness in the head; congestion of eyes |
| $-2 \ to \ -3 \ G_z$ | Severe facial congestion; bradycardia; dysrhythmia; throbbing headache; blurring, graying, or occasional reddening of vision after 5 seconds; congestion disappears slowly; may leave petechial hemorrhages, swollen eyelids |
| > $-3 \ G_z$ | The 5-second tolerance limit is rarely reached; causes mental confusion and unconsciousness |

**Effects of Sustained $+G_x$ Acceleration (eyeballs in)**

<p>| $1G_x$ | Slight increase in abdominal pressure; respiratory rate increases |
| $+2 \ to \ +3G_x$ | Difficulty in spatial orientation; $+2g$ tolerable for at least 24 hours |
| $+3 \ to \ +6G_x$ | Progressive tightness in chest and abdomen; cardiac rhythm disturbances; loss of peripheral vision; difficulty in breathing and speaking; blurring of vision, effort required to maintain focus; $4g$ tolerable up to at least 60 minutes |
| $+6 \ to \ +9G_x$ | Chest pain and pressure; shallow respiration from position of nearly full inspiration; decreased oxygen uptake during acceleration; pulmonary vascular pressures increase toward dorsal part of chest and fall in alveolar pressure on the ventral part; arterial oxygen saturation falls below 85%, which can lead to cognitive impairment; further reductions in visual acuity and depth perception, increased blurring, occasional tunneling, great concentration required to maintain focus; occasional lacrimation (tears); body, legs, and arms cannot be lifted at $+8g$; head cannot be lifted at $+9g$; precise manual control compromised |
| $+9 \ to \ +12G_x$ | Increased severity of symptoms; severe breathing difficulty, increased chest pain, marked fatigue, loss of peripheral vision, diminution of central acuity, lacrimation |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>&gt; +12Gₓ</th>
<th>Extreme difficulty in breathing and speaking, severe viselike chest pain, loss of tactile sensation; total loss of vision possible</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Effects of Sustained −Gₓ Acceleration (eyeballs out)**

All levels  
Similar to those of forward acceleration with modifications produced by reversal of the force vector; pulmonary vascular pressures reversed from those in +Gₓ; total body restraint system is critical and has a direct relationship to the ability to tolerate rearward acceleration exposures.

**Effects of Sustained +/- Gᵧ Acceleration (eyeballs left/right)**

| +/-1 to 2Gᵧ | Difficulty maintaining head and shoulders upright without restraints; difficulty of precise manual control |

| +/-3Gᵧ | Discomfort after 10 seconds; pressure on restraint system; feeling of supporting entire weight on clavicle; inertial movement of hips and legs; yawing and rotation of head toward shoulder; petechiae and bruising; engorgement of dependent elbow with pain; total body restraint system is critical |

| +/-5Gᵧ | Conjunctival hemorrhage has been reported; severe headache after exposure |

### 6.5.2.3 Sustained Linear Acceleration Exposure Limits

The maximum linear sustained acceleration limits shown in Figures 6.5-1 through 6.5-5 must be met.
Figure 6.5-1  \( +G_x \) sustained or short-term plateau linear acceleration limits.

Figure 6.5-2  \( -G_x \) sustained or short-term plateau linear acceleration limits.
Figure 6.5-3  $+G_z$ sustained or short-term plateau linear acceleration limits.

Figure 6.5-4  $-G_z$ sustained or short-term plateau linear acceleration limits.
Figure 6.5-5  +/-Gy sustained or short-term plateau linear acceleration limits.

When using Figures 6.5-1 through 6.5-5, linear accelerations induced by rotational accelerations or velocities outside the heart should be considered. The data in these figures apply when the crewmembers are appropriately trained and restrained and use acceleration protection. Each figure presents three limiting conditions:

- The **solid red line** represents the maximum level of sustained acceleration allowed on a crewmember during a launch abort or emergency entry. Under these extreme conditions, it may be necessary to expose the crew to accelerations more severe than those experienced nominally (blue lines), but crewmembers must never be exposed to accelerations greater than those depicted by the solid red lines in the charts. Exceeding these elevated limits could significantly increase the risk of crew incapacitation, thereby threatening crew survival. Each axis should be analyzed separately, and conservatism in the limits for each axis covers any cumulative effect of acceleration in multiple axes.

- The **dashed blue line** represents the maximum level of sustained acceleration exposure for a conditioned crewmember under nominal conditions. Exposure to g forces greater than these limits could significantly affect human performance for maneuvering and interacting with the spacecraft. Each axis should be analyzed separately, and conservatism in the limits for each axis covers any cumulative effect of acceleration in multiple axes. Staying within these limits allows crewmembers the ability to read displays, communicate, and perform gross motor skills with upper extremities (e.g., switch throws).

- The **dotted green line** represents the maximum level of sustained acceleration exposure for a crewmember, after sustained exposure to a reduced or 0g environment, after an injury, or during an illness. After working at the mission destination, crewmembers could have degraded capabilities because of the pathophysiology of being deconditioned...
from exposure to reduced gravity, and therefore must not be exposed to accelerations greater than those depicted by the dotted green lines in the charts. Greater exposure to g forces could significantly affect human performance and safety. The lower dotted green limits also accommodate returning ill or injured crewmembers. Each axis should be analyzed separately, and conservatism in the limits for each axis covers any cumulative effect of acceleration in multiple axes.

As shown by the acceleration limits above, humans can withstand higher accelerations for longer durations in the +Gx direction. Therefore, positioning the crew to take the higher accelerations through the chest is one of the key considerations for meeting these limits. Depending on the spacecraft design and the phase of flight in which high accelerations are encountered, this may result in a recumbent position, with crewmembers tilted back with respect to the velocity vector, which is how long-duration crewmembers aboard the ISS return on the Space Shuttle.

To reduce the risk of incapacitation, the crew must be prevented from being exposed to a rate of change of acceleration of more than 500 g/s during any sustained acceleration event.

### 6.5.2.4 Countermeasures for Sustained Linear Acceleration

In addition to meeting the preceding acceleration guidelines to ensure crew safety, consider the following countermeasures, especially if expected accelerations may be near the limits:

- **Anti-g suit (AGS)** – The AGS, which is part of NASA’s ACES worn by Space Shuttle astronauts, is used to prevent G-LOC and related concerns. An AGS provides positive pressure to the lower torso, preventing blood from pooling in the legs, and may also help to increase venous return. Venous occlusion and discomfort may be problematic, and studies show that although the AGS is good for short-duration g exposure, it may actually decrease tolerance for durations greater than several seconds (Tripp, L., 2007, Assessment of Gravito-Inertial Loads Environment workshop). The United States Air Force has developed improvements in the AGS that provide increased protection for more body area and the ability to more quickly adjust to a changing g force:
  - Combined Advanced Technology Enhanced Design G-Ensemble (COMBAT EDGE, or CE) – incorporates positive-pressure breathing to reduce the fatigue associated with the anti-g straining maneuver (AGSM) and a chest bladder/vest to increase intrathoracic pressure to prevent lung overinflation. However, the additional body surface coverings of the CE vest have anecdotally been reported to substantially increase body temperature and fluid loss, notwithstanding research that indicated no such increased thermal stress occurs (Balldin et al., 2002). In response to such complaints of thermal stress when wearing the CE vest, Balldin et al. (2005) showed that the vest is not necessary to enable increased g tolerance.
  - Advanced Tactical Anti-g Suit – is a fully inflatable trouser that covers the entire leg. It is used together with CE by Air Force F-22 pilots.
  - Libelle G-Multiplus – is a fluid-filled, total body suit that capitalizes on the counter-pressure of the fluid against the body during acceleration to maintain blood flow to the head. Unique advantages of the Libelle suit may be its near-instantaneous reaction to changing g-force, its elimination of the need for an
AGSM, its allowance for continual ease of extremity movement, and reduction in upper-arm pain under acceleration. The liquid suit technology may also offer improved heating and cooling properties for the crewmember. However, some complaints about the Libelle suit include a negative training challenge from crewmembers’ past experience with pneumatic suits and reduced anti-g capability compared to advanced pneumatic suits (Eiken et al., 2002).

- **Muscle contraction** – Straining and tensing muscles raises the G-LOC threshold by constricting the body’s vasculature, thereby preventing blood from traveling away from the head when in an upright position. In some early aircraft experiments, it was found that sustained contraction of all the skeletal muscles increased tolerance by approximately 2g, which is acceptable for short-duration exposures (von Diringshofen, 1942).

- **Lower-body negative pressure (LBNP)** – LBNP is a potential countermeasure that stresses the cardiovascular system on orbit by creating a controlled pressure differential between the upper and lower body. This mimics 1g in that the heart responds by increasing blood pressure to maintain proper blood flow to the head and upper body. It is possible that periodic exposure to LBNP may reduce the amount of cardiovascular deconditioning, thereby increasing orthostatic tolerance during entry.

- **L-1 AGSM** – This procedure, which includes muscle contraction and repeating the Valsalva maneuver and a short, deep breath every 3 to 4 seconds, also gives substantial protection by raising blood pressure at head level. However, it tends to be very fatiguing and distracts the pilot from other tasks.

- **Positive-pressure breathing** – This countermeasure has also been found to improve the ability to withstand high, sustained accelerations. Although it is fatiguing, it was shown to be much less so than performing the L-1 maneuver or using an AGS, but it can cause difficulty when trying to communicate.

- **Combined AGS, L-1 AGSM, and Positive-Pressure Breathing** – The L-1 AGSM used in conjunction with an AGS and positive-pressure breathing seems to provide the best mechanical or physical exertion countermeasure to degraded cognitive function brought on by g-onset (Alberry and Chellette, 1998). The most glaring negative characteristic of this method is its distractiveness and disruptiveness to other crew functions.

- **Entry fluid loading** – To prevent G-LOC during entry, and orthostatic intolerance upon egress, Shuttle astronauts drink 1-2 liters of high-sodium liquids before entry, to replace the circulating volume that was lost during the flight. In a sample of 26 astronauts, the 17 who practiced “fluid loading” had lower heart rates, maintained blood pressure better, and reported no faintness, compared to 33% incidence of faintness in the 9 astronauts who did not use the countermeasure (Bungo et al., 1985). However, it seems that the effectiveness of fluid loading is reduced as mission duration increases (Charles and Lathers, 1991)

- **Pharmacotherapeutics** – Certain medications may improve orthostatic intolerance by increasing peripheral vasoconstriction, plasma volume, or cardiac contractility.

In addition, sustained engine burns, such as orbital insertions or trans-lunar injection, may be low in magnitude but have rapid onset and require the crew to be restrained in some manner. Also,
the direction of the acceleration with respect to the crew needs to be evaluated. Crews’ facing away from the direction of travel, which could occur in lunar transit, would provide a less tolerable “eyeballs out” acceleration.

The use of artificial gravity during long-duration 0g missions should be considered a preventive countermeasure, to ensure the crewmembers are readapted to the returning gravity environment, such as 1g for Earth and 3/8g for Mars. Artificial gravity may be achieved through rotation of the spacecraft or a localized onboard centrifuge (Tsioolkovsky, 1954; Lackner and DiZio, 2000). Creating a 1g, or partial-g, environment on a long-duration space flight would mitigate most of the negative effects that weightlessness induces on the cardiovascular, musculoskeletal, and vestibular systems, thereby eliminating many of the design measures proposed to counteract the resulting human constraints. However, numerous challenges are still associated with this technology, and additional research is required before it can be considered a feasible option. If rotation is used to create artificial gravity, consider the following general principles to minimize the effects of rotational acceleration on the human:

1. Radial traffic should be kept to a minimum.
2. The crewmembers should not traverse the spin axis unless the hub is nonrotating.
3. The living and working areas should be located as far as possible from the axis of rotation.
4. The compartments should be oriented so that the primary traffic paths are parallel to the spacecraft spin axis.
5. Workstation positions should be oriented so that, during normal activity, the lateral axis through the crewmember’s ears is parallel to the spin axis. In conjunction with this, the controls and displays should be designed so that left/right head rotations and up/down arm motions are minimized.

6.5.3 Transient Linear Acceleration

6.5.3.1 Transient Linear Acceleration Regimes

Transient linear accelerations, including impacts, are abrupt-onset, short-duration, high-magnitude events. It is generally considered that this type of motion involves principally a transient response for the occupant, typically of less than 1 second duration. Some transient linear acceleration conditions to which space crewmembers may be exposed are launch spacecraft staging, thruster firing, ejection seat/ejection capsule firings, escape device deployment, flight instability, air turbulence, and parachute deployment and landing. Aircraft acceleration levels include those listed in Table 6.5-4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Acceleration Levels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aircraft ejection seat firing</td>
<td>up to 17 ( G_z )*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crash landing</td>
<td>10g to greater than 100g (omnidirectional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violent maneuvers</td>
<td>2 to 6g (omnidirectional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parachute-opening shock</td>
<td>approximately 10 ( G_z )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Apollo capsules hit the ocean water at 9 m/s, whereas the Soyuz hits the ground at approximately 7 m/s. The most severe impact experienced in an Apollo space flight occurred with Apollo 12. It was estimated that the Command Module entered the water at a 20° to 22° angle, instead of the nominal 27.5°, which resulted in a 15g impact. This off-nominal impact occurred when the surface winds caused the spacecraft to swing and meet the wave slope at a more perpendicular angle. Although the 15g impact of Apollo 12 was described as “very hard” by the crew, they experienced no significant physical difficulties (Johnston et al., 1975). Also, one of the three parachutes failed to open for the Apollo 15 landing, resulting in a touchdown at 32 ft/s (9.8 m/s) instead of the nominal 28 ft/s (8.5 m/s), but the crew was unharmed. The impact during a Soyuz landing is approximately 4g. Transitions between launch spacecraft staging events may also include abrupt g loads.

6.5.3.2 Effects of Transient Linear Accelerations on Humans

Many factors affect the likelihood of injury during dynamic flight events, including extrinsic factors such as g loading; velocity change; rate of acceleration onset; acceleration rise time; bone and soft tissue compression, extension, and shear force magnitudes and directions, and deflections of the body components; as well as intrinsic factors of the crew such as age, gender, physical condition, and degree of muscle tension.

Tolerance to impact and shock is usually based on skeletal fracture levels, with damage to the vertebrae the most common injury. Two main factors, combined with the amplitude of acceleration, determine tolerance: 1) duration of exposure to acceleration, and 2) orientation of the body with respect to the direction of acceleration. The human body can withstand the greatest impact loads through the chest (+Gx). Tolerance of humans to impact without serious injury is summarized in Table 6.5-5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>Amplitude</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>± Gx (chest)</td>
<td>20g</td>
<td>10,000g/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>± Gy (side)</td>
<td>20g</td>
<td>1,000g/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>± Gz (spine)</td>
<td>15g</td>
<td>500g/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45° off-axis (any axis)</td>
<td>20g</td>
<td>1,000g/s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

However, these tolerances to impact will be reduced after adaptation to 0g, and most likely also for partial-g. The muscles and bones in the lower back, abdomen, and legs that are responsible for maintaining posture and balance are greatly reduced in strength by exposure to weightlessness. Bone loss as high as 20% has been seen in some astronauts after a 6-month flight; this could lead to a significant increase in fracture risk on return to 1g.

Since human tolerance to impact depends on direction, crew position should be considered for reducing the potential for injury, with impact through the axis of the chest providing the greatest protection. For example, for a capsule with a parachute where landing impact and parachute shock can be severe, having crewmembers land while on their backs may be optimal, as was done for Mercury, Gemini, and Apollo, and is still done for Soyuz.
6.5.3.3 Transient Linear Acceleration Exposure Limits

The Brinkley Dynamic Response Model can provide an injury risk assessment for transient linear accelerations. It has its basis in tests with volunteer subjects, tests using post mortem human subjects, accidental injuries, and injuries incurred during emergency escape from aircraft; it thereby provides point estimates for injury probability based on the acceleration-time histories.

Human testing of aircraft ejection seats and spacecraft seats, as well as operational experience with emergency escape systems, has enabled the highest fidelity for injury prediction using the Brinkley model in the \(+G_z\) axis. The probability-of-injury assessments were made on the basis of mean values of groups of replicate tests and operational ejection outcomes. The probabilities were determined by best fit of the mean values to a normal distribution curve and subsequent calculation of 95% confidence intervals for each set of conditions. The 50% probability (P) of injury for \(+z\) axis is based on an \(n\) of greater than 100, yielding 95% confidence interval (P=0.5, \(n=100\)) is \(0.402 \leq P \leq 0.598\). Where P=0.11 and \(n=89\), the 95% confidence interval is \(0.045 \leq P \leq 0.175\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Approximate Risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Brinkley Dynamic Response model is validated for circumstances that meet all of the criteria below. For further detail, the Brinkley Dynamic Response model is documented in the Advisory Group for Aerospace Research and Development (AGARD) CP-472 “Development of Acceleration Exposure Limits for Advanced Escape Systems.”

a. For accelerations of less than 0.5 s (e.g., during liftoff, launch abort, landing impacts, and parachute deployments)

b. For crewmembers restrained by a restraint system that includes at a minimum pelvic restraints, torso restraints, and anti-submarining restraints that provide occupant restraint no less than that of a conventional 5-point harness

c. For seated crewmembers where seat padding or cushions preclude amplification of transient linear accelerations transmitted to the occupant

The injury risk criterion, \(\beta\), must be limited to no greater than 1.0, and is calculated by

\[
\beta = \sqrt{\left(\frac{DR_x(t)}{DR_x^{lim}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{DR_y(t)}{DR_y^{lim}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{DR_z(t)}{DR_z^{lim}}\right)^2}
\]

where \(DR_x(t), DR_y(t),\) and \(DR_z(t)\) are calculated using the Brinkley Dynamic Response model. The dimensionless dynamic response in each of the three axes is given by
\[ DR = \omega_n^2 \left( \chi / g \right) \]

where

\( x \) is the spring deflection of the dynamic system (consisting of the seat and the body) along each axis and can be found by solving the equation

\[ \ddot{x} + 2\zeta \omega_n \dot{x} + \omega_n^2 x = A \]

Where, \( A \) is the measured acceleration, per axis, of the seat at the critical point shown in Figure 6.5-6. Since the seat axis is not an inertial frame, rotational acceleration must be considered in terms of the linear components of the angular motion.

\( g \) is the acceleration of gravity

\( \ddot{x} \) is the occupant’s acceleration in inertial frame

\( \dot{x} \) is the occupant’s relative velocity with respect to the critical point shown in the seat coordinate system in Figure 6.5-6

\( x \) is the displacement of the occupant’s body with respect to the critical point shown in the seat coordinate system in Figure 6.5-6 (a positive value represents compression of the body)

\( \zeta \) is the damping coefficient ratio defined in Table 6.5-6

\( \omega_n \) is the un-damped natural frequency of the dynamic system defined in Table 6.5-6

---

**Table 6.5-6 Brinkley Model Coefficients**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>X</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>eyeballs out</td>
<td>eyeballs in</td>
<td>eyeballs left</td>
<td>eyeballs right</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x &lt; 0</td>
<td>x &gt; 0</td>
<td>y &lt; 0</td>
<td>y &gt; 0</td>
<td>z &lt; 0</td>
<td>z &gt; 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \omega_n )</td>
<td>60.8</td>
<td>62.8</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>47.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \zeta )</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6.5-6 Critical point definition for seated occupant.

Table 6.5-7 Dynamic Response Limits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DR level</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>eyeballs out</td>
<td>eyeballs in</td>
<td>eyeballs left</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low (deconditioned)</td>
<td>-29</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-14 [-15°]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium (deconditioned)</td>
<td>-35</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-17 [-20°]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium (Non-deconditioned)</td>
<td>-35</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-17 [-20°]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table values assume a simple conventional (Apollo-like seat) restraint system.
* If lateral support is used (limiting side body movement), the values in [brackets] apply.
To determine the injury risk criterion, Beta, as a function of time, do the following:

1) Find the acceleration at the critical point in each axis at time $t$.
2) Solve the second-order differential equation for the displacement ($x$) of the occupant.
3) Determine the dynamic response ($DR(t)$) for each axis at time $t$.
4) Determine Beta at time $t$.

Using this process, increment time and repeat until the maximum Beta is found.

In this model, it is assumed that the total body mass that acts on the vertebrae to cause deformation can be represented by a single mass.

Using the Dynamic Response Model limits for accelerations of less than 0.5 s (e.g., during nominal liftoff, launch abort, landing impact, and parachute deployment) provides the proper margins of safety for a healthy deconditioned crewmember. The Dynamic Response Model will provide an injury risk assessment in the event of an Orion nominal or off-nominal failure or multiple failures. The desired dynamic response limits are low (approximately 0.5%) for all cases. The Brinkley “very low” category, which included modified DR limits, developed for ill, injured, or unconscious crewmembers, is not applicable to Constellation Program spacecraft, which do not have the medical return mission design mandate. If occupant protection principles are not properly applied, and/or multiple off-nominal failures occur, loads could impart risks in the medium risk (approximately 5%) and high risk categories (approximately 50%) for risk of sustaining a serious or incapacitating injury.

These crew injury risk limit values are based on data from experiments, in which the seat occupant was restrained to the seat and seat back by a lap belt, shoulder straps, and a strap or straps to prevent submarining of the pelvis, or from statistics of operational escapes, in which similar restraint systems were used. During the experimental efforts the restraint system was adequately pre-tensioned to eliminate slack. Pyrotechnically powered inertial reels were used to position escape system occupants and to eliminate slack in the restraint. The restraint system was adequately pre-tensioned to eliminate slack. The +z axis limits assume that the seat cushion materials do not amplify the acceleration transmitted to the seat occupant. The +x axis limits presume that the seat occupant’s head is protected by a flight helmet with a liner adequate to pass the test requirements of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) Z-90 (latest edition) or equivalent. These guidelines assume that the crew will be similarly restrained during all events that might require application of the Brinkley model.

6.5.3.4 Countermeasures for Transient Linear Acceleration

Since all U.S. spacecraft to date have had unpowered flight during entry and landing, reducing both horizontal and vertical velocity to decrease landing impact has been an important design consideration. The Space Shuttle lands on a runway as a glider, and impact loads are minimal. However, with other designs such as capsules or lifting bodies, this type of landing may not be possible. Because they have a lower coefficient of lift, these types of spacecraft use other
methods for slowing the spacecraft sufficiently to minimize impact forces. With the exception of the Soyuz 1 parachute failure in 1967, impact forces on return from space flights to date have generally been well within human tolerance limits. Adaptation to 0g or partial gravity should be considered when reducing the effect of transient loads on the crew, since adaptation will reduce tolerance. This may be done with additional design factors. Landing on land or water will also affect how transient accelerations are attenuated. The following is a summary of design considerations for minimizing injury from transient linear accelerations.

- **Restraint system:** The ability to withstand deceleration also has to do with the design of the crew restraint system. In the absence of proper restraint, whiplash and submarining injuries of the spinal column may occur. Stapp (1951) successfully demonstrated that, using a special restraint system, he was able to endure +x (chest) acceleration levels up to 45.4g, with a rise time of 0.11 seconds and a velocity change of approximately 56 m/s. However, such a restraint system may affect operations, since it may be complex to don and may restrict the occupant’s mobility.

- **Couches:** Human tolerance to impact improves when the contact area between the body and restraint system is greater (NASA-STD-3000, 1995). A concept for providing body support and protection is a rigid, individually contoured couch, like those used in the early U.S. space program and in the current Russian Soyuz capsule. This approach ensures that each external body segment will be simultaneously decelerated on landing and that the support pressure gradients exerted on the body surfaces will be minimized. Some previous designs have been uncomfortable because only one body position matched the contour, which also made different types of movement difficult. This could be detrimental for spacecraft control.

- **Stroking or crushable structure:** It is important to consider that the spacecraft itself could provide reduction of impact forces, with the use of structural design and materials that can absorb energy on being crushed.

- **Stroking or crushable seats:** The Apollo Command Module included a stroking seat frame to help attenuate landing impact in case of a parachute failure. However, this added design complexity reduced the habitable volume inside the capsule and created unpredictable secondary dynamics.

- **Retrorockets:** The Russian Soyuz uses retrorockets in addition to contoured couches. After entry, the heat shield is discarded, exposing six retrorockets, four of which are automatically fired at about 1 meter above the ground. The other two rockets may be activated in the event of an off-nominal entry.

- **Airbags:** Before splashdown, the Mercury capsules had the heat shield drop to extend a landing bag, or impact skirt, under the spacecraft (Figure 6.5-7).
6.5.4 Rotational Velocity and Acceleration

6.5.4.1 Rotational Velocity and Acceleration Regimes

Low-level rotational motion may be encountered during orbital maneuvers, but high-level rotations that are of concern for crew health and performance will typically occur only in off-nominal events such as loss of spacecraft control or aborts. The rotational motion environment must be assessed in terms of both angular acceleration ($\alpha$) and angular velocity ($\omega$). Rotational motion in space operations, encountered about an arbitrary axis, has been of the magnitudes shown in Table 6.5-8.

**Table 6.5-8 Angular Motion During Space Flight**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spacecraft Motion</th>
<th>Angular Velocity and Acceleration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orbital Maneuvers</td>
<td>$\alpha$ - up to approximately $1.5^\circ/s^2$ (0.026 rad/s$^2$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\omega$ - up to approximately $34.4^\circ/s$ (0.6 rad/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascent, Entry, or Emergency Abort Maneuvers</td>
<td>$\alpha$ - in excess of $10^\circ/s^2$ (0.18 rad/s$^2$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\omega$ - in excess of $360^\circ/s$ (6.28 rad/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escape Maneuvers</td>
<td>$\alpha$ - in excess of $5,730^\circ/s^2$ (100 rad/s$^2$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\omega$ - up to $720^\circ/s^2$ (12.6 rad/s$^2$)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.5.4.2 Effects of Rotational Motion on Humans

Tolerance to rotational motion should be considered in terms of both rotational acceleration and rotational velocity. For spacecraft occupants, the translational and angular motion must be assessed at each occupant’s location in the spacecraft. Also, the effects of the angular component of occupant motion will vary with superimposed linear acceleration.

For rotational acceleration that occurs without translation, the most significant acute effects for humans can be assessed by considering the acceleration experienced at the head, recognizing that a dramatic acceleration gradient may exist.
Tolerance to rotational acceleration depends on the interaction of at least three factors: 1) center of rotation with respect to the body, 2) axis of rotation (pitch, yaw, roll), and 3) the rotation rate.

Depending on the axis, direction, and rate of motion, effects can vary greatly. During the Gemini VIII mission, a stuck thruster caused a left roll and yaw for several minutes, with the capsule reaching 300°/s (50 rpm) for 46 seconds (Mohler et al. 1990). The crewmembers were dizzy and their vision was blurred and near the point of blackout, making it difficult to see the overhead panel dials. They were able to recover from the spin, but the stuck thruster and recovery used a large amount of fuel, resulting in early mission termination.

Table 6.5-9 summarizes what is known about the effects of different types of rotational motion on humans at different exposure levels.

**Table 6.5-9 Effects of Rotational Motion on Humans**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rotation Axis</th>
<th>Exposure Levels</th>
<th>Effects on Most Individuals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Any axis</td>
<td>6 rpm</td>
<td>Most individuals without previous experience can tolerate this rotation in any axis or combination of axes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 6 rpm</td>
<td>Most individuals rapidly become sick and disoriented unless carefully prepared by a graduated program of exposure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 to 30 rpm</td>
<td>Most individuals cannot initially tolerate rotation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>random tumbles</td>
<td>Severe disorientation; reach and manipulative performance degradation ultimately interfere with the ability to make corrective actions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitch</td>
<td>6 rpm</td>
<td>Some individuals have endured 60-minute runs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80 rpm</td>
<td>Generally intolerable; with the center of rotation at heart level, symptoms of backward acceleration (–G&lt;sub&gt;x&lt;/sub&gt;) are demonstrated and are tolerable for only a few seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90 rpm</td>
<td>Some effects of forward acceleration (+G&lt;sub&gt;x&lt;/sub&gt;), namely numbness and pressure in the legs, are also observed but develop slowly, with pain. No confusion or loss of consciousness is found, but in some individuals, disorientation, headache, nausea, or mental depression are noted for several minutes after a few minutes of exposure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>160 rpm</td>
<td>Unconsciousness from circulatory effects alone occurs after 3–10 seconds with the center of rotation at the heart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>180 rpm</td>
<td>Unconsciousness from circulatory effects alone occurs after 3–10 seconds with the center of rotation at the iliac crest (hip bone)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yaw</td>
<td>60 to 90 rpm</td>
<td>When the head and trunk are inclined forward out of the z-axis, rotation becomes close to limiting for 4 minutes, although some motivated individuals have endured 90 rpm in the same mode. Except for unduly susceptible individuals, tolerance tends to improve with exposure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 6.5.4.3 Rotational Velocity and Acceleration Exposure Limits

To protect against severe discomfort and disorientation, sustained rotational accelerations must not exceed $115^\circ/s^2$ in yaw, pitch or roll. Much higher rotational acceleration levels may be tolerable for brief exposures. Most studies on the effects of high rotational acceleration rates focus on the resulting head impact after rotation. One study suggests a tolerance level to rotational accelerations in the sagittal plane in the forward direction of approximately 10,000 rad/s$^2$ for pulse durations shorter than 10 ms, and decreasing for longer pulse durations (Depreitere et al., 2006). The cause of injury in this study was rupture of the bridging vein in the brain after head impact.

Rotational velocities in yaw, pitch, and roll must not exceed the limits specified in Figure 6.5-8. These rotational limits apply for centers of rotation at the heart or outside the heart. However, for rotations outside the heart, the linear accelerations induced at the heart (by rotational accelerations and velocities) must be included in the linear accelerations.

The data in this figure apply when crewmembers are appropriately trained and restrained and use acceleration protection. The figure presents three limiting conditions:

- The solid red line corresponds to maximum allowable rotation rates for automated crew abort/escape.
- The dashed blue line indicates the design limit for conditioned crewmembers during nominal ascent and entry.
- The dotted green line shows the design limit for deconditioned, ill, or injured crewmembers.
6.5.4.4 Countermeasures for Rotational Velocity and Acceleration

Depending on the magnitude and duration of the rotational motion, countermeasures may include the use of a g-suit to prevent excessive peripheral blood flow, restraints to prevent flailing, and minimizing head movement to reduce Coriolis stimulation of the vestibular system, which could cause severe disorientation.

6.5.5 Research Needs

- Understanding the effect of partial-gravity (Moon, Mars) adaptation on human acceleration tolerance (sustained, transient, and rotational acceleration)
- Understanding the interaction of 0g with acceleration and vibration
- Current restraint and impact attenuation technology
Acoustics is the science and technology of sound, including its production, transmission, and effects. Sound is generally used to refer to any vibration or pressure fluctuation, in the air or any other physical medium, that is sufficient to stimulate an auditory sensation. The primary concern here is with sound that arrives at the crewmember’s ear, which can be classified either as desired signals or undesired noise. This section sets forth the basis for spacecraft requirements to allow audibility of the signals, and to control the noise. (For discussions regarding auditory perception, see section 6.5.)

This section discusses

- Acoustic environments of spacecraft
- Human response to noise
- Human exposure and habitability limits
- Noise control in spacecraft
- Verification of acoustic requirements
- Acoustic monitoring of spacecraft
- Acoustic countermeasures

Definitions for terms used in this section are in paragraph 6.6.8 at the end of the section.

6.6.1 The Acoustic Environments of Spacecraft

The acoustic environments of spacecraft are greatest in magnitude during dynamic phases of flight, such as launch, entry, and on-orbit engine burns. For these short-duration events, high levels of acoustic loading are generated by the nature of flight and the propulsion required. These inherently high levels of noise should be considered and controlled. To this end, acoustic requirements during these mission phases need to be developed and enforced to protect the crew’s hearing, must allow for critical communications, and may require hearing-protective equipment to help achieve these results.

In contrast to the extreme acoustic environments described above, the sound levels present during the vast majority of time spent during space flight missions is characterized by lower-level noise, generated by the spacecraft, inside the reverberant habitable spacecraft volume. In these environments, the crew’s ability to communicate easily and concentrate on tasks is the main focus of the requirements. If these objectives are controlled, then there will be no threat to the crew’s hearing. To these ends, the spacecraft acoustic requirements need to provide a reduced-stress environment to promote the crew’s communications, productivity, and well-being. Also, the need for personal hearing protection should be minimized as these devices interfere with communications, and are uncomfortable to wear for long periods. To achieve these results, the noise produced by the spacecraft needs to be controlled during design and fabrication by using acoustical design and noise-control practices such as early testing of components, use of acoustic modeling, and use of an acoustic noise-control plan. Finally, satisfaction of acoustic requirements must be verified either by test, or by analysis based on supporting test data.
6.6.1.1 Launch

During launch, a great amount of noise is caused by the combustion process in the rocket engines, engine jet-plume mixing, unsteady aerodynamic boundary-layer pressures, and fluctuating shockwaves. Although these sources are very powerful and create very high levels of acoustic sound pressure, they are present for a relatively short amount of time. This short-term noise exposure normally does not exceed 5 minutes of continuous duration. The main focus of controlling noise during this phase of flight is on protection of crew hearing and preservation of critical communications capability. At launch, crews are nominally wearing spacesuits including helmets, which provide noise attenuation and hearing protection. Additionally, communications headsets are worn inside the suits to support communications in the noisy environment.

The noise environment within the space spacecraft is, initially, the result of high-level jet noise of the booster rockets impinging on the outer surface of the fuselage and being transmitted through the cabin structure into the spacecraft interior. As the spacecraft accelerates from the launch pad, noise reduces due to loss of ground reflection, and jet noise diminishes as velocity increases. With increasing velocity, however, the crew compartment receives aerodynamic noise generated by boundary-layer turbulence and shock structures along the outer surface of the fuselage. This aerodynamic noise reaches its maximum level as the spacecraft passes through the range of maximum dynamic pressure (max Q) and decreases progressively thereafter. Aerodynamic noise becomes insignificant approximately 2 minutes after liftoff. The noise levels inside the crew compartment will vary with the size and shape of the launch vehicle, its engines, and the design of the crew compartment. As an example, Figure 6.6-1 shows Space Shuttle orbiter maximum noise, both external and internal to the crew module, during the atmospheric launch phase. Figure 6.6-2 shows the profile of the A-weighted sound levels over the launch period. Crewmember noise exposure levels are less than the internal noise level because of the attenuation offered by the suits and helmets (NASA-STD-3000, 1995).

The aeroacoustic noise sources may also generate significant levels of infrasonic and ultrasonic acoustic energy. These sources must also be considered. The noise levels generated at launch also create a risk to the spacecraft’s payload and to the spacecraft itself because of possible sonic fatigue and related vibration issues. Discussion of these effects are out of the scope of this chapter except to acknowledge these concerns as serious and to assert that control of the noise for protecting the human crew also helps to reduce the risk to the spacecraft and its payload.

The noise created by the launch-abort system must also be considered and may be the most powerful of all. In this case, the rocket engine is located in close proximity to the crew, and the acceleration required to separate the crew module away from the rest of the already-moving spacecraft demands extreme levels of thrust and power, and will result in very high noise levels.
Figure 6.6-1  Measured Space Shuttle orbiter crew module maximum noise during launch phase (NASA-STD-3000, 1995).
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Source: Acoustic Noise Analysis for STS-3, EE-2-82-016 (U)
NASA-JSC Tracking and Communications Division, June 1982

Figure 6.6-2  Space Shuttle orbiter internal noise. Noise in the flight deck during the atmospheric launch phase as a function of time, analyzed using a 4-second time window (Nealis, 1982).
6.6.1.2 Atmospheric Entry

During atmospheric entry, aerodynamically generated noise is caused by turbulent boundary layers, attached shockwaves, and attached supersonic expansion fans on the external surface of the spacecraft. The noise environment is dominated by this aerodynamic noise containing broadband noise of high intensity. The sound pressure levels during entry are comparable with those produced during the maximum dynamic pressure at launch, but the high intensities may be maintained for a longer period during entry.

As with the launch aerodynamic noise, the entry-related aeroacoustic noise sources may also generate significant levels of infrasonic and ultrasonic acoustic energy.

6.6.1.3 On-Orbit Engine Burns

In addition to launch and entry noise, noise is also associated with engine “burn” or “boost” operations, including the trans-lunar-injection burn that boosts a spacecraft from a low Earth orbit (LEO) toward the Moon. Although noise during typical burn and boost operations is generally at a much lower level than launch and entry noise, the crew may not be suited, and will have reduced hearing protection capability. The possibility exists that associated vibrations may be structure-borne into the crew cabin and radiated as noise. The noise levels associated with engine burns are not well understood because they have never been measured, but on the basis of crew debriefings in previous programs, they were thought not to be of concern because of their short duration and the accommodations provided for launch noise attenuation. Some anecdotal accounts tell how the crew could feel the vibration of the engines or about the acoustic levels, but no data exist at this time.

6.6.1.4 On-Orbit, Lunar, or Planetary Acoustic Environment

During on-orbit, lunar, or extraterrestrial planetary operations when engines are inactive, the emphasis regarding the acoustic environment is not to protect crew hearing, but rather to ensure adequate communications, alarm audibility, crew productivity, and habitability. Therefore, the maximum allowable sound levels are lower than those required for launch and entry. Hearing conservation concerns will be satisfied as a consequence of meeting these lower noise level requirements. These environments are “shirtsleeve” environments, and the crew will not be wearing hearing protection during nominal operations.

For example, sound levels in the Spacelab Module, a research module located in the Shuttle’s cargo bay during Space Shuttle flight STS-40, were measured to be 68 dBA, on a daily average basis, but rose on some days to be as high as 75.5 dBA and up to 84 dBA during ergometer operations (Goodman, 1991). As a result, serious problems with communications, both with the ground and between crewmembers, were experienced. Communications capability within the Spacelab had become obscured by the high ambient noise levels of the experiment hardware, and the crew had to move into the airlock (away from the experiments they were operating) to communicate. Communications in the Spacelab needed to be repeated; “say again” was the phrase repeated over and over again, and the crew became very frustrated (Briefing, 1991). Noise levels in the Orbiter Crew Module during STS-40 also were high, reaching daily averages as high as 71 to 73 dBA compared to 73.5 to 75.5 dBA in Spacelab. The crew was very irritated.
during operations and sleep periods, and had headaches due to the high noise levels experienced (Briefing, 1991). This is an example of a mission of short duration (less than 30 days) in which high noise levels became a problem because of the resulting poor communications and habitability.

For long-duration missions, high noise levels have been documented on the Russian space station Mir and within the International Space Station (ISS) (Bogatova, 2008; Goodman, 2003). Many instances of crew permanent and temporary hearing loss have been documented, especially in the Mir program (Clark and Allen, 2008). Regarding the ISS, the acoustic noise environment during early Increment missions was identified by the Astronaut Office and Space Life Sciences Directorate as one of the top habitability concerns, and a key area for improvement. Related concerns include the inability to communicate with the ground and each other, and the inability to hear emergency and warning alarms. As a result of the high noise levels in certain modules, the ISS crew has worn hearing protection throughout the workday and often while sleeping. Comments that have been compiled from ISS crew debriefings include the following:

- The noise level on board was considered to be unpleasant and too high for general comfort.
- The noise levels on the ISS have been known to affect a given crew’s ability to communicate.
- The crew has had difficulty communicating with each other while wearing hearing protection.
- Overall, the crewmembers would wear their ear protection all day and take it out at night. Some crewmembers, depending on where they were sleeping and the associated noise level, would leave their ear plugs in while sleeping.
- It is not practical to require the crewmembers to wear hearing protection round the clock, need to design for less noise in the future.
- There have been several instances where the crew has been awakened due to noise levels on board.
- Many of the crewmembers have recommended that we continue to try and reduce the noise levels on station.
- When we build new modules, go to the Moon, and go to Mars, things need to be kept quieter. We need to take into consideration the cumulative effect of all noise-producing parts and not just each hardware item in isolation.
- The noise mitigation products that we use are not solutions; we need to find a way to better design things to produce minimal noise-level output.

Controlling the acoustic environment in spacecraft is a challenge. Because of the difficulty in controlling the noise environment, well-defined acoustic requirements and processes for controlling noise during the selection of prime movers (such as fans and pumps) and during the design phase of the spacecraft are crucial. A noise-control plan must be established within system development efforts. The program would include the following elements:
1. Select Quiet Sources – Many systems create noise during nominal operations. These include mechanical components, such as pumps, fans, compressors, and motors, which could be running at all times or just intermittently. Selection of quiet noise sources is very important to reducing noise levels.

2. Designs for Noise Control – These measures are used to control noise along the path from source to receiver. By including these measures at the beginning, designers can avoid later impacts on the design.

3. Suballocate Requirements – Allocation of requirements to systems and subsystems is very important so that components can be evaluated independently. Also, acoustic modeling techniques now allow acoustical predictions of complicated and unique geometries and are proving to be very useful tools in the design and integration of hardware.

4. Conduct Acoustic Testing – Early and frequent periodic testing of components and systems is one of the most effective means of identifying noise problems.

See section 6.6.4 below for more details.

6.6.1.5 Extravehicular Activity Acoustic Environment

EVA is always a critical mission activity with significant risk to crew safety and to the success of the overall mission as a result. It is extremely important that voice communications be clear, speech intelligibility high, and warning signals from EVA life support systems heard.

During EVA mission phases, crewmembers are exposed to the noise environment created by the spacesuit’s life support system. For the most part, the noise comes from fans, pumps, and airflow. When the spacesuit is connected to the spacecraft, noise can come through the umbilical connection or through the visor if it is open.

The extremely small and highly reverberant acoustic cavity inside the helmet causes the noise levels to build up and causes standing wave patterns to exist. The crewmembers wear communications headsets for clear communications; however, noise levels can be high enough to interfere. Also, high noise levels can be picked up by the suit microphone and obscure the voice of the crewmember so that ground personnel cannot understand their speech. See section 11.3.9 for further information on EVA-related noise issues.

Development of acoustic requirements for each mission phase, including launch, entry, on-orbit, and EVA phases, is described below. However, before this discussion, it is important to present some further information about the human response to noise.

6.6.2 Human Response to Noise

Noise exposure is generally considered to affect hearing, but it can also cause other physiological effects. Noise may also degrade sleep and relaxation as well as performance of specific activities (e.g., working and speech communications).
6.6.2.1 Physiological Effects

Reserved

6.6.2.2 Performance Effects

6.6.2.2.1 Interference with Speech Communications

Crewmember efficiency is impaired when noise interferes with voice communication. When this occurs, the penalty is an increase in time required to accomplish communication through slower, more deliberate verbal exchanges (if possible). Missed or misunderstood speech can have significant safety impact resulting from human error.

The frequencies used for voice communication range from about 200 to 7000 Hz, with primary energy in the region of 500 Hz to 2 kHz. Table 6.6-1 indicates various levels of speech based on vocal effort (Berger, 2003). Continuously raised, loud or shouted speech occurs in noisy environments because talkers raise their voice to a level where they can hear themselves (a phenomenon known as the “Lombard Effect”).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vocal Effort</th>
<th>Male dBA</th>
<th>Female dBA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>low</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>normal</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>raised</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>loud</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shout</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.6-1 Speech Levels for Male and Female Voices at a 1-Meter Distance

Average A-weighted sound levels (Berger, 2003).

6.6.2.2.1.1 Signal-to-Noise Ratio

The concept of signal-to-noise ratio (expressed in decibels) is fundamental to understanding general statements regarding speech intelligibility.

Normal speech has a level of 58 dBA at a distance of 1 meter from a talker to a listener. If the background noise is 52 dBA at the head position of a listener, for example, then a positive signal-to-noise ratio of 6 dB is said to exist.

Generally speaking, at least a 10-dB signal-to-noise ratio in the frequency region of speech (200 Hz–7 kHz) is optimal for making speech intelligible (approximately 90% word recognition).
6.6.2.1.2 Intelligibility Tests

Speech may be detectable at lower levels, but not intelligible. In other words, a listener might recognize speech is occurring at lower signal-noise ratios than 10 dB, but not understand it. Intelligibility testing is a more precise measurement of word recognition. Intelligibility testing can be done either with or without test subjects. Standardized speech intelligibility tests that use test subjects are listed below. ANSI S3.2-1989 standardizes and describes the tests.

- The Modified Rhyme Test in ANSI standard S.3.2-1989 is one of the preferred methods since human test subjects are used. It is a test with 300 monosyllabic English words. Listeners are shown a six-word list and then asked to identify which of the six is spoken by the talker. The words differ in either the initial or final consonant sound.
- The Diagnostic Rhyme Test is a test of 192 monosyllabic words that also uses human subjects. Listeners are shown a word pair, and then asked to identify which word is presented by the talker.
- The Phonetically Balanced Monosyllabic Intelligibility test is a word test that is used when the highest accuracy and sensitivity are required.

The disadvantage of using human-in-the-loop testing is that it can be time-consuming and costly, particularly when doing an iterative design process.

ANSI S.3.5-1997 is a human-independent system that involves measurements of signal-noise ratios in bands, as opposed to measuring speech intelligibility. Although humans are not used in this test, it has a good track record in terms of predicting how humans would score on tests such as the Modified Rhyme Test or Diagnostic Rhyme Test. It can also be adjusted for a person wearing high-attenuation hearing protection devices, and for presence of visual cues.

6.6.2.1.3 Speech Interference Levels

Speech intelligibility tests are typically used to define, characterize, and validate speech communication systems. For designing and assessing acoustic environments regarding the crew’s ability to communicate, speech interference levels are also used.

Masking of speech occurs at low signal-noise ratios, i.e. when the presence of one sound, such as noise, inhibits the perception of speech. The Speech Interference Level (SIL) is defined as the arithmetic average of noise in octave bands either from 500 Hz to 4 kHz (four-band method) or 500 Hz to 2 kHz (three-band method).

The SIL can then be used in conjunction with graphs such as Figure 6.6-3 to determine the impact of talker-to-listener distance and the level of voice power required to communicate. The region in Figure 6.6-3 each curve shows the talker-to-listener and noise-level combination for which just-reliable face-to-face communication is possible. Just-reliable communications corresponds to an intelligibility score of at least 70% based on ANSI S3.2-1989 (ANSI S12.65-2006).
The parameter on each curve in Figure 6.6-3 indicates the relative voice level. The expected voice level indicates the tendency for people to raise their speaking level in the presence of background noise. If the speaker is female, the level of voice output shown in Figure 6.6-3 should be reduced by 4-5 dB (Crocker 1998, Beranek 1992).

Figure 6.6-3 Talker-to-listener distances for just-reliable communication. The A-weighted sound level shown below the abscissa is approximate. The relation between speech interference level and A-weighted sound level depends on the spectrum of the noise (ANSI S12.65-2006).

Table 6.6-2 indicates the impact of different SIL values on person-to-person communications in tabular form (NASA-STD-3000, 1995).
### Table 6.6-2 Speech Interference Level (SIL) and Effect on Communication

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SIL (dB)</th>
<th>Person-to-Person Communication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30–40</td>
<td>Communication in normal voice satisfactory.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–50</td>
<td>Communication satisfactory in normal voice 1 to 2 m (3 to 6 ft), and raised voice 2 to 4 m (6 to 12 ft), telephone use satisfactory to slightly difficult.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–60</td>
<td>Communication satisfactory in normal voice 30 to 60 cm (1 to 2 ft), raised voice 1 to 2 m (3 to 6 ft), telephone use slightly difficult.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–70</td>
<td>Communication with raised voice satisfactory 30 to 60 cm (1 to 2 ft), slightly difficult 1 to 2 m (3 to 6 ft), telephone use difficult. Ear plugs and/or ear muffs can be worn with no adverse effects on communication.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70–80</td>
<td>Communication slightly difficult with raised voice 30 to 60 cm (1 to 2 ft), slightly difficult with shouting 1 to 2 m (3 to 6 ft). Telephone use very difficult. Ear plugs and/or ear muffs can be worn with no adverse effects on communication.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80–85</td>
<td>Communication slightly difficult with shouting 30 to 60 cm (1 to 2 ft). Telephone use unsatisfactory. Ear plugs and/or ear muffs can be worn with no adverse effects on communication.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Factors other than noise levels can influence the intelligibility of speech and should be considered when developing requirements for spacecraft:

- Visual cues (lip reading) can increase intelligibility in noise. However, in 0g environments the possibility of differing orientations can negate this effect.
- Reverberation, significant reflections, and echoes can affect voice communications positively or negatively. These effects can be important in spacecraft, which are typically small in volume with high levels of reverberation. Communications can also be impaired when speakers and listeners have different native languages, dialects, or accents.

#### 6.6.2.2.2 Interference with Task Performance

Noise can have both positive and negative effects on the performance of tasks; noise stimulates the listener, but arousal results in the narrowing of attention (Butler, TBD). As the noise intensity increases, increased arousal causes an improvement in task performance up to a point; beyond that level of intensity, over-arousal sufficient to degrade task performance occurs. Accompanying the degraded task performance, psychological effects of noise can include anxiety and learned helplessness.

In some cases, noise may communicate important informational content (e.g., feedback regarding the operation of a mechanical device, such as a fan).

It is important to take into consideration factors such as the person’s level of familiarity with the work, the degree to which verbal communication is necessary, the extent to which several parts of a task make conflicting demands on attention, and duration of the noise or the task (Jones, 1991).
Continuous noise as high as 100 dBC does not affect simple tasks, visual acuity, tool use, or short-term intellectual function. This is particularly true when discriminations are easy, time pressure is absent, or the response manner or time is predictable. Below are specific task performance areas that noise does affect:

a. Short-Term Memory – If several operations are connected that require short-term memory, for instance to solve a mathematical problem that appears only briefly, noise can have an impact.

b. Comparison and Discrimination – At levels as low as 85 dBC, there may be an effect on confidence in the nature of unexpected visual signals that are difficult to discriminate, or on tasks that require remembering and comparing signals.

c. Multitasking – Continuous noise also has an adverse effect on cognitive performance that may involve “multitasking.” If the same material can be analyzed in more than one way, the most dominant and obvious means will be adopted during continuous noise. Given two memory tasks under relatively high (75-100 dBC) continuous background noise levels, noise can even improve efficiency at the dominant task, but with a corresponding degradation in a secondary task.

d. Spatial Memory – Tasks involving the learning of lists, e.g., from a repair manual, may involve verbal or spatial memory tasks; noise hinders those who use a spatial strategy.

e. Visual Tracking – Continuous regular periodic and aperiodic noise reduces performance on a complex visual tracking task. At levels of 50, 70, and 90 dB of white noise, the greatest decrement occurred with the highest noise level.

Short-term changes in level that are infrequent can cause temporary disturbance of performance of 2 to 30 seconds, with a loss of efficiency proportional to the change in level. This can affect changes in reaction time, ability to focus on visual tasks or take information from visual displays, and other cognitive activities. Irregular and unpredictable bursts of noise outside of the control of the subject are more disturbing to performance than those that are perceived to be within the subject’s control, even when the subject does not control them.

6.6.2.3 Annoyance Effects

Elevated noise levels above background noise or exposure to constant levels of moderate noise can delay the onset of sleep, awaken one from sleep, and interfere with rest and with the hearing of wanted sounds. In past space flight missions, comments have been made by crewmembers about irritating and disturbing noises.

Awakenings are the most relevant noise annoyance problem in spacecraft because of the potential for intermittent and impulse noise events such as pumps turning on. To some degree, these events could be masked by the relatively high background noise levels.
Habituation to noise events during sleeping can occur; research indicates that during the same night, awakening decreases with an increasing number of sound exposures per night, and that the frequency of noise awakenings decreases for at least the first eight consecutive nights. However, perceived sleep quality, mood, and performance can be affected despite habituation (Öhrström, 1988). Sleep does not mitigate any negative effects of noise on physiology (e.g., increased blood pressure).

Tonal or narrow-band noise can be irritating and distracting. In addition, tonal noise that fluctuates in level or produces a beating phenomenon (when combined with another tone with similar frequency) will draw attention and be particularly distracting.

6.6.3 Human Exposure and Acoustic Environment Limits

The guidelines of this section will ensure that spacecraft provide the crew with an acoustic environment that will not cause injury or hearing loss, interfere with voice communications, cause fatigue, or in any other way degrade overall human-machine system effectiveness. Requirements should be developed with regard to the particular mission or activity being performed. Overall layout of the spacecraft should include acoustical considerations to provide appropriate noise environments for the situation, including such factors as mission purpose, duration, crew size and mix, size of habitable volume, external support, and distance between crewmembers.

6.6.3.1 Noise Exposure Limit for Intrinsic High Noise Level or Off-Nominal and Contingency Conditions

Equivalent noise exposure levels above 85 dBA for an 8-hour time-weighted average have been shown to increase the risk of noise-induced hearing loss (NIOSH, 1998). The recommendation of NIOSH is that noise exposure level at the crewmember’s ear, calculated over any 24-hour period, must be limited to 85 dBA, 8-hour time-weighted average, using a 3-dB energy exchange rate. The formulae below can be used to calculate 24-hour noise exposure levels, D. To be acceptable, D must be at or below 100.

\[ D = 100 \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{C_n}{T_n}, \]

\[ T_n = \frac{480}{2^{(L_n-85)/3}} \]

Noise level dosimeters are capable of measuring noise levels and making these calculations. Appropriately chosen hearing protection or communication headsets may be used to satisfy this requirement.

Noise dose limits must be used to define the design requirement for mission phases, such as launch and entry, in which the sources of noise are at intrinsically high levels and the protection
of crew hearing is the primary concern. The limits based on noise dose should be used only for off-nominal and contingency conditions to determine when the use of hearing protection is required. For on-orbit and planetary operations where communications, productivity, and habitability are primary concerns, noise dose considerations should not determine requirements. The resulting allowable limits would be too high for these activities and do not address spectral concerns.

Note that the noise exposure limits are expressed in A-weighted sound pressure levels to include effects throughout the entire audible frequency range, but with weighting that reflects the frequency response of the human ear to high noise levels. (For a more detailed explanation of noise weighting, see Beranek, 1992). Contributors to noise exposure include continuous and intermittent noise sources. Impulse noise is too short in duration to contribute significantly to the noise dose, though current noise dosimeters are capable of including impulse noise peaks in the measurement. Separate limits are needed to limit the traumatic effects of high levels of impulse noise. See section 6.6.3.9 for more details.

6.6.3.2 Hazardous Noise Level Limit for Intrinsic High Noise Level or Off-Nominal and Contingency Conditions

Control of noise exposure using the above noise dose limits is effective in reducing the risk of noise-induced hearing loss. However, because of the asymptotic nature of the expressions given for \( T_n \) in 6.6.3.1, limits must be set to define a maximum exposure level for short-duration exposures to protect the crew. As with the noise dose limit in 6.6.3.1, this guideline must be used to define a design requirement for mission phases, such as launch and entry, in which the sources of noise are intrinsically at a high level and the protection of crew hearing is the primary concern.

If communications and alarm audibility are not important, e.g., during launch abort just after the abort rocket has fired, the noise level at crew ear locations must be limited to a maximum of 115 dBA to prevent damage to crew hearing (NIOSH, 1990). This is the hazardous noise level limit.

For the crew to hear voice communications and alarms during launch, abort, entry, and pressure relief valve operations, this hazardous noise level limit must allow headroom for amplified communication signals and alarms to be effective but not damage crew hearing. As given in section 6.6.3.3, “Noise Limit for Personal Communication Devices,” the noise limit at the crewmember’s ear from communication devices is limited to 115 dBA. To preserve a 10-dB signal-to-noise ratio for this signal, a maximum limit of 105 dBA on the noise environment at crew ear locations must be imposed.

6.6.3.3 Noise Limit for Personal Communication Devices

Sound levels produced by personal communication devices are allowed to be high to overcome the noise generated during launch and descent. However, noise levels above 115 dBA have been shown to produce noise-induced hearing loss (NIOSH, 1990). The A-weighted sound levels at crew ear locations from personal communication devices must be limited to a maximum of 115 dBA to prevent damage to crew hearing.
In addition, personal communication devices must be provided with a volume adjustment to allow manual reduction of the noise levels when high levels are not needed.

A personal communication device may be an integrated part of the EVA helmet or an independent communication headset.

### 6.6.3.4 Sound Pressure Level Limits for Continuous Noise During Nominal Operations

To permit voice communications and promote habitability during nominal on-orbit, lunar, or extraterrestrial planetary operations, noise levels must not exceed specified limits. In addition, limits with lower levels are needed for sleep quarters to allow auditory rest and recovery.

Sound pressure level requirements for continuous noise are based on the “noise criterion” family of curves developed by Beranek for the acoustical design of office buildings. These NC curves are shown in Figure 6.6-4 (Beranek, 1992), and are defined using octave band sound pressure levels as a function of frequency over a range from 63 Hz to 8 kHz. The NC designator of each curve, e.g. NC-40, was originally defined to correspond to the (rounded) SIL value (see 6.6.2.2.1) of each curve. However, new standard octave bands were developed subsequent to the development of the NC curves, changing slightly the frequency range on which the NC curves were based (Crocker, 1998). As a result, not all of the NC designators match the corresponding SIL values exactly, but they are close in value. The A-weighted sound levels of the NC curves differ from the NC designations and SIL values by 7 to 11 dB. These corresponding values are also shown in Figure 6.6-4 for reference, but are not considered to be part of the definition of the NC curves.

In spacecraft work areas, where good voice communications and habitability are required, sound pressure levels of continuous noise should be limited to the values given by the NC-50 curve. This limit was originally recommended for the Space Shuttle program (CHABA, 1987) and was later adopted and confirmed as the requirement for the International Space Station (Fotedar, 2001). Figure 6.6-5 shows that, for speaker-to-listener distances from 5 to 8 feet, an NC-50 background noise level provides for 75% of key words in sentences to be correctly understood whereas NC-55 results in only 30% of key words understood (Pearson, 1975).

For noise limits in areas where speech intelligibility is not important, or for areas where the crew are not going to spend much time, e.g. in storage areas, designers usually feel pressure to consider more relaxed acoustic requirements. This approach is used on marine ships (ABS, 2003). However, for spacecraft it is recommended that consistent requirements be used throughout the system because available habitable volumes are often used for unintended purposes, and because the designed use of a habitable volume may evolve over time. For example, on the ISS, visiting Space Shuttle crews sometimes use docking compartments or unused habitable areas as sleep quarters.
Figure 6.6-4 Noise criterion (NC) curves. Corresponding A-weighted sound pressure levels and speech interference levels are given for reference only (Beranek, 1992). SIL(4) is speech interference level, 4-band method.
Figure 6.6-5  Percent of key words in sentences correctly understood. Key words in sentences correctly understood as a function of the NC curve for talkers with normal voices at a distance of 5 to 8 feet on board the Space Shuttle (Pearson, 1975).

For crew quarters and sleep areas, sound pressure levels of continuous noise should be limited to the values given by the NC-40 curve as a maximum. In addition, a minimum noise limit of NC-25 is also recommended. These limits provide a comfortable sleeping and resting environment (Beranek, 1992) and allow rest for the crew’s ears.

It should be clear that the continuous noise limits for work and sleep areas must include the composite levels of all continuous noise sources that contribute to the acoustic environment inside the spacecraft. Systems and subsystems must be given an allocation of the total work or sleep noise limits, such that the combination of all allocations will still be within the limits. The noise limit values corresponding to the NC-50, NC-40, and NC-25 curves for work areas, sleep areas maximum, and sleep areas minimum, respectively, are given in Table 6.6-3. Levels for the octave band just above the given frequency range have been added to extend the frequency coverage of the NC curves (Goodman, 2008) as shown in Table 6.6-3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Octave band</th>
<th>63</th>
<th>125</th>
<th>250</th>
<th>500</th>
<th>1 k</th>
<th>2 k</th>
<th>4 k</th>
<th>8 k</th>
<th>16 k</th>
<th>NC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>center frequency (Hz)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work areas</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep areas maximum</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep areas minimum</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.6.3.5   Sound Pressure Level Limits for Intermittent Noise During Nominal Operations

For intermittent noise of specific hardware items that are inherently noisy and operate for short time periods, e.g. toilets, where alternative means for noise control are prohibitively expensive or impractical, A-weighted sound level limits given by Table 6.6-4 are recommended. These sound levels and operational duration limits (per 24-hour period) are based on ISS experience (SSP 57000, 2000), with some precedence from the Space Shuttle program.

Use of these requirements should be limited and based on a clear understanding that multiple intermittent sources must not combine to preclude communications when needed or create an unsafe situation. Allowing use of these requirements for a specific hardware item must be considered on a case-by-case basis. For example, a vacuum cleaner that must be operated by a crewmember and is intrinsically noisy is a good candidate for this requirement. Alarm audibility during operation of equipment that uses this requirement must also be considered. Intermittent noises should be minimized during crew sleep periods.

Table 6.6-4   Intermittent Noise A-Weighted Sound Pressure Level and Corresponding Operational Duration Limits for Any 24-Hour Period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maximum Noise Duration Per 24-hour Period</th>
<th>A-Weighted Sound Level (dBA re 20 μPa)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8 hours</td>
<td>≤ 49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 hours</td>
<td>≤ 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 hours</td>
<td>≤ 51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 hours</td>
<td>≤ 52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5 hours</td>
<td>≤ 53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 hours</td>
<td>≤ 54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5 hours</td>
<td>≤ 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 hours</td>
<td>≤ 57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 hours</td>
<td>≤ 58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 hours</td>
<td>≤ 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 hours</td>
<td>≤ 62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 hour</td>
<td>≤ 65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 minutes</td>
<td>≤ 69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 minutes</td>
<td>≤ 72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 minutes</td>
<td>≤ 76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 minutes</td>
<td>≤ 78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 minute</td>
<td>≤ 79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not allowed **</td>
<td>≥ 80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Measured at 0.6 m distance from the source.

**To leave margin from the 85 dBA hazardous noise limit.
6.6.3.6  Hazardous Noise Levels During Nominal Operations

For nominal on-orbit, lunar, or extraterrestrial planetary operations, an A-weighted sound pressure level of 85 dBA defines the hazardous noise limit at which action must be taken to reduce the noise level so that increased risk for hearing loss does not occur.

An A-weighted sound pressure level of 85 dBA is also recommended for use as the limit for broadcast alarms and for maintenance operation head locations where closeout panels are removed for access.

6.6.3.7  Tonal and Narrow-Band Noise Limits

To prevent irritating and distracting noise, the maximum sound pressure level of narrow-band noise components and tones should be limited to at least 10 dB less than the broadband sound pressure level of the octave band that contains the component or tone. This requirement originated from Manned Space Center (MSC) 8080 specifications, and does not apply to alarms or desired acoustic signals.

An A-weighted sound pressure level of 85 dBA is recommended for use as the limiting level for broadcast alarms (see section 10.8.8, for additional discussion of auditory alarms).

6.6.3.8  Reverberation Time

Reverberation of sound within a volume can degrade speech intelligibility. Limiting the reverberation time, through the shape of and materials used in a spacecraft, can reduce this negative effect. A maximum reverberation time of 0.5 seconds (with a tolerance of +0.1s, –0.3s) must be provided in the 1 kHz octave band and is recommended in the 500 Hz and 2 kHz octave bands. Reverberation should be measured using the specific natural frequency of the room when verifying that octave band. These reverberation limits will prevent degradation of speech intelligibility to no more than 10% for ideal signal-to-noise ratios greater than 30 dB, or 15% for a signal-to-noise ratio of 3 dB (Harris, 1998). These reverberation time limits apply to rooms of approximately 100 cubic meters. For other room volumes, the reverberation time of spacecraft compartments must be adjusted according to the criterion given in Figure 6.6-6 for conversational speech (NASA-STD-3000, 1995).
6.6.3.9 Impulse Noise Limits

Space flight hardware must limit impulse noise measured at the crewmember’s ears to less than 140 dB peak sound pressure level. This limit will prevent trauma to the hearing organs caused by impulse noise (MIL-STD-1474D, 1997). This impulse noise limit is needed to prevent acoustic trauma caused by single or multiple impulses. It covers both A- and B-duration waveform patterns associated with short- and long-wavelength bursts. (MIL-STD-1474, 1997) for a discussion of impulse noise duration and measurement techniques).

More stringent impulse noise requirements may be warranted depending on crew activity or mission-imposed exposures. During crew sleep, a lower level or complete absence of impulse noise is needed to avoid waking the crew. Anticipated impulse noise must be limited to a level of not more than 10 dB above the composite background noise.

To prevent the crew from being startled, unexpected impulse noise at the crewmember’s ears should be limited to less than 120 dB peak sound pressure level.

Note that the peak sound pressure level used to control impulse noise is not weighted, so that low-frequency acoustic energy is not reduced in strength.
6.6.3.10 Infrasonic Noise Limits

Infrasonic sound pressure levels, including frequencies from 1 to 20 Hz, should be limited to less than 150 dB at the crewmember’s head location. This limit will prevent nausea, lightheadedness, and other effects associated with high levels of infrasonic noise (American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists, 2001). It should be noted that hearing protection is not effective in attenuating noise in this low-frequency range and should not be used in the satisfaction of this requirement.

6.6.3.11 Ultrasonic Noise Limits

Ultrasonic noise should be limited to the values given in Table 6.6-5 (MSIS, 1995).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>One-third octave band center frequency, kHz</th>
<th>One-third octave band sound pressure level, dB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.6.3.12 Loudspeaker Alarm Audibility

Loudspeakers must produce nonspeech auditory annunciations that exceed the masked threshold by at least 13 dB in one or more one-third octave bands where the alarm resides, as measured at the crewmember’s expected work and sleep station head locations. The 13-dB signal-to-noise ratio ensures that nonspeech auditory annunciations are sufficiently salient and intelligible (ISO, 2003).

Alarm audibility is an important safety-related acoustical requirement. Sound levels from audio alarms need to be considered when looking at maximum exposure limits. These levels are inherently tied to the acoustic requirements, in particular to the continuous noise limit that determines the composite spacecraft noise environment.

This alarm audibility limit is included in the audio displays section, but is also included here since verification of the requirement requires acoustic testing and coordination with the continuous noise limits.
6.6.4 Noise Control of Spacecraft

RESERVED.

6.6.5 Verification of Acoustic Requirements

RESERVED.

6.6.6 Acoustic Monitoring of Spacecraft

To monitor, troubleshoot, and possibly remedy problems with hardware acoustic emissions during space flight missions, acoustic environmental monitoring must be performed, and acoustic measurement devices must be available. Both sound-level meter and personal noise dosimeter functions are required.

The purpose of the sound-level meter is to measure and monitor noise levels at specific locations over a short averaging time, e.g. 20 seconds, so that comparisons with the continuous noise can be made and other requirements met. Evaluations of noise-control treatments can also be performed. Sound-level meters measure sound pressure levels as a function of frequency as well as A-weighted and unweighted sound pressure levels.

Sound-level meters must measure time-averaged equivalent sound pressure levels with an accuracy of Type 1 as specified in ANSI S1.43-1997 (R2002), “Specifications for Integrating-Averaging Sound Level Meters,” or IEC 61672-1, “Electroacoustics – Sound Level Meters – Part 1: Specifications” in the audible frequency range from the 31.5-Hz octave band to the 10-kHz octave band.

Sound-level meter measurements should also have fractional octave-band filtering capability that meets Order 3, Type 1-D classification or better as specified in ANSI S1.11-1986 (ASA 65-1986), “Specifications for Octave Band and Fractional Octave Band Analog and Digital Filters,” or the Class 1 classification or better as specified in IEC 1260-1995, “Electroacoustics – Octave-band and fractional-octave-band filters.”

The purpose of the personal noise dosimeter is to measure and monitor crew noise exposure levels over long periods, e.g., 24 hours. Noise-exposure measurements at specified locations can also be acquired. Noise-exposure measurements will allow assessment of the overall environment including all sources of noise (e.g., continuous, intermittent, voice communications). Comparisons of noise-exposure levels to flight rules are often used to determine if hearing-protective devices are required or if measures must be performed to reduce the noise levels.

Personal noise dosimeter measurements must measure the time-averaged, equivalent A-weighted sound-pressure level with an accuracy of 2AS or better in accordance with ANSI 1.25.1991, “ANSI Specification for Personal Noise Dosimeters.” Logging dosimeters are recommended since they provide sound levels as a function of time, and this information can be used to identify loud (or quiet) activities, locations, or hardware operations.
6.6.7 Acoustic Countermeasures – Hearing Protection

RESERVED.

6.6.8 Acoustics Definitions

The following are terms commonly used when defining or discussing acoustic environments. See S1.1-1994 for more terms and definitions.

- Band sound-pressure level – Nonweighted sound-pressure level within a specified frequency range, e.g., octave band sound-pressure level, 1/3-octave band sound-pressure level.
- Broadband noise – Sound with energy distributed across a large frequency range, e.g., white noise, pink noise.
- Continuous noise – Noise that exists in a steady state for durations of 8 hours or longer in a 24-hour period. Typical continuous sources of noise include environmental control equipment and avionics equipment (e.g., fans, pumps, ventilation systems).
- Impulse noise – A burst of noise that is at least 10 dB above the background noise, which exists for 1 second or less.
- Intermittent noise – Noise that is generated for operational durations of less than 8 hours in a 24-hour period. Typical intermittent sources of noise are waste control system components (pumps, fans, separators, valves), exercise equipment (treadmill, cycle ergometer), galley fans, personal hygiene station components (pumps, fans, valves), and pressure regulators.
- Sound level – Same as weighted sound-pressure level or weighted sound level. When unqualified, “sound level” is understood to mean A-weighted sound pressure level.
- Sound-pressure level – Nonweighted sound-pressure level. Same as “overall sound-pressure level,” which is old terminology that is not included in ANSI S1.1-1994, “Acoustical Terminology.”
- Signal-to-noise ratio – Ten times the logarithm (base 10) of the ratio of the signal power to the noise power. In decibels, the signal-to-noise ratio is the difference between the signal and noise sound-pressure levels.
- Tonal or narrow-band noise – Sound with energy at a single frequency or distributed across a relatively small section of the frequency range. The opposite of broadband noise.

6.6.9 Research Needs

RESERVED
6.7 VIBRATION (RESERVED)
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6.8 IONIZING RADIATION

6.8.1 Introduction

This section describes the ionizing radiation environment and its sources, its effects on physiology, exposure limits, countermeasures, and monitoring during space flight. Ionizing radiation is defined as any radiation (electromagnetic or particulate) that has the potential to ionize atoms. Examples are high-energy photons, neutrons, and beta particles, as well as plasmas of atomic nuclei. In biological systems, ionizing radiation can cause acute and chronic health effects, depending on the magnitude of the radiation absorbed, the species of ionizing radiation, dose rate, and the tissues affected. Varying individual biological responses and the differing types of ionizing radiation make the task of protecting humans in space flight challenging. As a result future NASA programs must define a standard radiation environment before the design of all human-rated spacecraft.

6.8.2 Space Ionizing Radiation Environment

The ionizing radiation in space is composed of charged particles, uncharged particles, and high-energy photons. The particles of concern vary in size from those of electrons and protons to those of the heavy nuclei, e.g., HZE (high atomic number and energy) particles. They may have single charges, either positive (protons) or negative (electrons), multiple charges (alpha or HZE particles), or no charge (e.g., neutrons). The nuclear charge and mass determine how quickly these particles lose energy when interacting with matter. For equal energies, an electron will penetrate farther into aluminum than a proton, and an x-ray much farther than either one. In addition, the amount, type, and energy of radiation a spacecraft may encounter varies in different regions of space. These source types and distinct regions (near Earth or in interplanetary space) are examined below.

6.8.2.1 Units

Before a discussion of the sources of radiation present in space and the subsequent effects of radiation exposure on humans is presented, a system of units for comparing levels of radiation exposure needs to be described. The relevant measures are absorbed dose and dose equivalent (H). The absorbed dose is a measure of the amount of energy deposited per unit mass. The SI unit for absorbed dose is the gray (Gy) and it is defined as 1 joule per 1 kg in any desired material. In radiation dosimetry this material is often water, which is used as a tissue substitute. The quantities measured by detectors generally need to be converted to Gy in tissue.

The distribution of energy deposition in tissue can affect the outcome of a biological response. Radiation that deposits large amounts of energy over a short distance will have a greater impact on an organism than radiation that deposits the same energy over a longer distance (over a larger area). The amount of energy deposited per unit length is known as the linear energy transfer (LET) and is in units of keV per micrometer. The dose equivalent, measured in the Sievert (Sv), expresses, for radiation protection purposes, the biological effect of interest for all kinds of radiation on a common scale.
The dose equivalent is obtained by multiplying the absorbed dose by the quality factor (Q), which is used to weight doses in an attempt to account for the energy deposition effect (LET). Calculation of these quality factors is shown in Table 6.8-1.

**Table 6.8-1 Weighting (Quality) Factors for Radiation with Different LET**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unrestricted LET, $L$, in water (keV / μm)</th>
<th>$Q(L)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 – 100</td>
<td>$0.32 , L - 2.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>$300 / L^{1/2}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From NCRP 142, 2000.

To express the potential to damage individual organs, the NCRP 132 (2000) adopted the use of the Gray equivalent (Gy-Eq). This quantity is analogous to the dose equivalent, as it applies a Q-like effectiveness value to the absorbed dose, but it applies only to deterministic effects in individual organs.

Quality factors based on responses other than cancer are expected to be different from those shown above. Table 6.8-1 reflects changes in older quality factors based on studies of different biological endpoints. It has been shown that radiations depositing between 100 and 200 keV per micrometer have the greatest potential for chromosomal, cellular, and tissue effects. Nevertheless, it is generally accepted that the LET is not a sufficient measure of the damaging potential of all types of radiations. However, information about the response of biological systems, particularly to HZE particles, is currently insufficient to provide a viable alternative to quality factors based on LET. The effectiveness of radiation types in producing a specific outcome (radiobiological effectiveness, RBE), i.e., effects including erythema and vomiting, should be updated as more data is collected. RBE factors have been recommended for use with limits as applied to deterministic effects on critical tissues (NCRP 132, 2000). Some indication of these RBE values based on specific biological endpoints should be included in this handbook as they become available.

### 6.8.2.2 Sources

The radiation encountered in space may be attributed to four principal sources:

- Solar particles
- Galactic cosmic radiation
- Geo-magnetically trapped radiation
- Radiation-producing devices

The naturally occurring space radiation environment is composed primarily of protons. Their energies and intensities vary according to their source as shown in Figure 6.8-1.
In terms of potential biological hazard, many types of radiations can be neglected either because the particle is easily absorbed in spacecraft materials or because their flux density (particles·cm⁻²·s⁻¹) is very low. Conversely, some heavy ions, despite their low flux, may be quite effective at depositing energy in human tissues.

Space radiation levels vary substantially with solar activity and distance from the Earth. These temporal and spatial fluctuations should be taken into account in the planning of space missions if radiation exposures are to have minimal impact on biological systems.

![Figure 6.8-1 Energies of various single-charged radiation sources (Schimmerling & Curtis, 1978).](image)

6.8.2.2.1 Solar Radiation

Solar radiation can be divided into two groupings: a steady stream of solar material called the solar wind, and solar particle events (SPEs), which are associated with solar flares and coronal mass ejections. Solar radiation is generally of lower energy and atomic number than galactic cosmic rays or radiation (GCR) (see section 6.8.2.1.2), and therefore of lower biological concern.

The solar wind is composed of approximately 95% protons, 4% alpha particles, and 1% other nuclei consisting primarily of carbon, nitrogen, oxygen, neon, magnesium, silicon, and iron. These particles contain high (~800 km/s) and low (~400 km/s) speed components. In general the low-speed components contain three times the number of heavier nuclei; however, the speed and composition of the solar wind will change over a roughly 11-year cyclical solar intensity cycle.
Solar flares and coronal mass ejections (CME) are eruptions from the Sun’s surface and are usually associated with sunspots. These events are much more likely to occur in the time of solar maximum than at solar minimum activity, although they occur with a much lower frequency than sunspots. SPEs associated with solar flares develop rapidly and can last for days. They produce intense electromagnetic radiations as well as protons, electrons, and plasmas of helium to iron. Although it varies from event to event, the particulate composition is typically 97.8% protons and 2.1% helium. Solar flares are highly unpredictable in occurrence, intensity, and duration. This is due to the magnetohydrodynamic physics processes behind the formation and transport of the particles within the interior of the sun. As a consequence, an intense SPE can arrive at Earth and be complete within hours, or the SPE can last for more than a week, during which there occur bursts of radiation lasting a few hours. The spectra from a large SPE event in 1989 are shown in Figure 6.8-2.

![Image of SPE spectra](http://physics.nist.gov/PhysRefData/Star/Text/programs.html)

**Figure 6.8-2** Event-integrated integral fluence spectra of SPE of September 29, 1989 (Kim et al., 1999).

As shown in the figure, a large number of the particles from an SPE are protons at energies (< 10 MeV/nucleon) that spacecraft hulls can relatively easily shield space travelers from. This plot illustrates the rapid falloff in intensity with increasing energies common to all CMEs. The very high density of protons with energies greater than 10 MeV can still be a particular source of concern for EVA, while protons of more than 30 MeV can be of concern to thinly shielded spacecraft. Figure 6.8-3 (from http://physics.nist.gov/PhysRefData/Star/Text/programs.html) shows a plot of the projected range in aluminum of protons of various energies.
Solar activity follows roughly an 11-year cycle. These cycles are characterized by alternating periods of increased and decreased numbers of observable sunspots. The number of sunspots will vary from month to month. If the number of individual sunspots is smoothed, plots similar to that of Figure 6.8-4 are obtained.
Accurate prediction of the time and intensity of individual SPEs is not currently possible; however, the more general prediction of the smoothed intensity of an entire solar cycle can be reliably predicted (Hathaway et al., 1994). These predictions are possible because relationships have been found between the size of the next cycle maximum and the length of the previous cycle, the number of sunspots at solar minimum, the magnitude of the previous solar maximum, and changes in the Earth’s magnetic field at and before solar minimum. Although these relationships will not reveal the intensity, probability of occurrence, or exact timing of an individual solar flare, they can be used to estimate the probability of occurrence and number of SPEs that a long-term space flight will likely encounter (Kim et al., 2006). Example predictions of smoothed solar events over the next solar maximum (cycle 24) are shown in Figure 6.8-5. (Ref. Note that the figure shows two models for the next cycle.)
Modern data on SPEs has been collected only since 1956, which corresponds to the beginning of cycle 19. This data indicates that about 30 to 50 major SPE events occur per cycle, most during the middle 5 years corresponding to solar maximum. Of particular note are the occasional very large CMEs, which have the potential for effects on crew health. One such SPE, commonly known as the August 1972 event, is among the largest recorded events. Although this event is often used in planning for protection from radiation from possible future SPEs, it may not be the worst-case scenario. Examination of nitrates in ice core samples has indicated that solar events of up to ten times the intensity of the 1972 event have occurred in the past 500 years (Shea & Smart, 2004). The doses to skin, eyes, and blood-forming organs (BFO) from such an event are shown in Figure 6.8-6 (Townsend, 2006).
6.8.2.2.2 Galactic Cosmic Radiation

GCR originates outside our solar system, and although the Sun has a moderating effect, GCR is treated as an isotropic radiation source. This radiation consists of atomic nuclei of hydrogen to uranium that have been ionized and accelerated to very high speeds (i.e., energies). Protons (hydrogen nuclei) constitute about 87% of this radiation, alpha particles (helium nuclei) about 12%, and heavier nuclei (particles from $Z = 3$ to approximately 30) the remaining percent (Simpson et al., 1983). Nuclei with an atomic number ($Z$) greater than 30 are also present, but at extremely low levels (their combined abundance is only about $10^{-4}$ of that of iron). Electrons and positrons constitute about 1% of the overall GCR but are a minor biological hazard compared to the bulk of GCR, since they are easily shielded. Conversely, nuclei such as iron, once they have penetrated a spacecraft, can deposit large amounts of energy locally relative to protons or electrons. In fact, although there can be $10^{-3}$ to $10^{-5}$ times fewer iron nuclei than protons, at low and intermediate depths their relative potential for damaging humans can exceed that of protons.

The GCR in our solar system varies substantially as a function of the level of solar activity. This variation is due to the solar wind, which varies with an approximate 11-year cycle. During this cycle the outward flow of solar particles is directly related to the number of observed solar events. When this solar wind increases, more particles (and a greater interplanetary magnetic field) exist to interact with the influx of GCR. This interaction removes some of the lower energy GCR. The higher energy GCR, upon interaction with the solar wind, may lose energy but will still tend to travel in the same direction. The result is that, at times of solar maximum, the GCR energy distribution in the inner solar system has a lower energy fluence than at times of solar minimum. The consequence is that, at solar minimum, doses can be on average two to three times higher than at solar maximum. This effect is even more pronounced as humans travel closer to
the Sun, where the density of the solar winds increases. This variation in solar cycle compared to the August 1972 solar event is shown in Figure 6.8-7.

![Figure 6.8-7 Fluence of GCR at solar maximum and minimum vs. Aug. 1972 solar event.](image)

Plots of the solar cycle and corresponding neutron measurements at the Kerguelen Islands observatory in the South Indian Ocean are shown in Figure 6.8-8. These neutron levels are caused by interactions of GCR with the Earth’s atmosphere and can be used as an indicator of the intensity of the GCR. Doses from the GCR in interplanetary space are estimated to range from 0.3 Sv/yr during solar maximum to about 1 Sv/yr during solar minimum (Townsend et al., 1992).
Currently NASA uses the Badhwar-O’Neill model (Badhwar & O’Neill, 1991) to predict the intensity of GCR. This model was updated in 2007 (O’Neill, 2007) and relies on either Advanced Composition Explorer satellite measurements or measurements from the ground neutron monitor in Climax, Colorado, to estimate a solar modulation parameter ($\Phi(t)$), in units of volts. This parameter is related to the energy required for GCR particles to propagate to a point of interest in our solar system.

6.8.2.2.3 Trapped Particles

The rotation of the Earth’s molten iron core creates a magnetic field that extends thousands of kilometers into space. As charged particles interact with this magnetic field, their original direction is altered according to their speed (energy), charge, and mass along the magnetic field lines of the Earth.

The two main types of particles in these trapped belts are electrons and protons. Of these, the protons are of greater concern because they have higher energies that can penetrate typical spacecraft hull materials, whereas it is much easier to shield from the electrons. Other ions such as helium, carbon, and oxygen nuclei have been detected in these trapped regions; however, they are of much less concern than protons because of their scarcity.

The magnetic poles are tilted from the Earth’s rotational axis, and the radiation belts come closest to the surface of the Earth off the coast of Brazil in an area known as the South Atlantic Anomaly (SAA). The SAA lies roughly at 35 degrees east longitude and 35 degrees south latitude (Figure 6.8-9), but drifts in a northwest direction at the rate of approximately $0.19^\circ$ W/yr, $0.07^\circ$ N/yr.
In the SAA, the inner trapped radiation belt dips down to about 200 km above the Earth’s surface. This is important for two reasons. First, this low altitude allows some protons to be absorbed in the atmosphere. A further complication is the inherent gyration of protons and electrons along their respective magnetic field lines, which introduces an anisotropy in particle flux at LEO, where a difference over a factor of two exists between the proton flux from the east and the flux from the west. Second, the concentration of protons in this region exceeds the intensity measured at the same altitude at any other part of the globe (due to the concentration of magnetic field lines) and transits through this region can significantly add to radiation exposures. In addition to altitude and orbital inclination, the integrated dose is a function of solar cycle. Increases in solar activity expand the atmosphere and increase the losses of protons. Therefore, trapped radiation doses in LEO decrease during solar maximum and increase during solar minimum.

Currently, NASA uses AP-8 and AE-8 models to estimate the trapped proton and electron environments, respectively. In general, AP-8 estimates of the orbital proton environment compared to orbital dose measurements show that predictions are correct within a factor of two. In outer regions where the magnetic field is more unstable, the differences between AP-8 and measured values can approach a factor of 10. Comparisons of AE-8 results are complicated by SPEs and geomagnetic storms and the fact that several electron source regions exist. In general, AE-8 overestimates the electron component across all regions. Accuracies at geosynchronous orbits are 10 to 50 times higher than those of measured values, depending on magnetic activities. In LEOs, the AE-8 accuracy is generally within a factor of two, with regions at the inner belt, inner edge being the area of least accuracy (approaching a factor of 10) (Armstrong & Colborn, 2000).
6.8.2.2.3.1 Low Earth Orbit

The radiation environment associated with LEO is well characterized, and Shuttle doses have been predicted to within 25% (Cucinotta et al., 2003). Trapped particles (particularly from the SAA) and GCR are the two main sources of radiation for LEO missions such as the Space Shuttle’s. Generally speaking, the radiation environment will consist of about 60% SAA radiation and 40% galactic and solar cosmic rays. However, SPEs can contribute to the radiation environment by increasing the trapped particle radiation. In addition, during the related geomagnetic storms, caused by coronal mass ejections, the magnetic field around the Earth is compressed and higher fluxes of protons and electrons reach lower latitudes. As a result, increased doses can be experienced by astronaut crews in spacecraft or performing EVA. Trajectories of low-inclination flights do not pass the SAA regions of maximal intensities, and although high-inclination flights do pass through the SAA, they spend less time in the SAA region than low-inclination flights. Thus crews in high-inclination flights receive less net exposure to trapped radiation than those in low-inclination flights for a given altitude.

For spacecraft, the presence of Earth’s magnetic field causes both the altitude of the spacecraft and the inclination of the orbit to be important in determining the radiation dose rate that would be received due to the GCR. Figure 6.8-10 shows the variation in effective dose at various inclinations. The major effect, shown in the figures, is the shielding afforded by the Earth’s magnetic field in deflecting the incoming charged particles of the GCR due to geomagnetic cutoffs. For spacecraft in LEO, the 300-km (186 miles) orbit curves are applicable. At an altitude of about six Earth radii (approximately geosynchronous orbit), this geomagnetic shielding effect disappears.

Note that with longer missions the preferred altitudes are higher to reduce atmospheric drag on the craft. In these “higher” LEOs the doses can double between orbits that differ in altitude by just 100 km. In addition, the intensity of trapped protons can double from solar maximum to solar minimum.
6.8.2.3.2 High Earth Orbit

During travel to the Moon or Mars, a spacecraft will be accelerated in LEO and pass through the inner and outer radiation belts as it achieves escape velocity. Of these two belts, the inner one (up to 20,000 km) is of primary concern since it contains protons of sufficient energy to penetrate the spacecraft. As the altitude of the craft increases, so does the energy of these trapped protons. These higher energy particles are worthy of concern since nominal hull shielding may not be sufficient protection. Fortunately, during the manned Apollo missions, the craft transit in these regions was rapid enough that overall dose due to trapped protons was minimized. The average skin dose for those missions was 4.1 mGy, most of which was attributable to the radiation belts (Bailey, 1976).

6.8.2.3 Lunar Missions

The Earth’s magnetic field protects our planet from most radiation by deflecting energetic charged particles. During lunar transit, a spacecraft will travel through the radiation belts, subjecting the spacecraft and crew to a higher flux of trapped particles. Beyond the belts, the spacecraft and crew are unprotected from solar particles and higher levels of GCR. However, once crewmembers are on the lunar surface, the Moon itself offers $2\pi$ protection from radiation encountered in space, but no atmospheric or magnetic field protections from radiation.

Current plans are for the next human lunar landing to occur by 2020, the approximate date of the next predicted solar minimum (cycle 25). Although the risk of a dangerous
solar event occurring during this time is less, the proposed longer missions increase that risk. In addition, during this time the GCR dose rate will be at high levels. There is some concern that when high-energy GCR particles strike the lunar surface they will fragment, and increase astronaut exposure. This exposure would include radiation from neutrons produced by the interaction of GCR with the planetary surface. The presence of neutrons is particularly worrisome since they can be highly penetrating in dense materials and, upon interaction with water (i.e., human tissue), they lose large amounts of energy quickly. This happens because the masses of hydrogen and neutrons are similar, which increases the efficiency of energy transfer. Manned Apollo missions were able to avoid the above high-dose situations through a combination of short mission durations and lucky timing. A much better understanding of the lunar environment will be available after the launch of the Lunar Reconnaissance Orbiter in 2009. This probe is equipped with a cosmic ray telescope to analyze the spectrum of particles a lunar habitat would encounter.

6.8.2.4 Planetary Missions

The Earth’s protection from radiation is provided by its atmosphere and magnetic field. Planets such as Mars have little or no magnetic field to offer protection from energetic charged particles. Mars has a thin atmosphere of mostly carbon dioxide that affords some protection from SPEs as well as some GCR. Aside from this, concerns are similar to those for lunar missions. With chemical propulsion, passage through the radiation belts contributes minimally to the overall mission dose. However, due to the length of time taken to travel from Earth to Mars, consideration should be given to the intensity of the GCR as it relates to the solar cycle. This is in addition to concerns about doses due to neutron production in the atmosphere and on the Martian surface.

Unlike projections for a stay on the Moon, a 600-day Martian stay means that a more equal weighting needs to be applied to the SPE and GCR shielding components (Campbell, 1992). Radiation dose received during a Mars missions will be affected by mission duration, the environment, and spacecraft shielding. A longer stay that does not incorporate a Venus fly-by would expose the crew to higher amounts of GCR and bring crews closer to the Sun, where SPEs have a higher intensity and arrive earlier. However, doses received from solar flares in recent history would be minimized if a 25 g/cm² storm shelter is provided.

Some direct measurements of the martian radiological environment exist from the MARIE experiment flown on the 2001 Mars Odyssey Orbiter. MARIE measurements indicate that in December of 2002, during a time of very low solar activity, the GCR dose rate was 21 mrad/d. This is within 10% of model predictions. In July of 2002, during a period of enhanced SPE, dose rates at their maximum exceeded 1000 mrad/d. In the future, surface measurements will be conducted by the Mars Science Laboratory.

In addition to generic estimates of surface dose rates, specific SPE dose rates have been estimated (Parsons et al., 2000) in interplanetary space. Analyses of the tissue dose rates from the 1972 event to a typical spacecraft thickness outside the Earth’s magnetosphere show 6 cGy/h to 20 cGy/h for bone marrow and skin, respectively. These dose rates are sufficient to elicit concern that they might cause acute symptoms in the crew (see section
6.8.3). This same event incident on a craft on Mars would be far below any acute thresholds.

6.8.2.5 Extravehicular Activity

During EVA, astronauts are not afforded the same craft shielding as during the rest of the mission. This presents some unique hazards, which must be separately assessed. With even the thin shielding provided by a spacecraft hull, the low-energy components of GCR, trapped protons and electrons, and SPEs do not penetrate the spacecraft and are therefore of no concern. However, spacesuits cannot be constructed thick enough to shield out all of these particles. As described in section 6.8.2.1.1, the intensity and onset of an SPE cannot be predicted. An SPE can also intensify the trapped protons and electrons in LEO. As a result, SPEs are the major concern during EVAs. Therefore, monitoring and work planning must be performed to protect crews during EVAs. For additional discussion see section 11.4.2, “EVA Safety, Radiation.”

6.8.2.6 Radioactive Devices

Radiation sources on board spacecraft can be put into three main categories:

- Sealed sources
- Power sources
- Induced radioactivity

6.8.2.6.1 Sealed Sources

Sealed sources refer to small radioisotopes (small in physical dimensions and small in radioactivity) commonly used in instruments as calibration sources. Currently, only two sources of sealed radioisotopes are routinely used in the human space program. These are Cm-244 and Am-241, which are used as a calibration source for the ISS Tissue Equivalent Proportional Counter and as a component of a smoke and aerosol detector, respectively. The very low activity of these sources presents a nominal hazard to humans and contributes levels of radiation that are substantially below the daily doses delivered from other sources. It is expected that any sealed sources used in space activities will be of similar low activities and in small quantities that can be easily shielded. Special attention should be given to any sources that are not sealed, such as radiopharmaceuticals or sources that are easily friable. Many of these sources can present a nominal hazard outside the body but if ingested or injected can present large short- and long-term hazards. Note that in general the levels required for these effects are well above those found from incidental exposure from a single sealed source, and this should be considered a nominal hazard.

6.8.2.6.2 Power Sources

Power sources may be further categorized as either a radioisotope source or a nuclear reactor. The most common isotopic power source is the radioisotopic thermoelectric generator (RTG). An RTG device uses highly radioactive sources to deposit heat in some medium that either drives a piston or heats a thermocouple junction to generate
electricity. Apollo missions 12 through 17 used a Pu-238 source for a lunar surface experiment (English & Liles, 1972), which led to a small neutron dose. Higher doses of neutrons were received by the crew of Apollo 13 because the lunar landing was aborted and the experiment was not delivered to the lunar surface; therefore the crew was exposed in transit both to and from the Moon. Nuclear reactors generate power in larger amounts and more efficiently than radioisotopes. Either of these two generators can release fatal amounts of radioactivity if it is not properly contained, even after the unit no longer produces a significant amount of electrical power. Nuclear reactors have the advantage of containing very low levels of radioactivity until the unit is activated (i.e., fission is begun), whereas radionuclides in RTGs will start at very high levels and decay over time. However, nuclear reactors will also induce radioactivity in materials surrounding the device. Shielding astronaut crews from either of these sources is essential since efficient transmission of power may demand relatively close proximity of crewmembers to the generator. At a minimum, the side of the generator facing human activity needs a relatively high level of mass to attenuate the radiation. Another concept involves tethering or attaching the generator to a boom. This reduces the amount of shielding required by cutting down the solid angle of the source to human activity. Placement of the generator on a planetary surface allows that surface to be used as a radiation shield. Digging into the ground or using existing terrain minimizes the amount of shielding that would have to be transported from Earth.

6.8.2.6.3 Induced Radioactivity

Induced radioactivity refers to the fact that radioactivity may be induced in some materials in a spacecraft as a result of their interactions with high-energy radiations. When a particle (or photon) has sufficient energy, it can interact with the nucleus of an atom, resulting in an unstable atom. An unstable atom will emit an electron, positron, photon, neutron, proton, or alpha particle in the process of returning to stability. In space, the higher energy GCR is the primary source of activation. Although numerous particles may activate spacecraft components, such interactions are in general quite rare, and present a small increase in the existing radiation field. In one study of GCR (Plaza-Rosado, 1991), activation in various spacecraft components and various ingestible items was compared. The investigators found that induced radioactivity was below acceptable levels on Earth.

6.8.3 Physiological Effects of Ionizing Radiation Exposure

Radiation exposure in space can have effects on crews both immediately and for the remainder of their lives. Fully quantifying these effects is difficult. Even assuming that exact measurements of radiation exposure can be known, variations still occur in the outcome due to individual sensitivities and differences in the efficiency of damage caused by different types of radiation. In addition, there are outcomes of which the full range of biological effects is still poorly understood. Two broad classifications are commonly used for radiation exposure, deterministic (or nonstochastic) and stochastic. Deterministic effects occur after relatively large radiation exposures, i.e., a threshold exists for the expression of their effect. Stochastic effects are believed to be the result of damage to a single cell, and the expression (severity) of that damage is considered to be independent
of the amount of radiation exposure, but the probability of damage increases with radiation exposure. Thus, for stochastic effects, a risk is associated with the smallest measurable exposure to an individual. The term “radiation quality” is used here to describe the combination of radiation energy and particle types as they influence damage to tissue and cells.

6.8.3.1 Human Response

Just as different types of radiation have different impacts, different tissues respond differently to radiation insult. In addition, individual sex, age, and health may play a part in sensitivity to radiation exposure. Herein these radiation insults are divided into early and late effects, in accordance with how they may affect a mission. What follows is a general discussion of some of the impacts of radiation exposure that points out items of concern in planning activities that will occur during radiation exposure. This list is in no way comprehensive. Different probabilities exist for the occurrence of stochastic radiation effects in various organs and tissues. For the purpose of dose assessment, different tissues have been assigned weighting factors. These tissue weighting factors \( (w_T) \) attempt to equate the impact an individual tissue has on the entire human system relative to the previously described dose equivalent. Tissue weighting factors are shown in Table 6.8-2. These weighting factors reflect the relative fatal cancer probability of each tissue (NCRP 132, 2000). They are used by multiplying the dose equivalent to the organ in question by its weighting factor, as shown in Equation 6.8-1. The result is the effective dose equivalent (EDE).

\[
EDE = \sum_T w_T H_T
\]

Equation 6.8-1

This EDE attempts to account for the overall radiosensitivity of the individual and is used to estimate risk of mortality.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>Bone Surface Skin</th>
<th>Bladder</th>
<th>Breast</th>
<th>Liver</th>
<th>Esophagus</th>
<th>Thyroid</th>
<th>Remainder*</th>
<th>Bone Marrow</th>
<th>Colon</th>
<th>Lung</th>
<th>Stomach</th>
<th>Gonads</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The remainder is composed of adrenals, brain, small intestine, large intestine, kidney, muscle, pancreas, spleen, thymus, and uterus. In cases where one of the remainder tissues receives doses in excess of any of the 12 organs in the table, a weighting factor of 0.025 is applied to that organ with the remaining 0.025 applied to the rest of the remainder organs (NCRP 132, 2000).
Early effects are responses to radiation exposure that can occur over a period of a few hours to many days after the initial exposure. These generally occur after acute exposures above a dose threshold, corresponding to a significant fraction of cell or tissue loss. Most have the potential to be mission-disrupting and even life-threatening as a direct or indirect result of radiation damage. No incidence of early effects is considered acceptable. SPEs are considered the major source resulting in the onset of early symptoms. Of these, fatigue, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, and loss of appetite are the observable symptoms most likely to occur, especially for an unexpected exposure during EVA or a surface mission. Explained below are some of the concerns associated with a large SPE. In general, maintaining the radiation dose below 0.5 to 1.0 Gy limits the most detrimental effects of a short-term exposure such as the August 1972 event. However, it is important to remember that a wide range exists in what is considered a “safe level” of risk for early effects of radiation exposure. This results from individual variability in sensitivities due to body mass, sex, and even a genetic predisposition to increased radiation sensitivity. Numerous other factors can increase or decrease this sensitivity. A summary of early effects is listed in Table 6.8-3. The values for the thresholds of the effects listed below were obtained from Earth-bound populations. NASA has developed short-term limits to protect against the early effects that may have an impact on crew health and mission success. These limits may not protect space travelers fully because of uncertainties associated with the compounding effects of space flight, such as weakened immune systems, nutrition, 0g, and other factors. Currently, information is lacking to judge the effects of compounding factors on radiosensitivity.

Prodromal Effects: The term “prodromal” has been used to describe transient periods of nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, and loss of appetite that are usually associated with large exposures. The severity of these symptoms is related to dose, above a threshold. The whole-body absorbed doses that induce loss of appetite, nausea, and emesis in 50% of the population exposed are 1.08 Gy, 1.58 Gy, and 2.4 Gy respectively (Ricks, 1975). Prodromal effects have not been noted for terrestrial exposures at doses below 0.5 Gy. The variability of radiation types is not well understood, except that LET is not a good predictor of the effect.

Hematological Changes: The bone marrow is very sensitive to radiological damage, but the cell lines (hematopoietic stem cells) that give rise to red and white blood cells become more resistant the more specialized they become. Damage to the marrow has consequences for numerous cell lines over large areas of the body. For this reason, NASA has developed a short-term limit for doses to the blood-forming organs. The NCRP 132 (2000) has reported that primitive quiescent, multi-potential progenitors with repopulating capability are relatively radiation-resistant, with the probability of killing 37% of the cells ($D_0$) in the range of 0.9 to 1.1 Gy. Less quiescent, more mature, but multipotential colony-forming units that form colonies in 7 days are more sensitive, with resistance in the range of 0.5 to 0.9 Gy. More mature, actively cycling cells with lineage-restricted macrophage colony-forming cells are much more radiation-resistant, with a $D_0$ well above 1 Gy. All absorbed doses given are for low-LET radiations. The primary effects of acute exposures are the killing of platelets and white blood cells. The threshold
for significant effects is about 1.5 Gy, but changes in white blood cell counts can be detected much earlier (National Research Council, 1996).

Skin Changes: Skin reactions can be particularly problematic to an astronaut because of physical irritation and psychological distress from the visibility of this effect. The four main responses in order of increasing dose are erythema, dry desquamation (shedding of skin), wet desquamation, and lesions. The threshold for erythema is about 2 Gy. Four to 6 weeks after exposures of about 5 to 6 Gy, dry desquamation begins. The threshold for the onset of wet desquamation and lesions is on the order of 20 Gy.

Central Nervous System and Behavioral Effects: Radiation can cause effects on the central nervous system. However, to date not enough information exists to draw conclusions about the possible effects or the dose thresholds for such effects. Behavioral changes have been observed in mice at a dose of about 0.2 Gy and iron ions are more successful at producing this effect. At extremely high doses (20 Gy), radiation directly destroys the central nervous system and death occurs within hours.

**Table 6.8-3 Dose Levels for Various Early Effects**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Dose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blood count changes</td>
<td>0.5 Gy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anorexia</td>
<td>1.0 Gy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting (threshold)</td>
<td>1.0 Gy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>1.5 Gy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>1.5 Gy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality (threshold)</td>
<td>1.5 Gy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone marrow failure</td>
<td>3.0 Gy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LD$_{50/60}$ * (with minimal supportive care)</td>
<td>3.2 – 3.6 Gy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin damage</td>
<td>5.0 Gy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LD$_{50/60}$ (with supportive medical treatment)</td>
<td>4.8 – 5.4 Gy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% mortality (with best available treatment)</td>
<td>8.0 Gy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNS – death within hours</td>
<td>20 Gy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The LD$_{50/60}$ is that dose at which 50% of the exposed population will die within 60 days. From NCRP 98, 1989).
6.8.3.1.2 Late Effects

Late effects in this context are those that would occur after the mission and over the lifetime of the astronaut. They are thought to take years to be manifested in the individual. Protection against the risks of late effects may have an impact on missions in the form of duration and crew selection.

Eye: Although numerous effects of radiation exposure to the eye have been noted, the induction of cataracts is the one most often reported. This is because radiation damage is easy to detect and its threshold of induction is about 2 Gy, for a single exposure. This is the lowest dose of all the observed consequences to the eye. The induction of cataracts is not considered to be likely to have an impact on the mission. To date, cataract formation is the only health detriment that has been associated with space radiation exposure (Cucinotta et al., 2001).

Cardiovascular Disease: A link has been made between radiation exposure and the potential for development of cardiovascular disease. Studies on animals have shown changes in vasculature beginning at 0.5 Gy. A clear link has been established between low-LET radiation exposures and cardiovascular disease. However, quantitative effects of high-LET radiation have not been established.

Sterility: Spermatogonia and oocytes are particularly sensitive to radiation damage. Effects on these cells may not be observable for about 2 months, and if recovery occurs, it may take up to 2 years. Men and women respond differently because of their gonad locations within the body and inherent sensitivities of these cells. Temporary sterility is considered to be induced in the range of 0.5 to 1 Gy and permanent sterility at about 1.5 Gy in women and above 6 Gy in men. In women the risks increase with age. There is considerable uncertainty in these numbers because the effects of the rate of radiation exposure and radiation quality are unknown. Dividing doses into fractions separated by a short repair time does not seem to decrease the incidence of sterility (NCRP 132, 2000).

Cancer: Much data has been collected and there is a clear link between large radiation exposures and cancer induction. However, data conflicts on whether long-term exposure to low-LET radiation leads to reduced or increased incidences of cancer induction in workers, when compared to naturally occurring rates (Howe et al., 2004). Unfortunately, the risk of cancer induction by the space radiation environment is not well known. Therefore the level of risk is computed from models (derived from animal and human population studies) and radiation dosimetry based on Earth experiences. In general, for exposures from the same radiation field, each type of cancer has a different rate of occurrence. This is partly because different cells have different inherent sensitivities and partly because of their locations in the body (some sites are better shielded). Men and women will have different cancer risks for the preceding reason, and therefore NASA incorporates age and gender dependence in its risk models. Such models have been developed to include risks of death due to all types of cancer.

Hereditiy: Extensive studies in fruit flies and mice have shown that alterations in the animal’s genome can be passed along to subsequent generations. From mouse studies, a dose of 1 Sv has been established as the dose expected to double the incidence of naturally occurring effects on the progeny of exposed individuals. Extrapolation to
humans suggests that this dose may be closer to 2 Sv. However, to date studies on atomic bomb survivors have failed to correlate radiation exposure with any genetic detriment at any dose (Preston et al., 1997).

6.8.3.1.3 Dose Rate

The effects of dose rate have been extensively applied in the therapeutic use of radiation and may have applications in space travel. The first of these applications is the rate over which a radiation exposure is received. The human system can withstand larger doses of radiation if they are delivered at low dose rates. In an attempt to account for low dose rates, the dose and dose rate effectiveness factor has been introduced into NASA’s risk model (NCRP 132, 2000). A value of 2 has been assigned to the effectiveness factor, indicating that low-LET radiations at low dose rates have half the mortality potential of high-rate doses. Although these studies were performed with low-LET radiations, it is possible that similar results apply to the higher LET radiations and dose rates applicable in space. However, large uncertainties are still associated with these factors.

6.8.3.1.4 Risk and Desensitization

The risks of cancer mortality and desensitization are related to the radiation dose. Risk varies by endpoint observed as well as by age and sex of the individual. Unlike most hazards in the space program, long-term radiation hazards are not abated by a return from space.

The probability or risk an individual has of incurring a specific radiation-related symptom varies with time. It is also important to point out that not all the risks associated with radiation exposure are known. NASA currently uses a gender-based risk model, but these models have large uncertainties, in part because some data has been extrapolated to humans from animal studies. Also, about 40% of the atomic bomb survivors are still alive and continually providing data related to long-term effects of radiation exposure.

Complicating risk estimates is that some evidence exists for a beneficial effect of low long-term radiation exposures. This effect is termed hormesis. Studies show (Ducoff 2002) that doses up to 3 to 4 times the U.S. national average background dose can cause the body’s immune system to ramp up, giving the individual added protection during times of low-level radiological insult. In addition to hormesis, the fractionation of doses offers damaged cells time to repair themselves between doses. This may have implications for crew rotations during unexpected long-term SPEs.

Another important modifier of radiation exposure has been reported by Seed et al., 2002. It has been shown that the dose required to kill specific fractions of blood cells in animals increases with extended exposures. This suggests that cells adapt and become more proficient at repair under the long-term stress of radiation exposure. In addition, complete reversals of these protective effects occur after radiation exposures have stopped. The possibility exists that these cellular responses may translate to entire individuals, but this has yet to be observed.
6.8.4 Exposure Limits for Ionizing Radiation

6.8.4.1 ALARA Mandate

Exposure of astronaut crews to radiation must be maintained as low as reasonably achievable (ALARA). This is intended to ensure that each exposure to radiation is justified and limited so that astronaut exposures do not approach radiation limits and that such limits are not considered tolerance values. The primary functional application of the NASA ALARA program is to prevent risks that could jeopardize the mission and minimize long-term risks to levels as low as possible based on moral and financial issues. Given the uncertain consequences of radiation exposures and risk models, cost-effective methods to ensure that exposures are maintained ALARA are essential.

6.8.4.2 Early-Effect Dose Limits

Table 6.8-4 lists 30-day and 1-year dose limits that minimize short-term effects of radiation exposures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organ</th>
<th>30-day limit</th>
<th>1-year limit</th>
<th>Career</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lens*</td>
<td>1000 mGy-Eq</td>
<td>2000 mGy-Eq</td>
<td>4000 mGy-Eq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>6000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BFO</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart**</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNS***</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNS*** (Z ≥ 10)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100 mGy</td>
<td>250 mGy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that RBEs for specific risks are distinct, as described above.

*Lens limits are intended to prevent early (< 5 yr) severe cataracts (as from a solar particle event). An additional cataract risk from lower doses of cosmic rays exists for subclinical cataracts, which may progress to severe types after long latency (> 5 yr) and are not preventable by existing mitigation measures; however, they are deemed an acceptable risk to the program.

**Heart doses were calculated as the average over heart muscle and adjacent arteries.

***CNS limits should be calculated at the hippocampus.

Short-term dose limits are imposed to prevent early effects of radiation exposure (such as lethality, vomiting, and nausea). Currently these limits are determined by applying Earth-based limits to the HZE space environment and ignoring variation among individuals. Establishing limits is difficult because of the lack of human data, extrapolation from animals, variability in individuals (especially between sexes), and response to mixed radiation fields. It is generally assumed that these estimates do not provide adequate levels of protection, and more data related to these areas is required.

Traditionally the BFO is used as a critical organ for dose computations concerning short-term effects. This is because it is one of the more sensitive organs; effects on it have consequences throughout the body, especially from a weakened immune system; and the BFO is found in large regions of the body. The only organs more sensitive to radiation
are the breast and thyroid, but since the BFO is a more mission-critical organ, computations are often carried out on the BFO. Following the dose limits to this organ will limit all effects that have an impact on short-term missions.

### 6.8.4.3 Late-Effect Dose Limits

The long-term effects of radiation exposures are cancer induction, non-cancer mortality, and hereditary effects. However, the exposure-related risks are not precisely known and so in keeping with ALARA, conservative dose limits are applied. Exposure limits are based on studies of animals and humans, and involve mostly low-LET radiations. A straight application of these effective doses has been made to the space environment. Currently, a 3% excess risk of exposure-induced death (REID) from fatal cancers is the basis of these whole-body dose limits. It is NASA’s policy to ensure that this risk limit is not exceeded at a 95% confidence level using a statistical assessment of the uncertainties in the risk-projection calculations to limit the cumulative effective dose (in units of Sievert) received by an astronaut throughout his or her career. This extra level of conservativism (applying the 95% confidence interval) accounts for uncertainties in epidemiology data, dose-rate factors, individual biological response, organ dose assessment errors, and uncertainties associated with measurement and the environment. The REID can be obtained by Equation 6.8-2:

$$ REID = \sum S_a \times r_a $$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation 6.8-2}

where $S_a$ represents the (doubly decremented) lifetable probability of surviving to age $a$ and $r_a$ is the estimated risk of dying from radiation-related cancer at age $a$ after the postulated exposure history. Example career effective dose limits for a 1-year mission for 3% REID from radiation carcinogenesis are given in Table 6.8.5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age, yr</th>
<th>Median Dose Limit Estimate (mSv)</th>
<th>95% CL for SPE Exposure (mSv)</th>
<th>95% CL for GCR Exposure (mSv)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>242</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Men</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>303</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CL, confidence limit. From Cucinotta et al., 2006a, 2006b.
Lifetime limits for cataracts, heart disease, and damage to the central nervous system should also be imposed to limit or prevent risks of degenerative tissue diseases (e.g., stroke, coronary heart disease, striatum aging). Career limits for the heart are intended to limit the REID for heart disease to be below 3 to 5%, and are expected to be largely independent of age and sex. Limits for skin, cataracts, heart disease, and central nervous system (CNS) damage are listed in Table 6.8-4. REID does not give the total impact of the exposure with regard to increased risk of cancer. Therefore, it is useful to quote a second quantity in conjunction with REID that summarizes the average number of years of life lost. Table 6.8-7 shows the average number of years of life lost for men and women of specific ages because of exposure during 1 year to doses corresponding to a 3% REID.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age, yr</th>
<th>E [mSv] for 3% REID (Avg. Life Loss per Death, yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>520 (15.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>620 (15.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>720 (15.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>800 (14.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>950 (13.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1150 (12.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>1470 (11.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Protection from Ionizing Radiation

The most effective measures for minimizing doses are taken before any exposure, through accurate knowledge of the radiation environment, planning of work, spacecraft design, individual education, and written rules and policies. Characterization of the radiation environment is an ongoing assignment. In the case of SPEs, both the timing and intensity of these events are still unpredictable, and for spacecraft and planets the environment may not yet have been characterized, either because the data on how particles interact with various materials is lacking or because the environment itself has not been reliably measured (as is the case for low-intensity high-Z particles).

A limit of 3% excess lifetime fatal cancer risk has been established by NASA as an upper limit of risks for astronauts due to radiation exposures. Countermeasures are designed to maintain risk as far below this level as practical and to prevent significant short-term health impacts, including performance degradation, sickness, and death.
6.8.5.1 Planning

Justification and planning of exposures is an important part of ensuring ALARA compliance. In the case of space exploration, because the workforce is small and radiation exposures seem manageable, the benefits of space flight are deemed to outweigh the level of individual risk. Planning of space exposure begins years in advance and should contain the following items:

2. An appraisal and communication of radiation hazards to the crew before each mission.
3. Preplanning of flight paths and work to minimize the amount of time crews are exposed to radiation hazards.
4. Preplanning of EVA activity to coincide with reduced radiation exposure. In LEO EVAs are scheduled to avoid passage through the SAA.
5. Proper training of crewmembers in knowing the radiation hazards and minimizing those hazards through individual actions.
6. Training of crew in the importance of continual monitoring as well as indications of emergency situations involving radiation exposure.
7. Development of rules covering radiation exposure contingency plans including action levels and emergency storm shelters.
8. Periodic reviews of programs to ensure minimal exposures, adequate planning, and current information.
9. Consideration and recording of information that may be useful to future similar activities.
10. Proper radiological characterization of the spacecraft environment.

Once established action levels are reached, steps are taken to ensure that risks are minimized and limits are not met. Action levels may need to be reassessed, as they may preclude any human missions to Mars. In general these levels are to be set low enough to warn Mission Control before any deleterious early effects occur but not so low as to trigger warnings frequently, which would affect missions. As early as possible in program development, radiation standards and implementation methods must be planned for.

6.8.5.2 Shielding

Shielding (which is largely integral to the spacecraft design process) is currently the most prominent way to ensure ALARA, as it is the one that is usually the most versatile. Several shielding materials and designs have been studied, and the general trends may be useful to spacecraft designers. Any consideration of shields should take into account the fact that radiation is incident on the spacecraft from all directions. Materials containing large amounts of hydrogen have been shown to make the most effective shields. This near-equal mass of protons and hydrogen allows the largest amount of energy transfer in
a single collision. Materials of higher atomic number will tend to effectively scatter incident radiation away from objects directly behind shields with thickness less than those of hydrogenous materials. However, one of the most problematic aspects of space radiation is that of fragmentation. This occurs when high-energy particles collide with target atoms, typically causing the target atom to fragment into smaller atoms. The result can be many types of particles including protons, neutrons, lighter atom fragments, and gamma rays. These fragmented smaller particles are usually of high energy, are more penetrating than the originating particles (due to their smaller size), and tend to travel in a direction similar to that of the incident projectile atom. The flux of particles increases as both parent and daughter particles travel downstream (Armstrong, 1991), which may have increased consequences to crewmembers. This feature makes metals of high atomic number particularly poor shields. In the case of lead, as shown in Figure 6.8-11, it can be seen that to protect against a typical GCR spectrum, a shield must have a density of 20 g/cm² before it begins to reduce radiation doses, while the same quantity of liquid hydrogen reduces doses to about 10%. This is mainly because of the number of fragments created downstream of the lead shield.

![Figure 6.8-11 Doses ($H$) received behind different shields. $H(x)$, dose behind shield of density $x$; $H(0)$, dose with no shield (Wilson et al., 1995).](image)

A NASA working group (Wilson, 1997) found that for radiations of atomic number ($Z$) below 12 there was no difference between polymers used as shields. For $Z$ above 12, polyethylene was the most effective polymer (of those examined) for thick shielding (greater than 18 g/cm²) configurations and polytetrafluoroethylene was the most effective thin shield, in terms of production of secondary radiations. Indeed, polyethylene has been somewhat successful in shielding the crews’ quarters of the ISS from higher than average radiation exposures (Shavers et al., 2004).
It has been suggested that doping of polymers with boron 10 may produce an effective shield against neutrons, because boron-10 has a very high neutron capture cross-section. Although testing did show that boron doping somewhat reduced the neutron component, it was less effective at absorbing HZE particles. On the whole, boron doping may be of little help since the majority of neutrons would be produced from regolith (on planetary surfaces), and shielding from HZE is of much greater concern due to their quantity.

The dose from GCR becomes more significant with increasing mission duration, and the GCR dose can become career-limiting. Designing a spacecraft to be “safe” from GCR requires an unmanageably massive spacecraft. In practice, spacecraft are designed to provide adequate shielding from large known SPEs. Currently, in NASA’s exploration program, the August 1972 event is used. This provides a nominal amount of protection against GCR. In general, protons with energies less than 10 MeV or ions with intensities of less than $3 \times 10^7$ particles per cm$^2$ are not of concern to crew inside spacecraft. However, in the case of a Mars mission, the spectrum of the February 1956 event may represent the greatest dose at the Mars surface because of its high flux of high-energy particles, even though it had only about 10% of the number of protons above 10 MeV that the 1972 event had (Wilson, 1997). The total amount of shielding required to protect crews will depend on the length of the mission and the point in the solar cycle when the mission is expected to take place.

One highly recommended practical application of shielding is to make the area where the crew spends the majority of their time the most heavily shielded area. If this area is relatively small, the mass requirement is minimized. In addition, portable shielding as well as water and foodstuffs can make excellent movable temporary shielding for use in emergency SPEs, without increasing launch mass. Designers should consider that SPEs may last days or even weeks, and safe but cramped crew emergency areas may cause other concerns. Crew compartment layout will be important to allow access to food and water, hygiene areas, and essential spacecraft operations for the duration of the SPE.

Several other experimental shield types have been proposed that use coulombic or magnetic forces to shield crews from space radiations. However, none of these has proven to be technically feasible at the current time (Wilson et al., 1997).

### 6.8.5.2.1 Lunar Considerations

Lunar soil is very similar to aluminum in its shielding properties since its atomic weights are similar. Lunar regolith compositions are shown in Table 6.8.8. Unfortunately, the portion of the lunar regolith that contains atoms larger than aluminum contributes to a greater production of fragments than aluminum. Approximately 90% of the dose behind 20 g/cm$^2$ lunar regolith is from secondary nucleons. (The unit g/cm$^2$ is commonly used for “thickness” in order to eliminate the factor of density changes that might occur with varying temperatures.) The study results in Figure 6.8-12 show that the largest reduction per unit mass occurs at regolith thicknesses up to 30 g/cm$^2$. The addition of an epoxy to lunar regolith increases its ability to attenuate HZE particles while reducing the number of fragments generated. This combining of materials may maximize crew shielding while minimizing transport costs.
Before an optimum thickness and shielding strategy is selected, the complete mission scenario must be studied in detail. Another technique for reducing added shielding requirements is to locate astronaut crews near cliffs. This has been estimated to greatly reduce radiation doses, especially in time of unexpected SPEs.

6.8.5.2.2 Martian Considerations

Unlike the lunar surface, the surface of Mars is afforded some radiation protection by the martian atmosphere, which is composed mostly of carbon dioxide. Table 6.8-9 shows the
thickness of CO₂ at various altitudes on the martian surface in the straight-overhead direction. The Mars atmosphere (low-density COSPAR model; Smith and West 1983) provides 16 g/cm² of carbon dioxide protection in the straight-up direction with protection increasing to over 50 g/cm² at large zenith angles (toward the horizon) at 0 km altitude. Table 6.8-10 shows the impact of the martian atmosphere on BFO doses for several altitudes and several radiation events. The tables are for doses at 1 AU, those at 1.5 AU may be lower and be affected by atmospheric and nominal craft shielding. The low-density model and the high-density model assume surface pressures of 5.9 mb and 7.8 mb, respectively.

**Table 6.8-8 Thickness of CO₂ at Various Altitudes on Mars**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Altitude (km)</th>
<th>Low-density model (g CO₂/cm²)</th>
<th>High-density model (g CO₂/cm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From Wilson et al., 1997.

**Table 6.8-9 BFO Dose (cSv) on the Surface of Mars With Only Atmospheric and Minimal Craft Shielding**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radiation Source</th>
<th>BFO Dose at 0 km</th>
<th>BFO Dose at 4 km</th>
<th>BFO Dose at 8 km</th>
<th>BFO Dose at 12 km</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GCR at solar minimum (annual)</td>
<td>10.5 – 11.9*</td>
<td>12.0 – 13.8</td>
<td>13.7 – 15.8</td>
<td>15.6 – 18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCR at solar maximum (annual)</td>
<td>5.7 – 6.1</td>
<td>6.2 – 6.8</td>
<td>6.7 – 7.4</td>
<td>7.3 – 8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 1956 flare</td>
<td>8.5 – 9.9</td>
<td>10.0 – 11.8</td>
<td>11.7 – 13.6</td>
<td>13.4 – 5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 1960 flare</td>
<td>5.0 – 7.3</td>
<td>7.5 – 10.8</td>
<td>10.6 – 14.8</td>
<td>14.4 – 19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug. 1972 flare</td>
<td>2.2 – 4.6</td>
<td>4.8 – 9.9</td>
<td>9.5 – 18.5</td>
<td>17.4 – 30.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug. 1989 flare</td>
<td>0.1 – 0.3</td>
<td>0.3 – 0.6</td>
<td>0.6 – 1.3</td>
<td>1.2 – 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept. 1989 flare</td>
<td>1.0 – 2.0</td>
<td>2.0 – 3.8</td>
<td>3.7 – 6.5</td>
<td>6.1 – 10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 1989 flare</td>
<td>1.2 – 2.7</td>
<td>2.8 – 5.9</td>
<td>5.7 – 11.4</td>
<td>10.6 – 20.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*High-density model dose estimate—low-density model dose estimate

From Wilson et al., 1997.
Martian regolith compositions are shown in Table 6.8-9 and the reduction in BFO dose due to various thicknesses of martian regolith is shown in Figure 6.8-13 (Simonsen et al., 1990). This added regolith does not offer much more protection from GCR than that already provided by the atmosphere. The shielding effectiveness of regolith is shown to decrease after about 20 g/cm². As in the lunar environment, a way to further reduce the dose is to locate habitats near cliffs. Cliffs can reduce the BFO doses by 2 to 3 cSv/yr for GCR and by about 1 cSv for large solar events (Simonsen et al., 1990). Therefore if additional shielding is required, little is gained by adding more than 20 g/cm² unless it is a vast amount of material.

![Graph showing BFO dose inside a habitat as a function of regolith shield thickness.](image)

**Figure 6.8-13** BFO dose inside a habitat as a function of regolith shield thickness.

6.8.5.3 Radiation Analysis and Design

Currently, NASA uses and requires the use of the model HZETRN 2005 for assessment of the radiological environments involved in space travel. Although this model is only one-dimensional, studies have been performed to validate its effectiveness (Wilson et al., 2005). Implementation of this model should use GCR spectra from 50 GeV/amu to below 10 MeV/amu and charges of at least 1 to 28. For GCR corresponding to solar minimum, the solar modulation potential should be 430 MV. A worst-case solar particle event should be considered in design and planning, which would likely include a storm shelter. As an analysis tool, HZETRN 2005 provides model inputs for several large solar particle events (for example, February 1956, August 1972, and October 1989). Spacecraft shielding performance may be designed to the intensity of the August 1972 event, the hardness of the February 1956 event, or a composite of such events. The shielding design may also change according to the location of the spacecraft in space (LEO, free space, or the martian surface). The optimization of appropriate shield material against GCR for
human protection depends on an improved understanding of biological response, as well as the development of an adequate nuclear cross-section database.

Unfortunately, models are only as accurate as the input data. At this time gaps exist in the knowledge about how variations in dose rate and particle types affect risks to humans. Gaps also exist in NASA’s knowledge about particle fluences and interaction probabilities in the unique space radiation environment. Even the relatively stable GCR environment has an uncertainty of about 25%. Efforts are to expand interaction cross-sections as well as the capabilities of HZETRN.

### 6.8.5.4 Countermeasures

Probably the most well-known and studied natural radioprotectors are retinoids and vitamins (A, C, and E), but hormones (such as melatonin), glutathione, superoxide dismutase, phytochemicals from plant extracts (including green tea and cruciferous vegetables), and metals (especially selenium, zinc, and copper salts) are also under study as dietary supplements for individuals overexposed to radiation, including astronauts (Durante and Cucinotta, 2008). Antioxidants provide reduced or no protection against the initial damage from densely ionizing radiation such as HZE nuclei, because at high LET the direct effect is more important than free radical-mediated indirect radiation damage and it is free radicals that antioxidants counter. However, some benefits are expected to occur from antioxidants’ counteracting of persistent oxidative damage related to inflammation and immune responses (Barcellos-Hoff et al., 2006). Some recent experiments suggest, at least for acute high-dose irradiation, that dietary supplements can achieve efficient radioprotection, even in the case of exposure to high-LET radiation. Evidence exists that dietary antioxidants (especially strawberries) can protect the CNS from the deleterious effects of high doses of HZE particles (Rabin et al., 2005). However, because the mechanisms of biological effects are different at low doses, more studies are needed.

### 6.8.6 Radiation Monitoring and Alerting

To understand the impact of radiation on the short- and long-term health of crewmembers, to report and comply with crew dose limits, and to comply with the NASA mandate of keeping crew exposures as low as reasonably achievable, the radiation environment must be monitored at all times and at multiple locations. In addition, the ability to alert the crew whenever radiation levels exceed established limits must be provided.

Various types of ionizing radiation have different biological effects, according to their energy and mass. In addition, radiation exposure rates will vary with location in the spacecraft because of complex geometries, transient radiation events, and dynamic equipment configurations. Currently, monitoring requires the use of different types of both active and passive detectors to properly characterize the exposure from all of the types of radiation present with respect to temporal behavior, biological effectiveness (radiation quality), and inhomogeneity of the ambient radiation field. Additional important long-term functions of these devices are to provide information to reduce the hazards of future missions and to validate current models.
Currently in the LEO environment, primary concern is given to protons and higher-Z ions. On the lunar and martian surfaces these will continue to be worthy of concern, but interaction with surface materials, as well as the reduced protection afforded by spacesuits compared to a spacecraft, will mean that electrons, neutrons, and energetic nuclear fragments may become a significant hazard. Current crews are not routinely monitored for all these hazards. Therefore, long-term strategies need to include monitoring for all of these radiations by devices that can handle a more hostile surface environment. Consideration should be given to the long-term fixed placement of these monitors. Detectors must be insensitive to the damaging effects of long-term radiation exposures, and consideration should be given to maintaining proper long-term calibration of these devices.

Fixed detectors are not required for carrying out effective monitoring strategies. Portable units may provide effective methods to monitor spacecraft as they are occupied, while reducing total launched mass.

### 6.8.6.1 Active Radiation Monitoring

The primary advantage of active detectors is that they can give rapid feedback on the ambient radiation intensity. This active monitoring throughout habitable areas identifies high-dose-rate areas to be avoided by the crew, reduces uncertainty in final calculated crew risk assessments, and supports ALARA practices through minimizing exposures and verifying numerical spacecraft shielding models. These active monitors should have a sufficient response time to identify hazardous transient radiation fields. In addition, active instruments are required for reporting time-resolved LET or the surrogate lineal energy spectral distributions. The LET spectrum varies with position in orbit and with local solar weather conditions, and therefore real-time feedback is required for this parameter.

The ability to receive data for estimating the intensity of the radiation environment in interplanetary space (space weather) must be provided in a spacecraft. Space weather monitoring is currently accomplished by downlinking LEO or Lagrange point 1 satellite data to the ground, where it is analyzed by space weather experts. Missions outside of LEO may require onboard space weather monitoring.

#### 6.8.6.1.1 Dose Rate Monitoring

Of particular importance on missions outside LEO is the ability of the crew to have real-time feedback about the radiation environment. This requires not only an easily read display of the current dose rate but alarming features for high dose rates. Changes in the radiation environment that could cause additional crew exposure can occur in periods as short as 1 to 5 minutes.

On longer missions, periods of loss or delays in communication to Mission Control may leave crews vulnerable to transient environments during EVAs or SPEs. Therefore, active alarming detectors that can tolerate high radiation rates are highly recommended. These alarming detectors can be programmed with multiple warning and imminent hazard alarm settings. Current ISS requirements set alarming dose rates to the range of 0.02 mGy/min to 10 mGy/min for three consecutive readings. However, alarm levels for travel outside
LEO may need adjusting because of the radiation environment and/or mission objectives. The conditions in the extreme space radiation environment should be considered since they can greatly exceed levels that can be accurately measured by other active LET or charged particle spectrometers. It is also important that the detector system be small, lightweight, and rugged. Portability is necessary, for the detector is to be moved throughout a spacecraft for mapping the environment or moved from spacecraft to spacecraft.

The presence of high-dose-rate alarming systems does not negate the need for continuous relay of the radiation environment to Mission Control. When feasible, Mission Control feedback will still be critical in identifying subtle changes in the radiation environment that may warn of impending radiological events, and will serve as the basis for implementation of appropriate dose management actions; it also provides a measure of redundancy for dose-rate monitoring.

6.8.6.1.2 EVA Monitoring

Instruments inside the spacecraft cannot monitor a significant portion of the external radiation environment that is important to EVA crew exposures, particularly electrons and low-energy, high-charge particles. These particles are not monitored inside the spacecraft since they are easily shielded by craft materials, but they can be a significant hazard to individuals engaged in EVA. Active external and/or portable monitors (for surface spacecraft) are necessary to provide near-real-time information about the dynamic radiation environment experienced by crewmembers during EVA and to verify models of the crew health risk assessment process. External monitoring must include the capability to monitor for electrons as well as other low-energy radiations that are not normally considered for spacecraft monitoring. The harsh environment in space is also a concern for the design of these monitors.

6.8.6.1.3 Charged-Particle Monitoring

The external fluence of particles of $Z < 3$, in the energy range 30 to 300 MeV/nucleon, and particles of $3 \leq Z \leq 26$, in the energy range 100 to 400 MeV/nucleon, must be monitored. This range contains a large portion of the radiation environment expected for solar particle events, trapped particle radiation, and GCR. Data from charged-particle monitoring is the fundamental environment information required for radiation transport calculations and crew exposure evaluation. All other physical quantities (such as LET spectra and absorbed dose) are not singular, and therefore result in ambiguity and thus increased uncertainty in estimates of crew health risk. Given an accurately measured energy spectrum incident on the spacecraft during an SPE, detailed crew organ exposures and resulting risks can be estimated. Multiple monitoring strategies, including charged particle detectors, will limit the uncertainty of dose measurement in determining crew exposure from a space mission. These measured charged-particle energy spectra are also needed to validate current environment models. For placement of these detectors it may also be necessary to consider the directionality and size restrictions these devices may have.
6.8.6.1.4 Monitoring of Absorbed Dose and Dose Equivalent

The range of linear energy transfer that must be monitored for absorbed dose and dose equivalent is 0.2 to 1000 keV/μm. This includes the full range expected from primary and secondary radiations of SPEs, trapped particle radiation, and GCR. Tissue equivalent microdosimeters have been used extensively for monitoring crew exposure in space to satisfy this requirement. These devices approximate a set thickness of tissue, allowing predictions of the amount of energy deposited in crewmembers from all types of radiation. This detector can also be fitted with a high-dose-rate alarm, as described in section 6.8.6.1.1, and a spectrometer that can offer a limited idea of the types of radiation present.

6.8.6.2 Passive Radiation Monitoring

Passive radiation dosimetry capable of measuring time-integrated absorbed dose and estimating LET-based quality factors must be provided in each spacecraft. Passive monitoring such as the thermoluminescent detectors and track etch detectors currently used in the space program are useful because they can provide better long-term integration of crew doses, and in some cases better characterization of the radiation environment, than their active counterparts. Because they do not require power, passive dosimeters collect data even when power to other instruments is lost. They can also be important in verifying results from active detectors. The downside is that these instruments are read out using specific processing techniques that require specialized training and equipment. This generally means that results cannot be obtained until crews return to Earth. Furthermore, these devices cannot track the dynamic changes in the environment caused by external influences or changes in the spacecraft, such as stowage reconfigurations.

That said, the results from these passive devices are very useful for their ability to validate computer models, more accurately assess the potential (radiation quality factor) that the radiation environment has for damaging each crewmember, and better characterize the space environment to ensure safer future space missions. In addition, all currently used passive detectors are very small, both in size and mass, and therefore contribute very nominally to spacecraft mass and crew mobility, and they require little or no crew maintenance.

6.8.6.3 Personal Dosimetry

Personal radiation dosimeters must be provided for each crewmember. Currently, on all human missions, each crewmember is provided with a passive personal radiation dosimeter for continuous use during a mission. The results from this personal dosimeter provide a record of the individual crewmember’s exposure that is compared with defined exposure limits, provided the crewmember wears a personal radiation dosimeter at all times during the mission. The data from these dosimeters, when combined with data from area radiation monitors and analytical calculations, will ensure compliance with mission and career radiation exposure limits. Uncertainties in risk projections are significantly increased when personal dosimeters are not worn. These dosimeters may be either passive or active.
6.8.6.4 Biodosimetry

Biodosimetry is the process of monitoring changes in the human system as an indicator of radiation risk to that individual. Currently this is performed by quantifying the chromosomal changes in blood samples of exposed individuals. Because different individuals respond differently to radiation, this technique is not yet mature in estimating an individual’s dose. However, it can be extremely useful as a qualitative estimate of the radiation exposure of an individual, especially in cases where personal dosimetry has failed. In addition, it can be used as a screening tool to indicate an individual’s radiosensitivity, or to indicate potential post-mission health effects on individuals. However, different techniques for collection and analysis of blood samples can have different results. The inclusion of this form of radiation monitoring in all future human space travel can contribute to biodosimetry becoming an accurate intrinsic tool for estimation of individual radiation risk (Durante et al., 2001).

6.8.7 Radiation Data Reporting

Radiation data must be displayed to the crew and reported to mission operations according to the following guidelines:

- Measured cumulative absorbed dose per minute averaged dose rate, once per minute with latency less than 5 minutes.
- Measured cumulative dose equivalent per minute averaged dose equivalent rate, once per minute with latency less than 5 minutes.

Radiation data is vital for quantifying in-flight risks to the crew. For periods when the crew is not in communication with Mission Control, the crew will need to be able to ascertain the radiation conditions in the spacecraft and take appropriate actions as required. The changes in the radiation environment that could cause additional crew exposure can occur in time periods as small as 1 minute. Providing data to Mission Control when in contact allows ground personnel to advise the crew on appropriate action in response to events such as an SPE, and track crew health throughout a mission.

Dosimetry records for each crewmember must be compiled and maintained by the NASA Radiation Health Officer. These radiation exposure records are necessary to

- Inform crewmembers of their mission and cumulative radiation doses
- Make decisions pertaining to crew selection and provide forecasting for planned missions
- Provide information for making decisions for flight planning
- Evaluate the operational radiation safety program for effective program operation
- Demonstrate compliance with action levels and dose limits
- Provide data for epidemiological studies
- Provide information for making or contesting claims for radiation-induced injury
Because the volume of detailed dose and particle data that will be acquired is very large and the quantity of instrument data storage on board is finite, it is necessary to frequently download instrument data to the ground to ensure that data will not be lost. The detailed particle data will be used periodically to update the estimated cumulative exposure risk of each crewmember and for operational evaluation and real-time flight support. This will provide flight-control personnel with accurate insight into the radiation environment experienced by the crew, especially during periods of heightened space radiation environment conditions. External dose-rate data transferred to the ground is part of the information used to make EVA go/no-go recommendations. Charged-particle, dose-equivalent, and absorbed-dose data taken during missions needs to be archived for post-mission assessment of radiation dose and risk. This data is used to determine the final dose of record for crewmembers, which will be compared with crew exposure limits.

6.8.8 Research Needs

Much is still not understood about the interaction of radiation with the human system. Once mechanisms of damage are discovered, it is possible that effective means of protection from radiation can be developed. At the very least, with improved knowledge the uncertainties in risk estimation can be minimized. Below is a list of the items identified as being required to advance our understanding of radiobiological impacts:

1. The accuracy of knowledge about the current radiation environment needs improving to limit current uncertainties.
   Accurate radiation transport calculations require accurate inputs of radiation particle types and energies. Currently there is a lack of detail in the spectral compositions of the GCR.

2. Updated transport modeling procedures are needed to account for three-dimensional scattering of particles.
   Currently all dose estimates rely on transmitted fluences modeled by one-dimensional simulations (HZETRN). Greater detail on angular and fragmentation response is needed, particularly in the lunar and martian environments. This model should be expanded to include all primary and secondary components found in the space radiation environment, as well as an expanded database of their interaction cross-sections.

3. SPE models need to be developed to estimate event precursors and spectral intensities.
   Improved models and remote sensing capabilities can improve the prediction of acceleration and fluence of ions at points of interest. This could also lead to accurate prediction of quiet times of solar activity and an improved prediction of GCR dose rates at various positions in the solar system.

4. Detectors with an accurate response to neutrons need to be developed.
   The neutron component of the radiation spectra, especially on the lunar and martian surfaces, is not well known.

5. Research studies on biological effects of particle or target fragmentation are needed.
   An Earth-based equivalent environment is needed to study the long- and short-
term effects of exposure to radiation from heavy ions. It is not yet known if the dose limits need to be raised because these radiations have higher LET or lowered because they have lower dose rates, compared to dose limits developed for the Earth environment. More epidemiological data is needed for radiation-induced cancer in humans.

Currently the cancer induction rate due to heavy ion exposure is not well characterized. For example, it is not known if cellular repair mechanisms can reduce the overall risk or if the production of high-energy secondary electrons by heavier ions increases the cancer risk.

6. The effects of long-term low-dose exposures to space radiations on various biological systems, especially the immune, vascular, and gastrointestinal systems, needs to be determined.

It is a reasonable assumption that compounding health effects of space travel will increase risks caused by radiation exposure. However, this has not been confirmed. Studies are needed that may well confirm that humans can adapt quite well to low-level radiation exposure, as seen in the Earth environment.

7. Existing ion-based therapy needs to be examined for various short- and long-term responses to it.

Greater knowledge is required about how human systems respond to heavy-ion exposure, to determine if such exposure could affect a mission or long-term health care for astronauts with specific health concerns. Numerous facilities that treat cancer patients with protons or heavier ions are in use worldwide. These patients may hold clues to the short- and long-term effects of exposure to these radiations in the space environment.

8. Biomarkers to identify individuals who are at increased risk of health effects from radiation exposure need to be developed.

The benefits here are twofold. Individuals who are much more sensitive than the average person to radiation effects can be screened out of space travel and individuals at increased risk can be more closely observed postflight to head off any ill effects of radiation exposure.

9. The ability of countermeasures to prevent adverse effects of radiation exposure needs to be examined.

Currently no effective countermeasures for preventing either radiation damage or radiation side effects are known. However, antioxidants may reduce long-term cancer risk and drugs that prevent vomiting may be developed.
6.9 NON-IONIZING RADIATION

6.9.1 Introduction

Non-ionizing radiation (NIR) is any type of electromagnetic radiation that is not sufficiently energetic to ionize atoms or molecules. It consists of the broad band of electromagnetic radiation having wavelengths in the range of $10^8$ to $10^7$ meters (Figure 6.9-1). This section describes the NIR environment, sources, physiology effects, limits, and countermeasures.

![Figure 6.9-1 The electromagnetic spectrum (Graf, 1974).](image)

For purposes of health protection, NIR can be divided into several wavelength ranges, as shown in Table 6.9-1.
Table 6.9-1  Wavelength Ranges of Non-ionizing Radiation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radiation Type</th>
<th>Wavelength Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Optical radiation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultraviolet radiation (UV)</td>
<td>100 – 400 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visible radiation</td>
<td>400 – 760 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrared radiation (IR)</td>
<td>760 nm – 1 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microwave radiation</td>
<td>1 mm – 33 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radio frequency (RF) radiation</td>
<td>33 cm – 3 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extremely low frequency radiation</td>
<td>&gt; 3 km</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.9.2 Non-Ionizing Radiation Sources

The NIR experienced during a space mission emanates from two different types of sources, natural and human-made.

6.9.2.1 Natural NIR Sources

**Continuous Solar Emissions** – The Sun emits a broad spectrum of electromagnetic radiation (Figure 6.9-2). Solar radiation rapidly increases in intensity from the far UV (approximately 100 nm) to the visible (approximately 760 nm) and peaks in the visible region (approximately 550 nm). The UV, visible, and IR conditions in space are harsher than those on the Earth’s surface because space lacks the shielding from solar irradiation that is provided by the Earth’s atmosphere. Electromagnetic radiation in the radio frequency range is also emitted by the Sun but is of low intensity. Radioflux is typically recorded on Earth at 2800 MHz.
Solar Flares – Optical and radio frequency radiation is acutely emitted by the Sun during solar flares. This electromagnetic radiation may be emitted by smaller flares that do not produce solar cosmic rays. The varied frequencies of RF radiation are generated at different altitudes in the solar atmosphere (e.g., microwave bursts are produced in the lower part of the corona). Solar flux units (sfu) are \(10^{-22} \text{ W m}^{-2} \text{ Hz}\), and a peak may produce up to 105 sfu. These radio frequency bursts are not a problem in terms of direct biological effects on space travelers, but may affect them indirectly through interference with onboard electrical equipment, including communications and other instrumentation. The very minor fluctuations in the intensity of visible and UV radiation during solar flares should be considered part of continuous solar emissions.

Magnetic Fields – Magnetic fields associated with various objects in the solar system vary over many orders of magnitude. Magnetic fields a thousand times that of Earth’s are found at the center of sunspots, although the magnetic field on the undisturbed surface of the Sun is 1% of that of a sunspot. The Moon, stars, and Venus have magnetic fields between 1% and 10% of Earth’s, whereas Jupiter's magnetic field is 1000 times that of Earth.
6.9.2.2 Human-Made NIR Sources

- Communication equipment (radar, radio, microwave transmitters, receivers, antennae, etc.)
- Lasers
- Lights (UV, visible, IR)
- Electrically powered equipment

6.9.3 Effects of Non-Ionizing Radiation Exposure on Humans

Non-ionizing radiation generally causes injury through heat generation. Damage from optical radiation is largely limited to the skin and eyes. Ultraviolet radiation can damage tissue by photochemically altering DNA and other molecules in affected cells, and therefore increases long-term risk for some types of cancer. Infrared lasers can produce irradiances high enough to cause both skin and eye burns. Table 6.9-2 below summarizes the effects of NIR on humans.
### Table 6.9-2 Effects of NIR on Humans

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavelength</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Biological Effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **UVC**    | 100 nm – 280 nm | 1075 THz– 3000 THz | Skin – redness, inflammation  
Eye – inflammation of cornea |
| **UVB**    | 280 nm – 315 nm | 950 THz – 1075 THz | Skin – redness, inflammation, skin cancer, photosensitive skin reactions, production of vitamin D  
Eye – inflammation of cornea |
| **UVA**    | 315 nm – 400 nm | 750 THz – 950 THz | Skin – redness, inflammation, skin cancer  
Eye – cataract |
| **Visible Light** | 400 nm – 780 nm | 385 THz – 750 THz | Skin – photo-aging  
Eye – photochemical and thermal retinal injury |
| **IR-A**   | 780 nm – 1.4 µm | 215 THz – 385 THz | Skin – burn  
Eye – thermal retinal injury, thermal cataract |
| **IR-B**   | 1.4 µm – 3 µm | 100 THz – 215 THz | Skin – burn  
Eye – corneal burn, cataract |
| **IR-C**   | 3 µm – 1 mm | 300 GHz – 100 THz | Skin – heating  
Eye – corneal burn, cataract |
| **Microwave** | 1 mm – 33 cm | 1 GHz – 300 GHz | Skin – heating |
| **Radio Frequency Radiation** | 33 cm – 3 km | 100 kHz – 1 GHz | Skin – heating with “penetration depth” of 10 mm, raised body temperature |
| **Low-Frequency RF** | > 3 km | < 100 kHz | Accumulation of charge on body surface  
Disturbance of nerve and muscle responses |
| **Static Field** | infinite | 0 Hz | Magnetic – vertigo, nausea  
Electric – charge on body surface |

Adapted from Martin & Sutton, 2002.

### 6.9.4 Human Exposure Limits for Non-Ionizing Radiation

Non-ionizing radiation is a substantial terrestrial health and safety concern for industrial workers as well as the general public. Data from extensive research and clinical experience regarding the bioeffects of NIR is available. NASA NIR standards are directly adopted or modified from established and vetted terrestrial standards. Currently no data suggests that NIR exposure effects are different in the space flight environment, allowing this direct applicability. When modifications are made to these standards, they generally remove excessive margins of safety that are imposed on general populations, or account for time-averaging differences (i.e., remove assumptions of an 8-hour workday).

Terrestrial standards are occasionally updated to reflect the most current state of understanding of non-ionizing radiation exposure. The most current versions of terrestrial
standards must be used to provide a combination of the best protection to space flight crews and the lowest engineering and operational restrictions. This practice of using the most recent standards maximizes the current and global efforts of scientists, physicians, and policymakers, and makes possible direct application to NASA’s human space flight program. Most updates to these standards are minor and have no impact on space flight hardware or operational procedures currently in use. If an update in standards causes current hardware or operational procedures to become noncompliant, a hazard analysis will determine if the hazard has become uncontrolled and changes are necessary, or whether the margin of safety has been acceptably reduced. In the second case, the updated hazard analysis should be used as justification for grandfathering the hardware or operational procedure.

6.9.4.1 Radio Frequency Limits

Crewmember exposure to RF radiation must be limited to the levels modified from the most recent version of the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) C95.1 “Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio-Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz.” Although the most current version of IEEE C95.1 must be modified to be applied to space travel, for illustration purposes the 2005 version of the lower-tier limits are shown in table form as Table 6.9-3, and graphically in Figure 6.9-3 (from IEEE International Committee on Electromagnetic Safety (2005).

Modifications must be made to the C95.1 standard to remove an excessive safety margin in the power density limit that was added in the 2005 revision of the standard. This margin was added to account for the theoretical possibility that small children could exceed the basic restriction of 0.08 W/kg for whole-body averaged specific absorption rate. For more information on this topic, see IEEE International Committee on Electromagnetic Safety (2005), 95.1-2005, p.92-93. Modified as below, these limits maintain a minimal safety factor of 50 for adults, and with the exception of averaging times are very similar to C95.1-1999.
Table 6.9-3 Lower-Tier Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields

| Frequency Range (MHz) | RMS Electric Field Strength (E)a (V/m) | RMS Magnetic Field Strength (H) a (A/m) | RMS Power Density (S) E–Field, H–Field (W/m²) | Averaging Time b \(|E|^2, |H|^2, \text{or } S\) (minutes) |
|----------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 0.1 – 1.34           | 614                                    | 16.3/f_M                                | (1,000, 100,000/f_M²)                      | 6                               |
| 1.34 - 3             | 823.8/f_M                              | 16.3/f_M                                | (1,800/f_M², 100,000/f_M²)                 | f_M²/0.3 6                       |
| 3 - 30               | 823.8/f_M                              | 16.3/f_M                                | (1,800/f_M², 100,000/f_M²)                 | 30                              |
| 30 - 100             | 27.5                                   | 158.3/f_M¹.⁶⁶⁸                          | (2, 9,400,000/f_M³.³³³)                    | 30                              |
| 100 - 300            | 27.5                                   | 0.0729                                  | 2                                          | 30                              |
| 300 - 5000           | –                                      | –                                       | \(f/150\)                                  | 30                              |
| 5000 - 15000         | –                                      | –                                       | \(f/150\)                                  | \(150/f_G\)                     |
| 15000 – 30,000       | –                                      | –                                       | 100                                        | \(150/f_G\)                     |
| 30,000 – 100,000     | –                                      | –                                       | 100                                        | \(25.24/f_G^{0.476}\)          |
| 100,000 – 300,000    | –                                      | –                                       | 100                                        | \(5048/(9f_G-700)f_G^{0.476}\) |

From IEEE International Committee on Electromagnetic Safety (2005). \(f_M\) is the frequency in MHz, \(f_G\) is the frequency in GHz.

(a) For exposures that are uniform over the dimensions of the body, such as certain far-field plane-wave exposures, the exposure field strengths and power densities are compared with the MPEs in the table. For non-uniform exposures, the mean values of the exposure fields, as obtained by spatially averaging the squares of the field strengths or averaging the power densities over an area equivalent to the vertical cross section of the human body (projected area), or a smaller area depending on the frequency (for further details please see IEEE International Committee on Electromagnetic Safety (2005) notes to Table 8 and Table 9), are compared with the MPEs in the table.

(b) The left column is the averaging time for \(|E|^2\), the right column is the averaging time for \(|H|^2\). For frequencies greater than 400 MHz, the averaging time is for power density S.

(c) These plane-wave equivalent power density values are commonly used as a convenient comparison with MPEs at higher frequencies and are displayed on some instruments in use.
Figure 6.9-3  RF electromagnetic field exposure limits (illustrating whole-body resonance effects around 100 MHz). Modified from IEEE International Committee on Electromagnetic Safety (2005).

Two tiers of maximum permissible exposures (MPEs) are presented in IEEE C95.1. The lower tier is recommended for the general public (uncontrolled environment), which is defined as persons who live and work near RF fields of which they may not be aware or which they cannot control. This tier is also more representative of international standards for RF exposure, which are generally more stringent than those in the United States. The upper tier represents MPEs for controlled environments for which there is an established RF safety program. Both tiers have a safety margin incorporated into the limits. The population that will be inhabiting spacecraft will be aware of existing RF fields and will be highly trained, but they may also be required to live in the spacecraft environment for extended periods without the option to remove themselves from the RF field. For this reason, as well as closer synchronization with international standards, the lower tier in the most recent version of IEEE C95.1 must be met.

In addition to primary RF effects such as tissue heating, secondary hazards should be considered. These secondary effects may include induced currents and charge buildup on surfaces that could introduce a shock hazard. Shock hazards are discussed in section 9.12, “Hardware and Equipment Hazards.”
Lasers have properties that differ from those of conventional light sources; they are monochromatic (defined and limited wavelength), directional, and coherent. The amount of damage a laser can cause depends on several factors including power density, wavelength, and time of exposure. Lasers are divided into the following classes on the basis of the accessible emission limits (AEL) for each class, which is directly related to their capacity to cause injury.

- **Class 1** lasers have relatively low power, and under most conditions, including optically aided conditions, do not present a hazard. This class has the lowest AEL.
- **Class 1M** lasers have the same AEL as Class 1, but when viewed with optical magnification these lasers can exceed the Class 1 AEL. Class 1M lasers cannot exceed the Class 3B AEL for optically aided viewing.
- **Class 2** lasers also have low power, but exceed the emission limits allowed by Class 1. Class 2 lasers by definition emit visible light and cannot cause eye injury in the time required for the natural aversion response of the human eye (0.25 seconds) during unaided or optically aided viewing.
- **Class 2M** lasers by definition emit visible light and cannot cause eye injury in the time required for the natural aversion response of the human eye (0.25 seconds) during optically aided viewing. Class 2M lasers have the same AEL as Class 2, but when viewed with optical magnification Class 2M lasers can exceed the Class 2 AEL. Class 2M lasers cannot exceed the Class 3B AEL for optically aided viewing.
- **Class 3R** lasers are those that are not Class 1 or Class 2 and are within the wavelength range of 0.3025–10³ µm, but cannot emit more than 5 times the AEL of the Class 2 AEL for visible light (0.4–0.7 µm), and not more than 5 times the AEL of the Class 1 AEL for all other wavelengths. The aversion response does not protect against eye injury for all Class 3R lasers.
- **Class 3B** lasers are those that are not Class 1, 2, or 3R and effectively emit less than 500 mW for 0.25 seconds; for pulsed systems there is also a limitation in energy per pulse, based on the wavelength. These lasers can produce a hazard if viewed directly, including intrabeam viewing of specular (directed) reflections. Normally Class 3B lasers will not produce a hazardous diffuse reflection from a matte target.
- **Class 4** lasers are high-power systems that are not Class 1, 2, or 3. There is no upper limit for Class 4 laser emissions. These lasers not only can produce a hazard from direct or specular reflections, but may also produce hazardous diffuse reflections. Such lasers may produce significant skin hazards as well as fire hazards.

For more information on laser classification, please see the Laser Institute of America’s publication ANSI Z136.4, “American National Standard Recommended Practice for Laser Safety Measurements for Hazard Evaluations.”
Application of lasers during space operations has created a variety of unique situations that require creative and extensive analysis beyond that of typical ground-based laser hazards. Consider the following factors when determining the safe use of lasers:

- **Applicable procedural constraints:** Space operations may require unusual situations in which lasers are used. All operational procedures need to be evaluated for the occurrence of hazardous conditions whenever laser systems are powered on. Other constraints of the space environment (such as no-fly zones and lack of window exposure) may provide the necessary protection from laser exposure.

- **Failure modes:** All failure modes of any laser system should be considered in detail, particularly for scanning systems that have the potential to freeze at any one position, which would cause the unintentional focusing of energy on one area for an extended period.

- **Concomitant use of magnifying optical instruments:** The use of direct-viewing cameras, binoculars, or other optics can greatly increase the risk of injury while lasers are in operation. Overall focusing or magnification by these instruments should be considered. Attenuation by the glass and coatings of lenses and eyepieces, as well as other optical instrument internals, should be considered during the safety analysis.

- **Spatial relationships:** The relative movement of spacecraft on which lasers are mounted makes it necessary to consider variable spatial relationships. Lasers are considered either a point source or an extended source, depending on the position of the viewer. Scanning angles that change with position as well as beam divergence should be considered.

- **Classification:** Laser systems must be properly classified and characterized per ANSI Z136.4. Commercial off-the-shelf lasers may be used in space laser systems, but if the laser is modified in any way it must be reclassified and recharacterized for its intended operational use.

- **Attenuation by spacecraft windows and pressure suit helmets and visors should be considered in the safety analysis.**

- **Specular and diffuse reflections should be considered for all laser systems.**

- **Secondary effects:** Safety analysis should include the effects of laser illumination of the crew beyond actual injury. These should include startle and afterimage effects during mission-critical or safety-critical activities.

- **Integration:** Safety analyses of laser systems should be jointly performed by laser system experts, laser safety experts, any optical system experts, and Flight Operations, particularly experts in operation of photographic or other optical devices.
6.9.4.2.1 Limits for Ocular Exposure to Lasers

Ocular exposure of the crew to laser systems must remain below the limits specified in the most recent version of Laser Institute of America’s publication “American National Standard for Safe Use of Lasers” (ANSI Z136.1) without the use of PPE.

Although the most current version of ANSI Z136.1 must be applied, for illustration purposes, the 2007 version of the maximum permissible exposures are shown in Tables 6.9-4 and 6.9-5. The methodology presented in ANSI Z136.1 must be applied to accurately assess laser exposure. Notes in the tables refer to tables and sections in ANSI Z136.1 2007.
**Table 6.9-4 Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) for Point Source Ocular Exposure to a Laser Beam (ANSI Z136.1 2007)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavelength (μm)</th>
<th>Exposure Duration, τ (s)</th>
<th>MPE (W·cm⁻²)</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ultraviolet</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Thermal</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.180 to 0.400</td>
<td>10⁻⁶ to 10</td>
<td>0.56 τ⁰.²₅</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Photochemical</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.180 to 0.302</td>
<td>10⁻⁶ to 3 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>3 × 10⁻³</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.302 to 0.315</td>
<td>10⁻⁶ to 3 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>10²⁰⁰×0.2⁹₅×10⁻⁴</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.315 to 0.400</td>
<td>10 to 3 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Visible</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.400 to 0.700</td>
<td>10⁻¹³ to 10⁻¹¹</td>
<td>1.5 × 10⁻⁸</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.400 to 0.700</td>
<td>10⁻¹¹ to 10⁻⁹</td>
<td>2.7 τ⁰.⁷₅</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.400 to 0.700</td>
<td>10⁻⁶ to 18 × 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>5.0 × 10⁻⁷</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.400 to 0.700</td>
<td>18 × 10⁻⁶ to 10</td>
<td>1.8 τ⁰.⁷₅×10⁻³</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.500 to 0.700</td>
<td>10 to 3 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>1 × 10⁻³</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Thermal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.450 to 0.500</td>
<td>10 to T₁</td>
<td>1 × 10⁻³</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Photochemical</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.400 to 0.450</td>
<td>10 to 100</td>
<td>1 × 10⁻²</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.450 to 0.500</td>
<td>T₁ to 100</td>
<td>C₈ × 10⁻²</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.400 to 0.500</td>
<td>100 to 3 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>C₈ × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Near Infrared</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.700 to 1.050</td>
<td>10⁻¹³ to 10⁻¹¹</td>
<td>1.5 C₉ × 10⁻⁸</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.700 to 1.050</td>
<td>10⁻¹¹ to 10⁻⁹</td>
<td>2.7 C₉ τ⁰.⁷₅</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.700 to 1.050</td>
<td>10⁻⁶ to 18 × 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>5.0 C₉ × 10⁻⁷</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.700 to 1.050</td>
<td>18 × 10⁻⁶ to 10</td>
<td>1.8 C₉ τ⁰.⁷₅×10⁻³</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.700 to 1.050</td>
<td>10 to 3 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>C₉ × 10⁻³</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.050 to 1.400</td>
<td>10⁻¹³ to 10⁻¹¹</td>
<td>1.5 C₉ × 10⁻⁷</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.050 to 1.400</td>
<td>10⁻¹¹ to 10⁻⁹</td>
<td>2.7 C₉ τ⁰.⁷₅</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.050 to 1.400</td>
<td>10⁻⁶ to 50 × 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>5.0 C₉ × 10⁻⁶</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.050 to 1.400</td>
<td>50 × 10⁻⁶ to 10</td>
<td>9.0 C₉ τ⁰.⁷₅×10⁻⁴</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.050 to 1.400</td>
<td>10 to 3 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>5.0 C₉ × 10⁻³</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Far Infrared</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.400 to 1.500</td>
<td>10⁻⁶ to 10⁻³</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.400 to 1.500</td>
<td>10⁻³ to 10</td>
<td>0.56 τ⁰.²₅</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.400 to 1.500</td>
<td>10 to 3 × 10⁴</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.500 to 1.800</td>
<td>10⁻⁶ to 10</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.500 to 1.800</td>
<td>10 to 3 × 10⁴</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.800 to 2.600</td>
<td>10⁻³ to 10</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.800 to 2.600</td>
<td>10⁻³ to 10</td>
<td>0.56 τ⁰.²₅</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.800 to 2.600</td>
<td>10 to 3 × 10⁴</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.600 to 1000</td>
<td>10⁻⁶ to 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>1 × 10⁻²</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.600 to 1000</td>
<td>10⁻⁷ to 10</td>
<td>0.56 τ⁰.²₅</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.600 to 1000</td>
<td>10 to 3 × 10⁴</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the Dual Limit Wavelength Region (0.180 to 0.400 μm), the lower MPE considering photochemical and thermal effects must be chosen.

See Tables 8a and 8b for limiting aperture and Table 9 for measurement aperture.

In the Wavelength Region (0.400 to 0.500 μm), T₁ determines whether the photochemical or thermal MPE is lower.

For extended sources in the retinal hazard region (0.400 to 1.4 μm), see Table 5b.

See Table 6 and Figures 8 and 9 for correction factors C₈, C₉, C₁₀, C₁₁, C₁₂ and times T₁ and T₂.

For repeated (pulsed) exposures, see Section 8.2.3. A correction factor, C₉ applies to thermal limits, but not to photochemical limits.

The wavelength region λ₁ to λ₂ means λ₁ ≤ λ < λ₂. e.g., 0.180 to 0.302 μm means 0.180 ≤ λ < 0.302 μm.

**Note:** The MPEs must be in the same units.
### Table 6.9-5 Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) for Extended Source Ocular Exposure (ANSI Z136.1 2007)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavelength (μm)</th>
<th>Exposure Duration, t (s)</th>
<th>MPE (J·cm⁻² except as noted)</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.400 to 0.700</td>
<td>10⁻⁸ to 10⁻¹²</td>
<td>1.5 $C_A \times 10^8$</td>
<td>(See Tables 8a and 9 for limiting apertures)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.400 to 0.700</td>
<td>10⁻⁸ to 10⁻⁹</td>
<td>2.7 $C_E t^{0.45}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.400 to 0.700</td>
<td>10⁻⁸ to 18 x 10⁻⁹</td>
<td>5.0 $C_A \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.400 to 0.700</td>
<td>18 x 10⁻⁶ to 0.7</td>
<td>1.8 $C_E t^{0.25} \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Dual Limits for λ between 0.400 and 0.600 μm visible laser exposure for t > 0.7 s**

**Photochemical**

For $\alpha \leq 11$ mrad, the MPE is expressed as irradiance and radiant exposure:

- For $0.400 \leq 0.600$: $0.7 \leq T_2$, $C_B \times 10^{-2}$
- For $0.400 \leq 0.600$: $T_2 \leq 3 \times 10^{4}$, $C_B \times 10^{4}$

(See Tables 8a and 9 for limiting apertures)

For $\alpha > 11$ mrad, the MPE is expressed as radiance and integrated radiance:

- For $0.400 \leq 0.600$: $0.7 \leq 1 \times 10^{3}$, $100 C_B f \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ sr}^{-1}$
- For $0.400 \leq 0.600$: $1 \times 10^{4} \leq 3 \times 10^{4}$, $C_B \times 10^{-2} \text{ W cm}^{-2} \text{ sr}^{-1}$

(See Table 8a for limiting cone angle $\gamma$)

**Thermal**

- For $0.400 \leq 0.700$: $0.7 \leq T_2$, $1.8 C_E t^{0.45} \times 10^{-3}$
- For $0.400 \leq 0.700$: $T_2 \leq 3 \times 10^{4}$, $1.8 C_E T_2^{0.25} \times 10^{-3}$

**Near Infrared**

- For $0.700 \leq 1.050$: $10^{-12} \leq 10^{-11}$, $1.5 C_A C_E \times 10^{-8}$
- For $0.700 \leq 1.050$: $10^{-5} \leq 10^{-9}$, $2.7 C_A C_E t^{0.75}$
- For $0.700 \leq 1.050$: $10^{-9} \leq 18 \times 10^{-9}$, $5.0 C_A C_E \times 10^{-7}$
- For $0.700 \leq 1.050$: $18 \times 10^{-9} \leq T_2$, $1.8 C_A C_E t^{0.75} \times 10^{-8}$
- For $0.700 \leq 1.050$: $T_2 \leq 3 \times 10^{4}$, $1.8 C_A C_E T_2^{0.25} \times 10^{-3}$
- For $1.050 \leq 1.400$: $10^{-12} \leq 10^{-11}$, $1.5 C_C C_E \times 10^{-7}$
- For $1.050 \leq 1.400$: $10^{-5} \leq 10^{-9}$, $27.0 C_C C_E t^{0.75}$
- For $1.050 \leq 1.400$: $10^{-9} \leq 50 \times 10^{-9}$, $5.0 C_C C_E \times 10^{-6}$
- For $1.050 \leq 1.400$: $50 \times 10^{-9} \leq T_2$, $9.0 C_C C_E t^{0.75} \times 10^{-3}$
- For $1.050 \leq 1.400$: $T_2 \leq 3 \times 10^{4}$, $9.0 C_C C_E T_2^{0.25} \times 10^{-3}$

---

1. See Table 6 and Figures 8, 9, and 13 for correction factors $C_A$, $C_B$, $C_C$, $C_E$, $C_P$, and times $T_1$ and $T_2$.

2. For sources subtending an angle greater than 11 mrad, the limit may also be expressed as an integrated irradiance $I_B = 100 C_B f \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ sr}^{-1}$ for $0.7 \leq T_2 < 10^{4}$ s and $I_B = 10^{-2} \text{ W cm}^{-2} \text{ sr}^{-1}$ for $t \geq 10^{4}$ s as measured through a limiting cone angle $\gamma$. These correspond to values of $f \text{ cm}^{-2}$ for $10^{-6} \leq T_2 < 10^{4}$ s and $W \text{ cm}^{-2}$ for $t \geq 10^{4}$ s as measured through a limiting cone angle $\gamma$.

3. $\gamma = 11$ mrad for $0.7 \leq t < 10^{4}$ s.
4. $\gamma = 1.1 \times 10^{2}$ mrad for $10^{4} \leq t < 10^{5}$ s.
5. $\gamma = 110$ mrad for $10^{5} \leq t < 10^{7}$ s.

See Figure 3 for $\gamma$ and Appendix B for examples.

Note 1: For repeated (pulsed) exposures, see Section 9.2.2.

Note 2: The wavelength region $A_1 \leq \lambda \leq A_2$, e.g., $1.180 \leq \lambda \leq 1.302 \mu \text{m}$ means $1.180 \leq \lambda < 1.302 \mu \text{m}$.

Note 3: Dual Limit Application: In the Dual Limit wavelength region (0.400 to 0.600 μm), the exposure limit is the lower value of the determined photothermal and thermal exposure limit.

Note 4: The MPEs must be in the same units.
These limits protect against eye injury from ocular exposure to both continuous and repetitively pulsed lasers. The term “laser system” includes the laser, its housing, and its controls. The safety analysis of all lasers is defined by ANSI Z136.1 standards.

The Trajectory Control Sensor used on the Space Shuttle for docking operations uses Class 3B lasers, in both continuous wave and pulsed wave modes. The Laser Camera System used on the Orbiter Boom Sensor System is also a Class 3B laser. The Laser Dynamic Range Imager contains a Class 4 laser source, but the diffuser that is integral to the system effectively reduces the emissions to those of a Class 1 system.

6.9.4.2.2 Limits for Dermal Exposure to Lasers

Dermal exposure of the crew to laser systems must remain below the limits specified in the most recent version of Laser Institute of America’s publication “American National Standard for Safe Use of Lasers” (ANSI Z136.1) without the use of PPE. Although the most current version of ANSI Z136.1 must be applied, for illustration purposes the 2007 version of the maximum permissible exposures for skin are shown in Table 6.9-6. The methodology presented in ANSI Z136.1 must be applied to accurately assess laser exposure. Notes in the tables refer to tables and sections in ANSI Z136.1 2007.
Table 6.9-6 Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) for Skin Exposure to a Laser Beam

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavelength (μm)</th>
<th>Exposure Duration, t</th>
<th>MPE (J/cm²) except as noted</th>
<th>MPE (W/cm²) except as noted</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ultraviolet</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal</td>
<td>0.180 to 0.400</td>
<td>$10^5$ to $10^6$</td>
<td>0.56 $t^{0.25}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photochemical</td>
<td>0.180 to 0.302</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$10^{(0.0668+0.8293)} \times 10^4$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.302 to 0.315</td>
<td>$10^3$ to $3 \times 10^4$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.315 to 0.400</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^3$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.315 to 0.400</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^4$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Visible and Near Infrared</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.400 to 1.400</td>
<td>$10^9$ to $10^7$</td>
<td>$2 C_\lambda \times 10^2$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.400 to 1.400</td>
<td>$10^7$ to $10^5$</td>
<td>$1.1 C_\lambda t^{0.25}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.400 to 1.400</td>
<td>$10^4$ to $3 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$0.2 C_\lambda$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Far Infrared</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.400 to 1.500</td>
<td>$10^9$ to $10^3$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.400 to 1.500</td>
<td>$10^7$ to $10^5$</td>
<td>0.56 $t^{0.25}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.500 to 1.500</td>
<td>$10^6$ to $10^4$</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.500 to 1.500</td>
<td>$10^5$ to $10^3$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.800 to 2.600</td>
<td>$10^4$ to $10^2$</td>
<td>0.56 $t^{0.25}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.800 to 2.600</td>
<td>$10^3$ to $10^1$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.600 to 1000</td>
<td>$10^9$ to $10^7$</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^2$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.600 to 1000</td>
<td>$10^7$ to $10^5$</td>
<td>0.56 $t^{0.25}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.600 to 1000</td>
<td>$10^5$ to $10^3$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


These limits protect against skin injury from both continuous and repetitively pulsed lasers. The term “laser system” includes the laser, its housing, and controls. The safety analysis of all lasers must be defined by ANSI Z136.1 standards.

6.9.4.3 Incoherent Radiation – UV, Visible, and IR

Limits for crew exposure to the electromagnetic spectrum from the ultraviolet (180 nm) to the far infrared (3000 nm) are derived from the methodology given in the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH) publication “TLVs® and BEIs® Based on the Documentation of the Threshold Limit Values for Chemical Substances and Physical Agents & Biological Exposure Indices.” This methodology allows the quantification of the relationship between source strength and acceptable exposure times for each of four potential injury pathways (retinal thermal injury caused by exposure to visible light, retinal photochemical injury caused by chronic exposure to
blue light, thermal injury to the ocular lens and cornea caused by infrared exposure, and exposure of the unprotected skin or eye to ultraviolet radiation). These limits do not apply to laser exposure (see section 6.9.4.2, “Coherent Radiation – Lasers”). The numerical values used by the ACGIH are amended for use by NASA by the insertion of a factor of 0.2 in the source term of each calculation, except that the calculation for ultraviolet exposure is not amended. This removes the excessive margin of safety imposed by the ACGIH on general populations.

Any exposure to NIR should consider the entire pathway of the incident radiation before it interacts with a crewmember’s body, including any concentration, diffusion, or filtering. For example, concentration of source radiation by optical instruments and attenuation by spacecraft window systems or EVA visors need to be considered when evaluating the final radiation incident on the crewmember. Protection from NIR may be accomplished by reducing effective irradiance or by limiting exposure times. Any means of providing NIR protection must not permanently degrade the ability of any optical systems to perform their intended function. The transmittance required for windows, visors, and other optical devices can be reconciled with protection from NIR through the use of temporary filters, selection of proper material, apertures, beam stops or splitters, or other appropriate means.

Different limits are set for large and small sources for retinal damage pathways. Large sources illuminate large areas of the retina and this does not allow local cooling or cellular recovery. Small sources illuminate a much smaller area of the retina, and the natural movement of the eye constantly alters the area that is being illuminated, effectively reducing exposure. For this reason, the exposure limits for small sources are relaxed.

### 6.9.4.3.1 Limits for Retinal Thermal Injury from Visible and Near-Infrared Sources

Exposure of the crew to spectral radiance $L_{\lambda}$ at wavelengths between 385 and 1400 nm must be limited such that

$$0.2 \sum_{385}^{1400} \left\{ L_{\lambda} \cdot R(\lambda) \cdot \Delta \lambda \right\} \leq \frac{5}{\alpha \cdot t^{1/4}}$$

where $L_{\lambda}$ is the source spectral radiance in W/(cm²•sr•nm), $R(\lambda)$ is the Retinal Thermal Hazard Function given in the current version of “TLVs® and BEIs® Based on the Documentation of the Threshold Limit Values for Chemical Substances and Physical Agents & Biological Exposure Indices,” $t$ is the viewing duration in seconds, and $\alpha$ is the angular subtense of the source in radians. This limit is intended to prevent retinal thermal injury from visible and near-infrared sources with wavelengths between 385 and 1400 nm.
6.9.4.3.2 Retinal Photochemical Injury from Visible Light

6.9.4.3.2.1 Small-Source Visible Radiation Limits
The spectral irradiance $E_\lambda$ of the crew at wavelengths between 305 and 700 nm for visible light sources subtending an angle less than 11 milliradians must be limited such that:

$$0.2 \sum_{305}^{700} \left[ E_\lambda t B(\lambda) \Delta \lambda \right] \leq 10 \text{ mJ/cm}^2 \quad \text{for } t < 10^4 \text{ s}$$

Or,

$$0.2 \sum_{305}^{700} \left[ E_\lambda B(\lambda) \Delta \lambda \right] \leq 1 \text{ µW/cm}^2 \quad \text{for } t > 10^4 \text{ s}$$

where $B(\lambda)$ is the blue-light hazard function given in the current version of “TLVs® and BEIs® Based on the Documentation of the Threshold Limit Values for Chemical Substances and Physical Agents & Biological Exposure Indices” and $t$ is the viewing duration in seconds. This limit is intended to prevent retinal photochemical injury from exposure to light sources with wavelengths between 305 and 700 nm. The Sun subtends an angle of approximately 9 milliradians when observed from Earth and is therefore considered a small source.

6.9.4.3.2.2 Large-Source Visible Radiation Limits
Exposure of the crew to spectral radiance $L_\lambda$ at wavelengths between 305 and 700 nm for visible light sources subtending an angle greater than or equal to 11 milliradians must be limited such that

$$0.2 \sum_{305}^{700} \left[ L_\lambda t B(\lambda) \Delta \lambda \right] \leq 100 \text{ J/(cm}^2 \cdot \text{sr}) \quad \text{for } t \leq 10^4 \text{ s}$$

Or

$$0.2 \sum_{305}^{700} \left[ L_\lambda B(\lambda) \Delta \lambda \right] \leq 10^{-2} \text{ W/(cm}^2 \cdot \text{sr}) \quad \text{for } t > 10^4 \text{ s}$$

where $B(\lambda)$ is the blue-light hazard function given in the current version of “TLVs® and BEIs® Based on the Documentation of the Threshold Limit Values for Chemical Substances and Physical Agents & Biological Exposure Indices,” and $t$ is the viewing duration in seconds. This limit is intended to prevent retinal photochemical injury from exposure to large light sources with wavelengths between 305 and 700 nm.

6.9.4.3.3 Limits for Thermal Injury from Infrared Radiation
The spectral irradiance $E_\lambda$ of the crew at wavelengths between 770 and 3000 nm must be limited to 10 mW/cm$^2$ for exposure durations longer than 1000 seconds, and for exposure durations less than 1000 seconds exposure must be limited such that
where $t$ is the viewing duration in seconds. This limit is intended to prevent ocular injury caused by overexposure to infrared radiation, including delayed effects to the lens (such as cataractogenesis). These TLVs apply to an environment with an ambient temperature of 37°C, and can be increased by 0.8 mW/cm² for every whole degree below 37°C.

**6.9.4.3.4 Limits for Ultraviolet Exposure for Unprotected Eye or Skin**

The spectral irradiance $E_\lambda$ of the crew at wavelengths between 180 and 400 nm, weighted by the spectral effectiveness function $S_\lambda$ (given in the current version of “TLVs® and BEIs® Based on the Documentation of the Threshold Limit Values for Chemical Substances and Physical Agents & Biological Exposure Indices”), must be limited to

$$ \sum_{180}^{400} \{ E_\lambda S_\lambda \Delta \lambda \} \leq 3 \text{ mJ/cm}^2 \text{ in any 24 hr period} $$

where $t$ is the exposure duration in seconds. This limit is intended to prevent ocular and dermal injury caused by overexposure to ultraviolet radiation.

**6.9.5 Hazard Controls for Non-Ionizing Radiation Effects**

Hazard controls for overexposure to NIR depend on the type and source of the NIR and mission requirements. The control of NIR hazards can be by engineering or operational, or a combination of both types of controls. Table 6.9-7 defines the sources and associated hazard control methods.
Table 6.9-7 Non-Ionizing Radiation Hazard Control Methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radiation Source</th>
<th>Hazard Controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RF</td>
<td>Full characterization and limitation of RF environment in and surrounding spacecraft, training, labels, operational procedures that limit exposure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lasers</td>
<td>Beam stops and attenuators, enclosed beam paths, removable filters, filtered eyepieces on optical instruments, training, labels, operational procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incoherent</td>
<td>Removable filters, filtered eyepieces on optical instruments, labels, controlling reflections, clothing, pressure suit (UV), operational procedures that limit exposure time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The use of PPE must be provided only if other methods of controlling the hazard are impossible or highly impractical. PPE is typically uncomfortable and has negative effects on performance, leading to noncompliance. For example, laser-protective eyewear cannot be worn while performing high-resolution photography with direct-view cameras. In the best scenarios, the field of view and color vision may be affected.

Monitoring and warning for NIR during space operations may include activities such as

- Warning lights or annunciations before emission of NIR (lasers, powerful incoherent light sources, RF emitters)
- Monitoring and warning of approaching sunrise (incoherent light)
- Monitoring of RF fields in and surrounding the spacecraft

Protection from excessive NIR exposure may require creative solutions given that the use of many forms of NIR is essential to the accomplishment of mission objectives. For example, if an operational scenario requires the use of an IR laser from within the spacecraft, permanent window coatings that block IR would not be appropriate. Similarly, if observation activities require the use of UV wavelengths, permanent UV filters would not be a suitable solution. For both photography and psychological benefit, the color balance of natural light entering through spacecraft windows must be preserved. Any means of protecting the crew from excessive exposure to NIR must never permanently degrade the performance or preclude the use of space flight equipment to which it is applied.

6.9.6 Research Needs

NASA has no specific research needs related to NIR bioeffects because extensive work is being done for general industry and populations. Substantial work, however, remains to be done in the area of implementation in the unique space flight environment. This is made particularly challenging by requirements that initially seem contradictory (for example, window systems that must meet high optical quality and transmission specifications while still providing protection from NIR to the crew).


American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH) Current Version. TLVs® and BEIs® Based on the Documentation of the Threshold Limit Values for Chemical Substances and Physical Agents & Biological Exposure Indices. ACGIH, Cincinnati, OH.

American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH) (2001). Threshold Level Values (TLVs), Infrasound and Low-Frequency Sound, 2001. ACGIH, Cincinnati, OH.


JSC 63414, Johnson Space Center, Spacecraft Water Exposure Guidelines (SWEG). Houston, TX: National Aeronautics and Space Administration.


Space Shuttle Flight Data Briefing, August 1991. (incomplete reference)
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7 HABITABILITY FUNCTIONS

7.1 INTRODUCTION
This chapter provides design considerations for the daily functions of the crew inside the spacecraft, including dining, sleep, hygiene, waste management, and other activities to ensure a habitable environment.

7.2 FOOD AND NUTRITION

7.2.1 Introduction
This section discusses nutritional needs for the crew during spaceflight, as well as design considerations for the food system to ensure nutrition, safety, and acceptability. Food provides both energy and nutrients required for sustaining basic life-support functions and physical work. Energy needs in space are similar to those on Earth, and depend on gender, age, body mass, height, and general activity level.

7.2.2 Nutrition
Nutrition has been critical in every phase of exploration on Earth, from the time when scurvy plagued seafarers to the last century when polar explorers died from malnutrition or, in some cases, nutrient toxicities. The role of nutrition in space exploration will be no different, except that during space exploration, there is no opportunity to obtain food from the environment.

7.2.2.1 General Considerations
Nutritional assessments of the Mir and the International Space Station (ISS) crews have documented a range of issues including inadequate caloric intake, weight loss, and decrements in status of individual nutrients (even in cases where intake was adequate). For some nutrients (e.g., calcium), status appears to have declined during spaceflight, but for others excess is a concern (e.g., protein, sodium, iron).

Key areas of concern for the health of the crew during long-duration spaceflight and exploration-class missions include loss of body mass (inadequate food intake), loss of bone and muscle, increased radiation exposure, and depletion of body nutrient stores because of inadequate food supply and increased metabolism. Cardiovascular and/or immune system changes noted during and after spaceflight may also be related to nutritional issues, but conclusive data is not yet available.

Nutrient deficiency due to inadequate supply or stability or to increased metabolism and excretion of nutrients can lead to illness and/or performance decrements. Nutritional status has to be adequate before flight to ensure that crews are healthy at the start of the mission.

In the general sense, the primary nutritional requirement is to have a viable and stable food system that the crew is willing and able to consume. The viability of the food system requires not only that food be available for consumption, but that the food has the right nutrient mix to maintain crew health over time. Likewise, a crew’s willingness to consume these nutrients is impacted by the variety and flavor of the food. The risk factors for nutrition in spaceflight have a tiered structure, as described following.
1. Inadequate nutritional content available through the food system represents a critical risk. Food may lack adequate nutritional content for several reasons. First, the food available to the crew may be nutritionally deficient when initially provided. Second, the food may lose nutritional value before consumption due to the instability of these nutrients over an extended period of time. Third there is a risk that the spacecraft environment, especially radiation, may impact these foods and nutrients. Ground-based radiation (used for food preservation) is known to damage certain vitamins, lipids, and amino acids.

2. Inadequate consumption of food by the crew is also a critical risk. Many crewmembers on long-duration space missions have not consumed adequate amounts of food. On exploration-class missions, food freshness, menu fatigue, stress, and other factors will play a significant role in food consumption, which will in turn impact crew health and performance.

The following factors affect the acceptability of the food and the appetite of the crewmembers:

a. Past experience and personal preference – Generally, a taste for new foods has to be acquired. This is an important consideration with international crews.
b. Variety – Food can lose its acceptance if eaten too frequently. A wide variety of foods is desirable. Food may also be varied by changing the form, texture, and flavor, without affecting nutritional content. The use of colors, shapes, garnishes, and portions in meal presentation, as well as packaging color, utensil shape and size, and visual display of trays may also enhance the eating experience. Long-duration ISS crews have commented that variety is lacking when foods are provided to them in an 8-day cycle. The crew did prefer having foods from the Russian and U.S. menus available at the same time. As of 2007, ISS crews have a 16-day meal cycle, made up of half U.S. and half Russian foods.
c. Availability – Snacks should be available with a minimum of preparation. This is particularly important for high-energy-output tasks such as extravehicular activity (EVA) operations.
d. Food form – The more Earth-normal quality of the food, the more acceptable it will be. This includes the desirability of fresh fruits and vegetables. Precooked frozen food has the highest overall acceptability of the current available methods of preservation.
e. Meal scheduling – Lack of consistent meal periods in the crew schedule can lead to skipped meals and undernourishment.
f. 0g environment – Some U.S. and Russian space crews have reported that changes occur in their taste and odor perception of foods during spaceflights. This may be caused by a shift in the distribution of body fluid that results in head congestion. Another possible factor is that reduced air circulation in 0g reduces the crew’s ability to smell the food. About 85% of what is tasted is based on smell. Due to the fact that the loss of flavor is more profound for some individuals, condiments are flown to allow the crewmembers to individually alter the flavors of the foods.
g. Waste management facilities – In the past, inadequate body waste management facilities have discouraged food consumption. (Refer to section 7.4 Body Waste Management for design requirements of body waste management facilities.)
h. Space motion sickness (SMS) – Control of SMS is essential for a healthy appetite.
i. Atmospheric contaminants – The buildup of background odors during missions may contribute subliminally to a decrease in appetite and consumption as a result of fatigue or adaptation.
Guidelines for nutrition requirements are documented in “JSC 63555, Nutrition Requirements, Standards, and Operating Bands for Exploration Missions,” JSC NASA Nutritional Biochemistry Group, December 2005, Initial Release (Revision 1). They are based on many sources of information that were reviewed at a Nutrition Standards/Operating Bands workshop held March 23–24, 2005, in Houston, Texas. These sources included the set of nutritional requirements defined in 1991 for Space Station Freedom missions, plus the updated set of requirements developed in 1995 (in collaboration with Russian partners) for Mir flights. Workshop participants also evaluated the limited data from short-duration Space Shuttle flights and longer Mir and ISS flights. Data from the ISS included the findings from the Medical Requirement (MR016L, JSC 28913, 2005) “Clinical Nutritional Assessment” profile of the first 10 ISS missions, which provided background information about the changes seen in flights of 4 to 6 months (during which time resupply by at least one Progress spacecraft occurred). To establish the nutritional guidelines at the 2005 workshop, the workshop participants in many cases made extrapolations from ground-based space analog studies, and in others had only ground-based nutrition literature for support. The food provided must be of sufficient quality, quantity, and nutrient content to meet the energy demands of various activities while accommodating each crewmember’s individual needs and desires.

### 7.2.2.2 Metabolic Intake

The estimated energy requirements (EER) for crewmembers during space missions must be based on total energy expenditure (TEE), using an activity factor of 1.25 (active) along with the individual’s age, body mass (kg), and height (m) according to Table 7.2-1.

**Table 7.2-1 Estimated Energy Requirements Equations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Equation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EER for men 19 years old and older</td>
<td>[ EER \text{ (kcal/day)} = 622 - 9.53 \times \text{Age ([y])} + 1.25 \times (15.9 \times \text{Mass ([kg])} + 539.6 \times \text{Height ([m])}) ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EER for women 19 years old and older</td>
<td>[ EER = 354 - 6.91 \times \text{Age ([y])} + 1.25 \times (9.36 \times \text{Mass ([kg])} + 726 \times \text{Height ([m])}) ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For EVA operations, an additional 200 kilocalories per EVA hour must be provided for EVA crewmembers. Additional energy and nutrients are necessary during EVA operations, as crewmember energy expenditure is greater during those activities. Lean body (especially muscular) weight maintenance is a key component of preserving crew health during missions and keeping performance at a level required to complete mission objectives. Consumption of an additional 200 kilocalories (kcal), similar in nutrient content to the rest of the diet, per hour of EVA would allow a crewmember to maintain lean body weight during the course of the mission. This is the metabolic energy replacement requirement for moderate to heavy EVA tasks.

### 7.2.2.3 Macronutrients

The diet for each crewmember must include macronutrients in the quantities listed in Table 7.2-2. Macronutrients are nutrients that provide calories for energy: carbohydrates, protein, and fat are essential for crew health.
Table 7.2-2 Macronutrient Guidelines for Space Flight

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutrients</th>
<th>Daily Dietary Intake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>0.8 g/kg&lt;br&gt;and ≤ 35% of the total daily energy intake&lt;br&gt;and 2/3 of the amount in the form of animal protein and 1/3 in the form of vegetable protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate</td>
<td>50–55% of the total daily energy intake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat</td>
<td>25–35% of the total daily energy intake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ω-6 Fatty acids</td>
<td>14 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ω-3 Fatty acids</td>
<td>1.1–1.6 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturated fat</td>
<td>&lt; 7% of total calories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trans fatty acids</td>
<td>&lt; 1% of total calories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol</td>
<td>&lt; 300 mg/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber</td>
<td>10–14 grams/4187 kJ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.2.2.4 Micronutrients

The diet for each crewmember must include micronutrients in the quantities listed in Table 7.2-3. Although macronutrients are very important, they are not the only things we need for survival. Our bodies also need water (6–8 glasses a day; see section 6.3 Water) and micronutrients. Micronutrients are nutrients that our bodies need in smaller amounts, and include vitamins and minerals.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vitamin or Mineral</th>
<th>Daily Dietary Intake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin A</td>
<td>700–900 µg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin D</td>
<td>25 µg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin K</td>
<td>Women: 90 µg, Men: 120 µg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin E</td>
<td>15 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin C</td>
<td>90 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin B&lt;sub&gt;12&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>2.4 µg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin B&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>1.7 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiamin</td>
<td>Women: 1.1 µmol, Men: 1.2 µmol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riboflavin</td>
<td>1.3 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folate</td>
<td>400 µg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niacin</td>
<td>16 mg niacin equivalents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotin</td>
<td>30 µg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pantothenic acid</td>
<td>30 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium</td>
<td>1200–2000 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus</td>
<td>700 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium</td>
<td>Women: 320 mg, Men: 420 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>1500–2300 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium</td>
<td>4.7 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>8–10 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>0.5–9 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese</td>
<td>Women: 1.8 mg, Men: 2.3 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoride</td>
<td>Women: 3 mg, Men: 4 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>11 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin or Mineral</td>
<td>Daily Dietary Intake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenium</td>
<td>55–400 µg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iodine</td>
<td>150 µg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>35 µg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


The ISS food system includes vitamin D tablets, since vitamin D deficiency is a common issue for astronauts. Any other vitamins provided are per the agreement between the individual astronaut and his or her flight surgeon.

The storage temperature of foods has a direct impact upon the shelf life of the foods, including the quality of nutrients available when the food is consumed. For example, foods will maintain the best quality for the longest times when frozen (0°F). At temperatures above freezing, spoilage and degradation increases appreciably.

Food for the Space Shuttle and the ISS is packaged in a vacuum environment, since the elimination of oxygen in the package is essential to minimize the rate of rancidity. Atmospheres with abnormally high concentrations of oxygen may result in increased rates of rancidity and nutrient depletion for certain shelf-stable foods.

### 7.2.3 Food System

This section discusses the food system, including types of food and packaging, storage, preparation, and cleanup. Related topics can be found in the following sections:

- **Water** – See 6.3 Water
- **EVA Nutrition** – See 11.2.3 EVA Nutrition

#### 7.2.3.1 Galley Area

The galley area, as well as the accommodation of the food preparation equipment, must be designed and sized to allow all crewmembers to eat meals at the same time. On ISS, and when possible on the Space Shuttle, crews have preferred to eat meals together to promote unity. Sometimes, such as during crew handovers, the number of crewmembers to be accommodated will be larger than usual. When the Soyuz crew visits the ISS, the combined crew has to eat in shifts since the galley was designed for a small group and the food warmer was designed for a crew of three.

In 0g, a smaller volume of space may be used for preparing and eating food if proper layout and co-location of food storage areas, warmers and hydration equipment, and eating and restraining surfaces are considered during design.

#### 7.2.3.1.1 Location

The galley should be located in an area that is conducive to conversation and relaxation, and not in an area with high traffic flow. The ISS Service Module galley area has been described as noisy because of work, exercise, and fans. Proper lighting conditions must be provided, to ensure that
food can be properly seen and spills can be quickly identified. Location in relation to waste and hygiene areas is also important to consider. ISS crews have noted that co-locating dining areas with waste and hygiene areas is not optimal for sanitation or psychological purposes. Apollo crewmembers have also indicated that the galley was too close to the waste management system, and odors from that area resulted in a loss of appetite. The galley must be isolated from waste and hygiene areas to prevent contamination of food by microorganisms in those areas in addition to preventing odors from permeating the eating area.

7.2.3.1.2 Contamination

Location of the food system and food storage should ensure there is no contamination from waste and hygiene areas. Microbiological contamination of food can negatively impact crew health. This can be avoided with the proper processing of food, as well as the design of the food system and spacecraft. Microbial testing must occur after food processing on Earth to ensure that microorganism levels in the food do not exceed those specified in Johnson Space Center (JSC) 16888 Microbiology Operations Plan for Spaceflight. The ability to clean and disinfect the spacecraft will also help to minimize microbial contamination of the food system. Any chemicals on board must be stored to avoid the contamination of any food in storage or during eating. Contamination of the food system by physical debris can jeopardize the safety and health of the crew. In 0g, physical debris such as dirt, regolith, wood, plastic, metal, and small objects must be contained to minimize the risk of contaminating food in storage or during eating.

7.2.3.2 Food Preparation, Consumption, and Cleanup

7.2.3.2.1 Heating and Cooling

The ability to heat foods and drinks should be provided. When provided, food and drink must be heated to between 155°F and 175°F. Heating food and liquid enhances the palatability of some items, which is important for behavioral health as well as ensuring that crewmembers eat the food provided. Based on input from Apollo astronauts and our current crewmembers, it is essential to have hot water for beverages and to hydrate appropriate foods with hot water. Not only does the crew want their hot coffee (one of the most requested items) but if the food is not palatable, they will not eat the food. Furthermore, if they don’t eat the food, they will not get enough calories and will not have adequate energy to do their tasks (and think clearly).

The ability to cool some foods and liquids to around 39°F should be provided. Cooling enhances the palatability of some food and drink items, which is important for crew dietary and behavioral health. Also, consideration should be given to providing the ability to refrigerate food packages that have been opened but the food not completely consumed, for consumption at a later time.

While heating food and liquid may require power from the spacecraft, there may be ways to use the heat from several sources in the spacecraft for the galley, instead of radiating it into space. Cooling, on the other hand, may require more energy.

7.2.3.2.2 Preparation Time

Food systems should be designed to minimize food preparation time, to allow all crewmembers to eat together in a 1-hour mealtime. One way to make efficient use of crew time is to minimize food preparation time. Typically, mealtimes have been scheduled for 1 hour, and include not
only eating but unstowing of food items, food preparation, cleaning, and stowage of trash and meal-related items. Food preparation time may depend on the size of a food warmer and its ability to heat quickly, as well as food packaging design and other variables. Certain food preparation techniques, such as boiling and convection heating (without forced air), are not possible in 0g.

7.2.3.2.3 Consumption

The type of equipment used to serve and eat the food will affect the design of the food system. Equipment may include restraints, eating surfaces (e.g., trays, plates, table), and utensils. In 0g, restraints must be provided for crewmembers, food, utensils, and other equipment.

7.2.3.2.4 Cleanup

The ability to capture food particles and spills must be provided. In 0g, powdered or flaky foods will separate and disperse, while foods with a high surface tension will remain in open containers without dispersing throughout the spacecraft.

The food system must use materials that are conducive to cleaning. Velcro in the galley area tends to collect food particles and is difficult to clean. The ability to sanitize eating utensils and surfaces must be provided.

Because food substances left on cleaning materials and in packaging will spoil, food system wet waste materials must be properly disposed of and contained to limit microbial contamination. Waste generated from the food system will include both wet and dry materials. Dry materials may include items such as packaging of dry foods, while wet materials may include leftover food, cleaning materials, and wet food packaging materials.

7.2.3.3 Food Types and Packaging

To maintain food quality throughout a mission, the type of food and packaging should be carefully considered. Several types of food and beverage packaging have been used in NASA space programs. Except for Skylab, no program has had a refrigerator or freezer on board for food storage. Therefore, the food should be shelf-stable. This requires inactivation of the microorganisms in the food during ground processing preflight.

Food has been provided in a number of different forms including:

- Thermostabilized
- Irradiated
- Rehydratable
- Natural form
- Extended-shelf-life bread products
- Fresh food
- Beverages

Different types of packaging are required for the different forms of food, their method of preparation, and required shelf life. The type of food and packaging used depends on the mission duration and the required nutrients. No single type of food item can provide the required nutrient content and subjective variety. Mission menus will therefore be a combination of several different types of food. Maintaining nutrient content and palatability is accomplished by food
selection, processing and packaging and their contribution to extended shelf life. The key consideration for extending food shelf life is preventing contact of the food with oxygen or water, which causes oxidation and spoilage.

Food packaging materials, by resisting permeation of water vapor and oxygen, protect the food from environmental effects that result in the food becoming stale and rancid, and from microbial contamination.

Table 7.2-4 provides data on permeability to water vapor and oxygen for current food system packaging materials. The tests to determine water vapor transmission rates were performed at 50% relative humidity and 23.5°C, according to ASTM F-1249 method. For oxygen transmission rates, the conditions were 60% relative humidity and 23.5°C, according to ASTM F-1927 method.

Table 7.2-4  Water and Oxygen Transmission Rates of Food Packaging Materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food packaging material</th>
<th>Water vapor transmission rate (g/100 in(^2)/24 hrs)</th>
<th>Oxygen transmission rate (cc/100 in(^2)/24 hrs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rollprint foil-based wrap</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0003</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRE pouch</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0003</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combitherm xxx112 lid</td>
<td>0.0251</td>
<td>0.0296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combitherm xxx230 Thermo</td>
<td>0.0271</td>
<td>0.220</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Use of all rehydratable food instead of a mixture of rehydratable food and thermostabilized food may result in an increase in mass, due to the need to carry more water on board for rehydration if water is not being produced or recycled during the mission. At the same time, the need for hot water to properly rehydrate food may result in a need for more equipment. Future long-duration missions may include bioregenerative food systems, such as hydroponic plant growth systems. Trade studies should be performed to consider nutrition, variety, mission duration, food system mass, and resources such as water and power.

Table 7.2-5 provides a summary of the food types and packaging used for the NASA food items.

Table 7.2-5  Types of Food and Packaging for Spaceflight

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food/Packaging Type</th>
<th>ISS/Shuttle Example</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thermostabilized</td>
<td>Beef stew, Yogurt, Pudding, Soup, Tuna casserole, Red beans &amp; rice</td>
<td>Shelf life: 3–5 years, Packaging: Quad-laminate pouch, Preparation: None or heating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irradiated</td>
<td>Beef brisket, fajitas, Broiled lamb, Fresh fruit, Raw vegetables</td>
<td>Shelf life: 2–5 years, Packaging: Quad-laminate pouch, Preparation: None or heating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food/Packaging Type</td>
<td>ISS/Shuttle Example</td>
<td>Parameters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehydratable</td>
<td>Vegetables</td>
<td>Shelf life: 1.5 years with overwrap; 1 year with no overwrap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chicken salad</td>
<td>Packaging: Combitherm pouch, adapter for rehydration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cornbread dressing</td>
<td>Preparation: Rehydration using hot water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sausage patty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shrimp cocktail</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural form</td>
<td>Cookies</td>
<td>Shelf life: 1.5 years with overwrap; 1 year with no overwrap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Brownies</td>
<td>Packaging: Combitherm pouch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nuts</td>
<td>Preparation: None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Granola bars</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extended-shelf-life</td>
<td>Dinner rolls</td>
<td>Shelf life: 1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bread products</td>
<td>Waffles</td>
<td>Packaging: None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scones</td>
<td>Preparation: None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh food</td>
<td>Fresh fruit</td>
<td>Shelf life: 1 week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Raw vegetables</td>
<td>Packaging: None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tortillas</td>
<td>Preparation: None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beverages</td>
<td>Freeze-dried (coffee or tea)</td>
<td>Shelf life: 1.5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Drink mix (lemonade)</td>
<td>Packaging: Tri-laminate pouch, adapter for rehydration, straw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Preparation: Rehydration using hot or cold water</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The current ISS food system incorporates the use of cans for some retorted foods, including Russian retorted items and a few U.S. foods: chicken salad spread, hummus, and canned pears. A few drawbacks accompany the use of metal cans when incorporated in a space food system even though cans provide the optimum barrier against oxygen and moisture permeation. First, metal cans have a higher density than flexible packaging films with similar barrier properties. The result is an increase in the overall mass of the food system if metal cans were used in place of flexible packaging. Second, during stowage and at the time of disposal, metal cans remain rigid and the volume cannot be reduced as much as with flexible packaging. This requires a larger volume needed for storage of refuse materials and also for stowage of uneaten foods.

7.2.3.1.1 Thermostabilized Food

Thermostabilization is the process of heating food to a temperature that renders it free of pathogens, spoilage microorganisms, and enzyme activity. Food items are placed in cans or pouches and then processed with air-overpressure or water-overpressure to remove excess air or oxygen for specified times and temperatures.

Examples of thermostabilized foods from the ISS and Space Shuttle menus are beef stew, yogurt, pudding, soup, tuna casserole, and red beans and rice. The shelf life of thermostabilized foods is 3 to 5 years. These are similar to the meal, ready-to-eat (MRE) used by the U.S. military.

The thermostabilized ISS food package is a pouch fabricated from a quad-laminate of polyolefin/aluminum foil/polyamide/polyester. The maximum dimensions of the thermostabilized food package (standard entrée size) are 8.12 × 4.75 in. (20.62 × 12.06 cm). The maximum thickness of this package is 0.91 in. (2.3 cm). The mass of a filled thermostabilized food package ranges from 3.07 to 8.32 oz (87 to 236 g), with an average of 6.73 oz (191 g).
7.2.3.1.2 Irradiated Food

NASA has special dispensation from the Food and Drug Administration to prepare nine irradiated meat items to commercial sterility (Code of Federal Regulations). Irradiation involves the use of gamma rays, x rays, or electrons, and uses energy levels that ensure negative induction of radioactivity in the irradiated product. It controls naturally occurring processes such as ripening or senescence of raw fruits and vegetables and is effective to inactivate spoilage and pathogenic microorganisms. A wide range of products can be used with this technology, though currently NASA is using it primarily to preserve meats.

Examples of irradiated foods from the ISS and Space Shuttle menus are beef brisket, beef enchiladas, beef fajitas, and broiled lamb. Although irradiation has been used for several years, more data regarding its efficacy needs to be collected. Irradiation will result in a shelf life of 2 to 5 years.

The irradiated ISS food package is a pouch fabricated from a quad-laminate of polyolefin/aluminum foil/polyamide/polyester. The maximum dimensions of the irradiated food package are 8.12 × 4.75 in. (20.62 × 12.06 cm). The maximum thickness of this package is 0.79 in. (2 cm). The average mass of the filled irradiated food package is 4.37 oz (124 g); the mass of a filled irradiated food package ranges from 3.03 to 6.94 oz (86 to 197 g).

7.2.3.1.3 Rehydratable Food

A number of technologies are available for drying foods. Examples of these technologies are drying with heat, osmotic drying, and freeze drying. These processes reduce the water activity of foods, which results in the inability of microorganisms to thrive. The foods can then be rehydrated to return them to a texture similar to that of the food before it was dried. Examples of rehydratable food from the ISS and Space Shuttle menus are asparagus, cauliflower with cheese, chicken salad, cornbread dressing, sausage patty, and shrimp cocktail.

The rehydratable ISS food package is fabricated from the following materials:

a. The base is fabricated from Combitherm PAXX230 [a coextrusion of nylon/medium-density polyethylene (MDPE)/nylon/ethylene-vinyl alcohol (EVOH)/nylon/MDPE/linear low-density polyethylene (LLDPE)].

b. The lid is fabricated from Combitherm PAXX115 (a coextrusion of nylon/EVOH/nylon/LF adhesive/HV polyethylene/LLDPE).

c. A septum adapter is fabricated from low-density polyethylene.

d. A septum fabricated from silicone rubber is sealed in the adapter with a disk fabricated from a laminate of polyester/aluminum foil/polyethylene.

Note: The rehydratable food package is wrapped in a white pouch, 0.003 mm thick, fabricated from a laminate of polyester/polyethylene/aluminum foil/Surlyn®. This wrap is removed before the food is prepared and heated.

The maximum dimensions of the rehydratable food package are 6.10 × 5.60 in. (15.49 × 14.22 cm). The maximum thickness of this package is 1.44 in. (3.65 cm). The average mass of the filled rehydratable food package is 1.78 oz (50.6 g); the mass of a filled rehydratable food package ranges from 0.88 to 3.40 oz (25.0 to 96.6 g).
7.2.3.1.4 Natural-Form Food

Natural-form foods are commercially available shelf-stable foods. The moisture of the foods may range from low moisture (e.g., almonds and peanuts) to intermediate moisture (e.g., brownies). These foods rely on reduced water activity to prevent microbial activity. Examples of natural-form foods from ISS and Shuttle menus are almonds, brownies, cookies, and granola bars.

The package that contains bite-sized natural-form food is fabricated from Combitherm PAXX115, a coextrusion of nylon/EVOH/nylon/LF adhesive/HV polyethylene/LLDPE. This bite-sized-food package is wrapped for storage in a white pouch, 0.003 mm thick, fabricated from a laminate of polyester/polyethylene/aluminum foil/Surlyn®. The dimensions of the package are 7.3 × 3.5 in. (18.54 × 8.89 cm). The average mass of the filled bite-sized-food package is 1.76 oz (50 g); the mass of a filled bite-sized-food package ranges from 0.74 to 2.43 oz (21 to 69 g).

7.2.3.1.5 Extended-Shelf-Life Bread Products

Items such as tortillas, scones, waffles, and dinner rolls can be vacuum-packed to give them a shelf life up to 1 year.

7.2.3.1.6 Fresh Food

Foods that have a short shelf life, such as fresh fruit, and vegetables are provided on a limited basis, more for psychological support than as part of meeting dietary requirements.

7.2.3.1.7 Beverages

The beverages currently being used on the ISS and Space Shuttle are either freeze-dried beverage mixes (e.g., coffee or tea) or flavored drinks (e.g., lemonade, orange drink, etc.). The drink mixes are prepared and vacuum-sealed inside a beverage pouch and in the case of coffee or tea, possibly with sugar, artificial sweetener, or powdered cream. Empty beverage pouches are also provided for drinking water.

A straw and tubing clamp accompany the beverage pouch to penetrate the septum to allow drinking and to clamp the straw shut to prevent liquid from leaking out of the pouch and dispersing throughout the spacecraft.

The beverage package is fabricated from a tri-laminate of polyester/aluminum foil/polyethylene. It has a low-density polyethylene septum adapter and a silicone rubber septum sealed in the adapter with a disk cut from the same material as the package. The maximum dimensions of the beverage package are 8.8 × 3.6 in. (22.35 × 9.14 cm). The maximum thickness of this package before hydration is 0.52 in. (1.27 cm). The maximum thickness of a beverage package lying flat horizontally after hydration is 1.18 in. (3 cm). The average mass of the filled beverage package is 0.92 oz (26.1 g); the mass of a filled beverage package ranges from 0.42 to 1.90 oz (12.0 to 54.0 g). These beverage packages require the addition of 6 to 8 oz (180 to 240 mL) of potable water.

7.2.3.4 Food Preparation and Processing

For short-duration missions, and currently for all long-duration missions as well, all food is processed and packaged preflight. However, for long-duration missions, especially those lasting
several years, the capability for food preparation and processing on the lunar or planetary surface should be considered. Preliminary studies suggest that the total mass of the food system can be reduced if the food system moves more toward a bioregenerative food system. In all cases, the acceptability and nutrient quality of the foods after processing would need to be evaluated in the design phase.

In a bioregenerative food system, vegetables and fruits would be freshly grown on the lunar or Mars surface and baseline crops such as soybeans, wheat, rice, peanuts, and dried beans would be grown or launched in bulk from Earth. The baseline crops would be processed, using miniaturized processing equipment, into edible ingredients. The edible ingredients and freshly grown fruits and vegetables would be used in preparing meals in the galley. The preparation equipment for the galley will likely be commercially available gourmet kitchen appliances that will need to be modified for lunar missions. Some packaged food would likely be required.

Food preparation or processing in a habitat will depend on these factors:
- mass and volume of the preparation and processing equipment
- required resources such as power, crew time, and water
- types of foods able to be processed
- safety concerns to ensure crew health
- desired shelf life
- effect of 0g and partial gravity

7.2.4 Space Radiation

Space radiation has not been found to affect food or packaging quality during orbital missions that last up to 9 months on the ISS, but more radiation will be received during missions to the Moon and Mars. Because different types and higher levels of radiation will be encountered during planetary missions, the effect of radiation on food and packaging quality should be considered. The addition of antioxidants to the food may help prevent the formation of free radicals, which contribute to food spoilage. If plants will be grown as a food source on the Moon or Mars, the seeds must be protected from radiation to prevent problems with their growth and nutrient content.

7.2.5 Research Needs

- Determine the specifications for a nutrient-dense food system to support the high metabolic rates of crewmembers on lunar EVAs
- Understand the effect of the thermostabilization and freeze-drying processes on nutritional content of food
- Understand the effect of space radiation on nutrition and acceptability
- Understand the effect of time and temperature on nutrition and acceptability
- Determine variety, acceptability, and usability requirements for the food system for different mission lengths
- Understand sensory qualities in 0g
- Development of a food system with packages having a 5-year shelf life, for extended NASA missions
- Reduction of package mass and volume without compromising food quality
• Development of an advanced packaging material with adequate oxygen and moisture barrier properties to allow an 18-month shelf life for ISS
• Development of reusable packaging systems for long-duration missions
• Testing of emerging food preservation technologies, which will provide improved quality over thermostabilized and irradiated items
• Trade study that considers efficiencies and adequacies of the two food systems with a recommendation to the Program
• Design and testing of several pieces of food processing equipment and procedures to develop safe and high-quality edible ingredients for further preparation in galley
• Design and testing of several pieces of efficient (minimum power, water, and cleaning) food preparation equipment and procedures to develop safe and high-quality recipes in galley
• Development of procedures for handling crops, to ensure safety of uncooked foods
• Understanding of the effect of radiation on food quality and nutrient value
7.3 PERSONAL HYGIENE

7.3.1 Introduction

Personal hygiene is important for good health because it eliminates microorganisms and prevents
the spread of disease, and it is also important for crew comfort. Personal hygiene includes
cleansing of the entire body, including skin, hair, and teeth. This section includes design
guidelines for personal hygiene.

7.3.2 General Considerations

Dead skin cells, hair, sweat, and oil foster the growth of bacteria and can be easily removed with
soap and water. Crewmembers on short-duration missions, like the Space Shuttle, often perform
only partial-body cleansing using disposable wipes and rinse-less shampoo. For longer duration
missions, both for cleanliness and crew comfort, reusable towels that can be soaked with water
may be preferred. Whole-body cleansing during long-duration missions may be necessary to
ensure cleanliness that may be difficult to accomplish with partial-body cleansing. Each
crewmember should also have a personal hygiene kit that includes items such as a toothbrush,
toothpaste, hair brush, deodorant, shaving supplies, nail clippers, tampons, and contact lens kits.

It is important to note that personal hygiene standards vary with cultural background, and this
should be taken into consideration during missions with international crews. People of some
cultures traditionally bathe at least once per day and use deodorant, and often find those who do
not follow the same traditions to have an unpleasant odor. Odors tend to become more
pronounced on space missions because of the confined environment and limited ability for full-
body cleansing.

Personal hygiene capabilities must be provided for all missions. Personal hygiene is defined as
body washing (whole or partial), oral hygiene, and grooming. It is important to consider the
following when designing for personal hygiene:

1. Psychological Effects – Good grooming can enhance self-image, improve morale, and
   increase the productivity of the crewmember. Adequate and comfortable bathing and body
   waste management facilities have been high on the list of priorities of participants in various
   space missions. Some modification of personal hygiene practices and procedures may be
   necessary due to equipment design limitations and water supply restrictions, but should be
   minimized.

2. Privacy – Privacy must be provided for whole-body and partial-body cleaning (including
donning and doffing of clothing).

3. Odor – Objectionable body odors can rapidly build without adequate personal hygiene
   facilities. This is a predictable source of interpersonal conflict.

4. Personal Items – Personal hygiene items must be provided for each crewmember. Each
   crewmember needs personal hygiene capabilities for body cleansing, oral hygiene, and
   personal grooming throughout each space mission. Personal hygiene equipment and supplies
   must accommodate the physiological differences in male and female crewmembers in the
   microgravity environment. The supplies should also be able to meet the personal needs and
   comfort of the crewmembers to the extent possible.

5. Design for Effective and Efficient Use – Experiences with the Skylab shower design has
   shown that personal hygiene facilities will be less frequently used if they are awkward,
   uncomfortable, or take a large amount of time to use.
6. Feedback – Unfamiliar and inadequate facilities and environment can result in crewmembers falling into patterns of substandard hygiene. The results are likely to be interpersonal conflict and reduced productivity. Provision of full-length mirrors or other means of feedback should be considered to help maintain personal image and hygiene habits.

7. Cleaning – To remain hygienic, personal hygiene equipment must be easily cleaned, sanitized and maintained.

8. Mission Duration – Shorter missions generally require less extensive personal hygiene facilities. Additional guidelines for determining facility needs are discussed in the following section, Architectural Considerations. For safety and health reasons, hygiene facilities must be designed to accommodate partial-body or full-body cleansing before and/or after these functions:
   - Urination and defecation
   - Exercise
   - Medical activities
   - Experimentation or other work requiring specialized washing
   - Meal consumption
   - Accidental exposure to toxic substances
   - Eye contamination

9. 0-gravity
   - Cleanup – Designs should minimize the time and effort to use hygiene facilities. In 0g, water and debris, such as hair, do not fall to a fixed surface (such as the floor) as they do on Earth. Functions that require relatively little time on Earth, such as a shower, can take considerably longer in 0g. This can have a negative impact on both mission schedule and personal motivation to use the facilities.
   - Restraints – In 0g, restraints should be provided for stabilization of crewmembers in the hygiene area.

Hygiene facilities should also accommodate the hygiene byproducts defined in Table 7.3-1.

### Table 7.3-1  Personal Hygiene Byproducts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hygiene Byproducts</th>
<th>Mass (g/person/day)</th>
<th>Volume (mL/person/day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hair growth</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.3 to 0.5 mm per day)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desquamated epithelium</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hair – depilation loss</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hair – facial – shaving loss</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nails</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solids in sweat</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sebaceous excretion – residue</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solids in saliva</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Water may or may not be part of the body cleansing process. If water is used, hardware design should address:
   - Application of the water to hands and face
   - Removal of excess water from the body (and the facility or cleansing aids)
   - Ability to control the temperature of the water, and its flow and usage
• Preventing water from escaping into the spacecraft environment

Location of hygiene facilities should be based on the following considerations
• Maximum privacy
• Location near personal cabins or areas
• Location far from galley and dining
• Location in low-traffic areas
• Ease of (frequent) access
• Combination with waste management
• Mixed-gender crew

The facility sizing and interior layout should be based on the following features
• Major features: cleansing aids, sink, storage, shower
• Stowage and supplies – brushes, toothbrushes, wipes, hair trimmer, mirror, toothpaste, soap, debris containment
• Body postures, ranges of motion (see Figure 7.3-1)
• Number of crewmembers – having a large number of crewmembers on a spacecraft at the same time may necessitate more than one facility
• Privacy

Figure 7.3-1 Personal Hygiene Facility Sizing

A shower was developed for Skylab (Figure 7.3-2), but it required so much crew time to set up and operate that it was judged to be too much trouble to include it in the Space Shuttle. Astronauts would step inside a ring on the floor, raise a fireproof beta-cloth curtain on a hoop, and attach it to the ceiling. A flexible hose with push-button shower nozzle could spray 2.8 liters of water from the personal hygiene tank during each bath. Used water would be vacuumed from
the shower enclosure into a disposable bag and deposited in the waste tank. (link: http://www.astronautix.com/project/skylab.htm)

The Russian Mir space station had a rigid, water-tight shower that provided 10 liters of water, which was returned to the water reclamation system for later use.

7.3.3 Research Needs

RESERVED
7.4 BODY WASTE MANAGEMENT

7.4.1 Introduction
During space missions, proper elimination and containment of waste, including feces, urine, menses, and vomit, as well as related hygiene are critical considerations. Contamination from body waste can enter the body through the nose, mouth, ears, and cuts in the skin, and can cause a variety of illnesses and infections. This section provides design guidelines for body waste management.

7.4.2 General Considerations
Consider the following in the design of waste management systems:

1. Maintenance – System servicing and repair tasks are neither pleasant nor mission-productive. Therefore, the system should be as reliable as possible and require a minimum of repair time. Scheduled maintenance and servicing times, including unloading and refurbishment, should be kept to a minimum. This includes periodic cleaning to control microbial growth.

2. Ease of Use – The system should be simple and quick to use. The system should be readily available for emergencies such as vomiting or diarrhea. As a design goal, the facilities should be used like and require approximately the same amount of time for use as Earth facilities.

3. Acceptance – The body waste management systems should be both psychologically and physiologically acceptable to the crewmembers. An unacceptable system can result in deliberate restriction or modification of the diet by the crew and possible nutritional deficiencies.

4. Gravity – Gravity plays an important role in the removal of feces from the body during defecation in a 1g environment. A means to assist the removal of feces away from the body should be provided in a 0g environment. Airflow has been used successfully in the past for the entrainment of both feces and urine in 0g. In addition, body restraints must be provided, and should be easily and quickly put in place.

5. Odor – Waste management odor must be minimized.

6. Contamination – The system must prevent fecal contamination of the food system.

7. Privacy – Full-body visual privacy must be provided for waste management activities. To the extent possible, auditory and olfactory privacy should be provided.

8. Supply Stowage – Waste management supplies must be accessible to a crewmember using the waste management system.

9. Post-Defecation Cleansing – In 0g, many more tissues are needed for cleansing the anal areas after defecation, because gravitational forces are not present to aid in separation of the feces from the body. Also, since settling does not occur, the uncompacted wipes occupy 1.5 to 3 times the volume that would be used in a 1g environment.

10. Volume and Mass of Body Waste Products

- The waste management system must be capable of collecting and containing an average of 150 grams (by mass) and 150 mL (by volume) of fecal matter per crewmember per defecation at an average two defecations per day. The normal feces
bolus of a healthy adult varies in size from 100 to 200 mm long by 15 to 40 mm in
diameter and weighs 100 to 200 grams. The number of defecations per day is
individually variable, ranging from two times per week to five times per day, with an
average of two times per day being assumed for design purposes. The waste
management system must be capable of collecting and containing 500 grams (by
mass) and 500 mL (by volume) of fecal matter per crewmember in a single
defecation. This is based on the maximum fecal output for an average healthy adult.

- The waste management system must be capable of collecting and containing 1.5 L of
diarrhea in a single event; 1.5 L is based on evaluations of individuals afflicted with
pathogenic diarrhea, as found in medical literature, and based on most likely maximal
discharge in afflicted individuals. A crewmember could experience up to eight
diarrhea events (average volume of 0.5 L each) per day for up to 2 days, which must
be accommodated. From a waste management system standpoint, normal feces should
not be accounted for on days when a crewmember is afflicted with diarrhea.

- The waste management system must be capable of collecting and containing a
maximum total urine output volume of:

\[ V_U = 3 + 2t \]

liters per crewmember, where \( t \) is the mission length in days. Urine production on the
first day after launch is 3 liters per crewmember. Urine output may be slightly greater
or less in different phases of the mission (associated with g-transitions) and with
different fluid intake levels. There must be the capability to collect all of the
crewmember’s urine output in succession, with an average void varying from 100 to
500 mL. Rarely, a single void might be as much as 1 liter, so the equipment must be
able to accommodate this maximum. In addition, the capability must exist to collect 1
liter of urine per crewmember per hour. The rate of urinary delivery into the system
from the body will vary by gender (greater for females because of lower urethral
resistance) but averages 10 to 35 mL/s. Maximum flow rate with abdominal straining
in a female may be as high as 50 mL/s for a few seconds, and must be
accommodated. The number of urinations per day is individually variable, with an
average of six times per day, which must be accommodated.

- The waste management system must be capable of collecting and containing vomitus
for up to 8 events of an average of 500 mL each. The maximum volume of expelled
vomitus can be 1 L of solids and fluids, with a fully distended stomach. The average
volume of vomitus is more likely to be 200 to 500 mL.

- Other waste products are defined in Table 7.4-1.
Table 7.4-1  Waste Products

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WASTE PRODUCTS</th>
<th>MASS (g/person/day)</th>
<th>VOLUME (mL/person/day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mucus</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menses (see note 1)</td>
<td>113.4</td>
<td>113.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flatus as gas</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solids in feces</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water in feces</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid in urine</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water in urine (note 2)</td>
<td>1630</td>
<td>1630</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. Approximately once every 26 to 34 days and lasting 4 to 6 days, approximately 80% released during first 3 days.
2. Based on Skylab data

11. Anatomical Considerations – Dimensions of the body that should be considered for design of waste management facilities are shown in Table 7.4-2 and Figure 7.4-1. The body protuberances of the pelvis, the ischial tuberosities, support the seated body in 1g conditions. In reduced-gravity conditions, seat contours and restraints can help the crewmember locate the ischial tuberosities and thereby properly position the anus and urethra in relation to the collection devices. If airflow is used for collection and entrainment of feces and urine, it may be necessary to minimize the size of the air duct or collector opening for sealing. In both 1g and 0g conditions, it is possible to defecate through a 10-cm-diameter opening, although significant problems have been noted with this small an opening. Differences in male and female anatomy should also be considered.

Table 7.4-2  Anatomical Dimensions for the Design of Body Waste Management Facilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Dimension Range (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Lateral separation of ischial tuberosity</td>
<td>10 to 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Width of perineal furrow</td>
<td>7.5 to 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Anterior and posterior separation between</td>
<td>13 to 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tuberosities and external urethral opening</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Anterior and posterior separation between</td>
<td>15 to 30.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>anus and external urethral opening</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12. Body Posture – Since the act of defecation involves the use of stomach muscles, the ability for the body to be positioned so that these muscles are supported and not strained, such as in a sitting position, must be provided. There is no evidence to suggest that posture has any effect on facilitating urination.

13. Simultaneous Urination and Defecation – The ability must exist to allow a crewmember to urinate and defecate simultaneously. This is to ensure that there is no accidental discharge of one or both waste components into the habitable volume, since it may be difficult to relax the gastrointestinal control sphincter without relaxing the urinary
voluntary control sphincter, and vice versa. To minimize impact to crew operations, waste elimination should be accomplished without having to completely remove clothing.

Location of waste management facilities should be based on the following considerations:

- Maximum visual and auditory privacy
- Location near personal cabins or areas
- Location far from eating and food preparation areas
- Location in low-traffic areas
- Ease of (frequent) access
- Combination with personal hygiene activities
- Mixed-gender crew

The facility sizing and interior layout should be based on the following features:

- Major features: toilet, urinal, restraints
- Stowage and supplies – wipes, trash
- Body postures and ranges of motion
- Number of crewmembers – having a large number of crewmembers on a spacecraft at the same time may necessitate more than one facility
- Privacy

### 7.4.3 Research Needs

RESERVED
7.5 COUNTERMEASURES

7.5.1 Introduction

To meet the physical fitness needs of a given mission, a spacecraft needs to be able to accommodate the deployed equipment, stowage, and crewmember motion for physiological countermeasures, including exercise. This section addresses the design and layout of a countermeasures area in a spacecraft, with a focus on exercise countermeasures, including the overall size, location, interfaces, and stowage.

7.5.2 General Considerations

To ensure that crewmembers can perform nominal tasks, including EVAs, as well as function during off-nominal events such as emergency landings, the crew needs to maintain an appropriate level of physical fitness throughout the mission. As described in section 4.2 Physical Workload, adaptation to spaceflight can result in decreased cardiovascular fitness and musculoskeletal function unless appropriate countermeasures are used. Maintaining cardiovascular fitness and musculoskeletal strength via use of countermeasures during flight are vital to crew health and productivity during the mission and upon return to 1g.

7.5.2.1 Mission Fitness Level

To determine the physical fitness needs of a mission, the mission environment (i.e., 0g or planetary surface) and tasks needs to be understood. Then, proper countermeasures can be defined within the constraints of the mission and spacecraft. That is, physical fitness needs for a short-duration mission in low Earth orbit (LEO) will be different from those for a long-duration lunar surface mission.

1. Gravity environment – The gravity level and duration affects the physiological adaptation to that environment. Missions may include several gravity environments, starting with 1g on Earth, hyper-g during launch and entry, 0g in LEO, and 1/6g on the Moon, all of which will affect human performance differently.

2. Mission tasks – Some missions may include tasks that require a greater physical workload than others, such as EVA, or are performed at a certain point in the mission, such as landing. The task physical requirements should drive the level and type of fitness required of the crew. For example, because hand mobility in a pressure suit is difficult, EVA crewmembers tend to focus on increasing hand and forearm strength before a mission.

7.5.2.2 Types of Countermeasures

Proper countermeasures must be provided to ensure crew health throughout the mission and upon return to Earth. Countermeasures to the negative physiological effects of adaptation need to take into account the level of adaptation to a given gravity environment, and the performance level required for the tasks to be performed. Countermeasures may be physical (i.e., exercise with or without mechanical devices), chemical (i.e., pharmacological interventions, diet), or a combination of the two. This discussion focuses on exercise countermeasures.

To ensure overall physical fitness, exercise countermeasures need to stress both the cardiovascular and the musculoskeletal systems. Resistive exercise relies on the body to work against its own, or an externally provided, weight. In 1g on Earth, that weight is provided by gravity. However, in 0g the notion of weight is meaningless, and mechanical resistance or an external loading mechanism must be provided. In partial-g, gravity should be used to provide
some of the required resistance, which can off-load the exercise device design. On Earth the American College of Sports Medicine recommends exercise regimens for individuals. These recommendations may differ in 0g, although exactly how they may differ remains unclear.

Proper nutrition and hydration are important for physical fitness, and must be provided. Not only does the body need additional energy to perform exercise, but this fuel must include the proper balance of carbohydrates, protein, and fats (see section 7.2 Food and Nutrition). Moreover, additional water is needed to counteract the dehydration that naturally occurs during exercise.

Spacecraft have limited volume and payload capacity, which should be taken into account when the range of motion of exercises and the mass and volume of exercise equipment are considered. Time needed for setup, operation, maintenance, and take-down must also be minimized in designs for spaceflight equipment. On ISS, translation paths have been blocked by large items, such as stowage or exercise equipment, which has contributed to congestion.

Exercise devices typically work more than one of the three systems (cardiovascular, bone, and muscle), but stress one over another. They will be categorized according to the primary system they benefit.

7.5.2.2.1 Aerobic Devices

To maintain cardiovascular fitness, the cardiovascular system must be sufficiently stressed throughout a mission. Knowledge of each crewmember’s preflight VO2max and heart rate are critical for writing in-flight exercise prescriptions designed to properly stress the cardiovascular system. Intensity, frequency, and duration of the prescribed exercises need to be considered when attempting to maintain values that are essential to performance during nominal tasks and to provide additional reserve in case of emergency or off-nominal operations. Intensity has been shown to be the most important factor.

The first spaceflight treadmill was developed for Skylab 4 to mitigate aerobic deconditioning and fluid volume loss. The treadmill design was a Teflon®-coated aluminum walking surface attached to the iso-grid floor with a bungee-harness system capable of applying an external load of 80 kg. Locomotion was similar to walking up a slippery hill, which caused premature muscle fatigue and thus could not be practiced for a significant duration. The next-generation treadmill was nonmotorized (a crewmember was required to actively move the belt) and crewmembers were tethered to the treadmill with a bungee-harness system. This treadmill was used only for experimental purposes on Space Shuttle missions. The treadmill with vibration isolation system (TVIS) aboard the ISS is mounted to a vibration isolation system and is motorized; therefore, it can be used in either the active or passive mode. At this time, because the TVIS has been in use for only a short time, it is not possible to draw conclusions about its physiological effectiveness.

Cycle ergometry was introduced in an attempt to maintain aerobic fitness. It is performed by the Space Shuttle and long-duration ISS crewmembers and has successfully reduced losses in aerobic capacity. The Space Shuttle cycle ergometer is hard-mounted directly to the Space Shuttle. The cycle ergometer aboard the ISS is free-floating via a vibration isolation system.

The Russian Chibis vacuum suit used on Mir provided lower-body negative pressure, which worked by providing a load on the heart and, in conjunction with fluid loading, by restoring some of the fluid volume lost during the mission to improve orthostatic tolerance on return to Earth.

The second-generation ISS treadmill (T2) is based on a commercially designed Woodway treadmill. Crewmembers can run faster on T2 (12 mph) than on TVIS (10 mph). The treadmill
also has a wider tread surface, a more comfortable loading system, and increased programmability for exercise prescriptions.

7.5.2.2.2 Resistive Devices

To maintain a given level of bone mineral density and muscular strength, it is important to have all three forms of muscle contraction (concentric, eccentric, isometric) in a strength training program. Musculoskeletal fitness is necessary to complete mission-critical and EVA-related tasks (e.g., moving rocks during planetary EVA, egress after landing). It is also important for overcoming the inherent resistance in any spacesuit.

Resistance training, which stresses the muscles and bones, is needed to maintain musculoskeletal fitness. Intensity, frequency, and duration will have an impact on how much muscle mass and strength and bone mineral density is preserved. In 1g, a person’s body weight can provide adequate resistance to maintain bone and muscle, with everyday activities and exercises such as squats, push-ups, and sit-ups. While likely not adequate resistance, the 1/6- and 3/8-effective body weight on the Moon and Mars, respectively, should be taken into consideration in exercise device design.

Exercise countermeasures have been used since the Gemini program and have changed and improved to suit different mission durations operational constraints and device efficacy. In response to the decreased exercise tolerance and muscle atrophy experienced by the crewmembers after they used an isometric device, the Exer-Genie, during the Apollo Program, (Dietlein, et al., 1975) arm ergometry was practiced on Skylab 2. The arm ergometer did not protect against losses in lower-body muscle strength. The MK1 and MK2 (“Mini-gym”) were subsequently developed for use on Skylab 3. The MK1 and MK2 provided traditional rowing capabilities for aerobic conditioning and had accessories for anaerobic resistive exercises. Decrement in muscle strength and mass were observed after Skylab 3 (Thornton, et al., 1977).

ISS resistance exercise protocols intended to maintain muscular strength include upper- and lower-body exercises, for 1.5 hours, 6 days per week. The ISS in-flight resistance training devices are not capable of providing a sufficient load to maintain muscular strength. Ground-based studies with other in-flight resistive exercise devices (e.g., advanced resistive exercise device [ARED]) show that they are able to provide a greater load and thus may be a more effective countermeasure.

The interim resistive exercise device (iRED) was introduced for use on the ISS. The device provides adjustable levels of mechanical resistance via two canisters with rubber flex packs. The crewmember is held to the iRED platform with a bungee-harness system. The effectiveness of the iRED is limited by a 300-pound maximal applied load. Another problem is that the flex packs are made of rubber and are susceptible to wear.

Russian cosmonauts have used passive exercise devices, including the “Penguin” suit, which provides passive stress to large muscles by opposing movement. While it is believed to have been beneficial, its benefits have been difficult to measure because the integrated bungee straps are not calibrated and each suit is adjusted by the individual for comfort.

The ARED provides the ability to perform a broad spectrum of 30 resistive exercises using the lift bar or cable system. Resistance is provided via vacuum cylinders that can apply up to 600 pounds of resistance for bar exercises and up to 150 pounds of resistance for cable exercises. The crewmember is held down to the ARED platform during exercise by a loaded lift bar. Since air pressure is used to create the resistive force, a lower spacecraft total pressure would result in a proportional reduction in exercise resistance.
Table 7.5-1 summarizes the types of exercise devices used during U.S. space missions.

### Table 7.5-1  Spaceflight Exercise Devices (Rucker, 2004)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exercise</th>
<th>Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Isometrics</td>
<td>Gemini Apollo Skylab 2 Skylab 3 Skylab 4 STS Mir ISS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistance</td>
<td>Bungees MK I MK II MK I MK II Bungees iRED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycle Ergometer</td>
<td>X X X X Velo CEVIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treadmill</td>
<td>MK I MK II MK I MK II X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rower</td>
<td>Penguin Suit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive System</td>
<td>Penguin Suit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 7.5.3 Volume and Dimensions

For physiological countermeasures, a volume must exist in the spacecraft that is large enough to accommodate a person, the body motions, and any necessary equipment. While the spacecraft is in 0g, this volume’s minimum dimensions may occur in any orientation, but when it is in a gravity field, such as on the Moon or Mars, these dimensions should take the “upright” orientation of the person into account.

The minimum countermeasures volume dimensions may be found by first understanding the types of countermeasures expected and crew size (Figure 7.5-1, 7.5-2).

For the exercise envelope, the following apply to the z-axis (head-to-toe):

- If the exercise requires overhead reaching, then the maximum crew height and overhead reach must be accommodated (see Anthropometry 4.3).
- If the exercise requires jumping or running motion, such as a treadmill, then the maximum crew jumping or running height must be accommodated. This also depends on the gravity environment (the lower the gravity, the higher the jumping height), any movement in a vibration isolation system, as well as any restraint system that may limit motion.
- If the exercise requires crewmembers to stand on equipment, such as a treadmill, that is not flush with the surface, that dimension must be added.
For the exercise envelope, the following apply to the x-axis (back-to-chest) and y-axis (shoulder-to-shoulder):

- If the exercise requires bending at the waist, reaching forward or sideways with the arms, or extending the legs forward or sideways, those dimensions must be accommodated.
- Instead of providing a volume to encompass all motions, it may be possible to constrain some dimensions by turning the body during exercise. For example, if one exercise requires a forward reach, and another requires a sideways reach, it may be possible to have the crewmember turn the body 90 degrees (in yaw) between exercises, instead of providing room for both a forward and sideways reach.
- If the exercise requires crewmembers to interact with a mounted device, the relevant device dimension must also be added.
7.5.4 Location

While exercise may be possible in many locations, some locations are more favorable than others because of their proximity to some areas and separation from others:

- Areas where exercise occurs must properly control the increased heat, carbon dioxide production, and humidity that result from exercise (see section 6.2.3.1.4 Expected Metabolic Loads).
- The partial pressure of oxygen (\(pO_2\)) in the exercise area(s) must be maintained at normal levels; otherwise, the required physiological capabilities of crewmembers may be impaired (see section 6.2.3.1.4 Expected Metabolic Loads).
- Because of body odor and the possibility for contamination with sweat, exercise should be performed as far from food preparation and eating areas as possible, or other methods of contamination protection should be used, such as a physical barrier.
- If exercising creates substantial noise because of exercise performance, exercise hardware, or environmental controls, it should not be done near sleeping or communication areas. If it has to be done near those areas, then exercise must not be done while crewmembers are performing those activities.
- Because exercise and related equipment may take up substantial volume, exercise areas should be located so that they minimize interference with translation paths and other tasks.

Depending on the spacecraft size and layout, it is possible that exercise can be accommodated only in certain locations. If there are multiple possible locations to perform exercise, it is most practical to choose one location for all or most exercise. Since spacecraft have limited volume, tasks often have to be choreographed around each other to minimize interference with people and hardware. Choosing a single location may minimize such operational interference. ISS crewmembers have reported issues related to the co-location of exercise activities with other activities such as dining and sleeping.
In 0g, exercise will likely require a device, which will need to be mounted to prevent inadvertent contact with the surroundings during exercise. Depending on the type of exercise and the need for maintaining a quiescent environment, a vibration isolation system may also be needed to prevent transmission of vibration to the spacecraft. Another factor of location is the resonance of the structure with the vibrations created by the exercising crewmember. Certain locations may not be usable due to coupling of the frequency of the exercise to the natural frequency of the structure. Likewise, it may be necessary to “de-tune” any vibrations by not operating the equipment at certain frequencies. For instance, there may be a speed range on a treadmill or cadence on a cycle ergometer that is forbidden due to such factors. A benefit of the 0g environment is the ability to mount hardware, and perform exercise, in any orientation in the spacecraft; that is, on the “ceiling,” “walls,” or “floor.”

7.5.5 Interfaces

Countermeasures hardware may require access to power and specific mounting surfaces, and may require data access and storage; thus, the proximity of equipment to these interfaces should be considered to reduce setup and stowage time. This will allow crewmembers to efficiently utilize allotted time.

7.5.6 Stowage

The stowage volume for exercise must include:
- Exercise hardware (if not permanently deployed)
- Maintenance equipment (e.g., unique tools, spare parts, and cleaning materials)
- Additional parts (e.g., bars, handles, straps, clips, harnesses, ropes, bungee cords, support rails, computer interfaces)
- Personal equipment (e.g., shoes, exercise clothes)

7.5.7 Research Needs

Develop countermeasures and technologies to monitor, prevent and mitigate adverse outcomes of human health and performance risks relevant to:
- impaired performance due to reduced muscle mass, strength, and endurance
- operational impact of prolonged daily exercise
- bone fracture
- reduced physical performance due to decreased aerobic capacity
- impaired ability to maintain control of spacecraft and other complex systems
7.6 MEDICAL

7.6.1 Introduction
This section addresses the design and layout of a medical area in a spacecraft, including the overall size, medical interfaces, and stowage. The medical area must support capabilities for health monitoring and for diagnosis and treatment of illness and injury.

7.6.2 General Considerations
The mission duration, trajectory (i.e., LEO, lunar, Mars), and environment are key considerations for determining the type of medical diagnosis and treatment capabilities to be used on a spacecraft. The likelihood of specific injuries, the level of risk, and the feasibility of treatment needs to be defined to understand the medical capability that will be needed on a mission. A concept of operations for a specific mission will help to determine the capabilities needed to support expected illness and injuries. The Spaceflight Human Systems Standard, NASA-STD-3001, Volume 1, outlines the Levels of Care that must be provided for spaceflight.

Many health risks can be anticipated and, therefore, prevented or easily treated during flight. Cuts, bruises, and minor infections require minimal equipment and training to treat. However, emergency medical scenarios are unpredictable and difficult to treat, possibly requiring advanced medical equipment and training, which may not be feasible during flight. Treatment for medical conditions on the Space Shuttle or ISS relies on the ability to communicate with experts on the ground, and return to Earth if necessary. However, for exploration missions, return time to Earth and communications delays will greatly reduce the ability to rely on involvement of Earth-based personnel, and so the crew needs to be capable of performing the majority of medical activities independently. Therefore, the health management infrastructure should also support autonomous medical care and crew health for duty; i.e., have the capability to provide care and maintain fitness for duty during a mission with little or no real-time support from Earth.

7.6.3 Size and Layout
The size of a dedicated medical area, or area capable of supporting medical activities, depends on the number of crewmembers, mission duration, crew activities, and the likelihood that multiple crewmembers may become injured or ill enough to require simultaneous medical attention. At a minimum, the medical system must have adequate volume and surface area to treat a patient, and allow access for the medical care provider and medical equipment.

7.6.4 Medical Interfaces
For 0g phases of flight, restraints must be provided for the patient, care provider, and equipment.

- Patient restraints must be capable of preventing the motion of arms and legs, allow stabilization of the head, neck, and spine, and provide attachment to the spacecraft.
- Care provider restraints must allow the care provider to remain close to the patient to administer treatment, but should be easily removable or allow movement to access nearby equipment.
- Equipment restraints must be able to safely restrain large items such as medical kits, as well as individual items such as scissors and syringes.

If medical care continues throughout acceleration phases of flight, equipment restraint must be provided near the patient.
Interfaces to potable water, pressurized oxygen, power, and data must be accessible to the medical area, to ensure that medical equipment can use these resources as needed. Access to patient medical history and medical procedures should also be nearby. If a defibrillator is needed, the patient must be electrically isolated from the spacecraft, to protect both the avionics of the spacecraft and other crewmembers from inadvertent shock.

Especially for long-duration missions, because of the limited quantities and variable lifetime of certain medical supplies and medications, a medical inventory system should be used to keep track of this data.

When a care provider treating another crewmember is in communication with the Mission Control Center (MCC), hands-free two-way voice and visual communications should be available between the treatment area and the MCC, in either a real-time or store-and-forward capability.

Medical equipment must be usable by nonphysician crewmembers, in case a physician crewmember is the one that requires medical treatment. To accomplish this, medical equipment should be simple, easy to use and require minimal training.

7.6.4.1 Decision Aids

A decision aid is a special form of procedure that guides the user through a process that includes the selection different courses of action. Decision aids can improve performance in the operation of medical equipment during medical emergencies. This is especially the case when dealing with crewmembers minimally trained in medical practice.

When developing decision aids it is important to base concepts on human factors principles while considering the flow of information and the level of detail that it needs to contain. The following items should be considered in the development of decision aids:

- A clear beginning and ending to the steps and numbering of steps will aid the user to know where he/she is in the procedure and when he/she is finished.
- When considering schematics or pictures for inclusion, make sure they are large enough to be useful while avoiding unnecessary detail that makes the picture difficult to interpret.
- The use of coding or highlighting to stress important details is recommended. Coding can be done with color, and/or font style/formatting (e.g., bold or underlining). However, do not overuse color or violate color conventions (such as using green to highlight a dangerous condition when red would normally be used).

Decision aid development is an iterative process and concepts need to be evaluated through informal and/or formal usability testing. Concepts need to be tested with the success criteria that include time to perform the procedure and errors related to performance (e.g., omitting a critical step, incorrectly performing the action, number of attempts before successful execution).

The respiratory support pack (RSP) cue card used on the ISS is an example of a successful medical decision aid. The Usability Testing and Analysis Facility at JSC evaluated the original layout of the RSP cue card and recommended a new design based on human factors principles. The redesigned cue card organized the content into a linear flow and removed the post-emergency content. The redesigned cue card featured one schematic of the RSP that included color mapping of equipment position (on the schematic) to the name of the item (bordered in matching color in the pack to the procedural step), borders, increased font size of text, and simplification of the flow of information. As a result of these changes, the time to complete the RSP procedure was reduced by approximately 3 minutes. In an emergency situation, 3 minutes increases the probability of saving a life.
7.6.5 Stowage

Treatment consumables, including medications, bandages, and intravenous fluids, must have volume for stowage. Some stowage requires environmental control to ensure viability.

Biological hazards, like blood and other bodily fluids, must be contained and safely disposed of, to minimize contamination of other crewmembers. Sharp items such as syringe needles must be safely disposed of, to prevent inadvertent injury to other crewmembers.

Certain medical equipment, supplies, and medications should be stowed in an easily accessible area, to facilitate quick access in case of a medical emergency. ISS crewmembers have reported on the importance of optimally organized and easily accessible stowage of medical equipment supplies and medications within racks and separate kits.

7.6.6 Research Needs

RESERVED
7.7 STOWAGE

7.7.1 Introduction
This section discusses design considerations for the layout and design of stowage systems inside a spacecraft.

7.7.2 General Considerations
A stowage system can be integrated with a crew station or may be a separate area apart from the normally occupied areas. A stowage area should be seamlessly integrated with an inventory management and disposal plan. The stowage system affects every aspect of crew operations, and insufficient stowage has a severe negative impact on crew operations and efficiency.

Efficient stowage systems are important to maintain inventory in a consolidated location and to avoid unnecessary conflict and collision with supplies and tools that are not in use (Figure 7.7-1). A stowage system contributes to interior order of supplies and hardware and can also be seen as a positive psychological feature. An interior that is messy because of bad or missing stowage may promote stress and irritation for crewmembers (Figure 7.7-2). Lack of awareness of the location of specific items and spending unnecessary time to find these will decrease crew performance, increase task performance time, and pose a danger in emergency situations.

![Image of stowage system](image)

Figure 7.7-1 View of an optimal translation path with minimal rack front stowage from the ISS US Lab.
On-orbit stowage conditions can negatively and positively affect habitability, operations, and safety. Definition of requirements for the amount and type of stowage provided for future missions must be based on mission scenario, mission duration, crew size, and specific mission tasks. Stowage “keep out” zones must be established and enforced to protect access to critical equipment and controls such as fire ports. The negative effects of poor stowage include increasing crew time to perform daily operations, violating safety constraints associated with maintaining “keep out” zones around critical equipment and controls (e.g., fire ports, lighting and ventilation sources).

Historically, the ISS hardware stowage requirements have exceeded the defined stowage capabilities, making it possible for safety constraint violations to occur. On-board hardware requirements for crew provisioning, element outfitting, and spare orbital replacement units may have exceeded the on-orbit stowage control limit. Inadequate stowage locations on the ISS has led to aisles being used for stowage, hampering access to panels and crew interfaces and at times stowage was so excessive that it impeded crew translation within modules. To avoid stowage violations and subsequent impacts, it is recommended that all cargo receive a stowage allocation and appropriate processes be in place to manifest stowage and successfully track it upon arrival on-orbit. Onboard and ground monitoring of on-orbit stowage must be consistently performed to validate that the stowage is within control limits.

A stowage system must allow for expansion and changes in stowage performance. Designers must estimate changes such as module additions, rack rotations and hardware maintenance that require the movement and temporary stowage of items, or the inability to return some items to the ground, which requires additional stowage.

According to the Generic Ground rules, Requirements and Constraints, SSP 50261 (SSP, 2005), standard stowage areas must be designed to accommodate cargo stowage. Provisions must also be made to accommodate various levels of nonstandard stowage for cargo inventory fluctuations and variations in habitat volume with the addition of modules and other spacecraft. Nonstandard stowage capabilities include all areas in which cargo may be safely stowed, although these areas were not specifically designed as stowage locations. Although consideration must be made as some locations that seem optimal may be unacceptable due to conflicts with hardware and/or operations. Crew exercise equipment should be accessible and operable without having to move hardware protrusions or non-standard stowage. Lessons learned indicate that crewmembers are more likely to skip exercise if setup/takedown time is extensive. Hardware protrusions and
nonstandard stowage may occupy or protrude into habitable interior volume. Two known permanent protrusions exist onboard ISS in the U.S. Lab, including the waste and hygiene compartment and the robotic workstations. These protrusions violate the nominal crew translation path in the U.S. Lab, but have been approved by the ISS Program. The nominal crew translation path may also be encroached upon by operating volume for crewmembers at worksites, maintenance operations, momentary protrusions, crew-determined operational protrusions, and temporary nonstandard stowage. However, no integrated combination of momentary, temporary, or permanent protrusions shall leave less than a minimum unencumbered emergency translation path of 32 in. × 45 in. (81 cm × 114 cm).

The following are considerations for the design of a stowage system:

- **Volume** – The stowage system must accommodate the size and dimensions of all relocatable items.
- **Type and Location** – Items should be stored in an area as close as possible to where they are used. The following is a list of crew stations and the types of equipment that should be stored adjacent to these stations:
  - Personal Stowage – clothing, personal equipment and belongings, personal hygiene items
  - Workstation – writing equipment, camera equipment, recording equipment, emergency equipment (e.g., extinguisher, first-aid equipment)
  - Hygiene – personal hygiene consumables (tissues, wipes, towels, soap)
  - Galley – food, food preparation directions, utensils, wipes, housekeeping supplies
  - Recreation facility – recreation items (games, reading materials, audio-visual equipment)
  - Meeting areas – writing materials, presentation aids
  - Medical treatment area – medical equipment, pharmaceuticals, dispensary supplies
  - Exercise facility – exercise equipment
  - Body waste management system – wipes, urine collection devices
  - Trash management system – wet and dry trash receptacles, trash bags
- **Gravity** – In 0g, stowage must be restrained to keep it from floating away. In a gravity environment, stowage should not be located overhead, and stowage locations on the floor should be avoided whenever possible. When stowage systems will be used in multiple gravity environments, designers should consider that these constraints may change from one mission phase to another. Stowage must also be restrained during high-g and vibration flight phases to prevent stowage from coming loose and injuring the crew.
- **Nonstandard stowage** – The following are considerations to accommodate for non-standard stowage:
  - Nonstandard stowage locations must be based on flight safety and operations requirements, restrictions and limitations.
  - Habitable volumes may be encroached upon by momentary protrusions, operating volume for crewmembers at worksites, and maintenance operations.
  - Crew exercise equipment should be accessible and operable without having to move hardware protrusions or nonstandard stowage.
  - Crew viewing of equipment displays used during exercise should not be blocked during exercise.
  - The area in front of safety critical / emergency equipment requiring crew physical or visual access must remain clear of obstructions (e.g., hardware protrusions and non-standard stowage).
• Habitat Resupply – As habitats (i.e. ISS, lunar habitat) are resupplied, an off-setting amount of stowage is often not returned or removed, resulting in an accumulation of stowage items. The stowage system should take into account the expected resupply/return of stowage over the habitat lifetime.

• Flexibility – To accommodate changing mission needs, the following should be considered:
  o Standardized container and cover size and design
  o Adjustable shelving and racks
  o Bolted or strapped storage racks and containers
  o Provisions for stowage installation throughout the spacecraft

• Central Storage vs. Distributed Storage – Items should be stored adjacent to their use point. There are cases, however, where this is impractical. A central storage point for some items makes inventory tracking a simpler task. This might include low-use items or items used at many different stations. In many cases a central storage and distributed storage system can be combined. This might occur in the galley, where food for a single meal is stored in a pantry but the entire food supply is stored in a central facility.

• Operability – Stowage containers must be operable without the use of tools, to maximize the use of crew time. For 0g operations, stowage covers must be able to be removed or secured in the open position.

• Accessibility – Stowage systems must be designed to allow for easy access to mission critical and frequently-used items.

• Interference – Stowage systems must not interfere with translation or other operations.

• Labeling – Stowage locations and items must be labeled to allow easy location, replacement, and inventory of items.

• Inventory Management – The stowage system must be compatible with the inventory management system.

7.7.3 Research Needs

RESERVED
7.8 INVENTORY MANAGEMENT

7.8.1 Introduction

This section provides the characteristics of a successful onboard inventory management system design. Such a system can track the quantity, location, and status (e.g., remaining useful life) of inventory items.

The inventory management function is one of the primary elements of onboard information management, and is directly related to the stowage design considerations addressed in section 7.7 Stowage.

7.8.2 General Considerations

One of the most difficult areas of flight data management for human spaceflight has been the creation and maintenance of an on-board inventory management system (IMS). An IMS tracks inventory such as crew equipment, consumables, food, and experiment materials, and where these items are located.

The tracking methodology for items stowed on ISS has historically been inconsistent. On occasion, items have been moved and not returned to their designated area and the IMS has not always updated to reflect the new location. This has resulted in the crew spending increased time searching for items they need for daily tasks. Data entry inefficiencies have made it difficult to keep track of items. When designing an IMS, the system should provide a reliable methodology for tracking items, and ensuring that items can be easily placed in their designated locations.

Tools for IMS use whether display interfaces or scanning devices should be easy to use and accurately provide information to the crew and ground personnel. Once an optimal design is established, crewmembers should be trained to ensure efficient inventory tracking and to avoid mistakes. Onboard ISS, items have often been transferred without updating IMS. It may not be necessary to update an IMS to reflect temporarily stowed items, but the beginning and end stowage locations should be accounted for when items are stowed or transferred.

When developing an IMS consider the following:

1. Standardization – A standard process for naming hardware and equipment is necessary. The inventory management system nomenclature must be consistent with procedures and labels.
2. Easy to Use – The ability to quickly and easily input data and track items is important for the IMS to be fully used and should be provided. ISS crewmembers have commented that the ISS IMS is too complex.
3. Efficient – The amount of time required of the crew to perform the inventory management function should be minimized.
4. Unique Names – Each item tracked in the IMS must have a unique name so that it can be uniquely tracked. The ISS Operational Nomenclature process accomplished this for the ISS Program. Barcode labels with unique names, part numbers, and serial numbers helped to track hardware and consumables.
5. Facilitates Coordination with Ground Crews – The onboard inventory management data should be capable of being communicated to the ground without onboard crew involvement or capable of being updated by the ground, to take the time burden off the crew. ISS crews have often noted that it is easier for them to notify the ground when they move something than to take the time to input it into the IMS themselves.
6. Flexibility – The system should be flexible to capture frequently occurring changes in stowage location, status and quantity of items which may occur before launch, on-orbit, and before return.

7. Accuracy – Stowage methodologies should be consistent and common to ensure an accurate IMS. The different US and Russian stowage methodologies on board the ISS deviates from being consistent and common. Therefore, IMS should work accurately and similarly in all segments and modules of a spacecraft.

8. Training – Based on recommendations from ISS crewmembers, ground based training for IMS should include realistic scenarios for the maintenance of the IMS to develop the complex skills needed on-orbit. On-orbit, the IMS should also be properly addressed during crew handover or when new or visiting crewmembers arrive.

9. IMS Task Time – Appropriate amounts of time should be provided to allow crewmembers to interface with the IMS. ISS crewmembers have reported that the operations time for tasks often exceeds the allocated time to IMS related tasks.

10. Item Location and Identification Information – The inventory management database should include the following data elements:
    - Item Number – The number by which each item is identified in the database.
    - Item Name – The standard name used to describe the item and its function (consistent with labels and procedures).
    - Other Name – Nonstandard (slang) names that are often used by the crew. A database should be able to cross-reference the standard and nonstandard names, which are updatable by the crew or ground.
    - Item Functional Designation – An easy-to-learn code that indicates the functional use of the item.
    - Unit Weight – The weight in kilograms (or pounds) of one unit of the item.
    - Unit Volume – The volume in cubic centimeters (or cubic inches) of the envelope space required to stow one unit of the item.
    - Unit Size – The length, height, and width of the envelope necessary to contain the item.
    - Physical Identifying Features – Color, for example.
    - Stowage Location – The stowage location code of the stowed item during each mission phase (e.g., launch, on orbit, return).
    - Quantity Stowed in Each Location – The quantity of stowed items in each stowage location during each mission phase.
    - Total Quantity – The total quantity of each item during each mission phase.
    - From Location – If applicable, the stowage location code from which stowed items are transferred during in-flight phases of the mission.
    - To Location – If applicable, the stowage location code to which stowed items are transferred during in-flight phases of the mission.
    - Quantity Transferred – The quantity of items transferred (or scheduled to be transferred) from one location to another during in-flight phases of the mission.
    - Performance History – A provision for recording crew comments pertinent to the condition and performance of the item during the in-flight phases of the mission.
    - Stowage Location Maps – Stowage location illustrations are required to the extent that the difficulty in locating or transferring an item necessitates additional data to support the crew procedures.
    - Life Remaining – The shelf life remaining for consumables and the operating life remaining for operating hardware.
- Limit Quantity – The quantity of items such as consumables below which mission operations may be constrained.
- Crew Identification – The name of the crewmember, for personal items.

### 7.8.3 Inventory Management System Technology

Advances in onboard computers, data storage devices, software, bar coding systems, and communications data links make a computerized IMS less costly and easier to implement. Automated systems will likely prove useful in addressing many inventory issues. Bar code scanners greatly reduce errors and require less time than manual entry systems. Bar code scanners have been available for use by the ISS crewmember; however, many have preferred standard bar code scanners. Radio frequency identification (RFID) tags, which do not require crewmembers to use a bar code scanner, can enable the automated location monitoring of critical equipment, and even locate the equipment in three-dimensional space on board the spacecraft.

Bar codes – The ISS crew has noted that the use of a barcode reader with the IMS to track items has been useful. If bar code labels are used, consider the following:
- Bar coding everything is excessive, and uses extra time when managing consumables. A careful evaluation of which items to be coded should be conducted.
- Ground support should be relied upon for tracking and updating databases, thus taking the burden off of the crew during flight.
- Bar codes can sometimes be hard to scan because of the reflective properties, curvature of surfaces or the size of some of labels. Reflective labels should be avoided.

Reports – The inventory management system must be sortable and searchable such that particular items can be found in the database and/or to prepare various reports. ISS crewmembers have indicated that the onboard IMS needs to provide current, not historical data, and that on-orbit data does not need to mirror IMS data the ground maintains. This avoids overloading the on-orbit IMS. Information must be easily accessible, filtered, and compact. At a minimum, consider the following types of reports:
- Item Status – Display the location(s) for an item that is selected by item number or item name. This report must include the quantity of the item at each location.
- Location Status – Display items (by item number and item name) stowed in a specified stowage location. The quantity of each item in the specified location must be provided.
- Limit Warning Report – An alert message that indicates when quantities of consumables and other items fall below a predetermined limit should be provided.

### 7.8.4 Research Needs

Determine the feasibility of RFID tag technology for space applications.
Determine the function allocation of inventory management between crew, ground, and automation.
7.9  TRASH MANAGEMENT

7.9.1  Introduction
This section discusses the design of the spacecraft trash management system. Discussion includes the amount and types (biologically active and inactive) of trash as well as considerations for odor and contamination control and containment of hazardous trash. It does not include metabolic and body wastes. Similar to stowage management, trash management is critical for efficient crew operations. The guidelines apply to collection, containment, and stowage in all gravity environments.

7.9.2  General Considerations
Efficient design of a trash management system will minimize the amount of trash generated, provide odor and contamination control, and provide containment for hazardous trash.

Mechanisms and means for stowage and disposal of trash must be in place for all spacecraft, habitats, and missions. A planned trash stowage volume must be defined and allocated for each mission and managed appropriately via an inventory or stowage management system. The trash stowage volume should consider habitability stowage constraints, the logistics of spacecraft undocking, if they are to be part of the disposal plan, as well as the number of crewmembers (i.e., trash generation rates). The ISS crewmembers have indicated that trash needs to be stowed appropriately so it does not affect panel access or impact translation during emergencies (Figure 7.9-1).

![Figure 7.9-1 Equipment trash bags and empty food containers stowed in the ISS US Node 1 module.](image)

Each program must provide capabilities for preflight planning, on-orbit operations, and disposal of trash to ensure the safety and health of both flight crew and ground operations personnel. SSP 50481, Rev B, is an example of a trash management plan developed and implemented for the ISS.

Unacceptable and time-consuming trash handling may result in trash build-up, leading to an unhealthy environment for crewmembers, decreased performance, and loss of valuable items.

Considerations for the design of spacecraft trash management system include:
1. **Quantity and Type of Trash** – The amount and type of the trash will depend on the mission duration, number of crewmembers, and mission activities. Trash items may include food packaging, leftover food, spacecraft cleaning materials, waste and hygiene materials, and payload materials. It must be clear to the crew as to which items are common trash. Some ISS crewmembers have indicated that the operational concept of common trash was not obvious. A list of items that can be put in common trash or common guidelines should be provided to the crew.

2. **Monitoring** – The trash management plan must be monitored (by crew or ground support personnel) to compare the actual and planned volumes and determine any contingency actions required.

3. **Separation** – Depending on the system used for trash management, trash may need to be separated into dry and wet trash, to be collected and stowed in separate receptacles. Wet trash, such as wipes and food waste, is subject to off-gassing and leakage, and will require sealed receptacles.

4. **Separation of Hazardous Trash** – Depending on the type of hazardous waste to be disposed, it may need to be separated to protect crew health as well as the spacecraft. On the ISS, hazardous waste is separated to protect ground personnel.

5. **Location of Trash Receptacles** – The selection of trash receptacle types and locations should consider crew productivity. Deploying several small trash receptacles throughout a module may initially save crew time but will cost time if the crew has to gather the trash from the receptacles and transport it to a central receptacle.

6. **Disposal** – To maintain a hygienic environment, trash and associated by-products should not be left on board longer than necessary. Trash should not be stowed in the principal crew living and working areas (see section 6.4 Contamination) and should be as far from them as practical. A limited amount of trash can be re-stowed into volumes from which the hardware or consumables have been removed.

7. **Interference** – Trash stowage systems (e.g., receptacles) must not interfere with translation or other operations.

8. **Contamination** – The trash management system must prevent trash materials, including sharp items, chemical and biological waste, from escaping and contaminating the crew and other systems.

9. **Cleaning** – The trash system should be capable of being cleaned during the mission, as long as crew health and safety are not affected.

10. **Odor** – The trash management system must control odor.

11. **Automation** – Trash management must not degrade crew productivity. Every effort should be made to automate trash management and reduce manual manipulation.

12. **Equipment and Supplies** –
   - Trash management equipment must be operable by the full range of crewmember size and strength.
   - Appropriate restraints must be available in 0g conditions.
   - Trash handling supplies (e.g., wipes, bags, wrapping tape, labels) should be located so that they are easily accessible.
   - Noise-generating equipment (e.g., compactors) should be insulated or isolated from noise-sensitive areas.
7.9.3 Types and Sources of Trash

Trash can be classified as follows:

- **Crew Common Trash** – trash generated by and common to the entire crew. It consists mainly of wet or dry used or expired consumables, nonrefurbishable crew provisions, hygiene items, food, and human waste. Crew common trash may be either hazardous or nonhazardous.
- **Hardware Trash** – any used, defective, or expired hardware. Hardware may be replaced at known rates for items with limited life, or after an unexpected failure.
- **Payload Trash** – all trash generated from the performance of a payload.
- **Launch Restraint Trash** – anything used to secure cargo on a launch spacecraft that is not required on orbit for any other planned use.

Sources of trash include the following:

- Flight crew equipment and crew provisions
- Systems and subsystems
- Payload hardware and experiments
- Flight operations material
- Packaging and wrapping material
- Broken or unused items

7.9.4 Trash Classifications

7.9.4.1 Hazardous Waste

Hazardous waste can be classified for disposal in accordance with the nomenclature defined in Table 7.9-1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste Category</th>
<th>Hazardous Waste Definitions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Batteries</td>
<td>All types of batteries (e.g., Ni-Cad, Alkaline).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological / Biomedical</td>
<td>Any solid or liquid that may present a threat of infection to humans, including non-liquid tissue, body parts, blood, blood products, body fluids, and laboratory wastes that contain human disease-causing agents. Also to include used absorbent material saturated with blood, blood products, body fluids, excretions, or secretions contaminated with visible blood or blood products that have dried.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharps</td>
<td>Payload- and crew-generated needles, syringes, or any intact or broken objects that are capable of puncturing, lacerating, or otherwise penetrating the skin (e.g., glass, scalpels, hard broken plastic, syringes, etc.).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical Hazard</td>
<td>Any waste in solid, liquid, or semi-solid form that is contaminated with a chemical substance that requires special handling during disposal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radioactive</td>
<td>Solid, liquid, or gaseous materials that are radioactive or become radioactive and for which there is no further use.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7.9.4.2 Nonhazardous Waste

Nonhazardous waste is any material (wet or dry) that is determined to be waste but does not meet the criteria for any of the hazardous waste classifications. For ground handling, nonhazardous waste is that material that has been determined to be waste that does not meet any definitions and/or criteria of regulated wastes under any federal, state, or local agencies.

7.9.4.3 Toxic Waste

Toxic waste is considered to be dangerous to the crew. The degree of toxicity for any waste is identified by the five toxic hazard levels as defined in Table 6.2-14.

7.9.5 Containment, Handling, and Labeling

The following describes the containment, handling, and labeling requirements for battery, biological/biomedical, sharps, chemical, radioactive, and nonhazardous waste.

All waste containers on returning spacecraft (e.g., Shuttle) require a label identifying the waste type. Labels must be visible on the outermost container to inform the crew or ground personnel of how to properly handling or disposing of the waste. Waste containers disposed of on expendable spacecraft do not require labels. Before transferring waste containers to a return spacecraft, verification of proper labeling needs to be performed. It is important for purposes of safe ground handling that the waste container label is marked accurately and completely. When multiple types of hazardous waste are accumulated in a single hazardous waste container, the outermost container label must indicate the highest level of toxicity contained (Table 6.2-14).

SSP 50094, NASA/RSA Joint Specifications Standards Document for the ISS Russian Segment, provides an example of the labeling standard from the ISS Program.

For hazardous waste, the waste label on the outermost containment barrier must identify all battery (BA) hazards, biological/biomedical (BB) hazards, sharps (SH) hazards, chemical (CH) hazards, and/or radioactive (RA) hazards. In addition, these hazards have the following handling requirements:

- **Battery Hazards** – Batteries must be inspected for damage before disposal. If damaged, both terminals of the battery must be taped.
- **Biological/Biomedical Hazards** – Biological and biomedical hazards must be sealed in a bag and then contained in a secondary container.
- **Sharps Hazards** – All sharps hazards must be placed in an approved sharps container for disposal. Sharps containers must be puncture resistant, leak proof, and sealable.
- **Chemical Hazards** – Individual chemical types (based on chemical properties and not on hazard levels) must be stowed for disposal in separate sealable waste containers. Chemicals stored in proximity to each other must be compatible. Otherwise, a second level of containment may be required.
- **Radioactive Hazards** – Radioactive waste must be packaged, labeled, and handled in accordance with requirements established by the Kennedy Space Center (KSC) Radiation Protection Officer or the JSC Radiation Health Office and approved by the appropriate safety review panel. An assessment of these hazards should be made on a case-by-case basis.
  - The NASA Chief Radiation Safety Officer evaluates any waste that is identified as a radiation emitter before it can be disposed of on an expendable spacecraft.
For U.S. hardware or payloads, JSC Form 44, Ionizing Radiation Source Data Sheet - Spaceflight Hardware and Applications, is required to be submitted to define the radioactive material and procedures (labeling, isolation, removal, etc.). This form is part of the Safety Data Pack.

According to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), the container for urine may be disposed of if the effluent concentration of the radioactive waste does not exceed the concentration specified by the NRC. The limiting concentration varies from $10^{-6}$ to $10^{-3}$ curie (Ci)/milliliter (mL), depending on the type of radioactive material, where 1 Ci is equal to $3.7 \times 10^{10}$ disintegrations per second, or 3.7 Bq (bécquerel, the SI unit). The waste can be burned once the effluent concentration is below the limit.

- **Nonhazardous Waste** – No special on-orbit handling requirements for nonhazardous waste exist. The waste label should identify wet or dry status.

7.9.5.1 **Trash Containers**

Containment is the packaging process required by a safety review panel to prevent the crew from being exposed to a hazard. A trash container may be certified to hold dry or wet nonhazardous waste, hazardous waste, urine, solid waste, or a combination of these types. A container does not protect the crew from any hazards. It merely provides a receptacle for the storage and disposal of trash.

Trash generation volumes and types must be assessed for each mission, to determine the appropriate types and sizes of standard trash containers that must be provided to accommodate trash. Trash containers should ensure that odor is contained as much as possible.

Trash that is not accommodated by standard trash containers because of its size, shape, or some other property is contained in special handling hardware. This hardware is provided by the system or payload organization responsible for the system or payload that generates the trash. All nonstandard trash containers should be certified for disposal on any available spacecraft.

7.9.5.2 **Trash Disposal Planning**

All spacecraft and habitats must have a plan for trash disposal. Accommodations must be made for trash returning to Earth, to ensure safe stowage of trash on board the spacecraft and disposal upon return. For long-duration missions in LEO with visiting spacecraft, plans for return to Earth must be developed including prioritization plans and stowage accommodations. This can be accomplished by using return spacecraft (Space Shuttle from ISS) or expendable spacecraft (Progress spacecraft from ISS). For long-duration flights, sufficient stowage space must be made available, or if possible, a means to dispose of the trash in-flight should be provided. Disposal constraints must be kept in mind for the various types of trash (e.g., hazardous, radioactive).

To minimize the quantity of trash remaining on board some trash may be removed from the spacecraft. For crew health considerations, the removal of trash should proceed in the following order:

1. Hazardous waste
2. Urine
3. Solid human waste
4. Wet nonhazardous waste
5. Dry nonhazardous waste (includes broken or unused items ready for disposal)
As missions move beyond LEO, returning trash to the Earth becomes less likely due to the propulsive energy required to send it there. Disposal on a planetary surface or in deep space (i.e., en route to Mars) may become the preferred method. Furthermore, on such missions certain types of trash may be considered a resource for future use. While discarded equipment may certainly be considered trash, it might contain the spare parts needed to repair some other item. Storing all such trash inside a habitat is not possible, but having a “bone yard” on the lunar surface might be feasible. Concepts and guidelines for such practices, including inventory control and safe storage, do not yet exist, but are foreseen as one way of reducing the logistics train of spare parts that may be necessary for a robust outpost.

7.9.6 Research Needs

RESERVED
7.10  SLEEP

7.10.1  Introduction
This section provides guidelines for the design and layout of sleeping areas, including differences that apply to various gravity environments and mission durations.

7.10.2  General Sleep Considerations
Sleep is important for maintaining crew comfort, concentration, and awareness during waking hours. Poor-quality sleep or lack of sleep can be tolerated for short periods, but can have negative effects on mood and performance over extended durations.

Factors that affect sleep include:
- Environmental conditions (temperature, light, noise, ventilation)
- Illness and medications
- Stress
- Workload
- Sleeping pattern
- Comfort
- Timing of meals
- Sleep system design
- Sleep shifting to accommodate crew and mission schedules

Less than 8 hours of sleep has been found to result in cognitive performance decrements (Van Dongen, et al., 2003). The ISS crewmembers have indicated that it is not always possible to sleep a full 8 hours during specific mission segments or due to individual issues.

Mission days may need to be shifted from the normal Earth day for launch to take place during predetermined launch windows, which may disrupt the crew’s normal circadian rhythm. For nominal operations, crewmembers need 1 day of circadian adjustment for every 1 hour of sleep shifted eastward (earlier) or 2 hours shifted westward (later). Experience on the ISS has shown that shifting earlier resulted in increased crew fatigue, and that the crews’ preference was to shift later gradually. An early shift is more likely to lead to sleep deprivation, since the next workday starts early and crews might not have been able to get to sleep at a normal time the night before.

7.10.3  Sleep Area Design Considerations
The spacecraft must support sleep by providing adequate volume, sleep surface area, and environmental conditions (e.g., lighting, noise, ventilation, humidity, and temperature). In 0g, a flat surface area may be important for crewmembers to restrain themselves for sleep. For partial-g, sleeping surface area must be horizontal. In the lunar module, the Apollo 14 crew slept very little because of difficulty finding a place to rest their heads, discomfort of the pressure suit, and the 7-degree tilt of the module (Strughold and Hale, 1975).

Sleep systems must have sufficient surface area and volume for the largest crewmember and the expected body postures and ranges of motion (see Figure 7.10-1) for the following:
- Sleeping
- Stowage of operational and personal equipment
- Donning and doffing clothing
- Recreation and relaxation (including reading, and using a computer and other personal items)

Figure 7.10-1 Examples of Sleep Areas.
Sleep systems may consist of dedicated, separate volumes used for sleep, crew quarters that serve additional privacy functions or simple padding and restraint that may be used for short-duration missions. Furthermore, the ISS crewmembers have consistently emphasized the importance of the provision of dedicated sleeping quarters for each crewmember living onboard. Sleep systems must allow crewmembers to control lighting, ventilation, and temperature.

The selected sleep system type and volume depend on mission duration, mission scenario, and available volume. Implementation can be done at different levels from sleep areas, beds, individual crew quarters, shared crew quarters, and larger private crew quarters containing waste and hygiene management. In general, short-duration missions, such as LEO or lunar transit, may require only temporary sleep areas. Missions greater than 30 days in duration must have dedicated crew quarters that provide privacy.

Table 7.10-1 presents some general considerations that are recommended for designers of crew quarters to follow.

### Table 7.10-1  Sleep System Considerations for Different Mission Durations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mission duration</th>
<th>Mission example</th>
<th>Types of crew quarters</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Short (~ 2 weeks)</td>
<td>LEO, lunar transit</td>
<td>Sleeping bag, open sleep area</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium (&lt; 6 months)</td>
<td>ISS, Mir</td>
<td>Shared quarters, private quarters</td>
<td>Crew quarters do not have waste management or hygiene facility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long (&gt; 6 months)</td>
<td>Lunar/Mars outpost, flight to Mars</td>
<td>Private quarters</td>
<td>Crew quarters might have waste management or hygiene facility.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nondedicated crew areas used for sleep during short-duration missions should be easy and fast to set up and take down (e.g., sleeping bag). Sleep preparation operations that require excessive time can result in reduced sleeping time resulting in decreased crew performance. It should be easy to ingress and egress all sleep areas.

Crew quarters are considered to be psychologically important, especially during long-duration missions, where privacy issues can help avoid group tensions, heighten crew morale, and decrease stress. The crew quarters functions as a replacement for “home.” Crew-quarter design should incorporate features that contribute to feelings of security, comfort, privacy, personality, relaxation, and other aspects of behavioral health.

The design and layout of the sleep system depends on the functions that are to be performed. Table 7.10-2 shows the functions that might occur in or around a sleep system and the design considerations to accommodate these functions.
Table 7.10-2  Sleep System Functions and Design Considerations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Design Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wake up</td>
<td>Alarm or annunciator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adequate lighting*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don and doff clothing</td>
<td>Adequate volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Privacy (i.e., door)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Restraints for 0g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clothing and personal items storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groom</td>
<td>Adequate lighting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mirrors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stowage for grooming supplies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proximity to personal hygiene facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relax</td>
<td>Communications with friends or family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Entertainment material: books, audio and video entertainment, games, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adjustable lighting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Window</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ventilation and temperature control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Restraints for 0g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Radiation shielding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aesthetically pleasing environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepare for sleep</td>
<td>Clothing storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proximity to personal hygiene and body waste management facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Privacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep</td>
<td>Minimal noise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Privacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adjustable lighting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bedding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Restraints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ventilation and temperature control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Radiation shielding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minimal vibration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respond to emergency</td>
<td>Alarm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Two-way communications with other crewmembers or ground control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Emergency lighting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Properly configured door and path</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work</td>
<td>Privacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Workstation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.10.4  Research Needs
RESERVED
7.11 CLOTHING

7.11.1 Introduction

Crew clothing is needed in order to ensure crew comfort. The type and amount of crew clothing depend on several factors, including mission tasks and duration, which are discussed below.

7.11.2 General Considerations

When considering the type and amount of clothing to provide for a crew, the following mission parameters need to be assessed:

- Mission duration
- Weight and volume limits
- Number of crewmembers
- Atmospheric gases and pressure
- Atmospheric and surface temperature
- Maximum dew point
- Ventilation
- Equipment operation, maintenance, and repair tasks
- Potential for exposure to environmental hazards such as toxic materials or electrical shock
- Crew metabolic rates (work, exercise, sleep)
- Crew population anthropometrics

In addition, the design and provisioning of all IVA clothing need to be consistent with the following guidelines:

- Exclusive Use – Clothing must be provided for each crewmember’s exclusive use.
- Comfort – Clothing must be comfortable in fit and composition, and compatible with the environment (e.g., temperature and humidity) in which it will be worn
- Unassisted Donning and Doffing – Clothing must be designed so that the crewmember can don or doff the clothing without assistance from other crewmembers in normal as well as in emergency situations.
- Cleanliness and Durability – Clothing must be durable, washable, or replaceable as necessary to maintain crew health and comfort.
- Body Effects – The effects of the generation of body hair, the flaking of skin, loss of hair, and perspiration should be considered in the design of the garments and in the selection of clothing materials.
- Materials and Fabrics – Garment materials must be non-toxic and non-flammable. Additionally, materials should be selected for chemical stability, moisture absorption, water compatibility, strength, abrasion resistance, flexural endurance, wrinkle/shape recovery, ease of cleaning, electrostatic properties, crease resistance, and freedom from lint.
- Sizing – The range of sizes available must be sufficient to provide a comfortable fit and allow for unrestricted movement for each member of the crewmember population without the need for custom-fitted garments. Zero-g effects on the following body measurements should be considered (see section 4.3 Anthropometry):
  - Increase in height due to spine lengthening
  - Increase in chest and waist circumference and decrease in limb volume due to fluid shifting
  - Decrease in body mass due to loss of fluids and metabolic changes
  - Adoption of a neutral body posture
If clothing is to be worn during combinations of environmental conditions (preflight, 0g, partial gravity, postflight), it should be flexible in design to accommodate the above changes as needed.

- **Personal Preferences** – Garment options should be provided that allow crewmembers to select various styles, combinations of garments, different colors and different pocket styles and cuffs.
- **Stowage** – Clothing should be designed to facilitate stowage solutions, allowing crewmembers to temporarily stow items while working and translating through spacecraft volumes. Velcro or other attachment methods may be of assistance to temporarily restrain items to clothing for use.
- **Outerwear Hazards** – The outer surface of all outerwear garments must be free of loops, straps, and other obstructions that can snag on equipment.
- **Inner Surface Hazards** – The inner surface of garments must be free of items that can impede free movement, scratch, or chafe the wearer.

### 7.11.3 Disposable vs. Reusable Clothing

Crew clothing may be either disposable or reusable. Reusable clothing is microbe-resistant clothing that is capable of being washed during the mission. The choice of disposable vs. reusable clothing for a particular mission should be made through trade studies evaluating factors such as cost, mission duration, spacecraft resource constraints (weight, volume, power), and the availability and reliability of laundry system technologies.

### 7.11.4 Clothing Quantities and Frequency of Change

Clothing must be provided in quantities sufficient to meet crew needs. Articles of clothing will need to be changed at different intervals during a mission, depending on crewmember personal preferences and hygienic needs. Since the spacecraft environment is very controlled, a complete change of clothing is not a daily requirement. Also, to provide comfort over a wide range of conditions such as spacecraft temperatures, daily clothing options should allow selection from a variety of garments such as shorts, trousers, short- or long-sleeve shirts, and a jacket. These items of clothing should coordinate well and have a professional appearance. The actual quantities of clothing will be the result of a combination of crew personal preferences and mission constraints.

Experience from the ISS has provided feedback from crewmembers related to frequency of clothing changes. The ISS crewmembers have indicated that any more than one pair of pants or one pair of shorts per week was unnecessary. For workout clothing (including socks, workout shorts, T-shirts), ISS crewmembers preferred a daily change of underwear and one set of workout clothing for every 2 days, due to strenuous exercise regimes. The exception to this was bras, which ISS crewmembers preferred changing every 5–7 days. This was acceptable since separate bras are provided as part of workout clothing. For nonworkout T-shirts, crewmembers indicated that they want a minimum of one per week, and would take more if possible (OpsHab, 2001).

Examples of ISS Crew Provisioning items, including clothing, can be found in the Joint Crew Provisioning Catalog, SSP 50477 (SSP, 2000).

### 7.11.5 Clothing Packaging and Storage

All intravehicular activity garments (outerwear, innerwear, footwear, gloves, and headwear) need to be designed, packaged, and stored to address the following considerations:

- **Identification and Removal from Stowage**
o Garment package and stowage must be designed to make it easy to identify the type, size, and owner of the garment, if applicable.
  o Garment package and stowage should be designed for easy removal of garments.
- Preserve Garment Appearance – Stowage and packaging of clean garments must be designed to preserve the garment’s appearance.
- Soiled Garment Storage – Stowage for soiled garments must be provided.
- Stowage– Garment stowage should be designed to provide easy storage of garments.
- Overnight Stowage – A means for stowing garments overnight without having to fold or package them should be provided.
- Clothing should permit labeling to identify the owner.

A full description of the STS crew clothing is found in JSC-12770 (Space Shuttle Program, 1984).

7.11.6 **Research Needs**

RESERVED
7.12 HOUSEKEEPING

7.12.1 Introduction
Housekeeping is a crucial part of habitability. It plays a primary role in maintaining the cleanliness of the spacecraft and thus the crew’s health and safety, which will consequently boost their morale, comfort, and productivity.

7.12.2 General Considerations
The focus of this section is on the removal of unwanted materials such as dust, lint, liquids, and other debris and contaminants from spacecraft. This may be from the air or air filtration devices, or from accessible surfaces located in the crew’s living quarters, work facilities, and other habitable areas in the space environment, including spacecraft and the surfaces of other planets and planetary satellites, such as Mars and the Moon. Consideration should be given to various contaminants of the space environment and how they can be removed safely, effectively, and efficiently from the crew’s habitable environment through routine housekeeping activities. These activities should not require communication with the ground, use of nonhousekeeping tools, or complex procedures. Typical housekeeping tasks for a crew might involve periodic cleaning of air filters and wiping down frequently used surfaces such as handrails, galley areas, walls, toilets, and exercise equipment with microbial or disinfectant wipes to prevent dust or bacterial or mold growth from accumulating. The frequency and type of housekeeping activities that will be performed depend on many unique factors such as these:

- Mission duration
- Gravity environment
- Spacecraft configuration and size
- Environmental control system efficiency
- Composition of accessible surface materials
- Stowage allotments
- Waste disposal methods available
- Crew operations
- Number of crewmembers

Clearly, each of the above factors cannot be specifically addressed with regard to housekeeping; instead they will be generically addressed through identification of potential contamination sources, good design features that will mitigate the need for excessive housekeeping, and past operational housekeeping experiences in space. Other aspects of housekeeping, such as organization and stowage of items and equipment, are covered in other related sections of this handbook.

7.12.3 Contamination Sources
Housekeeping for spacecraft and facilities can be mitigated by focusing on the sources of dust, lint, liquids, and other debris and contaminants. The system can then be designed and operated to control these sources and reduce the time devoted to housekeeping. The following are some of the principal sources of microbes, chemicals, and debris that can cause housekeeping problems:

- Crewmembers – Fingernail clippings, hair, dead skin, fingerprints, body fluids
- Clothing – Loose lint, threads, buttons, fasteners
- Dining and Food Preparation Areas – Crumbs, spills
- Maintenance – Loose parts, filings, leaks from disconnected valves, leaking fluids
• Payloads – Animals, plants, chemicals, effluents
• Fluids – Water, drinks, cleaning fluids used for housekeeping.
• Body Waste and Hygiene Areas – Soaps, water, urine, feces, vomitus, menses
• Trash – Wet, dry, and hazardous waste
• Damp Surfaces – Condensation, spills, or poor drainage can promote the growth of mold and mildew (This is especially a problem if the surfaces are poorly ventilated or poorly lit.)
• Planetary Soil and Dust – Planetary missions will include the management of lunar dust (regolith) and martian dust within the habitable area and the operating systems.
• Velcro – Velcro can shed its own lint as well as pick up other materials, such as food and liquids, creating a breeding ground for fungal and bacterial growth.

7.12.4 Housekeeping Tools

Cleaning Materials – Cleaning materials must be effective, safe for use, and compatible with spacecraft water reclamation, air revitalization, and waste management systems. All cleaning supplies, materials, and fluids used must be compatible with surfaces to which they may be applied, and need to adhere to program requirements for off-gassing and toxicity.

Wipes – There are several types of wipes: solution-saturated wipes for general body cleansing or general housekeeping, disinfectant wipes/towelettes saturated with a cationic detergent, absorbent mitts, and dry paper wipes for general housekeeping. Crews prefer a single-step biocide that does not have to be washed off. A handle, holder, or gloves are preferred when using biocide wipes as the biocides currently available stain the hands. Crews have requested an aromatic disinfectant. Urine spills were cleaned up satisfactorily by biocide wipes, but removal of the urine odor is especially important. Absorbent mitts are used for chemical spills, such as when the Russian waste collection system leaked. For highly toxic or concentrated chemical spills, silver-shield gloves and bags should be used. These gloves and bags possess breakthrough values that allow for long-term storage of toxic substances.

Vacuum Cleaners – Vacuum cleaning systems should have the following features:
• user-friendly for the crew
• portable
• easy to maintain and repair
• has disposable bags that are easy to replace
• has attachments appropriate for cleaning filters efficiently
• capable of vacuuming dry and wet particulates
• small, to minimize stowage needs
• quiet, to minimize noise concerns

Vacuum cleaners have been used effectively on Skylab, Mir, and the ISS to remove dust, lint, liquids, and debris from surfaces and air filters. On Skylab, the vacuum was also used for removing water from the shower walls. The ISS wet/dry vacuum cleaner (with bags) contains a HEPA filter to capture small particulates. It also can contain both wet (up to 24 ounces) and dry nontoxic debris (up to 100 cubic inches) and has a nominal operating life of 10,000 hours. However, the ISS vacuum cleaner has not been as powerful as has been desired by the crew. The Shuttle Environmental Control and Life Support System did not initially have adequate air flow and filtration to control particulates. The addition of the Orbiter Cabin Air Cleaner significantly improved air quality.
Planetary Surface Dust Control Procedures – A phased approach to dust and debris containment, including suit and spacecraft design as well as operations, could address “housekeeping” procedures for decontamination of crewmembers when they re-enter their lunar or martian habitat after being on the planetary surface, with a final housekeeping procedure performed before they enter the crew’s habitable environment.

7.12.5 Housekeeping Minimization Through System Design

Housekeeping should be minimized initially through proper attention to system design features. Consider the following spacecraft/habitat design factors to minimize the hazards from contamination and the time and resources required for housekeeping:

- Surface Materials – Materials used for exposed interior surfaces must be selected to minimize particulate and microbial contamination and be easy to clean (i.e., smooth, solid, nonporous materials such as plastic or metal, biocide-impregnated fabric). Poorly selected surface material can be seen in Figure 7.12-1.

Figure 7.12-1  Microbial growth on an ISS panel during ISS Expedition 9.

- Grids and Uneven Surfaces – Grids and uneven surfaces should not be used or they should be easy to remove for easy cleaning (e.g., grid floors).
- Cracks and Crevices – All interior structural surfaces and equipment should be free of narrow openings and crevices that can collect liquid or particulate matter or that require special cleaning tools.
- Closures – Closures should be provided for any area that cannot be easily cleaned.
- Fluid and Debris Collection or Containment – A means should be provided for collecting and/or containing any loose fluids or debris that may result from operational use, component replacement, maintenance, service, or repair.
• Condensation – Condensation must be prevented from persisting on surfaces, in order to reduce bacterial growth.

• Built-in Spill Control – Any subsystem or hardware that is routinely used with containers of liquids or particulate matter should have built-in equipment or methods for the following:
  o to capture liquids or particulate matter
  o to prevent liquids from vaporizing into the atmosphere
  o to prevent material from overflowing during use
  o to allow for decontamination of spills

• Transfer Containers – Transfer containers, if they are required, must prevent contamination during transfer and disposal.

• Filters – The filters should be easily accessed for them to be cleaned via mechanical means (e.g., vacuum cleaner) or manually (e.g., gray tape), and for retrieval of small items that have been lost. Air revitalization systems and filters for air-cooled equipment collect various types of debris including tape, lint, hair, small parts, tissues, nail clippings, and food crumbs.

• Trash Management – See section 7.9 Trash Management

• Body Waste and Hygiene Areas – Body waste and hygiene areas should be designed with maintenance and cleaning in mind. Efficient design of these areas can greatly reduce the amount of cleaning fluid and body fluids released into the environment and allow the crew to perform hygiene functions in a manner that will reduce the need for additional housekeeping.

• Dining and Food Preparation Areas – These areas must be designed to prevent growth of mold and bacteria while promoting easy maintenance and cleaning.

7.12.6 Summary of Past and Present On-Orbit Housekeeping Operations

The information provided below concerning the ISS, Mir, Skylab, and Space Shuttle housekeeping subsystems is derived from the Space and Life Sciences Flight Crew Support Division’s document, Comparison of Mir, Shuttle and ISS Habitability (Campbell, 1995).

• ISS U.S. Segment – The ISS U.S. Segment housekeeping subsystem supports routine cleaning with the use of a portable wet/dry vacuum cleaner, six kinds of wipes (which are consumable and resupplied with each mission), detergent, wipes cartridges, and dispensers for the cartridges and detergent. All crewmembers perform housekeeping tasks as needed or during the 4 hours a week that housekeeping is scheduled. Examples of planned ISS housekeeping tasks per the Generic Ground rules, Requirements and Constraints Part 2, SSP 50261-01 (SSP, 2005), Housekeeping Tasks, are shown in Table 7.11-1.
Table 7.11-1  ISS Housekeeping Tasks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Housekeeping Task</th>
<th>Method and/or Equipment Used</th>
<th>Service Interval</th>
<th>Crew Time (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>Wipe down frequently-touched surfaces (e.g., handrails, Utility Outlet Panels, General Lighting Assemblies, Audio Terminal Units)</td>
<td>Utensil rinse wipes or microbial growth wipes</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>As required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>Inspect surfaces for visible microbial growth; notify Mission Control</td>
<td>Fungistat or disinfectant wipe, rubber glove wipes</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>As required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>Visual inspection, including known dust and condensate collection areas</td>
<td>Utensil rinse wipes or microbial growth wipes</td>
<td>Weekly; clean as needed</td>
<td>As required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>Clean surfaces where trash is stowed</td>
<td>Microbial growth wipes or disinfectant wipe</td>
<td>Weekly or each time trash is transferred</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab, NODE1, A/L</td>
<td>Disinfect ventilation air grilles</td>
<td>Disinfectant wipes, rubber gloves</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NODE1, Lab, A/L</td>
<td>Disinfect return air grilles</td>
<td>Disinfectant wipes, rubber gloves</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NODE1, Lab, A/L</td>
<td>Disinfect supply diffusers</td>
<td>Disinfectant wipes, rubber gloves</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NODE1, Lab, A/L</td>
<td>Clean air sample probes</td>
<td>Vacuum cleaner or gray tape</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab</td>
<td>Clean and disinfect Trace Contaminant Control System inlet</td>
<td>Disinfectant wipes, rubber gloves</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NODE1</td>
<td>Clean Bacteria Filters</td>
<td>Ratchet, 1/4-in drive; 5/32-in hex head; vacuum cleaner or gray tape</td>
<td>90 days</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab, A/L</td>
<td>Clean Bacteria Filters</td>
<td>Ratchet, 6-in extension; 1/4-in drive; 5/16-in hex head; U.S. vacuum cleaner or gray tape</td>
<td>90 days</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Housekeeping Task</td>
<td>Method and/or Equipment Used</td>
<td>Service Interval</td>
<td>Crew Time (min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM, Lab</td>
<td>Clean surfaces of walls and panels frequently touched (lights, power switches, vent handles, etc.) in sleeping quarters</td>
<td>Microbial growth wipes</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM</td>
<td>Clean cycle ergometer and treadmill handrails and surfaces</td>
<td>Microbial growth wipes</td>
<td>Every 14 days</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM</td>
<td>Clean surfaces where food is prepared and consumed</td>
<td>Wet wipes</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM</td>
<td>Clean table, seats, and refrigerator</td>
<td>Microbial growth wipes</td>
<td>Every 14 days</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM</td>
<td>Clean walls of toilet cabin area, all panels in toilet cabin, surfaces of toilet, and surfaces of urine funnel</td>
<td>Microbial growth wipes</td>
<td>Every 14 days</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM, FGB, DC1</td>
<td>Wipe down hatches and handrails</td>
<td>Microbial growth wipes</td>
<td>Every 14 days</td>
<td>As required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM, FGB, DC1</td>
<td>Vacuum filters and inlets</td>
<td>Russian vacuum cleaner</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As required</td>
<td>Clean surfaces where trash is collected</td>
<td>Microbial growth wipes or utensil rinse wipes</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: A/L, airlock; DC1, Docking Compartment 1; FGB, Functional Cargo Block; SM, Service Module

- **Mir** – *Mir* housekeeping involved 1 day of general housekeeping, usually scheduled on Saturday. The equipment and consumables used to support cleaning and elimination of contamination on surfaces aboard *Mir* included a vacuum cleaner, a surfactant/disinfectant, and wipes. A typical weekly task would be for the entire crew to wipe down the entire core module with wipes and antiseptic solution. Not all *Mir* surfaces and locations could be reached for cleaning with wipes and cleansing agent. *Mir* did have a large amount of microbial growth on board, in part because of the design of the environmental system. The thermal control system also contributed to microbial growth because it had cold spots that would condense moisture in stagnant areas.

- **Space Shuttle** – A main focus of the Space Shuttle’s housekeeping subsystem involves cleanup of contamination in the crew cabin. This subsystem provides a vacuum cleaner, wet and dry wipes, biocide cleanser (a liquid detergent formulation of soap, Lysol, ethanol, and water), dispensers for wipes and cleanser, and disposable gloves.
  - On several early Space Shuttle flights, beginning with STS-5, debris issues were identified. By STS-7, “filter cleaning” became a scheduled maintenance activity. The task was time-consuming (2 hours) because it involved removing several panels of
the flight deck to clean several different air filters and screens. This routine task was conducted at least 3 times during the 14-day flight.

- **Skylab** – Housekeeping aboard Skylab mainly entailed the cleaning and removal of contamination in the spacecraft interior. It included the use of a vacuum cleaner, 4 types of wipes, a biocide, and disposable plastic gloves. Typical housekeeping tasks on Skylab were the frequent cleanup of food and beverage spills. Wet rags were used for food messes and spills because they were considered to be more absorbent. The long durations (28 to 84 days) of the missions made it especially important to attend to the air filtration aspects of housekeeping, to avoid crew illness through air contamination.

**7.12.7 Research Needs**

Need to determine how to limit the entry of lunar or planetary dust into the habitable volume, and how to clean it in case it does enter.
7.13 RECREATION

7.13.1 Introduction
Recreation is an important consideration for maintaining high morale during space missions, especially for long durations. This section provides guidelines for recreational activities.

7.13.2 General Considerations
Recreation activities must be supported in a spacecraft. Recreation activities must not interfere with critical translation paths and in-use workstations. The type and size of recreation facilities will depend on the number of crewmembers, mission duration, and overall recreation preferences of the crew. During short-duration missions, there may be little time for recreation besides looking out the window or enjoying the reduced-gravity environment. However, during long-duration missions, additional recreation should be considered. The ISS crewmembers have emphasized the importance of providing adequate time and provisions for recreation, both as a crew and individually. When possible, scheduled crew tasks should not interfere with personal time designated for rest and recreation activities.

Recreation materials such as books, CDs, DVDs, and musical instruments are often flown on mission to provide a sense of normality and a break from mission tasks. As mission duration increases, so does the importance of providing leisure activities for off-duty hours. Activities and materials will also depend on preferences of the individual crewmembers, as well as crew interaction. Joint recreation activities, such as games, may become more important on longer-duration missions.

Consider the following when designing for recreation in a spacecraft:
- **Storage** – Storage should be available for games, books, audio-visual materials, and other recreational items.
- **Size** – There should be a recreation volume large enough for all crewmembers to take part in an activity at the same time.
- **Location** – Recreation activities must be located in an area that will not interfere with critical spacecraft functions, such as piloting.
- **Selection of Recreation** – The following favorite leisure activities of crewmembers should be considered:
  - Communicating with friends and relatives on Earth
  - Earth and space observation via onboard windows
  - Looking out the window at Earth and space
  - Listening to music
  - Watching movies
  - Writing
  - 0g (and partial-g) acrobatics

7.13.3 Research Needs
RESERVED
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8 ARCHITECTURE

8.1 INTRODUCTION

This chapter includes a summary process and guidance for the development and integration of overall size and configuration, location and orientation aids, traffic flow and translation paths, hatches and doors, windows, and lighting.

8.2 OVERALL ARCHITECTURE DESIGN

8.2.1 Introduction

Architecture is a key enabler of the human system’s well-being and ability to perform its tasks safely and effectively to accomplish mission goals. Any design must include unified solutions for transitions between tasks, and the interactions and conflicts of tasks that are adjacent either physically or temporally.

This section addresses the general size, placement, arrangement, and configuration of spaces in spacecraft crew stations, compartments, translation corridors, and other habitable volumes where crewmembers live and work. It includes design guidance for determining the configuration and nature of spacecraft volumes and surface areas, the co-location and/or separation of functional areas, the issues in designing multipurpose and reconfigurable volumes, and how different gravity environments are expected to affect the overall approach to spacecraft architectural design. It also includes design guidance for handling the architecture-related psychosocial issues involved in space exploration. Crewmembers of the International Space Station (ISS) have emphasized the importance of properly co-locating or separating functional areas for dining, exercise, work, hygiene, and sleep to ensure proper habitability on orbit. Unfortunately, the layout in some modules of the ISS has not always accommodated this type of optimal architectural design or volume configuration.

8.2.2 Architectural Design Drivers

Spacecraft architecture is unique in that it needs to meet the challenges of working and living in space. These challenges include, but are not limited to

- Variable gravity environments
- Mission objectives
- Crew size
- Limited mass and volume
- Stowage
- Extremes of mission duration
- Behavioral health factors
- Medical considerations
- Isolation
Figure 8.2-1 provides a high-level graphical representation of the interaction between these architectural design drivers, how these data are combined and considered in enabling determinations of volume, surface area, and architectural layouts – and how these elements are factored back into the design process as they continue to be refined.

**Figure 8.2-1 Spacecraft architectural design drivers.**

### 8.2.3 Gravity Environments

Spacecraft need to be designed for habitation in one or more gravity environments, from 0g to 1g, and possibly greater. The following general factors should be considered when designing the overall layout of such spacecraft:

- **Access** – 0g and partial gravity may allow greater access to places that would otherwise not be accessible in 1g, such as “ceilings.” Conversely, a higher gravity environment may limit such access.
- **Restraint** – Many of the activities in 0g require that the individual be restrained, tethered, or otherwise secured in position. Restraints must be located where crewmembers can secure themselves and do so in a reasonable amount of time, and where they can aid crew operations. (See section 8.4.3, “Restraints and Mobility Aid Location.”)
- **Crew Mobility** – In 0g, crewmembers primarily translate using their arms and hands, and by pushing off surfaces with their feet. This should be considered when designing
mobility aids. Differences in crew mobility should be considered in partial-g environments. In some instances, crew mobility will be considered to be impaired, in others improved.

- Orientation – Changes in spacecraft and crew orientation from one gravity environment (e.g., 1g launch) to another (e.g., 0g in orbit, high-g entry) should be considered for the orientation of crew interfaces.

8.2.4 Internal Size and Shape of Spacecraft

8.2.4.1 General Factors That Affect Internal Size and Shape

While spacecraft external size and shape are dictated largely by aerodynamics and mass, the internal size and shape must be driven by the ability of the crew to perform tasks. Historically, spacecraft design has allocated specific volume and mass “not to exceed” requirements to individual systems and their accompanying hardware early in their conceptual design in an effort to align the spacecraft with propulsion capabilities, and to withstand the stresses of launch and landing. This approach leaves interior habitable volume as an artifact of whatever open, pressurized volume remains after all other hardware, stowage, and systems have been installed. This approach is inadequate, particularly as mission duration increases.

Adequate internal size, in terms of volume and surface area, must be provided to ensure that crewmembers can safely, efficiently, and effectively perform mission tasks including working, sleeping, eating, egress (exiting), ingress (entering), and tasks necessary for a safe and successful mission.

Defining the size and shape of a spacecraft depends on the gravity environment in which it operates. For spacecraft that will be used in partial-g, horizontal area is a good measure of size, just as floor space is used to assess architectural size on Earth. However, in 0g, since all surfaces may be equally used, area is not as meaningful a measure of size, and volume is typically used instead.

Several terms are used to describe spacecraft volume:

- Pressurized volume – the total volume within the pressure shell.
- Habitable volume – the volume remaining within the pressurized volume after accounting for all installed hardware and systems. This is sometimes called “sand volume,” since it is equivalent to the volume of sand that would fill the spacecraft after hardware and systems were installed, including gaps that are inaccessible to the crew (nooks and crannies).
- Net habitable volume (NHV) – the functional volume left available to the crew after accounting for the loss of volume due to deployed equipment, stowage, trash, and any other structural inefficiencies and gaps (nooks and crannies) that decrease the functional volume.

General factors that should be considered in the determination of a spacecraft internal size and shape include

- Number of crewmembers – The maximum expected number of crewmembers must be accommodated. For example, if a spacecraft is ever expected to fly eight crewmembers it must be able to accommodate eight crewmembers. ISS crewmembers have indicated that
as the number of crewmembers increases, the need to provide additional exercise equipment, additions to the waste containment system, and an improved hygiene area increases. Designs must also accommodate visiting crewmembers. On the ISS, habitable volume has been strained by the presence of visiting crewmembers, and resources (living, sleeping, and hygiene capabilities) have been overtaxed.

- **Mission duration** – The maximum expected number of mission days, including contingency days, must be accommodated. The number of mission days also affects the size of a spacecraft. The confinement, isolation, and stress that usually accompany a space mission tend to increase with mission duration. This creates a psychological need for more room. A sense of privacy as well as a need for personal space becomes more important over longer durations. The total required net habitable volume per crewmember and per total crew complement increases with duration.

- **Tasks** – The volume/area must be adequate for crewmembers to perform required tasks. Consideration should be given to crewmember movement as well as the selection, location, arrangement, grouping, and layout of equipment with which the crew needs to interface. Crew tasks and activities include system operation, crew personal activities, and system maintenance tasks.

- **Physical dimensions and motions of the crew** – Designs must accommodate the smallest to the largest of the crewmember population. Designs must also account for physical dimensions of suited crewmembers and of pressurized suited crewmembers in functional areas (see section 4.3 Anthropometry). In addition, designs must accommodate all motions made by crewmembers when performing tasks.

- **Gravity environments** – Designs must accommodate all expected orientations and gravity environments. The volume of a spacecraft may be adequate for performing tasks on orbit, but that same volume may be too small or in the wrong orientation for performing tasks in a gravity field, since on orbit, the crew can assume any orientation to complete certain tasks. Conversely, a volume that is appropriate for partial-g or 1g tasks, such as a piloting workstation for launch, may be insufficient for tasks on orbit. This may also require the size to be assessed differently for each gravity environment: volume for 0g, and horizontal surface area for partial-g. In addition, usability is affected by both the unique motion and orientation needs for each gravity environment, and the different tasks to be performed during each mission phase. Therefore, each gravity environment that the spacecraft experiences, and the unique constraints and freedoms that each provides, should be considered in the design. For example:
  - Before flight – A spacecraft will need to provide ingress and egress paths for suited crewmembers in 1g and partial-g, seats and restraints to withstand launch accelerations, and displays and controls in the proper location and orientation to support launch tasks.
  - Orbit and transit phases – The crew will perform tasks in 0g, and they will have greater flexibility to position and orient themselves. When determining the size and shape of a 0g spacecraft, the greater usability of ceilings and walls should be considered.
  - Planetary operations – A spacecraft may need to support all mission tasks in a partial-g environment.
8.2.4.2 Internal Size and Shape of Spacecraft in 0g

In 0g, the crew is not constrained to one orientation, and it is possible for them to move freely in all directions and easily access surfaces in any location within the spacecraft. Therefore, spacecraft internal size for 0g should be based on volume, and specifically NHV, which is a measure of the volume that is accessible to the crew.

8.2.4.2.1 Determining Minimum Net Habitable Volume

Determining the minimum required NHV of a spacecraft is necessary to ensure that the crew has enough room to safely and effectively perform their duties. This begins with a basic understanding of the mission in terms of duration, gravity environment(s), number of crewmembers, equipment volumes, and tasks. Since minimum NHV will drive design of the overall spacecraft size, and possibly its shape, minimum NHV must be estimated early in the design phase.

Also, as designs mature, growth in subsystem mass and volume will usually cause subsystem volume to protrude into the habitable volume, so designers tend to add a growth factor onto NHV. However, whenever a subsystem carries a growth or uncertainty factor, a design will be produced that does not fit within the mass and volume constraints. It is the responsibility of the human factors analyst to ensure that the required NHV is met, even as subsystems grow, or to be able to demonstrate the risk of not meeting it.

Two methods to consider for determining minimum required NHV are

- Task analysis
- Experience-based

Below is a description of each method and data necessary to support the process. In most cases, using both methods may be best.

8.2.4.2.2 Task Analysis Method

The task analysis method determines the volume required for the tasks to be performed during the mission and combines, co-locates, or overlaps them as necessary to determine the total volume required in the spacecraft. This requires an understanding of crew anthropometric dimensions and required motions for each task. It is also important to understand when the crew will be wearing spacesuits (suited) and when they will not (unsuited). Early in the design phase, the specific tasks to be performed during the mission may be unknown. However, it is known that the spacecraft will have to accommodate basic human operations such as systems control, food preparation, eating, sleeping, waste management and hygiene, maintenance, and extravehicular activity (EVA). From these basic known operations, a set of volume drivers can be derived and their volumes estimated, and consequently the overall system volume can be estimated.

Much of the analysis mentioned in this section is illustrated as a single crewmember performing a single activity in a single crew station. An effective process for determining volume considers the additive effect of multiple crewmembers. The volume needed for each crewmember’s activity increases to allow for the interaction of the crewmembers and for safe ingress and egress to the worksite. Designers should not assume that the volume for any particular activity will not
be encumbered by the presence of other crewmembers’ volume. The interaction of planned activities throughout the mission should be addressed for their activity volume and location in the spacecraft. Activities that infringe on each other’s volume should be avoided by scheduling or through design of the work volume size and configuration.

Table 8.2-1 below illustrates the general dimensions that one might anticipate for various operations a crewmember could perform in 0g. These values illustrate dimensions based on the largest body size in the expected astronaut population. The designer will need to consider the anthropometric dimensions of the population assigned to their specific system. The designer also needs to take into consideration the multipurpose use of volume as mentioned above.

**Table 8.2-1  Body Volumes (Wearing Light Clothing) Associated with Operations in 0g**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figures of Human Body Postures and Volumes</th>
<th>Applicable Functions</th>
<th>Dimensions (m)</th>
<th>Volume (m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eating, sleeping, hand washing, personal office, radiation shelter, conference</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>2.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>2.69</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shaving, grooming, oral hygiene</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>2.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>2.34</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 8.2-1  Body Volumes (Wearing Light Clothing) Associated with Operations in 0g (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figures of Human Body Postures and Volumes</th>
<th>Applicable Functions</th>
<th>Dimensions (m)</th>
<th>Volume (m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Diagram of human in seated position]</td>
<td>General workstations, food preparation, partial body cleaning, housekeeping</td>
<td>H 2.06</td>
<td>[4.34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L 1.06</td>
<td>W 1.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Diagram of human in sitting position]</td>
<td>Body waste management facilities, ascent and descent, spacecraft duty station</td>
<td>H 1.52</td>
<td>[1.70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L 0.91</td>
<td>W 1.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Diagram of human reaching]</td>
<td>Food stowage, personal locker, accessing stowage</td>
<td>H 2.76</td>
<td>[6.00]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L 0.88</td>
<td>W 2.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 8.2-1  Body Volumes (Wearing Light Clothing) Associated with Operations in 0g (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figures of Human Body Postures and Volumes</th>
<th>Applicable Functions</th>
<th>Dimensions (m)</th>
<th>Volume (m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exercise-1 (Treadmill with Vibration Isolation System (TVIS))</td>
<td>H 2.10</td>
<td>L 2.37</td>
<td>6.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W 1.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise-2 (Cycle Ergometer with Vibration Isolation System (CEVIS))</td>
<td>H 0.97</td>
<td>L 1.43</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W 1.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dressing (don and doff), EVA suiting area</td>
<td>H 2.20</td>
<td>L 1.45</td>
<td>6.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W 1.99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 8.2-1  Body Volumes (Wearing Light Clothing) Associated with Operations in 0g (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figures of Human Body Postures and Volumes</th>
<th>Applicable Functions</th>
<th>Dimensions (m)</th>
<th>Volume (m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Egress, translation, passageways</td>
<td>H 0.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>L 2.96</td>
<td>2.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W 1.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Uncontrolled tumbling</td>
<td>Spherical Diameter 2.44</td>
<td>7.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Controlled tumbling</td>
<td>Spherical Diameter 1.22</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 8.2-1  Body Volumes (Wearing Light Clothing) Associated with Operations in 0g (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figures of Human Body Postures and Volumes</th>
<th>Applicable Functions</th>
<th>Dimensions (m)</th>
<th>Volume (m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Controlled tumbling with EVA suit on</td>
<td>Spherical Diameter</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>4.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Egress, translation, passageways, crew escape with EVA suit on

H  | 0.84                      | 4.64 |
L  | 3.26                      |     |
W  | 1.69                      |     |

Entries in bold are cubed root L-W-H dimension approximations.

8.2.4.2.2.1 Experience-Based Method

With the experience-based method, designers evaluate existing or previous spacecraft and the number of crewmembers and tasks (including the associated equipment and trash) to determine NHV per person, and then interpolate or extrapolate to the new mission and spacecraft. For example, to approximate the required NHV for a 3-person orbital spacecraft for missions on the order of a week, the Apollo Command Module (CM) and Russian Soyuz should be evaluated. The Apollo CM had 60% of its pressurized volume (10.4 m³) as NHV (6.17 m³). Soyuz had 58% (6.5 m³) as NHV (3.8 m³). (See Table 8.2-2 and Figure 8.2-2.)
Although 1g environments are not equivalent to 0g environments, the two have some similarities that could allow the use of terrestrial data:

- Given a ceiling that comfortably accommodates stature, a 1g volume roughly approximates the required 0g volume.
The increase in required volume with mission duration is likely to be similar in both 1g and 0g environments.

Volumetric dimensions per activity for spaceflight analogs and 1g data are shown in Table 8.2-3 and Table 8.2-4 (in the following section). A logarithmic trend line for the undersea habitat habitable volume (m$^3$) in Figure 8.2-3 has the equation

$$\text{habitable volume per crewmember} = 6.67 \times \text{duration in days} - 7.79$$

This gives

- habitable volume per crewmember for 180 days = 26.85 m$^3$ (948.2 ft$^3$)
- habitable volume per crewmember for 7 days = 5.19 m$^3$ (192.3 ft$^3$)

These numbers can provide a starting point in determining the overall internal size of a spacecraft, but the actual size will depend on constraints on spacecraft shape, internal and external equipment, and layout of functional areas.
Table 8.2-3 Example Volume Dimensions for Spaceflight and Terrestrial Analogs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spacecraft Function</th>
<th>Meal Preparation and Consumption</th>
<th>Sleeping</th>
<th>Exercise</th>
<th>Personal Hygiene</th>
<th>Medical Care</th>
<th>Donning &amp; Doffing Clothing</th>
<th>Leisure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Volume</strong></td>
<td>- 1.70 2.55 5.95 4.58 1.60 6.81</td>
<td>- 1.37 1.81 1.66 1.17 1.90</td>
<td>- 1.37 1.81 1.66 1.17 0.63</td>
<td>- 1.37 1.81 1.66 1.17 2.13</td>
<td>- 1.37 1.81 1.66 1.17 1.90</td>
<td>- 5.95 4.58 1.60 6.81</td>
<td>- 1.37 1.81 1.66 1.17 1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Length</strong></td>
<td>- 1.70 2.55 5.95 4.58 1.60 6.81</td>
<td>- 1.37 1.81 1.66 1.17 1.90</td>
<td>- 1.37 1.81 1.66 1.17 0.63</td>
<td>- 1.37 1.81 1.66 1.17 2.13</td>
<td>- 1.37 1.81 1.66 1.17 1.90</td>
<td>- 5.95 4.58 1.60 6.81</td>
<td>- 1.37 1.81 1.66 1.17 1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Width</strong></td>
<td>- 1.70 2.55 5.95 4.58 1.60 6.81</td>
<td>- 1.37 1.81 1.66 1.17 1.90</td>
<td>- 1.37 1.81 1.66 1.17 0.63</td>
<td>- 1.37 1.81 1.66 1.17 2.13</td>
<td>- 1.37 1.81 1.66 1.17 1.90</td>
<td>- 5.95 4.58 1.60 6.81</td>
<td>- 1.37 1.81 1.66 1.17 1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Height</strong></td>
<td>- 1.70 2.55 5.95 4.58 1.60 6.81</td>
<td>- 1.37 1.81 1.66 1.17 1.90</td>
<td>- 1.37 1.81 1.66 1.17 0.63</td>
<td>- 1.37 1.81 1.66 1.17 2.13</td>
<td>- 1.37 1.81 1.66 1.17 1.90</td>
<td>- 5.95 4.58 1.60 6.81</td>
<td>- 1.37 1.81 1.66 1.17 1.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**All values are in meters**

**Spacecraft Function Volumes and Dimensions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Volume</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Width</th>
<th>Height</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meal Preparation and Consumption</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>4.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleeping</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Hygiene</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>2.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Care</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donning &amp; Doffing Clothing</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leisure</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Spacecraft Function Volume:**

- **Volume (m³)**: 1.70, 2.55, 5.95, 4.58, 1.60, 6.81
- **Length (m)**: 1.70, 2.55, 5.95, 4.58, 1.60, 6.81
- **Width (m)**: 1.37, 1.81, 1.66, 0.63
- **Height (m)**: 1.37, 1.81, 1.66

**Other Commercial Applications:**

- Henry Dreyfuss Assoc., 1993
- Julius Panero, Human Offshore Lifeboats
- Class 170 Lifeboats - Offshore Lifeboats
- Class 120 Lifeboats - Offshore Lifeboats
- Class 130 Lifeboats - Offshore Lifeboats

**Terrestrial Applications and Commercialized Spacelab Modules:**

- Crew Habitability on Ships
- ISS Zarya Functional Cargo Block - Expeditions 6, 7, 9
- Crew Habitability Offshore Installations
- Commerzbank, Munich - USACE!
- Crew Habitability on Ships
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spacecraft Function Volumes and Dimensions:</th>
<th>Private</th>
<th>Escape &amp; Abort</th>
<th>Command &amp; Control</th>
<th>Rendezvous &amp; Docking</th>
<th>Monitor Systems</th>
<th>Housekeeping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spaceflight</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Volume</strong></td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Length</strong></td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Width</strong></td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Height</strong></td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Space Shuttle</strong></td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ISS Destiny, Laboratory – Expedition 7</strong></td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>2.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ISS Quest, Module – Expedition 7</strong></td>
<td>7.89</td>
<td>13.28</td>
<td>13.28</td>
<td>13.28</td>
<td>13.28</td>
<td>13.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ISS Unity Node 1 – Expedition 7</strong></td>
<td>13.28</td>
<td>13.75</td>
<td>13.75</td>
<td>13.75</td>
<td>13.75</td>
<td>13.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Skylab</strong></td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ISS Zarya Functional Cargo Block 6 – Expeditions 7-9</strong></td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Crew Habitability on Ships</strong></td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rendezvous &amp; Docking</strong></td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Volume</strong></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Length</strong></td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Width</strong></td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Height</strong></td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Command &amp; Control</strong></td>
<td>5.66</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>6.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Volume</strong></td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Length</strong></td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>10.67</td>
<td>10.67</td>
<td>10.67</td>
<td>10.67</td>
<td>10.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Width</strong></td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Height</strong></td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Volume</strong></td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Length</strong></td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Width</strong></td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>2.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Height</strong></td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>2.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monitor Systems</strong></td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Volume</strong></td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Length</strong></td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Width</strong></td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Height</strong></td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monitor Systems</strong></td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Volume</strong></td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Length</strong></td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Width</strong></td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Height</strong></td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Housekeeping</strong></td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Volume</strong></td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Length</strong></td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>2.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Width</strong></td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>4.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Height</strong></td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Command &amp; Control</strong></td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Volume</strong></td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Length</strong></td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Width</strong></td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Height</strong></td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rendezvous &amp; Docking</strong></td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Volume</strong></td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Length</strong></td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Width</strong></td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Height</strong></td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All values are in meters
Table 8.2-3  Example Volume Dimensions for Spaceflight and Terrestrial Analogs (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>All values are in meters</th>
<th>Spaceflight</th>
<th>DoD</th>
<th>Terrestrial Applications and Commercial</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance &amp; Repair</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>5.95 4.58 1.60</td>
<td>6.81 *</td>
<td>11.95</td>
<td>2.29 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>1.81 1.66 1.17 1.90</td>
<td>1.94 0.91</td>
<td>1.94 1.58</td>
<td>1.94 0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width</td>
<td>1.81 1.66 1.17 1.90</td>
<td>1.94 0.91</td>
<td>1.94 1.58</td>
<td>1.94 0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>1.81 1.66 1.17 1.90</td>
<td>1.94 0.91</td>
<td>1.94 1.58</td>
<td>1.94 0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>5.95 4.58 1.60</td>
<td>11.95</td>
<td>2.29 *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>1.81 1.66 1.17</td>
<td>1.94 0.91</td>
<td>1.94 1.58</td>
<td>1.94 0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width</td>
<td>1.81 1.66 1.17</td>
<td>1.94 0.91</td>
<td>1.94 1.58</td>
<td>1.94 0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>1.81 1.66 1.17</td>
<td>1.94 0.91</td>
<td>1.94 1.58</td>
<td>1.94 0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logistics &amp; Stowage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>5.95 4.58 1.60</td>
<td>11.95</td>
<td>2.29 *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>1.81 1.66 1.17</td>
<td>1.94 0.91</td>
<td>1.94 1.58</td>
<td>1.94 0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width</td>
<td>1.81 1.66 1.17</td>
<td>1.94 0.91</td>
<td>1.94 1.58</td>
<td>1.94 0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>1.81 1.66 1.17</td>
<td>1.94 0.91</td>
<td>1.94 1.58</td>
<td>1.94 0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximity Operations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>5.95 4.58 1.60</td>
<td>11.95</td>
<td>2.29 *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>1.81 1.66 1.17</td>
<td>1.94 0.91</td>
<td>1.94 1.58</td>
<td>1.94 0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width</td>
<td>1.81 1.66 1.17</td>
<td>1.94 0.91</td>
<td>1.94 1.58</td>
<td>1.94 0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>1.81 1.66 1.17</td>
<td>1.94 0.91</td>
<td>1.94 1.58</td>
<td>1.94 0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robotics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>1.60 4.14 0.12</td>
<td>1.71 0.91</td>
<td>1.71 0.91</td>
<td>1.71 0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>1.81 1.66 1.17</td>
<td>1.94 0.91</td>
<td>1.94 0.91</td>
<td>1.94 0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width</td>
<td>1.81 1.66 1.17</td>
<td>1.94 0.91</td>
<td>1.94 0.91</td>
<td>1.94 0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>1.81 1.66 1.17</td>
<td>1.94 0.91</td>
<td>1.94 0.91</td>
<td>1.94 0.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Spacecraft Function Volumes and Dimensions:
### Table 8.2-3  Example Volume Dimensions for Spaceflight and Terrestrial Analogs (continued)

All values are in meters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spacecraft Function Volumes and Dimensions:</th>
<th>Spaceflight</th>
<th>DoD</th>
<th>Terrestrial Applications and Commercial</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Payload Support</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>2.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission Operations</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>2.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>270.0</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:

* - Data not available

- Function not applicable in this vehicle/facility

Entries in bold are cubed root L-W-H dimension approximations
8.2.4.2.2 Measurement of Net Habitable Volume

Measurement of NHV is needed to

- Determine the volume of existing systems to serve as guidelines for future designs.
- Assess the progress of a design toward meeting an NHV goal.

Processes are defined for measurement of NHV from drawings and from actual hardware (completed systems or mockups).

The basic steps of the process are as follows:

- Divide the volume into simple, easily measured volumes. Measure and sum these volumes to determine total pressurized volume.
- Measure the volumes of enclosed equipment and stowed items, and subtract them from the pressurized volume.
- Assess cavities and voids and subtract the volume of unusable spaces from the pressurized volume. The final number will be the NHV value.

8.2.4.3 Internal Size and Shape of Spacecraft in Gravity Environments

In a gravity field, such as on the surface of the Moon or Mars, horizontal surface area, rather than volume, becomes more emphasized, since many work and support surfaces will be horizontal (chairs, tables, desks, beds, floors). Assuming the ceiling height is adequate, the measurement that assesses the available area in a spacecraft is the floor area. Whereas traditional Earth-based architecture can be described by the total floor area (i.e., square footage), this is not a complete or accurate representation of the functionality of the environment. A 2,000-square-foot house may be less functional than a 1,500-square-foot house, because of its overall layout and use of the area. However, for a house to be truly functional, intermediate horizontal areas, such as countertops, desks, and other pieces of furniture that provide usable surfaces, are required. The addition of furniture may reduce the amount of usable floor space but increase the amount of total horizontal space.

Although the Moon and Mars have sufficient gravity to define a “floor,” the need for ceiling height is still unclear. Images of movement in a lunar environment show a loping movement, and a “standard” ceiling height of 2.4 m (8 ft) may not be adequate. However, some aspects of how astronauts moved on the Moon during the Apollo Program will not apply to the interior of a spacecraft on the lunar or martian surface:

- Forward movement on the Moon was not restricted by walls.
- The spacesuit restricted movement, and this may have been compensated for with whole-body “bounding.”
- The suit mass increased the momentum of movements.

Conversely, a lower ceiling height may be useful to aid in mobility, by providing a surface to push off of with the hands. Additional research is needed to determine optimal lunar spacecraft ceiling height.
8.2.4.3.1 Determining Spacecraft Size for Gravity Environments

Similar to determining the minimum required NHV for 0g, determining the minimum horizontal surface area and its vertical height is necessary to ensure that crewmembers have enough room to safely and effectively move through the spacecraft, and enough work surface area to perform their duties. This begins with a basic understanding of the mission in terms of duration, gravity environment(s), number of crewmembers, equipment volumes, and tasks. Since minimum floor space will drive design of the overall system size, and possibly its shape, minimum floor space must be estimated early in the design phase.

Also, as designs mature, growth usually occurs in subsystem mass and volume that will cause them to protrude into the habitable areas, so designers tend to add a growth factor to the area. However, if every subsystem carries a growth or uncertainty factor, the result will be a design that does not fit within the mass and volume constraints. It is the responsibility of the human factors analyst to ensure that the required space needs are met, even as subsystems grow, or to be able to demonstrate the risk of not meeting them.

Two methods to consider for determining minimum required floor space are

- Task analysis
- Experience-based

Below is a description of each method and data necessary to support the process. In most cases, using both methods may be best.

8.2.4.3.2 Task Analysis Method

The task analysis method involves determining the horizontal area, including floor space and other horizontal surfaces, required for the tasks to be performed during the mission, and combining and overlapping them as necessary, to arrive at the total volume required in the spacecraft. This requires an understanding of crew anthropometric dimensions and required motions for each task. It is also important to understand when crewmembers are suited and unsuited. Information about the floor space required for various activities can be found in Table 8.2-3.

Early in the design phase, the specific tasks to be performed during the mission may be unknown. However, it is known that the spacecraft will have to accommodate basic human functions such as food preparation, eating, sleeping, waste management, and hygiene. Some basic mission tasks related to spacecraft control and EVA may also be known. From these basic known tasks, a set of floor space drivers can be derived. Looking at the timeline of the overall mission can help designers estimate where people will be at various times during a mission. This can serve as the basis for determining architectural space.

8.2.4.3.2.1 Experience-Based Method

With the experience-based method, designers evaluate existing or past spacecraft, crew size, and tasks to determine horizontal area per person, and then make an interpolation, extrapolation, or comparison to the new mission and spacecraft. A gravity field of any significant magnitude presents a relatively familiar environment, in that there is a relative “up” and “down” with respect to gravity and objects fall downward when not supported. Therefore, when looking to
previous experience to extrapolate to unfamiliar gravity environments, the most logical approach
is to look at Earth-based analogs, as opposed to 0g spacecraft. The best analog for a Moon or
Mars mission would be of the same approximate size, number of crewmembers, types of tasks,
and mission duration of a lunar mission, with some subjective reporting on the habitability of the
environment to determine if the spacecraft was adequate or needed improvement to be more
habitable. Some analogs that include at least some of these key factors are undersea habitats,
submarines, Antarctic stations, and recreational vehicles. Table 8.2-4 shows the volume and
floor area for undersea habitats.

**Table 8.2-4 Undersea Habitat Volume and Floor Area**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Habitat</th>
<th>Maximal Duration (days)</th>
<th>Pressurized Volume (m³)</th>
<th>Habitable Volume per Person (m³)</th>
<th>Floor Area per Person (m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conshelf II</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrolab</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conshelf III</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sealab II</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAH-I</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tektite I/II</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Chalupa</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquarius</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Given the assumption of a 4-person crew for a 180-day mission on the lunar surface, it is clear that any comparison with the above undersea habitats is limited by the duration. With ground analog data beyond 59 days being unavailable, it is unclear how a 3-fold increase in mission duration will affect required spacecraft size, so any extrapolation needs to be done with caution. However, for missions of shorter duration, such as 7-day lunar sortie missions, interpolation may be more accurate.

Although we know the number of crewmembers and the amount of habitable floor area for these undersea habitats, we have no indication of the subjective habitability of the environments, whether they were acceptable or not.

A logarithmic (natural log) trend line for the undersea habitat habitable floor area data has the equation

\[
\text{habitable floor area per crewmember} = 2.27 \times \ln (\text{duration in days}) - 1.83
\]

Given the above assumptions and caveats, an extrapolation of the trend line gives

- floor area per crewmember for 180 days = 9.96 m\(^2\) (107.2 ft\(^2\))
- floor area per crewmember for 7 days = 2.59 m\(^2\) (27.9 ft\(^2\))
These numbers can provide a starting point in determining the overall size of a spacecraft, but the actual size will depend on constraints on spacecraft shape, internal and external equipment, and layout of functional areas.

8.2.5 Module Layout and Arrangement

8.2.5.1 Analysis Process

When designing specific areas or features in architecture, it is often difficult for designers to know where to begin the process without first considering higher-level demands and requirements. For example, it can be difficult to place a window in a spacecraft if designers are uncertain what to base the positioning on, except general requirements such as window dimensions.

The hierarchy of the design process is as follows:
- Mission requirements (objectives, duration, crew size, location, etc.) and task definition
- Overall spacecraft configuration
- Interior module design
- Detailed facility design (windows, crew quarters, dining accommodations, etc.)

8.2.5.2 Mission Requirements and Task Definition

Equipment arrangement, grouping, and layout should enhance crew safety and interaction, and facilitate efficient operation. The module layout and arrangement should be based on detailed analyses using recognized human factors engineering techniques. This analysis process should include the following steps:

- Functional definition – Definition of the system functions that need to occur in the mission
- Functional allocation – Assignment of these functions to equipment, crewmembers, and crew stations
- Definition of tasks and operations – Determination of the characteristics of crew tasks and operations required to perform the functions, including
  a. Frequency
  b. Duration
  c. Sequence
  d. Volume required
  e. Human motions required for operations
  f. Potential for overlap or conflict in adjacent or overlapping operations
  g. Special environmental requirements
  h. Privacy and personal space
- Space module layout – Using the information determined above, the layout of the space module should
  a. Minimize the transit time between related crew stations
  b. Accommodate the expected levels of activity at each station
  c. Isolate stations when necessary for crew health, safety, performance, and privacy
d. Provide a safe, efficient, and comfortable work and living environment

e. Minimize its impact on the spacecraft environment, including
   1) Glare due to placement of windows
   2) Blocking of ventilation by equipment
   3) Blocking of general lighting by equipment
   4) High noise levels from noisy equipment placed too close to habitable areas
   5) Excessive heat due to heat sources placed too close to crew stations

8.2.5.3 Overall Spacecraft Configuration

A multi-module spacecraft requires careful planning of the module combination since modules are likely to have different activities. The activities need to be arranged to support the spacecraft mission as defined above. The concept of zoning may be used to separate functional areas. It is recommended that the spacecraft configuration be divided into zones defining general high-level features such as

- Work zones (life science, exercise, workstations, etc.)
- Private zones (crew quarters, personal hygiene, private communication, waste management, etc.)
- Social areas (dining, galley, telecommunication, mission operations, entertainment, etc.)
- Dirty zones (heavy maintenance, planetary EVA activities, trash stowage, etc.)
- Clean zones (crew quarters, life science, galley; in general, areas that should not be exposed to any debris)
- Quiet zones (crew quarters, all private areas, recreation, etc.)
- Noisy zones (heavy work, maintenance, subsystems like Environmental Control and Life Support Subsystem, trash stowage, etc.)

Although many of these zones and functions may overlap, they should generally be distributed on the basis of optimal traffic flow and needs for co-location and separation (e.g., private zones should be near social areas, private zones should be in or near body waste management, and crew quarters should be far from noisy, heavy work areas).

8.2.5.4 Design of Module Interiors

To create solutions for module facilities, designers need to consider all surrounding influential aspects. These aspects may differ but are likely to be general operation, body envelopes, nearby traffic flow, nearby operation, nearby facilities, and environmental conditions.

8.2.5.5 Detailed Design of Facilities

To create detailed solutions for each facility, designers need to consider all surrounding influential aspects. These aspects may differ but are likely to be facility activity, facility operations, body envelopes, facility traffic flow, nearby activity, nearby facilities, and environmental conditions.
8.2.6 Multipurpose and Reconfigurable Spaces

Because most spacecraft have mass and volume constraints, designers should consider creating multipurpose or reconfigurable spaces that can increase the efficiency of a spacecraft interior, allowing different tasks to be performed in the same space. Similar to the ISS, these spaces may be used for stowage or activities such as sleeping or hygiene.

Co-located activities should be compatible in their human volume demands and their equipment volume and configuration demands. Also, activities should be able to be scheduled so that conflicting activities can occur at different times. The time and skills required to deploy, configure, and reconfigure such a space, however, should be minimized. For example, it should not take hours to change a meeting area into sleeping quarters. If it does, the crew will find alternative solutions, resulting in a less-than-ideal configuration.

Limitations on multipurpose use of a volume are as follows:

- Compatibility – Tasks should be compatible with surrounding areas and with each other, both physically and psychosocially. For example, the toilet should not serve a secondary function as a seat, because there may be competing demands for both uses, and because it may be unacceptable from psychosocial and contamination standpoints.
- Hygiene and contamination – One activity may contaminate another, such as body waste management and food preparation.
- Time – It may take too much time to efficiently convert the volume from one function to another.
- Privacy infringement – An activity may infringe on the privacy of a crewmember. This is the main objection to having two persons on different work shifts sharing the same quarters.

8.2.7 Co-Location and Separation

Workstations that perform related functions should be adjacent to each other, if possible. Activities performed at a workstation should be compatible with surrounding activities and facilities (e.g., non-interfering in terms of physical, visual, or acoustic considerations). Workstations should be separated or isolated if doing so improves the overall performance, safety, or privacy of the crewmembers.

The space environment provides numerous unique design constraints, but some aspects of architectural design are independent of the environment and should be considered as they are in terrestrial counterparts. Layout decisions should consider aspects of co-location or separation of functional areas. Co-location of certain functional areas has been problematic throughout long-duration space flight, including on the ISS. The location of sleeping quarters adjacent to the waste and hygiene facilities can disrupt crew sleep because of the noise made by the equipment. The co-location of dining facilities near exercise equipment and waste collection facilities can compromise sanitary and relaxing meals. On the ISS, the treadmill was deployed next to the galley/wardroom table, near the location of crew compartments. (See Figures 8.2-4 and 8.2-5.)
Locating dining facilities near laboratory work jeopardizes both habitability and the integrity of science activities. The integrity of science can be compromised by introduction of food debris and contamination of the controlled experiment environment. Frequently used translation passages have been blocked by large items, such as exercise equipment. Location of the dining table in a high-traffic area has made translation difficult. In the past, these areas have been co-located due to lack of availability of onboard habitation volume and resources. However, the nature of living in space has made this design concept sub-optimal. It will not be beneficial for future spacecraft designs because it presents numerous operational human hazards.
Considerations of co-location include

- **Related Functions** – Functional areas in which related functions are performed should be adjacent to each other, if possible. On the ISS, co-location of the exercise equipment, toilet, galley, and crew quarters in the Service Module was not ideal.

- **Sequential Functions** – Functional areas that are used to perform sequential functions should be co-located. Co-location of related, sequential functional work areas can reduce transit time, communication errors, and operational delays. For example, food stowage and food preparation areas should be located near one another, to minimize the time required to retrieve food for meals.

- **Compatibility** – Activities performed at a station should be compatible with surrounding activities and facilities (i.e., not interfere physically, visually, or acoustically).

- **Proximity** – Functional areas should be co-located when the functions or tasks performed in them need to be done in proximity to one another. For example, dual-piloting workstations that require interaction between crewmembers should be co-located, and food supplies should be located in or near the galley area to minimize the time needed to find items to prepare a meal.

- **Transition Frequency** – The frequency with which crewmembers switch from performing one function to another.

- **Support Equipment Commonality** – The percentage of support equipment shared by different functions.

- **Traffic Interference** – Some crew stations require a high volume of entering and exiting traffic (personnel or equipment). Placement of these stations adjacent to each other could result in traffic congestion and loss of efficiency.

- **Lighting** – Ambient illumination from one activity center may either interfere with or benefit the activities, such as experiments, sleep, or optical equipment, in an adjacent center.

Crew stations should be separated or isolated if it improves the overall performance and/or safety of the crewmembers.

- **Contamination** – The waste management system must be isolated from the galley to reduce the risk of fecal contamination of food.

- **Physical Interference** – Crew traffic flow, equipment movement, and activities of one station physically restrict the activities in another station.

- **Environmental Interference** – The activities in one station affect the surrounding environment so that the activities in an adjacent station are degraded. These environmental effects include lighting, noise, vibration, and heat.
  
  - Vibration – Certain activities, such as spacecraft control, robotics operations, and sleep, will be disturbed by vibrations and jolts. Crew stations for these activities should be isolated from significant sources of vibration.

- **Noise Output and Sensitivity** – Noise generated by crew activities and support equipment associated with one function has the potential to interfere with the performance of another function. Activities such as communications, sleeping and rest, and mental concentration are adversely affected by noise. Activities or facilities generating
significant noise levels should not be placed adjacent to crew stations that would be adversely affected by noise.

- Privacy – Certain personal activities such as sleeping, personal hygiene, waste management, and personnel interactions require some degree of privacy. Private areas where these activities occur should not be placed in passageways or highly congested activity centers. Additional degrees of isolation may be highly desirable, particularly in mixed-gender crews. As mission duration increases, the need for separation and privacy grows.

- Confidentiality – Some matters (e.g., medical conferences) require confidential communication and may require visual, auditory, or data isolation. This condition may exist for both crew-to-crew and crew-to-Earth interactions.

8.2.8 Reconfiguration and Reuse

Extended and variable use of spacecraft may require frequent reconfiguration during its lifetime. High launch costs limit the option of replacement. Architectural designs should consider both ease of reconfiguration and the reuse of resources.

RESERVED – sense of spaciousness, use of color and imagery for aesthetic appeal, cultural differences

8.2.9 Research Needs

RESERVED
8.3 LOCATION AND ORIENTATION AIDS

This section provides design guidelines, applicable to all gravity environments, for location and orientation aids.

8.3.1 Location Coding

Spacecraft must use a standard location coding system to provide a unique identifier for each predefined location within the spacecraft. Location coding provides a clear method of referring to different locations in the spacecraft, and serves as a communication and situational awareness tool for crewmembers traversing the spacecraft or unstowing or stowing equipment. An example of Shuttle location coding is the numbering of middeck lockers, as defined in JSC 26419 (Space Shuttle Program, 2004): locker MF28H is located on the middeck (M), forward (F) surface, 28% of the way to the right of the total width of the surface, and 48 in. (122 cm) from the top of the surface (H indicates 8 alphabetic increments of 6 in. (15.2 cm) from the top) (Figure 8.3-1).

Figure 8.3-1 Shuttle middeck location codes (Space Shuttle Program, 2004, JSC 26419).
The flight deck has a slightly different coding scheme, due to the presence of windows, displays, and controls at the pilot workstations, and is defined in Table 8.3-1 and Figure 8.3-2.

**Table 8.3-1 Shuttle Flight Deck Location Codes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surfaces</th>
<th>General Numbering Philosophy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L – Left</td>
<td>Numbered from top to bottom, forward to aft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R – Right</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C – Center console</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O – Overhead</td>
<td>Numbered from left to right, forward to aft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F – Forward</td>
<td>Numbered from left to right, top to bottom (facing the surface)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A – Aft</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W – Windows</td>
<td>The forward windows are numbered left to right (W1 through W6) facing forward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The overhead windows are numbered left to right (W7 and W8 facing aft)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The aft windows are numbered left to right (W9 and W10) facing aft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S – Seats</td>
<td>The CDR’s seat is S1 and the PLT’s seat is S2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From (Space Shuttle Program, 2004) (JSC 26419).
8.3.2 Orientation

Consistent orientation within crew workstations must be included in the design to minimize crew disorientation and to facilitate crew performance. Whenever possible in 0g, a consistent directional orientation should be established between workstations and for the overall spacecraft. Whereas this is assumed for a 1g environment, in a 0g environment, the human working position may or may not be more flexible.

Improper orientation can result in
- Spatial disorientation
- Decreased crew performance
- Space motion sickness
- Unsafe emergency operations

Proper orientation will result in
- Increased work performance.
• Faster location of equipment and adjacent working stations and modules.
• Safer emergency situations.

In 1g, orientation is based primarily on gravity and visual scene polarity. On Earth, visual cues, such as buildings, trees, and people, normally align with gravity in an expected manner. A familiar visual environment such as a face or printed text is difficult to recognize when it is tilted by more than about 60° (Corballis et al., 1978). In 0g, where both the crewmembers and objects in the environment can be in any orientation, unfamiliar angles often occur. This often leads to spatial disorientation in crewmembers, which can lead to motion sickness and confusion, resulting in reduced crew performance, and this situation could become dangerous during an emergency. Disorientation can also occur in gravity environments, but it typically occurs in environments where direct line of sight to multiple adjacent areas is not possible. Proper orientation and location awareness are important for performing tasks such as locating equipment and moving from one area to another. Spacecraft should be designed to enhance orientation and location awareness, which depend on the gravity environment and spacecraft size.

8.3.2.1 Architectural Features

Architectural features can provide strong visual cues to aid in orientation by defining horizontal and vertical reference planes.

Examples of general architectural features that can create visual planes include:

• Interior construction
• Walls, ceiling, and floor
• Workstations and work surfaces
• Stowage and supplies
• Hatches and windows (not round)
• Ducting
• Lighting
• Chairs and tables

Orientation should be defined primarily through visual and operational cues. Several orientation factors should be considered when designing a 0g environment:

• Orientation of Workstation Elements – Workstations must provide user interface elements with the same orientation in roll as the sagittal plane of the crewmember’s head (Figure 8.3-3). Maintaining a consistent orientation of workstation elements minimizes crewmember rotational realignments needed to perform tasks, such as reading labels and displays, that have directionally dependent components. Inconsistent and varied display and control orientations may contribute to operational delays and errors. Given the complexity of some operations (e.g., piloting), a single orientation for all controls, displays, and labels may not be possible, but every effort should be made in design to minimize crewmember repositioning required to efficiently perform a task. Primarily a concern in 0g, this is meant to ensure that all equipment at a workstation is aligned with the crewmember’s head, even if the head is turned, so that operating crewmembers only need to adjust their body orientation slightly in pitch and yaw at a workstation, but do not
need to adjust their body orientation in roll. As shown in Figure 8.3-4, workstations in the same area should share the same orientation.

- Visual Demarcations – Visual demarcations for adjacent workstations must be provided, to prevent incorrect use of adjacent workstation elements. Examples are physical indentation, color coding, or outlining.

- Visual Orientation Cues – Crewmembers need easy-to-read visual cues to help them quickly adjust their orientation to a “normal” position. These visual cues should define a horizontal or vertical reference plane.
  - Within a crew station, visual cues allow quick adjustment to the orientation to allow efficient performance.
  - When adjacent crew stations have vertical orientations differing by $45^\circ$ or greater, visual demarcations are needed to prevent inadvertent use of other workstation elements.

- Operational Cues – By providing a seamless transition in orientations between volumes, functions, and tasks, a space’s architecture can provide an intuitive and natural-seeming system of cues.

![Figure 8.3-3 Orientation and the sagittal plane of a crewmember’s head.](image)
Figure 8.3-4  Consistent orientation for equipment within a workstation or activity center.

Figure 8.3-5  Left image: Architectural features promote reference planes (up and down) in 0g. Right image: No objects promote a sense of up and down.
In Figure 8.3-5, the image on the left clearly provides a sense of up and down, due to separation and alignment of elements. The image on the right does not provide any clues to what is up and down because no objects have been placed to support such a notion.

One of the modules of Skylab, the Orbital Work Station (OWS), had a consistent local vertical and another module, the Multiple Docking Adapter (MDA), did not. It was found that people adapted more quickly to the orientation of the OWS than they did to the MDA. It also took crewmembers longer to locate a particular storage container in the MDA than in the OWS.

It is important to keep in mind that the buildup of equipment and other stowage during mission operations may hide some reference planes. Designers need to expect worst-case-scenario buildup to create reference planes that can overcome major interior changes.

In addition to overall architectural features, additional orientation aids may be needed to promote orientation and location to increase crew performance, support emergency procedures, avoid hazards, and provide better attention toward areas or tools.

Examples of additional orientation aids include:

- Color and shading
- Labels – labels of systems, modules, directions (starboard, port, nadir, etc.)
- Lights – near exits, tunnels, emergency paths, to define “up”
- Symbols and markings

Most of the additional orientation aids listed above can be considered dynamic and can be rearranged later during mission operations. It is important to incorporate flexibility into these aids.

8.3.2.2 Color and Shading

Color and shading are features recommended to be incorporated into the interior of a module to provide better orientation. Color has been an effective method for orientation and way-finding in the design of the International Space Station. A plan was developed to provide visual cues and routes to escape spacecraft from any location by the application of paint on one interior endcone of the Japanese, European and U.S. modules. Figure 8.3-6 illustrates the endcone paint in the U.S. Destiny Laboratory and the Unity node of the ISS.
Figure 8.3-6  Endcone paint and labels in the ISS modules Destiny (left) and Unity (right).  
Left: Astronaut Susan J. Helms, Expedition Two flight engineer, works at a laptop computer in the U.S. Laboratory/Destiny module of the ISS (photo no. ISS002-E-5478, 30 March 2001).  Right: Cosmonaut Mikhail Tyurin, Expedition 14 flight engineer representing Russia’s Federal Space Agency, uses a communication system as he floats in the Unity node of the ISS (photo no. ISS014-E-12521, 21 Jan. 2007).

A combination of lighting and color has also been used to create and promote a “local vertical” in ISS modules.  Lighting assemblies provide light from “above” the crewmember’s orientation.  To create a sense of “down” or floor, a deep-colored paint was applied to the equipment standoff, giving that architectural feature some “weight.”

Cultural influence has affected color choice and is most evident in the ISS Russian segment.  With heritage from the Russian space station Mir, the color scheme of the Zvezda Module relates to the Earth with the application of green, tan, and brown – the lighter color helping to reinforce “up” and the deeper color to reinforce “down.”

A 0g environment provides additional work surfaces because orientation and movement are arbitrary in space.  Arbitrary orientation in space will require designers to create architectural and additional orientation aids visible from any point in space.  Since designers cannot predict the position and orientation of a crewmember at any specific time, it is vital to prevent critical visual cues and aids from being hidden when crewmembers are in certain positions.
In 1g, different spacecraft orientations before launch and after landing will require designers to create orientation and location features that are equally functional with a 90- to 180-degree variation. Orientation and location aids must be provided to support both crewmembers and ground personnel in 1g. Ground personnel may enter the spacecraft before or after flight for configuration, validation, maintenance, or emergencies.

8.3.3 Research Needs

RESERVED
8.4 TRANSLATION PATHS

8.4.1 Introduction

This section provides design guidelines for traffic flow and translation paths, and is applicable to all gravity environments.

8.4.2 General Considerations

Translation paths refer to the physical connections or paths between modules or functional areas. Spacecraft must have translation paths to support both nominal daily activity and emergency procedures, for both suited and unsuited conditions.

Proper design and implementation of translation paths will provide:

- Increased crew performance
- Optimized logistics and movement
- Traffic congestion avoidance
- Optimized emergency procedures

For each activity it is important to note traffic-flow details such as surrounding activities, number of crewmembers in traffic, frequencies at which crewmembers pass each other, flow velocity, and volume of transported packages.

Traffic-flow diagrams representing flow movements, traffic envelopes, traffic time, and worst-case-scenario flow conditions can help to avoid dead ends and corridors, unnecessary traffic, and collision.

To avoid traffic congestion during worst-case or emergency procedures, less important paths for infrequent operations should be used as alternate pathways or safe pockets, allowing crewmembers to move away from high-priority traffic and activity.

Figure 8.4-1 is an example of a flow diagram used to validate traffic in relation to crew activity.
Figure 8.4-1 Traffic flow diagram.

The following factors should be considered when designing translation paths in a spacecraft:

- **Type of Translation Path** – The required size and shape of the translation path depend on the expected operations in the spacecraft. Design considerations for each type of translation path are given below:
  - Standard Passageway – A standard passageway must accommodate a crewmember in an upright working position or neutral body posture. By definition, the standard passageway need accommodate only a crewmember clothed for intravehicular activity (IVA).
  - Primary Passageway – A primary passageway is the same as a standard passageway but must accommodate an EVA-suited crewmember.
  - Pass-Through – A pass-through (or tunnel) must be large enough to permit passage by a crewmember with his or her long axis in the direction of travel. By definition, the pass-through need accommodate only an IVA-clothed crewmember.
  - Contingency Passageway – A contingency passageway is similar to a pass-through but must accommodate an EVA-suited crewmember.

- **Clearances** – Translation paths should be located outside the maximum working envelope of the crew station. Stowage, co-location of some activities, and hygiene within the Russian FGB corridor have had an impact on translation on board the ISS.

- **Incapacitated Crewmember** – Translation paths must allow assisted ingress and egress of an incapacitated suited crewmember. In space, some or all crewmembers may be pressurized, while on Earth, ground personnel may be needed. Transporting injured crewmembers may require large and unexpected traffic envelopes due to limited mobility and flexibility of the crewmember (e.g., in a restrained and recumbent position) and transporting equipment (e.g., litter, restraints).

- **Emergency Path Marking** – In the event of an emergency, egress paths must be marked and visible under emergency conditions, such as low light and smoke.
• Translation of Packages and Equipment – The translation path must be sized to accommodate the largest crewmember and any packages or equipment to be transported, taking into consideration the package size, the manner in which the package is to be carried, and acceptable clearances.

• Number of Persons Using Translation Path – The translation path must be sized according to traffic considerations. A busy path must be wide enough for two crewmembers to pass each other.

• Orientation of the Body – Turning or rotation required to position the body to translate from one path to another path, module, or door requires an increase in the minimum path size. The minimum dimensions of the path will be defined by the body orientation and method of negotiating the path.

• Gravity – 0g provides increased envelopes for traffic flow and translation paths because crewmembers are able to pass each other at arbitrary angles and levels. Also, rookie crewmembers do not have control of their movement, possibly requiring larger translation paths. Some spacecraft may be used in different gravity environments, such as a lunar lander that is used in both 0g and lunar gravity. In that case, traffic flow must work optimally in both gravity conditions. Designers should consider the “loping” gait that may occur in partial-g, which may require increased traffic envelopes, in both width and height. Conversely, it is possible that lower ceilings may be desirable in partial-g to aid in translation with the hands. The impact on traffic envelopes from walking in partial gravity is not well understood or documented. Translation paths must also be functional in 1g for crew ingress, ground personnel support, and emergency egress.

8.4.3 Restraint and Mobility Aid Location

The following should be considered in determining the location of IVA mobility aids:

• Method of Use – Previous experience has shown that mobility aids such as hand rails are not used for hand-over-hand translation. The longer a crewmember remains in space, the more likely he or she is to use feet for translating and stopping. Mobility aids are used primarily for control of body orientation, speed, and stability. After crewmembers gain confidence in free-flight translation, contact with planned fixed mobility aids is primarily at free-flight terminal points or while changing direction. Padding or kick surfaces should be considered at these points.

• Transport of Packages and Use of Mobility Aids – Consider the packages that crewmembers might be carrying. One or two hands may be required to negotiate and guide the package.

• Suited Crewmember Use – IVA mobility aids may have to be used by suited crewmembers. The location and the size of these aids should accommodate pressurized suits. For example, the clearance between a handle and the adjacent surface should allow a pressurized gloved hand to grasp the handle.

• Substitute Mobility Aids – Surfaces, protrusions, or any handy equipment items may be used as mobility aids. Surfaces and equipment along translation paths should be designed to accommodate this function. This includes ensuring that items that may be used as mobility aids can withstand the force of crew motion on those items.
The following should be considered in determining the location of IVA personnel restraints:

- **Operator Stability** – Locate restraints where it is critical that a workstation operator remain stable for task performance (e.g., viewing through an eyepiece, operating a keyboard, repairing a circuit). Foot restraints and other ad hoc positioning techniques are insufficient for tasks performed continuously for long periods (i.e., 1 hour of continuous use or longer). Restraining systems should be designed to be stable for the duration of use. Such systems should be stable (i.e., low sway or stagger) and have an intuitive design requiring little to no training to operate.

- **Comfort** – Restraints that are used for long durations should be comfortable for the duration of use.

- **Counteracting Forces** – Restraints should be located where the task causes the body to move in reaction to the forces being exerted. For instance, a crewmember using a wrench needs to be restrained from rotating in the direction opposite to the applied torque.

- **Two-Handed Task Performance** – Restraints must be provided to allow crewmembers to perform two-handed operations at a workstation in 0g. In other gravity environments, some type of body or foot restraint system should be considered for two-handed operations. Some simple tasks, however, can be easily performed with one hand while using the other hand for stability.

- **Restriction of Drift** – Not all restraints are necessary for keeping a crewmember at a station. Sometimes a restraint should be used to keep the crewmember from drifting into another area. For example, a relaxing or sleeping crewmember should be restrained from drifting into a traffic, work, or hazardous area.

- **Location According to Crewmember Size** – The restraint should properly position a crewmember of any size at a station. The restraint should be located so that the smallest and the largest of the defined crewmember population range can perform the task. Restraint adjustment or multiple positions may be necessary.

- **Noninterference** – The restraint should not interfere with a task. It may be necessary to use a portable restraint and remove it when a station is used for another purpose.

- **Functional Areas** – Restraints should be considered for locations used for the following functions in the spacecraft:
  - Body waste management
  - Exercise
  - Sleep
  - Meal preparation and eating
  - Handling trash
  - Using the airlock
  - Changing clothes
  - Donning and doffing spacesuits
  - Housekeeping
  - Using workstations
  - Maintenance
  - Medical support
Payload operations

See section 9.7 for more information about restraints and mobility aids.

8.4.4 Research Needs

RESERVED
8.5 HATCHES AND DOORS

8.5.1 General Considerations

Hatches function as interconnections between spacecraft and modules, while doors separate functional areas in a spacecraft or module.

The following should be considered when locating and designing hatches and doors:

- Type of Hatch or Door and Its Use
  - Pressure Hatches – Pressure hatches should not be too massive or difficult to operate. Because the pressure hatch’s function is critical, operating procedures and hardware should minimize the chance of unsafe operations. Normally, pressure hatch size and controls should be designed to be used by a suited crewmember. Reliability is enhanced if hatch covers open toward the higher-pressure volume, thus making them essentially self-sealing.
  - Emergency Hatches – Emergency hatches are used primarily for escape or rescue. A dedicated emergency hatch should not interfere with normal activities. In an emergency, however, hatch operation should be simple and quick. Where pressure loss is a possibility, emergency hatches should be sized for suited crewmembers.
  - Internal Doors – Internal doors may be necessary for visual privacy, reduction of light, reduction of noise, fire barriers, and restraint of loose equipment. The configuration will vary accordingly.

- Opening Size and Shape of a Hatch or Doorway
  - Size – Each hatch or doorway must be sized to provide access for the largest crewmember. The size of equipment to be carried through a hatch or doorway should be considered (see section 4.3, “Anthropometry”). Certain hatches or doorways may require passage of crewmembers in EVA suits.
  - Suited Crewmembers – All hatches must be large enough for ingress and egress of a suited crewmember. Generally, internal doorways need be used only by IVA crewmembers; in some cases, however, it may be necessary to provide opening room for passage of a suited crewmember.
  - Body Orientation – Frequently-used hatches and doorways must not require body reorientation to pass through. In 0g, this means that the opening should allow passage of a crewmember in the neutral body posture.

- Operations
  - Suited Operation – All opening and closing mechanisms must be operable by a single pressure-suited crewmember.
  - Unlatching – Hatches must require two distinct and sequential operations to unlatch, to prevent inadvertent opening of the hatch.
  - Operation from Both Sides – Hatches must be capable of being opened, closed, latched, and unlatched from either side.
o Rapid Operation – Hatches used to isolate interior areas of the space module must be operable in no more than 60 seconds, including unlatching/opening and closing/latching.

o Without Tools – Hatches must be operable without the use of tools. Lost or damaged tools will prevent the hatch from being opened or closed, which may result in loss of crew or mission.

o Pressure Equalization – The ability to manually equalize spacecraft pressure from either side of each hatch, by a pressurized suited crewmember, must be provided.

o Pressure Measurement – The ability to measure pressure difference across the hatch must be provided from either side of each hatch. This allows both ground personnel and flight crew to see if the pressure difference across a hatch is low enough to safely open the hatch.

o Windows – Pressure hatches must have a window for direct visual observation of the environment on the opposite side of the hatch, to determine conditions or obstructions for safety purposes.

o Status – The hatch closure and latch position status must be provided from either side of each hatch

o Open Position – Hatch covers and doors should be able to remain in the open position.

o Restraints – Restraints must be provided as necessary to counteract body movement when opening or closing a hatch.

o Operating Force – The forces required to operate hatch covers must be within the strength range of the weakest of the defined crewmember population (see section 4.7, “Strength”), for the worst-case pressure differential anticipated.

o Gravity Conditions – Hatch covers and doors must be operable in all gravity conditions and expected orientations to which they are exposed. This may include different body postures, restraints, and opening and closing forces.

• Location

o Opened doors or hatch covers must not restrict the flow of traffic.

o To avoid interference with translation, doorways and hatches should not be placed near a translation path juncture (including corners) and should be at least 1.5 m from a corner.

o Door and hatch covers should not open into congested translation paths. Rather, they should open into a compartment.

o Door and hatch openings should be sized for the traffic flow. To be efficient, a high-use doorway may require an opening to accommodate more than one crewmember at the same time.

Alternative designs for doors, such as bi-fold doors and pockets, are recommended if regular doors do not comply with adjacent traffic and activities.

The sketches in Figure 8.5-1 show examples of using these considerations to design the placement of doors and hatch covers opening into a module.
Figure 8.5-1  Initial concepts for hatch and door placement. Example 1: Conflict between crew quarters doors and traffic, and between hatch cover and dining table. Example 2: Reconfiguration of example 1 without door and hatch cover conflicts.

8.5.2 Research Needs

RESERVED
8.6  WINDOWS

8.6.1  Introduction

Human-tended spacecraft including orbiters, capsules, modules, landers, transfer spacecraft, and habitats will contain windows through which the crew will pilot spacecraft, take range measurements using optical ranging devices, observe operations, take photographs, record motion imagery, make scientific observations, and benefit from rest and relaxation near a window. This chapter will present guidelines and specifications for window design and placement in spacecraft. The guidelines apply to all gravity environments (including 0g). Terms and definitions peculiar to the general field of optics are listed in Appendix C of this document, “Optical Terms and Definitions.”

8.6.2  Window Design and Task Support

A window or window port is the first optical element in any optical system (including the human eye) used within the crew cabin of a spacecraft. Successful viewing and imaging through a window depends on the window’s ability to transmit light without distorting the wavefront as it passes through the window. This distortion is known as wavefront error. In an ideal window, a planar wave will pass through it so that the optical path length at each point on the window is the same and the wavefront retains the same phase as it exits the window. Such a window would be said to operate at the Rayleigh Limit, which allows not more than ¼ wave peak-to-valley optical path difference, with the reference wavelength typically being 632.8 nm. In an imperfect window, the wavefront is distorted and the phase is not maintained, causing blurring. Wavefront error is aperture dependent, so it affects imaging, photography, and the use of binoculars and telescopes more than it does direct viewing, because the size of even small imaging apertures of 25 mm is relatively large compared to the aperture of the human eye, which is at most 2 mm. This is one of the main reasons a window may seem not to cause any blurring to the naked eye, whereas it is impossible to obtain a focused image through the window (see Appendix C, Sections 1 and 2, “Basic Optical Theory Applied to Windows” and “Optical Design Guidelines for Good Windows”). Even so, windows in past space programs have had wavefront errors and other optical property deficiencies that caused direct eye viewing blurring and distortions (Scott et al., 2003; Runco 1999; Scott, 1996; Heinisch 1971). The optical property requirements and the verification methodologies for them that address these deficiencies are listed in Appendix D, “Optical Performance Requirements for Windows in Human Spaceflight Applications.”

For a window to be used effectively, the demands of the viewing tasks to be performed at the window and the types of instruments (camera lenses, binoculars, telescopes, spectral imagers, optical ranging devices, etc.) to be used with it must first be identified to determine the size of a window port and its prerequisite optical properties. As a window is often used for a variety of tasks that place different demands on it, a window must be able to support the most demanding of those tasks without visual or image degradation. Ultimately, the suite of windows on a spacecraft, that is, the total number and their individual sizes, shapes, locations, and orientations, must support the tasks that mission objectives will require. The tasks for which spacecraft windows are used are delineated below.
• Science – Including astronomy and observations and imaging of orbited planetary and lunar bodies and distant spacecraft. Note: For larger aperture systems such as telescopes, spectral images including infrared systems, and other high-performance imaging systems, the Rayleigh Limit is not sufficient to ensure that noticeable degradation is not introduced by the window. Thus, Category A windows require an optical path difference of no more than \( \frac{1}{10} \) wave.

• High-Resolution Photography – Including high-resolution still and high-definition motion imaging of external configuration changes and engineering anomalies, extravehicular activities and events, external inspection, other nearby spacecraft, amateur astronomy, observations and imaging of the Earth (known as crew Earth observations), and for historical and public affairs purposes.

• General Photography and Long-Term Viewing (> 30 minutes) – Including piloting (liftoff, ascent, proximity operations, rendezvous, docking, undocking, landing, navigating by the stars, and driving surface vehicles), robotic operations, and EVA support (IVA view of EVA and worksites).

• Minor Photography and Short-Term Viewing (≤ 30 minutes) – Including viewing through closed hatches for safety and for crew psychological support and recreation (astronomy and observation and imaging of the Earth, planetary and lunar bodies, and other spacecraft).

8.6.2.1 Window Categories

Four window categories are defined below in Table 8.6-1, according to the minimum optical properties that must be met or exceeded to support the activities (tasks) listed for each category. The specific optical properties associated with each category and the verification methodologies for them are provided in Appendix C, sections 1 and 2, respectively. In addition, Appendix D, section 3 contains the verification methodologies for the guidelines contained in this chapter and a glossary of optical definitions, terms, and conventions. Category C windows provide the absolute minimum window size that would allow a single crewmember enough room to view a scene over an appropriate range of angles. Category C windows also provide the absolute minimum optical properties needed to support short-term viewing and minor photography using only small-aperture camera lenses.
Table 8.6-1  Window Category Definitions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Primary Use</th>
<th>Activities Supported</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Science window ports</td>
<td>Optical instruments, including cameras, binoculars, telescopes, and spectral imagers, using lenses with apertures up to 200 mm in diameter, and all Category B, C, and D tasks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Note</td>
<td>For windows that will be used for observations in the ultraviolet and mid- and long-wave infrared wavelengths, additional transmittance specifications will be required to allow passage of these wavelengths. For specialized lasers and optical ranging devices, changes may be required in the transmittance, inclusion, and scratch-dig requirements to make the transmittance greater for the required wavelength(s) and the inclusion class and scratch-dig code more stringent. This would require additional specifications that depend on the particular wavelengths (ultraviolet, laser, and mid- or long-wave infrared) to be used with the specialized window.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>High-resolution photography window ports</td>
<td>High-definition motion imagery and high-resolution photography with cameras that use lenses with apertures up to 100 mm diameter (generally lenses with focal lengths of 400 mm and greater), and all Category C and D tasks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Piloting, general photography, or large hatch window ports</td>
<td>Piloting tasks, long-term crew viewing to accomplish tasks the crew is performing that normally occur over extended time periods such as rendezvous and robotic operations, psychological support, general photography with cameras that use lenses with apertures less than 50 mm in diameter (generally lenses with focal lengths of less than 180 mm), and all Category D tasks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Minor photography or small hatch window ports</td>
<td>Limited photography with cameras that use lenses with apertures up to 25 mm in diameter (generally lenses with focal lengths of less than 100 mm), psychological support, and short-term crew viewing (to view into a closed module through a hatch or to view the Earth during exercise with protective covers in place).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8.6-2 further defines the four window categories and provides a summary of the types of instruments and tasks that each category is able to support.

Table 8.6-2  Window Port Category vs. Instrument or Tasks Supported

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Window Port Category vs. Instrument or Task Supported</th>
<th>Supported Instrument or Task</th>
<th>Science Window (A)</th>
<th>High-Resolution Photography Window (B)</th>
<th>Piloting, General Photography or Large Hatch Window (C)</th>
<th>Minor Photography or Small Hatch Window (D)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lenses on visible and near-infrared instruments, telescopes, cameras, and photographic equipment</td>
<td>Up to a 200-mm-diameter aperture (400-mm lens @ f/2.8), spectrometers, &amp; telescopes</td>
<td>(\checkmark)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Up to a 100-mm-diameter aperture (400-mm lens @ f/4)</td>
<td>(\checkmark)</td>
<td>(\checkmark)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Window Port Category vs. Instrument or Task Supported</td>
<td>Supported Instrument or Task</td>
<td>Science Window (A)</td>
<td>High-Resolution Photography Window (B)</td>
<td>Piloting, General Photography or Large Hatch Window (C)</td>
<td>Minor Photography or Small Hatch Window (D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Up to a 50-mm-diameter aperture (180-mm lens @ f/2.8)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Up to a 25-mm-diameter aperture (100-mm lens @ f/4)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual quality</td>
<td>Piloting and robotic operations</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Long-term crew viewing^2</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Short-term crew viewing and psychological support^2</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other instruments</td>
<td>High-definition motion imagers</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Laser and infrared ranging devices</td>
<td>✓^3</td>
<td>✓^3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 A large hatch is defined as one with a minimum opening size greater than or equal to 122 cm and a small hatch is defined as one with a minimum opening size of less than 122 cm.
2 Long-term crew viewing is defined as greater than 30 minutes in duration. Short-term crew viewing is defined as 30 minutes or less in duration.
3 Ranging devices are not usually large-aperture devices and for this reason are generally more sensitive to scatter caused by medium imperfections. Windows intended to support such devices must meet Category A requirements at a minimum as well as any tailored, more stringent transmittance and inclusion class and scratch-dig requirements needed to support the device.

Window categories also have associated clear viewing apertures (CVAs). These minimum window sizes in terms of CVA are the result of numerous human factors cockpit studies and simulations involving crew personnel and more than 50 years of operational spaceflight experience. The minimum apertures are identified so that tasks stated for each window category can be successfully accomplished. Window shape is not restricted as long as it provides the minimum CVA specified.

- Category A windows – minimum circular clear viewing aperture diameter = 50 cm.
- Category B windows – minimum circular clear viewing aperture diameter = 40 cm.
- Category C windows – minimum circular clear viewing aperture diameter = 30 cm.
- Category D windows – minimum circular clear viewing aperture diameter = 20 cm.
Windows intended for a given purpose must be selected by category and must meet all of the optical requirements specified for that category.

8.6.2.2 Minimum Number of Windows

At a minimum, all human-tended spacecraft must have at least two windows excluding hatch windows for external situational awareness, safety, piloting and navigation, spacecraft inspections, observation and photo-documentation of engineering anomalies and scientific and environmental phenomena, crew psychological support, physical health reasons (exposure to natural light for vitamin D production and calcium absorption to prevent bone loss), and for supplementary, alternative, and contingency lighting. In addition, one window must be a Category B window, as spacecraft inspections and photo-documentation of engineering anomalies and scientific and environmental phenomena, astronomy, and planetary (Earth) observation have historically been major crew activities during on- and off-duty hours. Because of their larger size, Category B windows will also allow more natural light into the cabin than any of the other categories of window except Category A windows, with which a given spacecraft may not necessarily be equipped.

8.6.2.3 Multipurpose Windows

Windows used for a variety of tasks must meet or exceed the requirements for the highest category of use except where architectural constraints exist that prevent implementing the clear viewing aperture (CVA) diameter associated with that category, in which case the minimum CVA diameter for the next lower category may be used. For example, a 40-mm CVA window may have Category A optical properties; however, this may present some operational problems and physical limitations with the Category A tasks at the window as a result of the trade-off. This generally forces crewmembers to expend extra time to work around the problems. Lowering the CVA by more than one category would make the higher category tasking at that window either not possible or difficult at best and must not be done. On the other hand, a window with a smaller CVA diameter and less demanding tasking may always have higher category optical properties than its tasking would normally require, e.g., a small hatch window with Category C, B, or A optical properties. Decoupling window categories from their associated CVAs in the opposite direction must be avoided; that is, larger CVA diameters must not have lower category optical properties.

It should be noted that, because of technological advances made in window production, at least where glass is concerned as opposed to other transparent window materials (aluminum oxynitride, sapphire, and diamond among others), higher-category windows are often the more cost-effective option because such windows are the standard, more common production item due to the demand for them over their lower-quality counterparts. This is the result of process improvements in glass production and the use of computer-controlled planetary polishers. Modern production of lower category windows often requires that the higher quality optic be “dumbed” down after it is finished to produce the lower-quality optic. In the past, when such production was manual, the higher-quality optic was more difficult and costly to produce because of the significant amount of extra time and effort it took to achieve the better optic. With automation of the processing, the opposite is now the more common case.
### 8.6.2.4 Special-Purpose Windows

Windows used for special and unique tasks must meet or exceed minimum requirements by category (usually Category A) plus any tailored optical and physical requirements needed to support the special or unique task. Any normal or multipurpose window may be used as a special-purpose window, provided it meets or exceeds the requirements demanded by both its normal or multipurpose tasking, including CVA size, and its special or unique task without task interference. For example, a Category C piloting window, with a CVA of 30 mm in diameter, or a Category D hatch window, with a CVA of 20 mm in diameter, is upgraded to have Category A optical properties to permit the use of a 200-mm-aperture lens, telescope, or handheld or installed optical ranging device.

### 8.6.3 Window Location and Orientation

The following should be considered when locating windows in a spacecraft:

- **Functional Considerations** - Table 8.6-3 lists the considerations that should be taken into account when locating and orienting specific window categories within a spacecraft.

**Table 8.6-3 Considerations for the Location and Orientation of Windows within a Spacecraft vs. Window Tasking**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Window Task</th>
<th>Location Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Category A Windows</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Spectral and high-resolution imaging for planetary, celestial, and scientific studies and observations (support lens apertures up to 200 mm in diameter)</td>
<td>- Appropriately located and multi-directionally oriented to support these tasks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Near scientific workstations with communications, power, command &amp; data, and imaging &amp; video connectivity and displays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Capability to mount imagers and cameras to a stability of 7.5 microradians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Away from high traffic volume (certain piloting windows/workstations may also be designed for dual use to accommodate these tasks)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Category B Windows</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- High-definition video and high-resolution photography for imaging of external configuration changes and engineering anomalies, EVAs and events, external inspection, other nearby spacecraft, amateur astronomy, observations and imaging of the Earth (known as crew Earth observations), and for historical and public affairs purposes (support lens apertures up to 100 mm in diameter)</td>
<td>- Appropriately located and multi-directionally oriented to support these tasks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Near interior workstations with communications, power, command &amp; data, and imaging &amp; video connectivity and displays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Capability to mount imagers and cameras to a stability of 25 microradians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Can be located as needed (certain piloting windows/workstations may also be designed for dual use to accommodate these tasks – see also section 8.7, “Traffic Flow”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category C Windows³</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Piloting tasks requiring long-term crew viewing (≥ 30 minutes): liftoff, ascent, proximity operations, rendezvous, docking, undocking, landing, stellar navigation, and surface vehicle driving (support lens apertures up to 50 mm in diameter)</td>
<td>- Appropriately located and multi-directionally oriented to support these tasks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Monitoring and support of EVA personnel, operations and equipment requiring long-term crew viewing</td>
<td>- Near spacecraft piloting controls and workstations with communications, power, command &amp; data, and imaging &amp; video connectivity and displays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Detailed closeout photography</td>
<td>- Capability to mount imagers and cameras to a stability of 50 microradians.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Operation of robotic equipment requiring long-term crew viewing</td>
<td>- Appropriately located and multi-directionally oriented to provide clear, stereoscopic views of exterior robotic operations and worksites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Through-the-hatch safety viewing</td>
<td>- Near interior robotic control workstations with communications, power, robotic command &amp; data, and imaging &amp; video connectivity and displays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- General photography (support lenses with apertures up to 50 mm in diameter)</td>
<td>- Capability to mount imagers and cameras to a stability of 50 microradians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Monitoring decompression/recompression through large airlock or pressure hatches</td>
<td>- In larger hatches and pressure covers (≥ 100 cm in diameter/ across)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- In areas where there would not otherwise be a window and structural considerations permit doing so</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Category D Windows

- Through-the-hatch safety viewing
- Minor photography (support lenses with apertures up to 25 mm in diameter)
- Monitoring decompression/recompression through small airlock or pressure hatches
- Short-term crew viewing (<30 minutes)
- Crew psychological support to
  - Provide the critical link to the home planet
  - Offset the claustrophobic effects of living in confined spaces and long-term isolation
  - Provide motivational, recreational, educational, scientific, and awe-inspiring experiences
  - Provide natural illumination and day/night cycles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category D Windows</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- In smaller hatches and pressure covers (≤ 100 cm in diameter/across)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- In areas where there would not otherwise be a window and structural considerations permit doing so</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Near recreational, socialization areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Near areas of boring, monotonous tasks, e.g., exercise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Near or in private/personnel quarters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- To provide views of Earth, planets, moons, and other celestial sights</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Category A windows will support the tasks listed for all windows.
2. Category B windows will support the tasks listed for Categories B, C, and D windows.
3. Category C windows will support the tasks listed for Categories C and D windows.
4. Category D windows will support only the tasks listed for Category D windows.

- Traffic – Except for hatch windows, the windows should be located so that use of windows will not interfere with required traffic flow.

- Tasks – The number, size, location, and orientation of windows must provide an optimum field of view to support expected tasks. These include tasks that require crewmembers to be restrained, such as during launch, entry, and orbital maneuvers.

- Lighting and Glare – The following are lighting and glare considerations for window location:
  - Glare – Bright interior illumination will reflect from a window surface and can interfere with the ability to see or take images through the window.
  - Light-sensitive activities – Exterior light entering through windows will interfere with light-sensitive activities such as sleeping, use of monitors or displays, or tasks requiring dark adaptation.
  - Natural light and calcium loss – Calcium loss from bones in 0g is a problem of major concern. Vitamin D, which is produced in the skin when it is exposed to shorter wavelength ultraviolet light (UVB) from sunlight, facilitates the absorption of calcium by the gastrointestinal tract and helps to prevent bone loss. It provides other health benefits as well. Therefore, it is essential that windows be provided in appropriate daily work locations to allow sufficient crew exposure to natural light. It is understood that excessive exposure to UVB radiation can also present dermal and ocular hazards; however, even given the considerations in Chapter 6 for non-ionizing radiation, some exposure to UVB for heliotherapy is acceptable and
recommended within the limitations specified for the UV damage pathway. Windows provided for this purpose should be as widely dispersed around the hull as possible. At a minimum, at least one window excluding hatch windows must be provided for this purpose on all human-tended spacecraft. Two or more are recommended.

- Natural light for illumination – A properly designed and located window can be used as a supplementary, alternative, or contingency source of internal spacecraft illumination.

### 8.6.3.1 Window Visual Field

The total visual field out the window must be compatible with viewing tasks. The total visual field is illustrated in Figure 8.6-1 and is determined by the following dimensions:

1. Window width
2. Bezel thickness
3. Distance of the viewer from the window
4. Lateral offset

### 8.6.3.2 Window Vision (Fields of View) for Piloting

The mullions of piloting windows must not subtend an angle greater than 5.0° when viewed from the design eye point for the transition view from one vision area to the next.

#### 8.6.3.2.1 Winged Vehicles

Windows must be arranged by optical quality or category to provide the fields of view specified below for the forward, lateral, and side vision areas. Lower-category windows may have their optical properties upgraded to be commensurate with those of higher window categories without increasing their size. For example, a Category C piloting window with a 30-cm CVA may have the optical properties associated with a Category B window without increasing the CVA to 40 cm. The opposite case is not permitted; that is, a window with a 40-cm CVA (Category B) must not be made with only Category C or D optical properties. More windows providing greater visibility are highly desirable.

#### 8.6.3.2.1.1 The Window Forward Vision Area

The forward vision area must encompass a view looking directly forward along the design eye point (usually the +X axis of the vehicle) to a minimum of 30° abeam on both sides, a 60° viewing area.

#### 8.6.3.2.1.1.1 Forward Vision Area Up-Vision Minimums

There must be sufficient up-vision for the crew to see the normal touchdown point (the first 30% of the runway) plus 2° at the start of a pre-flare maneuver without moving their heads. (The pre-flare point is the transition from the steady-state outer glide slope with its associated high rate of descent to a specified controlled rate of descent from which the landing is accomplished.)
Figure 8.6-1  Calculation of visual angle from window. Field of view (FOV) and line of sight (LOS) are geometric depictions only. Actual FOV and LOS will be slightly smaller than shown as window edges are unusable ($C_L =$ center line of window).
8.6.3.2.1.1.2 Forward Vision Area Down-Vision Minimums
There must be sufficient down-vision for the crew to see 3° below the horizon at main gear touchdown at the worse-case nose-up attitude (tail scrape angle) without moving their heads.

8.6.3.2.1.1.3 Forward Vision Area Inboard-Vision Minimums
There must be sufficient inboard vision for the crew to see 5° beyond the runway centerline 300 m ahead at the maximum crab angle and a displacement of 10 m left or right of the centerline without moving their heads.

8.6.3.2.1.1.4 Forward Vision Area Outboard-Vision Minimums
There must be sufficient continuous unobstructed outboard vision for the crew to see the edge of the runway and flight ground aids necessary to maintain orientation and angular motion perception at the touchdown point 5 seconds before the touchdown without moving their heads.

8.6.3.2.1.2 The Window Lateral Vision Area
The lateral vision area is defined as the transitional viewing area between the forward vision area and the side vision areas, and it must encompass a view from 30° to 60° abeam on both sides (less the permitted obstruction for mullions, posts, or structure).

8.6.3.2.1.2.1 Lateral Vision Area Up-Vision Minimums
There must be sufficient up-vision for the crew to see a minimum of 10° above the horizon during all banking and turning maneuvers and terminal phase energy management maneuvers without moving their heads.

8.6.3.2.1.2.2 Lateral Vision Area Down-Vision Minimums
There must be sufficient down-vision for the crew to see the sidelines of a 45-meter-wide runway 5 seconds before touchdown and after touchdown, to maintain runway sideline visibility from an abeam position (a minimum of 60° to both sides) to the end of the runway from anywhere within 16 m of either side of the runway at the touchdown attitude without moving their heads.

8.6.3.2.1.3 The Window Side Vision Area
The side vision area must encompass a view 60° outboard from the design eye point (less the permitted obstruction for mullions, posts, or structure) to the aft boundary of the transparency, which must extend a minimum of 10° abaft the beam.

8.6.3.2.1.3.1 Side Vision Area Up-Vision Minimums
There must be sufficient up-vision for the crew to see a minimum of 10° above the horizon during all normal banking and landing maneuvers without moving their heads.

8.6.3.2.1.3.2 Side Vision Area Aft-Vision Minimums
The side vision area aft-vision must be great enough for the crew to verify clear air space during all banking and turning maneuvers without moving their heads.

The side vision area aft-vision must extend a minimum of 10° abaft of the beam.
The side vision area aft-vision must also be great enough for the crew to visually verify the clearance and separation of any wing-mounted detachable pod, module, or other such structure.

8.6.3.2.1.4 **Upward Vision**

There must also be a minimum of two overhead windows, one Category A window and one Category C window, to provide an upward field of view along a line parallel to the –Z axis of the spacecraft for on-orbit maneuvering. Category size requirements (but not optical quality requirements) may be varied by one category smaller or larger to accommodate structural considerations. A greater number of windows is highly desirable.

The overhead, upward-viewing windows must be mounted so that the planes of these windows are within 10° of being parallel to the X-Y plane of the spacecraft. This number should be minimized so that these windows are mounted as close as possible to being parallel to the X-Y plane.

8.6.3.2.1.5 **Rearward Vision**

If a cargo compartment or payload bay aft of the crew compartment is provided, there must be a minimum of two aft viewing windows, one category A window and one category C window, to provide a rearward field of view along a line parallel to the –X axis of the spacecraft for observation of the cargo compartment or payload bay and for on-orbit maneuvering and robotic operations. Category size requirements (but not optical quality requirements) may be varied by one category smaller or larger to accommodate structural considerations. A greater number of windows is highly desirable.

The aft, rearward-viewing windows must be mounted so that the planes of these windows are within 10° of being parallel to the Y-Z plane of the spacecraft and provide an unobstructed view into the cargo compartment or payload bay. This number should be minimized as much as possible so that these windows are mounted as close as possible to being parallel to the Y-Z plane.

8.6.3.2.2 **Non-Winged Vehicles**

A minimum of four windows is required, as follows: two Category C photographic/piloting windows, one port and one starboard, a minimum of 60° circumferentially apart; one Category D general photography/large hatch window; and one conformal category A observation window located to provide outboard visibility on the side of the vehicle opposite to the midpoint between the photographic/piloting windows; or there shall be an arrangement of windows to provide the equivalent fields of view and optical quality or category that the above arrangement of four windows provides. Category size requirements (but not optical quality requirements) may be varied by one category smaller or larger to accommodate structural considerations. A greater number of windows is highly desirable.

8.6.3.2.2.1 **The Window Forward Vision Area**

The forward vision area for the photographic/piloting windows must encompass a view looking directly forward (usually along a line parallel to the +X axis of the spacecraft) from the points where the seated pilots’ eyes would normally be located, that is, along a line that passes through the center of the window but set back from the window by some distance equal to or greater than the design eye point, to a minimum of 45° abeam on both sides; however, where feasible the forward vision area must extend to a minimum of 90° abeam on both sides. It is highly desirable.
that the forward vision area be provided by a single forward-looking window, but it may be provided by two or more forward-looking windows.

8.6.3.2.2.1.1 Forward Vision Area Up-Vision Minimums

There must be sufficient up-vision for normally seated pilots to see 45° above the X-Y plane of the spacecraft for nonconformal windows and 60° above the X-Y plane of the spacecraft for conformal windows without moving their heads. Conformal windows are preferred over nonconformal windows (see Figures 8.6-2 and 8.6-3).

8.6.3.2.2.1.2 Forward Vision Area Down-Vision Minimums

There must be sufficient down-vision for normally seated pilots to see 20° below the X-Y plane of the spacecraft for nonconformal windows and 25° below the X-Y plane of the spacecraft for conformal windows at a point 3 m ahead of the spacecraft along a line parallel to the spacecraft’s X axis with respect to the pilots’ normally seated positions without moving their heads. Conformal windows are preferred over nonconformal windows (see Figures 8.6-2 and 8.6-3).

8.6.3.2.2.1.3 Forward Vision Area Inboard-Vision Minimums

There must be sufficient inboard vision so that if two or more windows are used for the forward vision area, the views from the two inboard forward-looking windows (port and starboard) must overlap by no less than 5° at a point 3 m ahead of the spacecraft along the X axis with respect to the pilots’ normally seated positions, so that normally seated pilots would not have to move their heads to achieve the view.

8.6.3.2.2.1.4 Forward Vision Area Outboard-Vision Minimums

There must be sufficient continuous unobstructed outboard vision for normally seated pilots to see a minimum of 45° abeam on both sides without moving their heads; however, where feasible, outboard vision for normally seated pilots to see a minimum of 90° abeam on both sides must be provided.
Figure 8.6-2 Forward vision area up- and down-vision for nonconformal windows.

Figure 8.6-3 Forward vision area up- and down-vision for conformal windows.
8.6.3.3 Inboard Window View Obscuration Exclusion Zone

With respect to the spacecraft on which the window is installed, the view through any window must not be obscured or obstructed in any way from within a volume circumscribed by:

- The perimeter of the clear viewing area of the outboard-most surface of the window shown as [a] on the sample diagrams in Figure 8.6-4.
- The perimeter of the clear viewing area of the inboard-most surface of the window shown as [b] on the sample diagrams in Figure 8.6-4.
- An imaginary plane located directly inboard from and parallel to the window panes at a distance equal to twice the largest clear viewing area dimension from the inboard-most surface of the window but in no case less than 0.3 m (~1.0 ft) nor more than 1.5 m (~59 in.), shown as [c] on the sample diagrams in Figure 8.6-4.
- The surface that connects [b] and [c] on the sample diagrams in Figure 8.6-4 that slopes 30° radially outward from the inboard-facing normals to [b].

In addition, the interior volume immediately adjacent to and around the inboard-most surface of the window must be sufficient to permit a helmeted crewmember to view through the window or two non-helmeted crewmembers to view through the window simultaneously while their helmet is or their heads are within 1.3 cm (~0.5 in.) of this surface.

Note: The inboard window view obscuration exclusion zone (circumscribed by the bold lines) extends to the outboard-most window surface. Drawings are not to scale and are for illustrative purposes only.

**Figure 8.6-4** Inboard window view obscuration exclusion zone.
Exceptions to the above obscuration exclusion zones are the following:

- Hardware designed and intended to protect and cover the window when the window is not in use
- Hardware or equipment used in conjunction with piloting such as a head-up display, Crew Optical Alignment System, optical ranging device, or other similar equipment. Any obstruction or obscuration of the view through the window by such hardware or equipment should be minimized
- The inner mold line and hull structure and other windows
- Instrumentation applied to the window itself within 13 mm (~ 0.5 in.) of the perimeter of the clear viewing area
- An analysis is performed with respect to the spacecraft to establish a smaller inboard window obscuration exclusion zone to accommodate specific program and spacecraft requirements

8.6.3.4 Outboard Window View Obscuration Exclusion Zone

The exterior view through any window must not be obscured or obstructed in any way within a volume circumscribed by

- A 0.75-m (~2.5-ft) perimeter around the window on the outer mold line measured from the outer edge (perimeter) of the clear viewing area, shown as [a] in Figure 8.6-5
- An imaginary plane located directly outboard from and parallel to the window panes at a distance equal to 500 times the largest clear viewing area dimension from the outboard-most surface of the window, shown as [b] in Figure 8.6-5
- The surface that connects [a] and [b] above that slopes 60° radially outward from the outboard-facing normals to [a]
Exceptions to the above are the following:

- Hardware designed and intended to protect and cover the window when the window is not in use
- Hardware or equipment used in conjunction with piloting, such as a head-up display, Crew Optical Alignment System, or other similar equipment. Any obstruction or obscuration of the view through the window by such hardware or equipment should be minimized.
- The outer mold line and hull structure and other windows
- Instrumentation applied to the window itself within 13 mm (~ 0.5 in.) of the perimeter of the clear viewing area
- An analysis is performed with respect to architecture integration to establish a smaller outboard window obscuration exclusion zone to accommodate specific program and architectural element integration requirements

8.6.3.5 Optical Uniformity

All finished window panes must be optically uniform through the clear viewing aperture (CVA) so that defects do not interfere with tasks planned for use with the window or visually distort or deviate objects viewed through the window at any angle.
8.6.3.6 Window Shape

Window shape is not specified but windows must have the minimum prescribed CVA by category. Circular or round windows are generally less expensive to produce than windows of other shapes and are structurally less prone to stress fractures. If windows of other shapes are required or desired, then any corners or window pane edges must be well-rounded to minimize stress points.

8.6.4 Surface Finish for Window Frame and Surrounding Structure

Stray light, spurious specular reflections and background reflections in the window are significantly reduced when lusterless finishes are used on the window structure itself, on the structure around a window, and on interior surfaces opposite a window. This permits viewing through the window without interference from these unwanted light sources.

The window assembly frame and the supporting structure within 0.15 m (~6 in.) from the perimeter of any window, in all directions around the window both internally and externally, must have a surface finish whose diffuse reflectance is less than 10% over a wavelength range of 400 to 1000 nm and a specular surface reflectance of less than 1% for angles of incidence of 10, 30, and 60° so that stray light is minimized, especially from between the panes. Specular reflectance is defined as the energy reflected into a solid angle having a full angle subtense of 5° (0.006 steradians) centered on the specular angle. The wavelength range is specified into the near infrared because some black finishes are highly reflective in the infrared.

This type of surface finish must be applied both internally and externally to the spacecraft and include fasteners, handrails, and connectors but not labeling, switch panels, switches, and switch guards. These excluded items should have a lusterless surface finish or coating. All finishes and black coatings should be durable so that no special handling is required. Interior surfaces opposite the window should also have a surface finish that meets the diffuse reflectance parameters specified above where possible.

A number of commercially available black coatings, such as Z-306 paint, which has a history of use within NASA, are known to be effective for this purpose. This particular paint has a diffuse reflectance of around 5% across the visible and infrared spectra and a specular reflectance of less than 1%.

8.6.5 Window Surface Contamination

Contamination was a significant problem with the Gemini and Apollo windows, has been seen on Shuttle windows, and is an issue on ISS windows. Seals made from silicone-based materials are particularly unacceptable (Blome, 1967; Leger, 1972; Heinisch, 1971).

In addition, contamination deposition is a line-of-sight phenomenon and falls off at a ratio of 1/r^2 where r is the distance to the source of contamination. Contamination close to the window is therefore very degrading to its performance and depends on many other factors as well, such as the relative temperature, the type of material outgassing the contaminants, and the presence or absence of ultraviolet radiation. Flight experience and experiments have documented unexpected events that deposited in excess of 10,000 angstroms of outgassed contaminants in a period of days.
Deposition of 200 angstroms of contamination on a window can decrease transmittance by as much as 15%, depending on the composition of the contamination. This amount of transmission loss would negatively affect the use of a window for photography, piloting, and science (Scott et al., 1997).

A non-inclusive list of window problems caused by outgassed contaminants and their associated references follows:

1. On STS-91 small amounts of RTV applied to sharp edges in the payload bay of the Space Shuttle deposited 300 angstroms on the alpha magnetic spectrometer in only a matter of a few days (Albyn, 1999).

2. Witness coupon and TQCM data collected on STS-3, 26, 32, 44, 82, and 91.

3. STS-114 Orbiter DTO #848: Non-Oxide Adhesive Experiment (NOAX) contamination (Koontz, 2005).

4. Certain types of contamination are highly reactive to the Sun’s ultraviolet radiation. On exposure to sunlight, any such contamination that is deposited on the surface of the window will photochemically react, polymerize, and molecularly bond to the surface. This bonding renders the contamination permanent. It cannot be cleaned off or otherwise removed, and creates a dark residue on the surface, greatly decreasing optical transmittance. (Scott, 1996).

Appendix D and this document’s list of references contain additional documentation concerning molecular contamination.

8.6.5.1 Material Selection and Contamination Prevention for Window Assembly and Installation

Volatile from material outgassing and offgassing can deposit on and contaminate both accessible and inaccessible (interpane areas) window surfaces, causing a reduction in transmittance over time. This degradation can occur over relatively short periods, on the order of days for some materials. Eliminating such contaminants in the manufacture of the windows and in the areas surrounding the windows will prevent this type of known degradation. Materials used for window assemblies, particularly seals and shutter components, must not degrade transmittance or induce haze by depositing contaminants on the window from material outgassing (vacuum environment) and offgassing (pressurized environment).

To prevent degradation of window performance in the case of outgassing, materials used for window assemblies, particularly seals and shutter components must pass testing per ASTM-E1559 Method B with the additions listed below. This applies to all external materials within 3 m (~10 ft) of any window on the spacecraft as configured for mission use. All materials beyond 3 m distance from the window but within the line of sight of any window on the spacecraft as configured for mission use must be screened per NASA-STD-6016. Materials may be baked out to meet these requirements. Testing may be performed on witness samples. A hardware functionality bench test must be performed to reverify optical performance after baking.
1. One of the three quartz crystal microbalances used in the testing must be at a temperature equal to or less than the coldest temperature the window is expected to attain in its operating environment.

2. The output of the E1559 tests is a condensable outgassing rate for each of the sample and collector temperatures. These rates must be entered into a contamination model, with modifications, that takes into account view factors so that the degradation that the contamination would have on window transmittance is determined.

3. Exposure to UV is required so that the synergistic interaction between solar UV and molecular contamination, which can lead to photochemically enhanced deposition and darkening of the contaminants, is included in the model or determined by test.

4. The model must accurately model materials that are expected to operate in a closed volume (e.g., seals) so that outgassed materials that are constrained by the volume are addressed differently from materials that outgas to space.

5. This requirement is met when the transmittance of all categories of windows is predicted to meet or exceed transmittance and haze requirements after exposure to the outgassed materials.

6. All materials within the line of sight of any window but outside the 3-meter area on the completed spacecraft as configured for mission use must be screened per NASA-STD-6016 using a collected volatile condensable material level of 0.01% that is defined for sensitive surfaces. An evaluation must be performed to determine whether a quartz crystal microbalances temperature colder than 25°C (77°F) is needed, to prevent using materials that would meet the collected volatile condensable material level at 25°C (77°F) but would fail if the actual window temperature was used.

7. Photochemical deposition effects on contamination rate must also be evaluated.

**8.6.5.2 Molecular Contamination Removal for Category A, B, and C Windows**

A means must be provided to remove molecular contamination of a minimum of 1000 angstroms of uniformly deposited silicone that has not been photopolymerized on Category A, B, and C windows on all spacecraft without marring the surface finish. Exceptions to this can be made for the following cases:

- The spacecraft is a single-use spacecraft that is exposed to terrestrial atmospheric entry.

- The spacecraft is exposed to terrestrial atmospheric entry and has windows categorized as Line Replacement Units (LRUs), and the operational plan is to replace them at some frequency interval.

- It can be shown through analysis that the molecular contamination that would deposit on a window during a mission due to environmental exposure would not degrade transmittance and haze properties below category specifications.
8.6.5.3 Particulate Contamination Removal for All Windows

A means must be provided to remove particulate contamination deposited on all windows on all spacecraft without marring the surface finish. Exceptions to this can be made for the following cases:

- The spacecraft is a single-use spacecraft that is exposed to terrestrial atmospheric entry.

- The spacecraft is exposed to terrestrial atmospheric entry and has windows categorized as LRUs, and the operational plan is to replace them at some frequency interval.

- It can be shown through analysis that the particulate contamination, due to environmental exposure, that would deposit on a window during a mission would not degrade transmittance and haze properties below category specifications.

8.6.5.4 External Contamination Monitoring for Category A, B, and C Windows

A means must be provided to monitor the contamination environment (e.g., quartz crystal microbalance) for Category A, B, and C windows on all spacecraft where the windows might be exposed to external sources of contamination, so that contamination levels can be monitored and contamination events time-stamped.

Exceptions to this can be made for the following cases:

- The spacecraft is a single-use spacecraft that is exposed to terrestrial atmospheric entry.

- The spacecraft is exposed to terrestrial atmospheric entry and has windows categorized as LRUs, and the operational plan is to replace them at some frequency interval.

- It can be shown through analysis that the particulate contamination that would deposit on a window during a mission due to environmental exposure would not degrade transmittance and haze properties below category specifications.

8.6.6 Other Sources of Window Contamination and Damage

In low orbits around planetary and lunar bodies such as in low Earth orbit, windows are subject to many of the hazards detailed in the “Protective Panes and Covers” and “Window Transmittance and Non-Ionizing Radiation” sections of this chapter. Designers must therefore provide the necessary protection for crew and interior equipment based on these expected hazards. Window orientation toward the planetary or lunar body about which a spacecraft is orbiting decreases the flux of micrometeoroids and orbital debris (MMOD) and aids in imaging, mapping, and observation of the orbited body.

On planetary and lunar surfaces dust will be disturbed by EVA activities, and on planetary surfaces spacecraft may be exposed to dust storms, both of which can cause dust to deposit on
spacecraft windows. Angling windows in a slightly downward position may reduce some deposition and may be advantageous for landing but presents limitations to surface observation and photography in the vicinity of the spacecraft along the horizontal. Even so, dust may cling to windows if it is electrostatically charged or has the physical properties that would cause it to do so. The “Protective Panes and Covers” chapter of this document addresses these concerns.

8.6.6.1 Protective Panes and Covers

8.6.6.1.1 External Window Protection

Protection must be provided to prevent natural and induced environmental degradation (e.g., contamination, erosion, and impacts) of the outboard-most window pane. Exceptions to this requirement can be made for the following cases:

- The spacecraft is a single-use spacecraft that is exposed to terrestrial atmospheric entry.
- The spacecraft is exposed to terrestrial atmospheric entry and has windows categorized as LRUs, and the operational plan is to replace them at some frequency interval.
- It can be shown through analysis that the natural and induced environmental conditions to which the window would be exposed during missions would not degrade transmittance and haze properties below the requirements specified in this document.
- The pane is provided for transparent external protection of the window.

8.6.6.1.1.1 Transparent External Protection

If external protection is in the form of a transparent pane or cover, then

- The transparent external protection must meet or exceed the optical requirements of the category of window for which it is provided.
- The transparent external protection must be removable in less than 1 hour with the use of standard EVA tools by one EVA crewmember and reinstallable in less than 1 hour with the use of standard EVA tools by one EVA crewmember.

8.6.6.1.1.2 Opaque External Protection

If external protection is in the form of an opaque shutter or other operable opaque device, then the shutter or device must be designed so that

- It is manually operable by the crew so that it can be opened within 10 seconds and closed within 10 seconds without the use of tools while the crewmember is located at the window.
• It is remotely operable so that it can be opened within 10 seconds and closed within 10 seconds from a terrestrial ground control center, from another spacecraft on an occupied planetary body, from another orbiting spacecraft, and from onboard locations other than at the window. The times specified do not include signal transmission delay time due to distance.

• It can be removed and replaced in less than 2 hours with the use of standard EVA tools by one EVA crewmember.

• The shutter or device drive mechanism can be removed and replaced in less than 2 hours with the use of standard hand tools by one IVA crewmember.

• The state of the shutter or device, either open or closed, can be determined remotely from a terrestrial ground control center, from another spacecraft on an occupied planetary body, from another orbiting spacecraft, and from onboard locations other than at the window.

• It can be positioned in any intermediate position between fully closed and fully open.

• It can be manually moved in either direction toward open or closed by some means (e.g., using a designated handle or knob, or by handling or backdriving the shutter or device itself) through its entire range of motion by an EVA crewmember. Being able to manipulate shutter or device position from the exterior will allow EVA crewmembers to open or close and position them as required without the assistance of IVA crewmembers. The capability also provides a workaround for an inoperable shutter drive mechanism.

• It provides a light seal when closed such that no external light is observable by an observer with an uncorrected or corrected visual acuity of 20/20 from within the spacecraft when the shutter is closed and the cabin is darkened. This seal may be the same as the particulate/contamination seal.

• It provides a particulate/contamination seal such that, when the seal is closed, no external particulates or contamination can impinge upon or be deposited on the exterior-most surface of the window or on the underside of the shutter or device itself. Molecular contamination or outgassing is a line-of-sight, temperature-dependent phenomenon and flows preferentially from the source of the outgassed contamination to colder surfaces, which windows tend to be when compared to surrounding structures. Outgassed contamination degrades the optical performance of the window. In addition, certain types of contamination including that from thruster exhaust (Fuel-Oxidizer Reaction Product), which is initially mechanically attached to the window and contains highly contaminating hydrocarbons, are reactive to ultraviolet radiation. On exposure to sunlight or any other ultraviolet light source, any such contamination that is deposited on the surface of a window will photochemically react, polymerize, and molecularly bond to its surface. This bonding renders the contamination permanent. It cannot then be cleaned off or otherwise removed, and creates a dark residue on the surface. This now-dark residue decreases transmittance over and above
the decrease caused when it is in its unbonded state. Unbonded outgassed contamination can in certain cases be evaporated away (“baked off”) or will re-outgas over time if environmental conditions allow it. This seal may be the same as the light seal.

- When closed, it protects the exterior surface of the window port and the underside of the shutter or device itself from thruster exhaust plumes, nozzle vents and dumps, and impact damage from MMOD and foreign object debris in the quantities, sizes, and energy levels predicted or derived by analysis for the natural and induced environmental conditions to which the window would be exposed during missions, all of which can degrade or damage the exterior surface of a window and/or its optical performance. Thrusters and dump/vent nozzles must therefore be designed in such a way that they do not impinge on any window nor allow leaks to direct inadvertent discharges toward windows.

- The underside of the shutter or device (the side of the shutter or device that faces the window when the shutter is closed) is never turned outward to face away from the spacecraft so that it is susceptible to particulate/contamination deposition, thruster exhaust plumes, nozzle vents and dumps, or MMOD and foreign object debris (this is the type of shutter used on the SpaceHab module). Alternatively, in the case of a shutter or device whose underside faces outward and is unprotected when it is open (the type of shutter used on the ISS Destiny module’s science window), some form of protection for the underside of the shutter or device must be automatically deployed as the shutter is opened and automatically retracted as the shutter is closed. An example of such a mechanism for this purpose would be the iris in the lens of an automatic camera. Shutters or devices so designed would prevent the re-outgassing and transfer of contaminants from an otherwise exposed shutter surface when it is open to the external surface of a window when it is closed.

8.6.6.1.2 Internal Window Protection

The terms protective cover, protective pane, wavefront, wavefront error, window filter, and window shade used in this subsection and elsewhere in this chapter are defined in Appendix A (Acronyms and Definitions) of this document.

To protect the inboard-most pressure pane of windows from incidental contact, windows must be equipped with internal protective panes or covers.

Exceptions to this can be made for the following cases:

- The spacecraft is a single-use spacecraft that is exposed to terrestrial atmospheric entry.

- The spacecraft is exposed to terrestrial atmospheric entry and has windows categorized as LRUs, and the operational plan is to replace them at some frequency interval.

Otherwise for all spacecraft that do not fall under the above exceptions, the following apply:
- Internal protective panes and covers must be transparent except for any covers that are intended to act as temporary window shades to block external light to darken the cabin interior.

- Each internal protective pane or cover must meet or exceed the optical requirements of the Category of window for which it is provided, except for removable protective panes used on Category A, B, and C windows and removable protective covers used on any window with respect to wavefront.

- Removable internal protective panes must be removable in less than 10 minutes with no more than the use of standard hand tools by one crewmember and reinstallable in less than 10 minutes with no more than the use of standard hand tools by one crewmember.

- Internal protective panes used on Category A, B, and C windows that are removable in less than 10 minutes with no more than the use of standard hand tools and reinstallable in less than 10 minutes with no more than the use of standard hand tools must meet or exceed Category C wavefront requirements.

- Removable internal protective covers must be removable by one crewmember in less than 10 seconds without the use of tools and reinstallable by one crewmember in less than 10 seconds without the use of tools, and operable by one crewmember from fully closed to fully open in less than 10 seconds without the use of tools and operable by one crewmember from fully open to fully closed in less than 10 seconds without the use of tools.

- The peak to valley transmitted wavefront error of removable internal protective covers used on any window that are removable by one crewmember in less than 10 seconds without the use of tools and reinstallable by one crewmember in less than 10 seconds without the use of tools and operable by one crewmember from fully closed to fully open in less than 10 seconds without the use of tools and operable by one crewmember from fully open to fully closed in less than 10 seconds without the use of tools must not exceed 1 wave over any 25-mm (~1 in.)-diameter sub-aperture within the central 80% (minimum) of the physical area of the window where the reference wavelength is 632.8 nm (excluding flight loads, pressure loads, and temperature gradients).

- Internal protective covers may be used to protect internal protective panes.

- Internal protective covers may also serve as window filters.

- An internal protective pane or cover that is not removable or operable within the time periods specified above must meet or exceed the optical requirements of the category of window for which it is provided, including wavefront requirements.
8.6.7  Condensation Prevention

Condensation formation on windows, particularly between panes, interferes with the safe execution of piloting tasks as well as with science, observation, photography, and other viewing tasks. For Category A, B, and C windows except those installed in large hatches, a means to prevent condensation such as an electro-thermal or forced air condensation prevention system (CPS) must be provided to prevent condensation from forming on any window pane surface except the exterior most surface when the window is exposed to:

- The normally expected range of environmental conditions.
- Human breath condensation at a mouth-to-pane distance of 10 cm (metabolic rate = 30 (± 5) breaths/minute, single person).
- Interpane venting and pressurization.

For Category C and D hatch windows, a means to prevent condensation such as an electrothermal or forced-air CPS should be provided to prevent condensation from forming on any window-pane surface except the exterior-most surface when the window is exposed to the conditions specified above.

8.6.7.1  Manual Operation of Condensation Prevention Systems

Both electrothermal and forced-air CPSs will degrade optical performance and negatively affect viewing and retrieved still and motion imagery. Condensation prevention systems must therefore be designed so that the crew can turn them off in less than 10 seconds and on in less than 10 seconds while at the window. Being able to turn off such a system temporarily would provide a workaround for the optical degradation caused by the CPS.

8.6.8  Window Transmittance and Non-Ionizing Radiation

For the purposes of ensuring crew safety with respect to hazardous non-ionizing radiation, the transmittance of the window assembly must be evaluated against the limits specified in chapter 6 of this document to determine the amount of attenuation or filtering that is provided by the window at the appropriate wavelengths for each of the four non-ionizing radiation damage pathways of concern. Window filters provided to limit crew exposure to excess levels of non-ionizing radiation must attenuate or filter only to the degree necessary to reduce the effective irradiance of non-ionizing radiation to acceptable levels after any attenuation provided by the window is taken into account.

8.6.8.1  Attenuation and Filtering of Non-ionizing Radiation

Reducing the effective irradiance of hazardous non-ionizing radiation sources may be accomplished through the use of window filters or temporary filters used on any optical instruments that may be used at the window such as lens filters or eyepiece filters. Beam stops or splitters, aperture adjustments, or other appropriate means may also be used in conjunction with optical instruments used at the window to reduce the effective irradiance of hazardous non-ionizing radiation to acceptable levels. Any attenuation or filtering device, or any other means
used in conjunction with optical instruments at the window, must provide sufficient protection to account for any concentration or enhancement of the effective irradiance by the eyepiece of the optical instrument itself. Other ways to reduce the effective irradiance of hazardous non-ionizing radiation sources are:

- Limiting the crew’s exposure time and/or cumulative exposure time to hazardous non-ionizing radiation (as appropriate to the damage pathway)
- Having the crewmembers wear protective eyewear such as filtering sunglasses

Window filters provided to attenuate or filter excess non-ionizing radiation at the window must not be permanently attached to it.

Window filters that are provided to attenuate or filter excess non-ionizing radiation and that attach to the window must be removable by one crewmember in less than 10 seconds without the use of tools and reinstallable by one crewmember in less than 10 seconds without the use of tools.

Any means that is provided to attenuate or filter excess non-ionizing radiation and that is attached to the window in any manner should otherwise meet or exceed Category D optical requirements except for wavefront requirements, which instead should not exceed a peak-to-valley transmitted wavefront error of 1 wave over any 25-mm (~1-in.-)diameter sub-aperture within the central 80% (minimum) of the physical area of the window filter where the reference wavelength is 632.8 nm (excluding flight loads, pressure loads, and temperature gradients).

8.6.9 Window Support

The usability of windows is made possible or enhanced by the use of support equipment located adjacent to windows, such as seats, handholds, footholds, restraints, mobility aids, mounts and brackets (for instruments, imagers, and cameras), and electronic connectivity (for communications, power, command, data, and imaging and video equipment and displays). This support equipment must be provided to the fullest extent possible. Other than for electronic connectivity, such support equipment should be temporary and unobtrusive wherever possible and practical. Temporary support equipment must be removable and replaceable by one crewmember in less than 15 seconds without the use of tools.

8.6.9.1 Working Volume Around Windows

The architectural arrangement of equipment near windows should allow adequate volume for the performance of tasks by suitably clothed crewmembers sized from at least the 95th-percentile American man to the 5th-percentile American woman.

8.6.9.2 Electronic Connectivity for Window Support

Connectivity for communications, power, command, data, and imaging and video equipment and displays must be provided within 1.5 m (~5 ft) of all Category A, B, and C windows.

- The capability to mount imagers and cameras to a stability of 7.5 microradians must be provided for Category A windows.
• The capability to mount imagers and cameras to a stability of 25 microradians must be provided for Category B windows.

• The capability to mount imagers and cameras to a stability of 50 microradians must be provided for Category C windows.

8.6.9.3 Window Support in Microgravity

In 0g, crewmembers are able to use all exposed surfaces for stabilization and mobility. Windows should therefore be designed and located so that their exposure to contact by the crew during exercise, translation, or other activities performed in the vicinity of a window is minimized yet still compatible with tasking. In addition, handholds, restraints, and mobility aids must be provided in 0g to allow the crew to orient themselves at windows without contacting or damaging them (see Figure 8.6-6 for a notional depiction of this concept). Any such handholds, restraints, and mobility aids must not obstruct or interfere with the field of view of the windows in any way.

![Figure 8.6-6 Window implementation in 0g.](image)

When necessary restraints are not available, crewmembers may make use of nearby hardware not intended for such use, which can lead to damage or failure of the hardware. An example of such damage occurred to the flex-hose jumper on the window assembly in the U.S. Destiny module of the ISS. The final design implementation and location in the ISS internal volume (it looked like
a facsimile of the overhead handholds on subways and buses) facilitated its use by the crew as a handhold so that slight deflections imparted to it over time caused it to develop a crack. The function of the flex-hose jumper was to maintain a vacuum between the primary and redundant pressure panes of the window to eliminate having an additional index of refraction in the light path through the window and to ensure pressure redundancy. The flex-hose did not meet the ISS load requirements for handholds, as it was never intended to be used as a handhold. The crack caused a slow cabin leak overboard. Removal of the jumper stopped the leak; however, the window could not be used, for fear of interpane condensation, until a new flex-hose jumper was made available (the window shutter was kept closed to prevent the window from getting cold and having condensate form in the interpane volume). When the new flex-hose jumper was installed, it was covered by a temporary protection device and made inaccessible to the crew. The interpane volume was subsequently slowly re-evacuated to prevent flash condensation and the window was again made available for use but only after several months had elapsed.

The Window Observational Research Facility (WORF), which was designed to provide the necessary crew support interfaces (handholds, camera brackets, light curtains, etc.), the stable (≤ 19 microradians) platform on which to mount cameras and science instruments, and the connectivity for those instruments (power, data, and cooling), would have also provided the permanent protection for the flex-hose jumper to prevent the incident but was delayed in the manifest. The WORF was later flown and replaced the temporary device. The WORF is an example of an ideal nonpiloting window support device for crew interfaces, cameras, and science payloads (Scott, 1999; Runco, 2003; Runco, 2005).

In 0g, crewmembers assume a neutral body posture so that the body is slightly bent over, creating a sightline angle that is "downward" compared to the normal 1g posture and sightline angle. This change in line of sight due to body posture is important to consider, especially for spacecraft that may be exposed to both 0g and reduced-gravity environments.

### 8.6.10 Research Needs

Windows can be made from several types of materials. Glass such as fused silica and aluminosilicate, plastics such as polycarbonate and acrylic, sapphire, diamond, and aluminum oxynitride are all viable spacecraft window materials. Each of these materials has its own unique set of optical and structural properties. These properties are somewhat mutually exclusive with respect to spaceflight applications.

Fused silica has been used extensively in human spaceflight applications and is ideal optically because it can be polished to eliminate issues with wavefront error. It is very durable and scratch resistant but not very strong, and must therefore be thicker and most consequentially heavier to meet spacecraft structural requirements.

Aluminosilicate has also been used extensively in spaceflight applications and is very durable. It is stronger than fused silica, especially after tempering, but is not quite as good optically because of wavefront error issues. The tempering process, of course, improves strength, which allows the glass to be somewhat thinner and lighter than fused silica for the same structural requirements, but the tempering process introduces wavefront errors and degrades its optical performance.
Plastics are lightweight, relatively strong, and not brittle like glass. In spaceflight applications durability has been an issue because plastics have low scratch resistance even when they are hard coated. Optical performance of plastics is very poor due to extreme wavefront errors.

Sapphire and diamond windows have excellent optical and structural properties, are very strong, and of course are extremely scratch resistant; however, although process improvements have made them somewhat more affordable, they remain very costly and they cannot be made into the larger sizes necessary for some spaceflight applications.

Aluminum oxynitride is a newer material that holds much promise for use in spacecraft window applications. It is very strong and extremely scratch resistant. It has good optical properties and even though it can be thinner than glass for equivalent structural applications, its weight is on a par with aluminosilicate because of its density. Aluminum oxynitride is used in military applications for windows on armored vehicles and is impervious to 0.50 caliber rounds.

Research in materials processing is therefore needed that would produce a competitive lightweight, optically pure window material for use in human spaceflight applications that is also strong and durable.

8.7 LIGHTING

8.7.1 Introduction

Lighting is important to spacecraft design because visual perception provides the crewmember’s primary source of information about the environment. Spacecraft lighting systems should be designed to promote efficient crew task performance and well-being as well as designed to meet any requirements for optical imaging within the environment. The lighting engineering process may involve some difficult tradeoffs in meeting these needs within power constraints and physical restrictions on light source and operator placement. These tradeoffs must be resolved with consideration for their impact on mission objectives.

This section includes discussion of several important terms and units used to describe lighting, and addresses the process of providing adequate lighting for different tasks, including lighting level and color. This section also includes discussion of how glare can be minimized and the design and location of lighting controls.

Exposure to hazardous energy sources and eye safety is discussed in section 6.9, “Non-Ionizing Radiation.” Visual capabilities (e.g., visual acuity, depth perception, and color vision) are discussed in section 5.4, “Visual Perception,” and lighting for displays is discussed in section 10.4, “Displays.”

8.7.2 Lighting Terms and Units

Lighting terms and units are illustrated in Figure 8.7-1. Two sets of units are commonly used for lighting: radiometric and photometric. Radiometric units are used to describe the distribution of energy within spectral boundaries without regard to human visual characteristics. Photometric units are used to describe the distribution of energy within the visual spectrum. These units are weighted to reflect the spectral variation of human sensitivity to light.
8.7.2.1 Radiometric Power and Luminous Flux

The common unit for measuring power in science and engineering is the watt, which describes the rate at which energy is transported or converted from one form to another. Watts (W, joules per second) are used to describe energy flow for radiometric lighting considerations, which are independent of human visual performance. Photons of frequency $f$ have an energy ($hf$), where $h$ is Planck’s constant. Radiometric units measure the energy of photons passing through a region
per unit time. Radiometric units allow calculation of the imaging capability of cameras, whose spectral sensitivities generally differ from that of the human eye.

For describing power as it relates to human visual perception, photometric measurement units are usually used. Photometric units reflect the variation of human sensitivity to the lighting power spectrum. In photometric terms, light power at each frequency or wavelength is weighted by an absorption coefficient and summed to give luminous flux.

For humans, the photopic (daylight-adapted) sensitivity to light peaks at a wavelength of 555 nanometers (nm), and at this wavelength the power scales in radiometric (watts) and photometric units (lumens, lm) are related as follows: 1 W = 683 lm (near the output of a 40-W incandescent bulb).

8.7.2.2 Illuminance and Irradiance

In photometric contexts, the distribution of luminous flux over surfaces is measured as illuminance (E), with metric units of lux (lx, lm/m²) or English units of foot-candles (fc, lm/ft²). Interior lighting design is usually driven by illuminance requirements for nonspecific “general illumination” and illuminance requirements for specific “task illumination.” Illuminance for tasks is usually greater than that needed for general use.

The radiometric unit analogous to photometric illuminance is irradiance (E), watts/m². Irradiance is used to describe the power density at a surface independent of the human visual system’s response.

8.7.2.3 Intensity

Figure 8.7-1 illustrates the measure of a solid angle, Ω, in units of steradians (sr), along with the relationships of luminous intensity to illuminance and radiometric intensity to irradiance. The directionality of a photometric light source is expressed as angular variations in luminous intensity, I, in units of candela (cd, lumens per steradian of solid angle). The variation in luminous intensity from a source is often referred to as the source’s beam pattern. For the “point source” case, where the dimensions of the source are very small compared to the distance from it to an illuminated surface, the illuminance on the surface is calculated as the luminous intensity of the source divided by the square of the distance to the surface. This dependency is an example of physics’ ubiquitous “inverse square law.” This same relationship holds for the analogous radiometric intensity, I, in units of watts/steradian and irradiance.

8.7.2.4 Photometric Luminance and Radiometric Radiance

Whereas luminous intensity pertains to point sources, another measure, luminance, L (not shown in Figure 8.7-1), describes the intensity (luminous flux) per unit area coming in a particular direction from a small area on an extended source or reflective surface. Metric luminance units are cd·m⁻² = lm·sr⁻¹·m⁻². English units are foot-lamberts (fL), which are defined as (1/π) cd·ft⁻².

The perceived brightness of a surface depends on the retinal illuminance from that surface. Ideally, the light from an elemental area on the surface projects to a retinal area inversely proportional to the square of the distance, but the amount of light is proportional to the solid angle subtended by the surface element at the eye, which is also inversely proportional to the square of the distance, so the retinal illumination in lumens per unit area from a surface is
Radiance is the radiometric analog of luminance, with units of W·sr⁻¹·m⁻².

8.7.2.5 Reflectance

The relationship between the luminance of a surface and the illuminance on that surface is determined by the reflectance of the surface. The reflectance is a dimensionless quantity that describes the proportion of the incident light that is reflected or scattered from the surface. Reflectance is, in general, a function of the wavelength, the angle of incidence, and the angle of exitance (reflectance or scattering). Complete specification of the reflectance of a surface is done via the “bidirectional reflectance distribution function,” which is measured using a procedure known as gonioreflectometry.

The component of the reflected light that is reflected in a mirror-like fashion, according to Snell’s Law of reflection, is termed the specular reflectance. Specular reflections involve just a single interaction of each photon with the reflecting surface. The angular distribution of specularly reflected light can be broadened if the surface is not flat, as in sunlight reflected by the surface of the ocean when viewed from a great distance.

Light that is not reflected specularly undergoes multiple interactions within the surface, generally resulting in very broad distributions of output directions for any given angle of incidence. This is called diffuse reflectance. An idealized case (closely approximated by many real surfaces) is that for which the output light intensity varies with the cosine of the angle of exitance, and is independent of the angle of incidence. This relationship is referred to as Lambert's Cosine Law, and surfaces with this property are referred to as Lambertian. Lambertian surfaces have the property that, for a given illumination geometry, their luminance is independent of viewing angle. Most surfaces exhibit a mixture of specular and diffuse reflectance, with additional complications caused by variations in orientation and curvature of localized features.

8.7.2.6 Contrast

Differences in luminance within a task setting are usually expressed as luminance contrast ratios. These are dimensionless relationships that define the range of luminance over which the user needs to accommodate to perform a task. In uniformly illuminated situations, the luminance contrast between different reflective surfaces corresponds to the ratio of their reflectance. The relationship between the illumination on an object and the available contrast to image the object is extremely complex. In most cases, the luminance contrast among features within a scene is variable and dynamic. The mere presence and motion of the observer affects the distribution of light within the environment, and thus the variation of available contrast.

8.7.3 Lighting System Design Process

Figure 8.7-2 gives an overview for the process of designing a lighting system. The following section provides a more detailed explanation.
Functional definitions:
1) Task illumination needs
2) Task locations and orientations
3) Architectural features: partitions, materials, finishes

Start space lighting design

Construct model of essential elements: task locations, orientations, surrounding surfaces, user positions.

Define and model task lights and their positions to best perform task without general illumination.

Add general illumination sources to meet needs for navigation, label reading, etc. between defined task locations.

Perform operating conditions checks:
1) Combined task illumination + general illumination levels at task locations meet needs
2) Illumination uniformity, task shadowing
3) Glare sources presented to the user
4) Functional compatibility between task lighting dimming and general lighting needs
5) Design economy: number, mass, and power of sources

Modify task lighting and general lighting sources:
1) Add or eliminate
2) Adjust intensity and/or beam pattern
3) Add glare shades and/or diffusers

OK?

Check general illumination areas for dark areas, shadowing of surfaces by mobile observer, glare, etc.

OK?

Yes

Design complete

No

Figure 8.7-2 Lighting system design process.
8.7.3.1 Lighting Needs

The first step in the design process is to define the task demands and construct a model of the lighting environment.

- Task Demands – Requirements must be based on functional analysis of the mission and tasks to be performed. Without specific requirements addressing the needs of operators performing specific tasks, optimal lighting system design cannot be methodically pursued. The amount and type of lighting required depends on the nature of the task. ISS crewmembers have indicated the importance of providing smaller task lighting or portable lights for maintenance work behind panels. A ranking of typical task illumination recommendations is given in Table 8.7-1 below. This table is adapted from The IESNA Lighting Handbook published by the Illuminating Engineering Society of North America (IESNA, 2000). Note that acceptable illumination levels for different individuals and cultures vary substantially (Boyce, 2003; Nicogossian et al., 1994). Absolute minimum illumination requirements must be determined on the basis of the tasks being performed and the likely state of adaptation of the user in the environment.

- Architectural Features – Lighting requirements must take into account the architectural features of the spacecraft. Lighting models should include locations and orientations of all work surfaces in relation to their surrounding architectural features. Models should also include accurate representations of the operator(s) in relation to the workstation. These features should include working eye locations and body dimensions and postures that may affect the obstruction and reflection of light during task performance. The reflective properties of the various surface materials should be included in models to allow the accurate estimation of luminance and illuminance.

- Luminance Adaptation Effects – Illuminance requirements for interior volumes in spacecraft and habitations must be determined in accordance with the anticipated operating luminance conditions. The following should be considered for luminance adaptation:
  - The visual system is capable of performing well throughout a range of surrounding luminance values without experiencing fatigue or eyestrain.
  - In some situations, areas designated for low-light operating conditions (such as sleeping or in conjunction with window observation stations) may need to be shielded from “spill lighting” originating in adjacent areas of higher luminance. Illumination requirements for such areas should consider conditions both with and without treatments for luminance control, such as removable partitions, curtains, or dimmers.
  - Factors affecting surrounding luminance also include the reflective properties of surface materials.
  - Determining the adaptation luminance level in a space may present a daunting simulation challenge to the requirements writer or designer.
  - Reasonable estimates for complex environments may need to be established using representative mockup evaluations.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Measurement Location</th>
<th>Minimum Illumination (lux)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Invasive wound care (cleaning/suturing)</td>
<td>At treatment surface (mucosa or skin)</td>
<td>~500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>On the page to be read</td>
<td>More</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handwriting/tabulating – ink on white paper</td>
<td>On the paper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine maintenance and repair work</td>
<td>On the affected component surface</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food preparation</td>
<td>On food preparation surfaces</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dining</td>
<td>On intended dining surfaces</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grooming</td>
<td>On the face, located 50 cm above center of mirror</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noninvasive wound care</td>
<td>On the wound</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>On the exercise equipment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video conferencing</td>
<td>On the face(s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross maintenance/ housekeeping</td>
<td>On surfaces involved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical assembly</td>
<td>On the components involved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual controls</td>
<td>On the visible control surfaces</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panel – dark legend on light background</td>
<td>On the panel surface</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste management</td>
<td>On the seat of the waste collection system</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Translation</td>
<td>At all visible surfaces within the habitable volume</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panel – light legend on dark background</td>
<td>On the panel surface</td>
<td>Less</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency equipment shutdown</td>
<td>On controls</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Night lighting</td>
<td>On protruding surfaces</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency egress</td>
<td>On protruding surfaces</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Once illuminance control points such as those listed in Table 8.7-1 have been defined, then the designer is faced with an iterative process of selecting light source intensities, beam patterns, positions, and directions to meet task guidelines. The initial lighting system design process of selecting the type and number of light sources (including exterior light sources) and their optimal placement is best accomplished using a computer-based lighting simulation tool. Such a tool should include ray-tracing features that account for the effects of interreflection among surfaces in the environment.

### 8.7.3.2 Defining Minimum Lighting Levels

Lighting must be provided at levels that support task performance. Determining whether adequate luminance contrast is available to perform a visual task at many positions and orientations within an environment is in most cases impractical, so designers of terrestrial lighting systems commonly begin from a common set of assumptions. These may be found in lighting design handbooks, such as the *IESNA Lighting Handbook* (IESNA, 2000), which includes tables of recommended illumination values for a very wide range of tasks and environments. Such a handbook provides a useful lighting design procedure for relatively large, open volumes lighted primarily by a single uniformly luminous surface (typically the ceiling). The key to this approach is to predict and be able to control the illuminance on specified surfaces within the environment. The following assumptions made in these handbooks, however, do not always apply to spacecraft:
- Usually the surfaces of interest are assumed to be the walls and floor of the environment in addition to work surfaces above and parallel to the floor.
- The surfaces are assumed to be reflective enough to promote the required luminance contrast and diffusion of light throughout the space.
- General illumination is usually assumed to emanate from diffuse sources in the ceiling above the floor and work surfaces, while supplemental task illumination may be provided by downwardly or obliquely directed sources above the work surfaces.
- General office lighting, for example, is usually measured at the height of a desktop above the floor.
- In a large, uniformly lighted volume, the number and position of occupants may not significantly affect their ability to perform visual tasks.

As the volume and the number of sources decrease, the illumination pattern becomes less uniform and more dependent on the observation position. Most spacecraft envisioned at the time of this writing fall into this latter category. Also, in 0g conditions, no distinct “floor” or “ceiling” orientations exist.

If lighting requirements are established on the basis of illuminance control for the typically smaller, more complex space environments, the requirements must be qualified as to the specific location for the measurement and the orientation of the measuring device relative to the surface. Under these conditions, only loose correlations exist between illumination levels at different points within the environmental volume. Illumination levels must be defined for critical work surfaces under anticipated operating conditions. Once these levels have been defined, the designer is faced with an iterative process of selecting light source intensities, beam patterns, positions, and directions to meet task guidelines.

8.7.3.3 General Lighting

After independent estimates of the task lighting source characteristics (e.g., intensity, beam pattern, placement, time intervals of use) have been established, general illumination needs must be determined. These should include minimal needs for obstacle avoidance while translating, and tending to routine environment control operations such as adjusting light source and airflow control settings, opening hatches, and operating intercom controls between workstations. Some areas may need higher general illumination levels for reading or other activities requiring greater visual acuity.

8.7.3.4 Fixtures for Lighting Tasks

As illustrated in Figure 8.7-2, task illumination sources should initially be considered in the absence of any general illumination. After the establishment of a model or a series of models representing task conditions, light sources should be added to the model to meet the task illumination needs. Actual minimum values determined should reflect the anticipated operational conditions. These conditions include the state of operator adaptation with regard to general ambient illumination levels and color. Ultimately, the task illumination sources need to provide the required illumination uniformity and not produce glare either at or between their associated workstations.
Fixed task lighting fixtures must be
- Dimmable from their minimum output level to their maximum luminance
- Adjustable in position and/or direction, to avoid shadowing and glare during operations

Design recommendations for portable task lighting fixtures are listed below:
- Battery powered – Portable task lights should be battery powered to avoid entangling, restrictive power connections.
- Rapidly charging battery pack – A discharged battery pack should be capable of being rapidly exchanged for a charged pack to allow essentially continuous operation.
- Continuous operations – Portable task light lamps should be capable of continuous operation without significant performance decrease due to self-heating or producing exposed surface hot spots exceeding touch temperature limits.
- Adjustable beam – The beam of a portable task light should be adjustable for flood and spot lighting functions.
- Contingency operations – In contingency operations, a portable task lamp may need to be capable of providing useful levels of illumination when operated by a suited user, starting in cold-soaked conditions and operating for extended periods in a near vacuum.
- Temporary anchoring – Some means of temporarily anchoring the lamp to the user or spacecraft superstructure may be important to free the user’s hands during operation.

8.7.3.5 Emergency Lighting

Emergency lighting must be provided in all spacecraft. Emergency lighting must allow crew egress and/or operational recovery in the event of a general power failure. The emergency illumination system must be automatically activated to serve the following purposes:
- Allow operators and other occupants of a spacecraft to move to a safe location, and allow efficient transit between any inhabited location and designated safe haven(s). Efficient transit includes appropriate orientation with respect to doorways and hatches as well as obstacle avoidance along the egress path.
- In some cases, allow occupants to perform contingency operations such as activating or shutting down critical equipment.

Selection of emergency illumination levels should take into account the likely states of luminance adaptation among occupants. Emergency sources in sleeping quarters or in low-light work areas should not have luminance levels so high as to cause extreme glare sensations among the occupants as they adapt to elevated emergency luminance levels. For execution of emergency procedures, this recommendation needs to be balanced against the general illumination needs.

In some cases, the use of photoluminescent (“glow-in-the-dark”) direction markers for orientation and egress may provide practical adjuncts to powered emergency lights, especially in constantly illuminated areas. Any such applications should consider the means of “charging” the photoluminescent material by exposure to light, the length of exposure needed to provide useful glow luminance, and the persistence of the glowing indication after removal of the excitation. Photoluminescent material is not very useful in a volume that may remain dark for extended periods during normal operations. If an emergency occurs while the material is completely
“discharged,” it cannot provide lighted guidance during egress. The need for nominal low-light operations may in some instances also prove incompatible with the use of photoluminescent emergency guidance markings. Such cases may include sleeping quarters or workstations for tasks requiring dark adaptation of the operator.

8.7.3.6 **Integrating Overall Lighting**

Once initial independent estimates for the general and task source illumination have been made, the interaction between the illumination patterns established by the various sources should be considered. In some cases, light source intensities may be adjusted or eliminated altogether if operational considerations determine that adequate illumination is consistently available. Elimination of extraneous light sources can pay handsome dividends in power requirements and in mass reduction, since the mass of the lighting system includes interconnecting wiring and control hardware as well as devices for heat transfer from the lights.

8.7.4 **Illumination for Dark Adaptation**

If dark adaptation is required for tasks such as visually acquiring targets out a window, low illumination levels of white or red light must be provided for operations in the viewing environment. If the degree of required dark adaptation is not extreme, adjustable white lighting of the surroundings may be suitable. For maximum dark adaptation, the surroundings must be provided with low-luminance (0.07–0.34 cd/m²) red light. Commission Internationale de l’Éclairage (CIE) states that chromaticity coordinates for this light should lie within the following limits: $0.663 \leq x \leq 0.705$, $0.295 \leq y \leq 0.335$, $z \leq 0.002$ (CIE, 2006).

Areas involved in operations requiring dark adaptation must be provided with shades over potential sources of stray light, such as windows. Closed doors should be capable of being made light-tight, with covers provided for hatch windows and other light sources.

8.7.5 **Glare**

Glare must be prevented from causing discomfort and negatively affecting visual performance. Glare is defined as an excessive range of luminance in an observer’s field of view (FOV). Glare sources are classified as *direct* or *reflected* (indirect). These are illustrated in Figure 8.7-3 below.
If a light source within the observer’s FOV provides much more luminance than its surroundings (higher range of contrast) and occupies a significant portion of the FOV, it may act as a direct glare source. If the reflection of a light source from a surface within the FOV provides an area whose luminance greatly exceeds that of its surroundings, it may act as a reflected (indirect) glare source. The perception of glare is somewhat subjective, and is more likely in older individuals, whose eyes contain more optical irregularities to scatter the light entering their pupils. Historically, the age range of flown astronauts is 30-77 years, with an average around 42 years.

When task lighting and general illumination sources have been placed and directed to provide adequate uniform illumination over the areas of interest, the potential for glare should be considered. Direct ray paths from light sources to anticipated eye points should be evaluated in addition to reflections from specularly reflective surfaces.

### 8.7.5.1 Factors Affecting Glare Sensation

The physiological or perceptual mechanism for discomfort glare has not been established (Boyce, 2003). Notwithstanding this drawback, five factors have been identified that govern the severity of the response to glare.

---

**Figure 8.7-3 Lighting glare.**
- Luminance level – The sensation of glare is increased as the luminance of the source or its reflection is increased.
- Amount of FOV affected – Although luminance does not vary with distance from a source, glare sensation is more likely as the source or reflection occupies more of the observer’s FOV, as when the observer moves closer to it.
- Contrast – In cases where the surrounding luminance is much lower than that of the glare source or reflection, resulting in an excessive range of contrast in the field of view, it is possible that increasing the background illumination can reduce glare sensation.
- Location in FOV – Glare sources are less objectionable if they appear in the periphery of the observer’s FOV.
- Abrupt changes in lighting levels – These factors may be present within the static lighting environment, or they may be exacerbated by changes from the lighting conditions to which the observer is accommodated, as is the case in suddenly emerging from a movie theater into bright sunshine.

8.7.5.2 Glare Measurement

Several quantitative predictors of discomfort glare in terrestrial rooms have been developed over the last 50 years. Perhaps the best known are the discomfort glare rating system and the related visual comfort probability, or the unified glare rating (UGR) adopted by the CIE. The UGR formula and application guidelines are provided in the CIE standard titled Discomfort Glare in Interior Lighting (CIE, 1995b). The capability of the UGR to predict subjective glare response, however, has been shown to be limited (Boyce, 2003). The extensive use of the UGR or any other glare index in designing for extraterrestrial accommodations is probably not productive, since architectural constraints for these spaces likely violate significant assumptions underlying such indices. At best, they might be marginally useful in considering alternative designs.

Mockup evaluations are probably the best resource for glare suppression guidance in design. Preventive measures and good practices exist that should be considered in designing lighting systems for working environments that are different from those addressed in terrestrial lighting design guides. Interior light sources in spacecraft may be impossible to position or shade to absolutely preclude direct glare. The interior volume of the spacecraft is typically small compared with terrestrial living spaces, and so it is likely that light sources mounted within it will fall within an inhabitant’s FOV for some working locations within the volume. The possibility of direct glare can be further increased by the lack of gravitational forces to promote adoption of a preferred orientation relative to the light sources within a habitable space. In 0g, a spacefarer may float in any position.

8.7.5.3 Glare Control

Table 8.7-2 recommends ways to avoid glare. For considerations on extravehicular activity (EVA) suit visors, see section 11.3.11.2 Effects of EVA Helmets on Lighting.
Table 8.7-2 Glare Control

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct (windows or lamps)</td>
<td>Reposition outside FOV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shade</td>
<td>If a common, diffuse, highly reflective surface is used to reflect light from the indirect illumination sources, the illuminated surface may provide a natural orientation cue in the manner of a terrestrial sky or ceiling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Indirect lighting</td>
<td>The diffuser refracts bundles of rays from many discrete areas on its surface in different directions. This replaces a single high-luminance source, occupying a relatively large solid angle, with an array of many sources having similar luminance, but occupying much smaller solid angles for the observer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sunglasses</td>
<td>Sunglasses will also reduce contrast ratios within the environment. This reduction in available contrast may impair visual performance while reading printed matter and displays, and it may impair the legibility of self-illuminated control panel legends. Light polarization is used in liquid crystal displays (LCDs) to produce variations in contrast across their indicating surfaces. Polarized sunglasses may effectively obscure an LCD to varying degrees as an observer’s head is rotated with respect to the display.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflected</td>
<td>Reposition outside FOV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Avoid glossy surfaces</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Orient surface to avoid glare</td>
<td>Specular surfaces are most likely to be troublesome if they are planar or concave. Concave specular surfaces may gather light from multiple sources and focus it at a viewing point, and so these should be avoided. Convex surfaces may prove far less critical, since they disperse the incident light rays. A large light source reflected in a convex surface appears as a smaller, less luminous line or point source.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Verifiable workstation glare requirements are usually written in terms of maximum allowable surface luminance ratios measured in the environment where the task is performed. These luminance ratios are summarized in Table 8.7-3. The exterior case, which applies to EVA operations, is limited to requirements for just the immediate work area, since the surrounding lighting environment is expected to be dynamic and poorly controlled. Among the surfaces involved in a task, luminance ratios must be limited to 5:1 (Nicogossian, 1994).
### Table 8.7-3 Luminance Ratios for Workstations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Luminance Ratio Comparison</th>
<th>Workstation Location</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between tasks and adjacent surroundings</td>
<td>3 to 1</td>
<td>5 to 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between tasks and more remote surfaces</td>
<td>10 to 1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between light sources and adjacent surfaces</td>
<td>20 to 1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between immediate work area and surfaces in the rest of FOV</td>
<td>40 to 1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From IESNA, 2000.

### 8.7.6 Lighting Control

#### 8.7.6.1 Control Location

Controls for general lighting within each module must be located within that module. This allows crewmembers to see the effect of changes to lighting controls without changing location themselves. Controls for general lighting should be conveniently located near entryways to modules. These controls should also be located within easy reach of a crewmember at the cockpit flight control panel. Some areas, such as habitation airlocks, may require multiple control stations for lighting.

Task lighting at workstations must be controllable and adjustable by a restrained operator at the workstation.

#### 8.7.6.2 Control Design

The dimming control should provide continuous (or a fine stepwise approximation to continuous) adjustment of the source luminance. When a rotary control is turned clockwise, or when a linear control is moved upward or to the right, luminance should steadily increase with each successive movement. If a control is moved in any of the opposite directions (counterclockwise, downward, or to the left), luminance should steadily decrease with successive movements. These light-control design recommendations are depicted in Figure 8.7-4. In keeping with Weber’s Law regarding human perceptual sensitivity, the control should provide increments (x, α) in luminance that are proportional to the value of luminance (L) (Figure 8.7-5). For every equal incremental increase in the control setting, the luminance of the source should change by a constant ratio. This results in a logarithmic relationship between control changes and luminance changes. For example, if the control setting is increased by an increment, and this results in a doubling of the source luminance (k = 2 in Figure 8.7-5 for this example), a further equal increase in setting should result in a redoubling of luminance to four times the original value. A power pilot indicator should be included to signal when power is being supplied to the light source.
Figure 8.7-4 Lighting control operation.

Figure 8.7-5 Lighting control movement and luminance. In the figure, \( x \) refers to linear control movement distance, and \( \alpha \) refers to rotary control movement angle.

### 8.7.7 Lighting Color

The sensation of color depends on both the visible spectrum and luminance presented to the eye. These quantities in turn depend on the spectrum of the illumination source and the modification
of that spectrum by absorptive characteristics of intervening transmitting or reflecting materials in the environment. For example, planetary atmospheric absorption and optical scattering from dust may significantly affect the selection of colorants for external signage or in the vicinity of habitations. These factors should be considered in establishing requirements for the intensity and color of lighting on such objects as guide beacons and vehicle headlamps. For a specific color sensation to be reliably produced in an observer, the spectral reflectance of an object’s surface (the “color” of the object) and the illuminant spectrum must be controlled. As an object is observed under different light sources, it is possible that the color sensations produced by it may change dramatically. This may introduce ambiguity into color-coding schemes or interfere with the aesthetic appreciation of objects in ways not anticipated by their designers.

White light has traditionally been defined in terms of the spectrum of a Planckian (black body) radiator, but the term “white” is used to describe a wide range of spectra. The spectrum (color) of a Planckian radiator is a smooth function of wavelength that is completely defined by the radiator’s absolute temperature. Incandescent (filament) lamps produce spectral power distributions that are of the same shape as Planckian radiators, so the color of the light emitted from these lamps may be accurately described in terms of a corresponding correlated color temperature (CCT). Incandescent sources producing more short-wavelength (bluish) light are said to have a higher color temperature, whereas those producing more long-wavelength (reddish) light are termed lower color temperature sources. The CCT may also be calculated for irregular spectra unlike the Planckian variety, such as those produced by light-emitting diodes (LEDs), fluorescent lamps, gas discharge lamps, and other non-filament-type sources. The appearance of objects illuminated by such sources may, however, differ significantly from that obtained under illumination by filament sources having the same CCT. This discrepancy occurs when the spectrum of the non-filament source includes peaks and/or gaps unlike the smooth Planckian spectral curve. Much more useful means of defining white-light properties are the systems of color coordinates established by the CIE. These systems are based on empirical studies of human color matching, providing numerical means of describing light color and relating spectra and luminance to perceptual performance.

During design of lighting systems, the entire visible spectrum should be considered. Two lighting metrics previously included in NASA requirements should not be used for every design decision: the CIE correlated color temperature (CCT) and the CIE color rendering index (CRI). The CCT may provide a shorthand method of describing the spectral power distribution for incandescent lamps, but it should be used with care with regard to non-incandescent lamp applications. If an incandescent lamp and a non-incandescent lamp are chosen to have the same CCT, the user should notice no difference when using each to read black text on white paper. Color rendering using the two lamps, however, could produce very different perceptions. An improvement in specifying the bluish-versus-reddish characteristics for all sources may be realized through using one of the variations of the CIE chromaticity coordinate system. The CRI attempts to summarize the color-rendering characteristics of a light source for all reflectance spectra. It does this summarizing through an average of eight color-shift metrics. The averaging process de-emphasizes the contributions of large color shifts to the metric. The reference source and coordinate system used for the eight color-shift calculations are no longer used for other applications. The use of more meaningful daylight reference sources and more uniform color coordinate systems for calculating color appearance differences, in addition to more sensitive
summarizing calculations, have been proposed. The main use of the CRI has been as a marketing tool for commercial manufacturers of non-incandescent light sources.

8.7.8 Circadian Entrainment

Lighting systems must provide the proper light for synchronization of the day-night cycle (circadian entrainment). Disruptions in sleep-wake cycles have been common among astronauts. These difficulties in establishing stable circadian cycles are similar to those experienced by people on Earth who work rotating shifts, air travelers traversing multiple time zones (jet lag), submariners, and some individuals enduring winter months at high latitudes (CIE, 2006; United States Congress, 1991). The human circadian cycle may be entrained by a variety of environmental stimuli, but by far the most influential is exposure to bright light. Successful treatment of seasonal affective disorder among inhabitants of higher latitudes has involved exposing them to high illumination levels for extended periods daily. Research suggests that visible blue light in the 450- to 480-nm range provides an alerting function to the brain (Brainard et al., 2001), and may aid in stabilizing circadian cycles. It is unclear if the entraining blue light should be delivered exclusive of other wavelengths (Figueiro et al., 2003, 2005), which may become distracting or affect normal visual tasks. The ability to provide these blue wavelengths within a larger spectrum of white light, while still providing the alerting benefits, would eliminate the negative aspect of pure blue light.

8.7.9 Signaling and Position Lights

Spacecraft acquisition strobe lights, orientation lights, and other signal lights should be designed for specific operational conditions. In particular, the patterns of luminance in the background surrounding the light as viewed by an observer and whether the light is flashing or in a steady state may determine signaling effectiveness. A useful example may be found in the case of an observer in low Earth orbit who needs to visually acquire another spacecraft for rendezvous. If the target has steady-state signal lights, the observer may not readily discern them when the spacecraft appears before an extensive, luminous backdrop, such as sunlit high-altitude cloud tops or ground covered by ice and snow. Looking toward the planetary surface from orbit over the night side of Earth, a signal light may be lost in the backdrop of urban light sources in some regions. Brief, infrequent strobe flashes may be obscured by frequent lightning strokes between thunderstorm clouds. Looking above the terminator or out into space from orbit on the dark side of the planet, steady-state or strobe signals may be lost in the starry background.

8.7.9.1 Minimum Intensity

The approximate minimum useful intensity criterion used in past NASA operations planning has been determined as that intensity that produces illumination at the eye equivalent to that of a third-magnitude star. This illumination level, approximately $1.68 \times 10^{-7}$ lx in space, and the distance $D$ (meters) from the eye to the signal light determine its minimum effective intensity (candela) requirement according to the “inverse square law”:

$$I_{\text{eff}}^{\text{min}} = (1.68\times10^{-7})D^2$$
8.7.9.2  Apparent Intensity

The apparent intensity of a flashing light depends on the ratio of the “on” time to the total repetition period, the “duty cycle” of the signal. The relationship between the effective and actual intensities for flashing lights is predicted by the Blondell-Rey formula:

\[ I_{eff} = \frac{\int_{t_1}^{t_2} Idt}{0.2 + (t_2 - t_1)}. \]

Here \( I \) is the actual luminous intensity of the source in candela, with \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \) representing the start and stop times of the flash, respectively, in seconds. The effective intensity of the flash is always less than the intensity of the source, but a properly selected duty cycle can make a flashing signal more conspicuous than a more intense steady-state signal.

Tests to determine the visibility of the Lunar Module tracking light used during the Apollo Program showed that the xenon flash lamp having \( \int_{t_1}^{t_2} Idt = 1000 \text{ cd} - \text{sec} \) and flash duration \((t_2 - t_1) = 20 \text{ milliseconds}\) was visible at a distance of 130 nautical miles (Wheelwright, 1973). From the Blondell-Rey relationship, this flash will produce a value of \( I_{eff} = 4545 \text{ cd} \). At 130 nautical miles in space, the illumination produced by this \( I_{eff} \) is \( 7.83 \times 10^{-8} \text{ lux} \), less than half the third-magnitude star illumination threshold given above. This result implies that the third-magnitude star heuristic may be conservative. It is important, however, to know that the minimum illumination threshold and the Blondell-Rey relationship depend on several assumptions. These are (1) the target is emitting light at a constant intensity, (2) the observer is dark-adapted to a great extent, (3) the observer has nominal 20/20 or better distance vision acuity, (4) the observer has no local light sources or veiling glare in the field of view, and (5) the observer is searching for the lighted target against a dark background. The third-magnitude star threshold heuristic serves as a useful starting point for design. In many conceivable situations, however, the intensity of a flashing signal may need to be increased significantly above the threshold values given to produce a reliably detected and interpreted signal.

8.7.9.3  Flash Rate

The repetition rate of the flash needs to be fast enough to ensure that both the onset and end of the light pulse occur during the interval between saccadic movements of the eye – about one third of a second.

All-white marker lamps were used on one ISS resupply spacecraft, the Automated Transfer Vehicle. The location of each lamp is encoded in the “blink frequency” of its flashing pattern. One pattern is a steady “on” state without flashing. The other three patterns consist of alternating, equal-duration on and off periods. The flashing patterns of these lamps are free-running and not mutually synchronized. The “on” durations assigned to the different lamps are 0.5, 1.0, and 2.5 seconds, respectively. The disadvantage of this system becomes apparent when one considers the case when only one flashing lamp is visible for reasons such as spacecraft
attitude or obscuration by solar panels. The observer needs to decode the visible flash pattern according to her/his sense of time passage, which is usually poor. An alternative to this approach, which offers easy identification of a single flashing white light, is to use a simple pulse-coding scheme. For example, consider the case in which one of the flashing lights emits a single short pulse followed by a 1-second off period. Let one of the other flashing lights emit two short pulses in quick succession followed by a 1-second off period, and let the remaining light flash three times in quick succession followed by a 1-second off period. If only a single light is visible, the observer can identify which light is which by merely counting the number of flashes occurring during a repetition period. This method does not involve using the unreliable human sense of time passage. Any preference for the all-white marker approach over a standard colored marker-light approach would have to be driven by operational considerations, such as the likelihood of one or more crewmembers being color-blind (if this is allowed).

8.7.9.4 Signaling and Position Light Color

Color coding based on aviation navigation light standards has been adapted in general for orientation cues on spacecraft. The hue of these lights can appear to change with luminance variation even though the spectrum of the light does not change. This phenomenon is described as the Bezold-Brücke effect. Three wavelengths exist for which the hue does not shift with luminance changes in a constant source: 475 nm (blue), 507 nm (green), and 570 nm (yellow). These wavelengths for constant hues shorten for brief flashes of light: 470 nm, 504 nm, and 555 nm, respectively. Colored orientation and marker lamps should be selected from these according to application. As the luminance of a green or red source decreases, its hue tends to become more yellow. The effect is most pronounced at low luminance levels below 10 cd/m², but the designer needs to verify that for extreme oblique viewing angles it is not as likely a source of confusion as more luminous marker lights are.

8.7.10 Lighting of Control Panel Legends

Self-illuminated markings on control panels are advantageous when an operator has to maintain a high degree of dark adaptation while referring to them. Such a need might arise in a spacecraft cockpit if the mission task requires visually searching for a dim, distant target out the window while operating panel-mounted controls. Examples of self-illuminated legends used in spacecraft include those backlit by individual incandescent lamps, LEDs, or photoluminescent sheets, as well as those illuminated by remote sources through optical means, such as wedge-lighted or edge-lighted control panels. Use of a self-illumination feature in combination with a dimmer allows the luminance of the control panel legends to be continuously adjusted to a very low but legible level (on the order of 0.1 cd/m²) while stray reflections and contrast variations over the control panel area are minimized. Self-illumination provides a degree of control at low lighting levels that is difficult to achieve with floodlighting of reflective markings, especially over a wide panel expanse. Self-illuminated displays are used in the Space Shuttle cockpit, and they were exclusively used in the Apollo spacecraft, with contingency floodlighting provisions in case of failure of the primary system.

In the case of control panel lighting, contrast is defined as the ratio
\[ C = \frac{L_2 - L_1}{L_1} \]

where \( L_1 \) is the average luminance of the background immediately surrounding the marking, and \( L_2 \) is the average luminance of the marking. The adjustment range for self-illuminated legends should allow high enough marking luminance levels to provide adequate contrast (\( C \geq 2.5 \)) with the surrounding panel areas for anticipated operations in high ambient illumination conditions. The same minimum contrast ratio should be available for markings when the self-illumination power is turned off, and the panel is illuminated by general illumination and/or task illumination sources in the cabin.

8.7.11 Research Needs
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9 HARDWARE AND EQUIPMENT

9.1 INTRODUCTION

This chapter provides human factors data applicable to all hardware and equipment. Additional data that is specific to certain hardware or equipment is included in sections on tools, drawers and racks, connectors, mobility aids, restraints, handles and grasp areas, clothing, cables, and crew personal equipment. Features for managing hardware and equipment such as closures, covers, fasteners, and packaging are also discussed. The data contained within this section applies to hardware and equipment for both intravehicular activity (IVA) and extravehicular activity (EVA). Additional data specifically addressing EVA is located in Chapter 11, EVA.

9.2 GENERAL HARDWARE AND EQUIPMENT DESIGN

9.2.1 Introduction

This section discusses the general human factors principles that need to be applied to hardware and equipment design to enhance crew performance, safety, and comfort during operations. The guidance in this section should be applied across all hardware and equipment.

9.2.2 General Hardware and Equipment Design Guidelines

Hardware and equipment design should consider and integrate human interface features required for the item’s intended operation and functionality. These features should be considered when developing requirements for hardware and equipment. Early incorporation of human factors data and relevant human operational experience will optimize design and increase operational effectiveness.

General human factors principles need to be applied to design of hardware and equipment to enhance crew performance, safety, and comfort during operations. When designing hardware and equipment, consider the following:

- Safe – designs must provide for safe and efficient use, manipulation, and handling.
- Durable and Reliable – designs must be capable of withstanding the forces imposed intentionally and unintentionally by crewmembers, and capable of sustaining operations for extended durations with minimal maintenance.
  - As an example, the ISS food warmer, which uses a basic buckle mechanism, was identified as beginning to fail from nominal use. The loss of the food warmer was deemed critical by the crew. (OpsHab, 2008)
- Standardized – hardware and equipment with similar functions must have standardized and consistent design.
- Accommodation – hardware and equipment must be accessible and usable by the full anthropometric, range of motion, and strength ranges of the crew. (see Chapter 4, Anthropometry, Biomechanics & Strength)
- Identifiable – hardware and equipment should be easily identifiable. Where it is not obvious, labeling should be used.
• Minimal Training – hardware and equipment must be designed to minimize the time required for training
• Similar Form – hardware and equipment that have the same or similar form but different functions must be readily identifiable, distinguishable, and not be physically interchangeable.

To ensure hardware availability and contribution to mission success, an early and judicious assessment of supportability and maintainability of hardware design needs to be considered. Feedback from the ISS crews has indicated that in general, ISS hardware supportability, specifically maintainability, may not have been a priority or well integrated into design. The need for hand tools for most if not all maintenance tasks on the U.S. segment of the ISS was thought to be excessive (OpsHab, 2008).

9.2.3 Hardware and Equipment Mounting

Improperly mounted hardware and equipment can result in unsafe conditions for crewmembers and may cause damage to hardware. When mounting hardware and equipment, the following guidance applies:

• Equipment Mounting – Equipment items must be designed so that they cannot be mounted improperly, and include physical features, labeling, or marking.
• Drawers and Hinged Panels – Subsystem components that are frequently removed from their installed position for checkout should be mounted on equipment drawers or on hinged panels.
• Layout – Components should be mounted so that a minimum number of place-to-place hand movements would be needed during operations.
• Covers or Panels – Expected replaceable items should have a minimum number of covers or panels.
• Force Required for Installation and Removal – Hardware mounting must not require forces greater than the strength capabilities of the crew.
• Rear Access – Equipment to which rear access is necessary should be free to open or rotate to its full distance of travel and remain in the open position.
• Tools – When possible, common tools should be used.
• Direction of Removal – Replaceable items should be removable along a straight or slightly curved line.
• Visibility – Visual access for alignment and attachment of equipment must be provided and blind access to fasteners should be avoided.
• Spacing – Mounting bolts and fasteners should be spaced far enough from other surfaces to allow personnel to manipulate them.
• Number of Fasteners – The minimum number of fasteners should be used, consistent with stress and vibration requirements, so that the crewmembers’ workload is minimized.
• Captive Fasteners – Fasteners used by the crew during maintenance must be captive to help reduce crew workload, handling, stowage, and to prevent loss.
9.2.4 Alignment

Proper alignment of equipment to mounting surfaces and connectors to receptacles is important to ensure safety and proper function. Improper mating or misalignment of connectors can lead to short-circuit or open-circuit conditions that can reduce the safety of flight and ground crews and may cause damage to hardware.

When designing the alignment for replaceable hardware, consider the following:

- Alignment Marks – If proper interface orientation is not obvious by virtue of external geometry or if adequate visibility cannot be provided for hardware that will be mounted during operations, the hardware design should incorporate alignment marks and/or orientation arrows (Figure 9.2-1).
  
  o Alignment marks should be applied to both mating parts and the marks should align when the parts are in the operational position.
  
  o An alignment mark should consist of a straight line of a width and length appropriate to the size of the item.
  
  o Alignment marks should be clearly visible to a crewmember performing hardware removal or replacement.

- Alignment Devices – Guide pins or their equivalent should be provided to assist in alignment of hardware during mounting, particularly on modules that have integrated connectors.

- Keying – Mechanical keys should be considered where misconnections may result in mismating or cross-connecting (incorrect connections with other accessible connectors, plugs, or receptacles) (Figure 9.2-2).
• Labeling – Unique labeling of equipment should be used to indicate that the equipment to be mounted and the mounting location match, and to indicate the proper alignment and the correct use of attaching parts.

9.2.5 Research Needs

RESERVED
9.3 MAINTAINABILITY

9.3.1 Introduction

The design of equipment and systems greatly affects how they are maintained, in terms of complexity, duration, frequency, and safety. This section contains guidance for designing equipment and systems to facilitate maintenance and ensure proper maintainability.

Goals of designing for maintainability include:

- Reduce the need for specialized skills, tools, and training
- Reduce crew time spent on preventive and corrective maintenance
- Reduce crew cognitive workload
- Ensure crew safety during maintenance tasks

9.3.2 Preventive and Corrective Maintenance

Maintainability should be considered an integral part of hardware design and a life-cycle systems engineering approach, from the earliest design phases throughout flight operations. Much of the ISS equipment was designed before a complete operational plan was available for how it would be used, which led to designs that are difficult to access for repairs and maintenance (access panels), and hardware and systems that are not durable and maintainable. For some missions with ready access to ground support, tasks can be allocated among crew, ground personnel, and automated systems. However, for some long-duration planetary missions that are envisioned, the probability of delays and lack of available communication with ground mission control necessitates more autonomous operation. Two key aspects of designing for maintainability include 1) preventive maintenance and 2) corrective maintenance. For an automobile, preventive maintenance includes tasks that aim to prevent system failures, such as periodically changing the oil to reduce wear on the engine; corrective maintenance includes tasks that need to be completed to restore a failed system to proper working order, such as changing a flat tire.

When designing for corrective and preventive maintenance, consider the following:

- Preventive maintenance must be minimized and require as little crew time as feasible.
- Preventive maintenance schedules should be sufficiently flexible to accommodate changes in the schedule of other mission activities.
- If maintenance is necessary and system operations will be interrupted, redundant installations should be considered to permit maintenance without interrupting system operation.
- Maintenance plans for commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) equipment must be appropriate to the space environment, and not simply what is in the recommended ground-based factory standard maintenance plan.
- Automated fault detection and isolation should be provided.
- Calibration, alignment, or adjustment should be easily and accurately accomplished.
9.3.3 Accessibility

Inspecting, removing, and replacing equipment may need to be done during any phase of flight, in which the spacecraft may be in different gravity conditions, and by individuals wearing protective clothing and equipment that may limit mobility. Examples of protective clothing and equipment are flight suits, Self-Contained Atmosphere Protective Ensemble (SCAPE) suits, and even EVA suits. Equipment includes everything that is planned to be maintained in flight, from a complete unit down to a component level. Components may include computer cards, power supplies, or individual electronic components. Equipment should be designed so that the elements to be maintained are not only visible, but physically accessible.

9.3.3.1 Physical Access

Adequate access and working space is needed to allow personnel to efficiently access equipment in a way that minimizes the potential for human error or system damage. Many maintenance activities onboard the ISS have required physical access behind panels or racks. Crew interfaces with systems and associated hardware should provide crewmembers with the work envelope to perform the expected maintenance activities, and designers of these interfaces need to consider that crewmembers may be wearing protective clothing when appropriate, and the size and use of tools and test equipment.

When designing for physical access consider the following:

- **Priority of Access** – Items that are most critical to system operation and require rapid maintenance must be most accessible. When relative criticality is not a factor, items requiring most frequent access must be most accessible. Easy access must be provided for components that fail frequently (e.g., lamps and fuses).
- **Access Dimensions** – Access openings for two hands, one hand, and fingers should accommodate the dimensions shown in Figure 9.3-1. In the case where access to openings is required by a suited crewmember, the suit glove dimensions, tactility and dexterity characteristics should be considered.
- **Component Removal** – Access to inspect or replace a component (e.g., an orbital replacement unit [ORU]) should not require removal of other component(s) that is not the subject of the maintenance activity.
- **Access Covers** – Access covers that are not completely removable should be self-supporting in the open position. Access to inspect or replace a component (e.g., an ORU) should not require removal of more than one access cover. Access covers should not require the removal of many fasteners and, if accessed frequently, should not require the use of a tool.
- **Check Points and Service Points** – Check points and service points for systems must be in accessible locations. To protect the crew and ground personnel, access points should not be located near electrical, mechanical, or other hazards, or provide other means of hazard protection.
- **Cables** – Cables should be routed to be accessible for inspection and repair. Cables should have sufficient slack for removal of connectors, unless adequate physical and visual access is provided.
Minimal two-hand access openings without visual access

Reaching with both hands to depth of 150 mm (5.0 in.) to 490 mm (19.25 in.):

Light clothing:  Width: 200 mm (8.0 in.) or the depth of reach

Height: 125 mm (5.0 in.)

Reaching full arm's length (to shoulders) with both arms:

Light clothing:  Width: 500 mm (8.0 in.) or the depth of reach

Height: 125 mm (5.0 in)

Inserting box grasped by handles on the front:

13 mm (0.5 in.) clearance around box, assuming adequate clearance around handles

Inserting box with hands on the sides:

Light clothing:  Width: Box plus 115 mm (4.5 in.)

±Height: 125 mm (5.0 in.) or 13 mm (0.5 in.) around box*

* Whichever is larger

± If hands curl around bottom, allow an extra 38 mm (1.5 in.) for light clothing.
### Minimal one-hand access openings without visual access

**Height x Width**

**Empty hand, to wrist**
- Bare hand, rolled: 95 mm (3.75 in.) sq or dia
- Bare hand, flat: 55 mm (2.25 in.) × 100 mm (4 in.) or 100 mm (4 in.) dia

**Clenched hand, to wrist**
- Bare hand: 95 mm (3.75 in.) × 125 mm (5.0 in.) or 125 mm (5.0 in.) dia

**Arm to elbow**
- Light clothing: 100 mm (4.0 in.) × 115 mm (4.5 in.)

**Arm to shoulder**
- Light clothing: 125 mm (5.0 in.) sq or dia

### Minimal finger access to first joint

**Push-button access**
- Bare hand: 32 mm dia (1.26 in.)

**Two-finger twist access**
- Bare hand: object plus 50 mm (1.97 in.)

---

**Figure 9.3-1** Minimum sizes for access openings for two hands, one hand, and fingers without visual access. (MIL-STD-1472F)

---

#### 9.3.3.2 Visual Access

In general, crew interfaces with systems and associated hardware should be visually accessible during planned maintenance activities. Direct line-of-sight visual access reduces the likelihood of human error that can occur when blind (by feel) operations or operations requiring the use of specialized tools (e.g., mirrors or bore scopes) are performed. Crew interfaces include items such
as connectors and fasteners. Direct line of sight for pin inspection is not necessary, although desired where possible. This does not apply to blind-mate connectors with guides, which are automatically de-mated and mated as a piece of equipment is removed and replaced.

When designing for visual access consider the following:

- **Visual-Only Access** – Where only visual access is required, the following practices should be followed with the order of preference as given:
  1. Provide an opening with no cover except where this might degrade system performance.
  2. Provide a transparent window if dirt, moisture, or other foreign materials might create a problem.
  3. Provide a quick-opening metal cover if a transparent cover will not meet other requirements.

- **Visual and Manual Access** – If a crewmember needs to see the equipment to perform a maintenance task, the access must be large enough to allow simultaneous visual as well as physical access; otherwise a separate window should be provided for visual access to monitor task performance.

- **Labeling** – Each access should be labeled to indicate items visible or accessible through it, including a number, letter, or other symbol that is directly cross-referenced to maintenance procedures. Cables, fluid lines, and shields protecting other subsystems should also be labeled or otherwise coded to allow positive identification.

### 9.3.4 Failure Notification

Systems must alert the crew when flight-critical equipment has failed and when it is not operating within tolerance limits, without removal of that equipment. Automatic alerting of equipment failure expedites troubleshooting and ensures that the crew has adequate situational awareness of the functionality that has been lost.

### 9.3.5 Efficiency

Equipment design must minimize the amount of time required for maintenance. Crew time for mission activities during flight is in short supply. Preliminary studies based on ISS operations indicate that 2 person-hours per day of overhead activities is the maximum amount of time that can be allocated without incurring detrimental effects on primary mission activities.

ORUs should have a total maintenance time for removal and replacement of no more than 3 hours. Spaceflight experience and engineering judgment by subject matter experts indicate that most maintenance activities, including safing, access, removal, replacement, and closeout back to original hardware configuration, can be accomplished in 3 hours or less if the spacecraft is designed to facilitate maintenance.

### 9.3.6 Tools and Fasteners

Maintenance activities are primarily where tools and fasteners are used. The design and number of tools are important considerations to minimize crew training and in-flight operations. Since
many tools are used in conjunction with fasteners, the tool design depends greatly on fastener
design. See sections 9.4 Tools, and 9.11 Fasteners, for more information.

9.3.7 Circuit Protection

Circuits are protected by devices such as fuses and circuit breakers. Fuses work by intentionally
self-destructing in an overload situation and need to be replaced after use. Finding, sizing, and
replacing fuses takes more time than resetting circuit breakers. Circuit breakers “trip,” can be
reset by pushing them back into place, and do not require replacement and storage. During
periods of flight when time is critical, circuit breakers are preferred since they return the circuit
to normal functionality with a single task. Because of the logistics, storage, and time required for
fuse replacement, circuit breakers should be used in preference to fuses.

To protect circuits during critical phases of flight, circuit breakers should be used, and should not
require the removal or opening of access panels. Where circuits and fuses are used, their
condition (good or blown) must be readily discernible. The determination of condition should not
require the removal of a fuse.

9.3.8 Fluids

The leakage of fluids (liquid or gas) can be a hazard to crew health, and is especially hard to
control during 0g operations. Additionally, leakage during any mission phase (flight or ground)
can cause hazardous conditions and increase housekeeping tasks, and may damage equipment.
Subsystems that contain liquids or high-pressure gases and require maintenance should be
designed with fluid isolation features. Isolation features, such as valves and quick-disconnect
couplings, allow more efficient system maintenance, permit isolation and servicing, aid in leak
detection, and eliminate the need to drain and refill systems. Replaceable components must be
designed to control the leakage of fluids during removal or replacement.

9.3.9 Research Needs

Access opening requirements are needed for two-handed and single-handed maintenance
operations by a suited pressurized crewmember.
9.4 TOOLS

9.4.1 Introduction

This section discusses tools, both manual and power, for use during normal operations as well as for planned and unplanned or contingency maintenance activities. Launch, entry, and temporary tool stowage requirements should also be considered in final tool designs.

9.4.2 Tool Selection

The need for tools should be considered early in system design, since their use can have an impact on crew training and flight operations.

When determining the tool complement for space missions, consider the following:

- Standardization – Tools must be standardized. This includes a need for commonality of measurement system (English versus metric).
- Minimal Tool Set – The system should be maintainable and reconfigurable using a minimum set of tools that are as common as feasible with the other systems. A minimum set of tools, common to more than one system, allows many maintenance tasks to be performed without a proliferation of unique tools. Minimizing the tool set reduces training, operations, and support requirements for the system. A reduced variety of fasteners is an important consideration for reducing the number of tools needed.
- Multipurpose Tools – A tool kit should include multipurpose and multi-size tools, as there are often unexpected needs.

The ISS crewmembers have provided feedback on use of unique tools in their inventory:

- An upgraded soldering iron with the capability of determining its temperature is desired.
- The torque key wrench is a particularly useful tool.
- The strap wrench was difficult to use, and isn’t intuitive.
- The fluid fitting torque device tool was noted as being difficult to use.
- There is a need for a workshop-type bench vise; seat clamps are inadequate and flimsy.
- The crew had problems with the scope-meter, preferring a compact, low-technology multimeter (OpsHab, 2008).

9.4.3 Power Tools

Power tools should be used to accomplish repetitive manual tasks, such as disengaging captive fasteners or operating mechanical drive systems. Use of power tools offers enormous returns in reduced crewmember time, effort, and ease of operation.

Power tools subject the crewmember to specific hazards and stresses that should be addressed. Specific considerations include

- Rotating components
- Electrical shock (see section 9.12 Safety Hazards)
- Heat generation
- Flying particles or sparks
- Inadvertent power activation
- Hazards to the non-operating hand

Power tool design should avoid the use of brush-type motors, since they may create hazardous electromagnetic interference and provide an ignition source.

The standard practice has been to accept many of the above hazards as part of the job and to place the burden of protection on users (e.g., wear eye protectors, use special electrical grounding devices, wear gloves, and taking other precautions). In many cases these are the only methods available to reduce the hazard potential. However, the designer needs to, in each new tool design, review such hazards and attempt to remove them whenever possible in the design. When this cannot be accomplished, the designer has the responsibility for providing appropriate warning labels on the tool and/or including properly worded warning instructional materials with the tool.

For rechargeable battery-powered tools, the inventory of spare power packs and the location of recharge stations are important design considerations:

- Power tools should be designed so the battery packs can be replaced at the worksite.
- Power tools using battery packs should have a level-of-charge indicator or an indication of when a battery pack is required to be replaced or recharged.
- Hazards associated with charging and stowage of rechargeable batteries (such as toxic or flammable off-gassing, leakage of corrosive electrolytes, or high temperatures) should be considered and controlled.
- Battery packs should always be ready for use and not involve special handling or maintenance.

### 9.4.4 Tool Features

Power and manual hand tools should be designed with the users, functions, and environment in mind.

When designing tools, consider the following:

- **Handgrip Size and Shape**
  - Gripping Surface – Hand grip surfaces should fit the bare hand and if it is to be used during EVA, then the grip surface should fit the EVA gloved hand. The hand grip surface should also minimize abrasion to EVA glove material.
  - Sleeve-Type Adapters – Adapters should adequately secure sleeve-type handle covers so they will not slip, rotate, or come off.
  - Orientation – Tool handle orientation should allow the operator’s wrist to remain in the most natural position while force or guidance inputs are applied.

- **Auxiliary Controls** – If an auxiliary control on the tool needs to be manipulated while the operator is holding the tool, the control should be located where
  - The thumb or finger of the holding hand can manipulate the control without disturbing the tool or fastener holding position.
Unintentional or inadvertent control operation is impossible.

- Tool Handedness – Since the crew population will include both left- and right-handed crewmembers, tools need to be designed with this consideration to allow any crewmember to perform necessary tasks with the appropriate tool. Hand tools should be
  - Operable by one hand when possible.
  - Operable by either the left or right hand.

- Tool Actuation Forces and Direction of Action
  - Actuation Force – Tools must have an actuation force of less than the strength capabilities of the crew.
  - Throw Angles – Ratcheting tools should be capable of providing torque with a throw angle of 45° or less.
  - Plier-Type Tools – Plier-type tools should be spring-actuated in the open direction to permit one-handed operation.

- Tool Retention – A means should be provided on all tools for restraining the tool during use in 0g conditions.
  - Retention features (such as tethering) should not interfere with nominal operation of the tool.

- Inadvertent Tool Disassembly – A means should be provided to prevent inadvertent tool disassembly while installing, using, removing, or transporting the tool.

9.4.5 Tool Packaging and Stowage

Tool stowage should allow for ease of retrieval, retention, identification, and replacement. To accomplish this, consider the following:

- Stowage Location
  - Specialized tools should be stowed close to where they will be used.
  - General-purpose tools should be stowed in one specific area.
  - Stowage location of tool kits should be optimized for accessibility to workstations and/or maintenance workbenches.

- Tool Arrangement in Stowage Container – A systematic approach in the arrangement of tools in the tool kit should be applied. The ISS experience showed that foam cut-outs for tool retention needs to be sufficiently precise to adequately retain tools and not cause binding and sticking of the drawers (OpsHab, 2008). However, foam may not be the ideal solution for long-duration missions since it can break down and create particulates if it is not adequately contained.

- Tool Placement Labels – Placement labels should be provided for each tool in a stowage container.
  - It should be obvious if a tool is not in its storage location. For example, tool boxes that use different colors of foam, such as a yellow layer of foam beneath a blue layer. By providing the different colors of foam, it is easy to determine that a tool has not
been returned. Tools, unaccounted for, can become hazardous to hardware and personnel.

Figures 9.4-1 and 9.4-2 show examples of Space Shuttle IVA tool stowage containers.

Figure 9.4-1  Shuttle hand tool stowage. (JSC-12770, 1985)
Figure 9.4-2 Shuttle tool stowage. (JSC-12770, 1985)

- Tool Carrier – A carrier should be provided for the transportation of tools from a stowage location to worksites. Design of the carrier must ensure that the tools remain restrained within the carrier in 0g conditions.
  - Tool Carrier Materials – Transparent carrier materials should be considered so that the tools can be seen without opening the carrier. Figure 9.4-3 shows a Space Shuttle tool carrier.
  - Retention of Small Parts – Tool carriers should provide a means of retaining small parts and attaching hardware. Items retainable in this manner should be visible for retrieval.
  - Tool Restraint During Translation – Tools should be restrained in the tool carrier with sufficient force to prohibit detachment during translation.
  - Tool Carrier Attachment – Tool carriers and tool retention devices should have provisions to attach the device to the crewmember or to adjacent structures or equipment. As an example, the ISS crews have indicated that the Payload Equipment Restraint Systems Tool Page was useful for locating tools close to the worksite. (OpsHab, 2008)
9.4.6 Tool Labeling and Identification

Tool and tool stowage labeling and identification requirements must comply with the labeling guidance defined in section 10.6 Labels as well as the following:

- General Tool Names – Tool names used in procedures and inventory lists must be identical to the names on the tools and/or tool labels and, in all cases, will be the most common definitive name recognizable by the crewmembers.

- Specialized Tool Names – Specialized tool nomenclature should describe the specific task it is intended to accomplish and should not be identified with the equipment it is servicing.

- Tool Stowage Labels – Prominent labels should be provided adjacent to each tool in the stowage container or kit if the tool is not readily recognizable.
• Tool Metric/English Identification – Tools should be labeled or coded to indicate whether the tool is sized in metric or English units.
• Tool Inventory Control Labeling – Tools should be tracked by an automated inventory control identification system.
• EVA Tool Compatibility – IVA tools that are not EVA-compatible should be labeled and identified as such.

9.4.7 Tool Access

The following tool access volume guidelines are applicable to both IVA and EVA hardware design (see Figure 9.4-4):

• Tool Head Clearance – Where only tool access is required, 2.5 cm (1 in.) clearance should be provided around the fastener or drive stud for insertion, actuation, and removal of the drive end of the tool. However, internal hex head fasteners may need less clearance for a hex head bit or Allen wrench (see Figure 9.4-5 for alternate clearance).
• Tool Handle Clearance – A minimum of 7.6 cm (3 in.) should be provided for clearance between a tool handle engaged on a fastener or drive stud and the nearest piece of hardware. The tool handle should be able to maintain this clearance through a full 180° swept envelope, since repeated motion through a smaller angle can be fatiguing. However, this may not be necessary, especially with ratcheting tools that have a 45° throw angle.
• Tool Head-to-Fastener Engagement Height – The tool socket or fastener head engagement height should be sufficient to lower the bearing loads on the fasteners and tool below the failure limits of the materials.
• Tool Handle Offset – The maximum tool offset between the tool handle and the tool head should be 35.5 cm (14 in.).

Access guidelines for hand actuated tools to be used during IVA operations can be found in Figure 9.4-6, Minimal Clearance for Tool-Operated Fasteners.
Figure 9.4-4 Tool access requirements. (IVA) (GIAG-3, 1986)

Figure 9.4-5 IVA tool head clearance.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opening dimensions</th>
<th>Task</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Diagram" /> A 117 mm (4.6 in.)</td>
<td>Using common screwdriver with freedom to turn hand through 180°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 107 mm (4.2 in.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Diagram" /> A 133 mm (5.2 in.)</td>
<td>Using pliers and similar tools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 115 mm (4.5 in.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Diagram" /> A 117 mm (6.1 in.)</td>
<td>Using T-handle wrench with freedom to turn wrench through 180°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 107 mm (5.3 in.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Diagram" /> A 203 mm (8.0 in.)</td>
<td>Using open-end wrench with freedom to turn wrench through 62°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 135 mm (5.3 in.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image5" alt="Diagram" /> A 122 mm (4.8 in.)</td>
<td>Using Allen-type wrench with freedom to turn wrench through 62°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 155 mm (6.1 in.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9.4-6  Minimal clearance for tool-operated fasteners. (MSFC-STD-512A, 1976)

9.4.8  Research Needs

Research is needed to determine the basis for the 180° sweep envelope and whether this is based only on the ability to torque or turn a fastener, or whether the envelope is also intended to provide flexibility in how the operators are able to orient themselves at the task site, based on the position of the tool handle.
9.5 DRAWERS AND RACKS

9.5.1 Introduction

This section will discuss racks, stowage drawers, and equipment drawers. Stowage drawers are used to stow removable contents, and generally do not have utility connections. Equipment drawers are used to mount subsystem components. The contents usually need to be removed or replaced using a hand tool. Equipment drawers have utility connections (such as power and thermal control).

9.5.2 General Drawer and Rack Features

When designing stowage drawers, equipment drawers, and racks, consider the following:

- Location – High-traffic areas should be avoided when locating racks that require frequent drawer deployment.
- Unobstructed Volume – Adequate clearance should be provided to allow for drawers to be opened, removed, and replaced without obstructions from adjacent hardware.
- Easily Removable – Drawers should be designed to be removed from their rack or cabinet along a continuous straight or slightly curved path without the use of tools.
- Limit Stops:
  - Limit stops should be provided that prevent the drawer from being unintentionally pulled out of the rack and hold the drawer in the open position.
  - Limit stops should allow for disengagement without the use of tools to enable drawer removal.
- Drawer Movement Forces – Drawer opening, closing, removal, and installation forces must be within the strength capabilities of the crew.
- Alignment Guides – Guide pins or equivalent features should be provided to aid in proper alignment when replacing a drawer into a rack or cabinet.
- Latches, Handles, and Operating Mechanisms – All latches, handles, and operating mechanisms should be designed to be easily latched, unlatched, opened, and closed with one hand without having to use any operating instructions. The ISS crews have reported that drawers that had a sliding panel over the top, the latching mechanisms and top sliding panels periodically stuck. Additionally, the use of the sliding panel required a two-step operation each time the drawer was accessed. The crews felt that in space, this type of complexity is not necessary. A simple Velcro latch, simple cloth hinge, or normal piano hinge was suggested as an adequate or preferred design. Additionally, the usability of the drawer design should not be degraded by closing and locking features that are driven by launch loads (OpsHab, 2001). Drawer handles should be low profile or with rounded corners to prevent snagging on clothing.
- Latch/Unlatch Status – The design should be such that it is obvious when the drawer is not fastened or locked when in the closed position.
9.5.3  **Stowage Drawers**

In addition to the considerations above, the following apply to the design of stowage drawers:

- **Restraint of Contents**
  - Drawer contents should be restrained in such a way that the items do not float free when the drawer is opened, or jam the drawer so it cannot be opened or closed in 0g.
  - Drawer contents should be restrained in such a way that the contents can be removed and replaced easily without the use of a tool. Experience on the ISS has indicated that the tool locker drawers have been noted as stiff to pull out. In addition, the foam inserts used to hold the tools in place became worn, resulting in the tools sometimes getting dislodged and floating away. Worn Velcro has resulted in a tool or item coming loose and floating away. (OpsHab, 2001)

- **Arrangement in Housing or Cabinet – Drawers** should be arranged within their housing or cabinet so that the most frequently accessed drawers are in the most accessible locations.

- **Access to Contents –** Contents of drawers should be arranged so that the contents are visible and accessible when the drawer is in the open position.

9.5.4  **Equipment Drawers**

In addition to the considerations above, the following apply to the design of equipment drawers:

- **Utility Connections**
  - Utility connections should be designed to be easily disconnected and connected when the drawer is in the fully opened position.
  - Flexible umbilical utility connections must be designed to provide sufficient cable length for the drawer to be fully opened without disconnecting the cables.

- **Equipment Layout on Rack**
  - Components should be mounted in an orderly array on a two-dimensional surface, rather than stacked one on another (i.e., a lower layer should not support an upper layer).
  - Delicate items should be located or guarded so they will not be susceptible to damage while the unit is being handled or maintained.

9.5.5  **Research Needs**

More effort may be needed to consider partial-gravity effects on opening and closing of loaded drawers. Drawers must remain in the ideal operating position, and permit easy, non-binding operation.
9.6 CONNECTORS

9.6.1 Introduction

Connectors are essential to the assembly and disassembly of hardware and equipment, both during the construction and checkout phase and later during maintenance. Electrical, fluid, gas, structural, and optical connectors are discussed in this section. Guidance for connector selection, identification, alignment, spacing, accessibility, and protection of equipment is provided.

9.6.2 General Connectors

Experience from the ISS has indicated a crew preference for the use of quick disconnect (QD) connectors on hardware items with planned (regular) maintenance. Use of these connectors simplifies and reduces crew time and effort needed to perform maintenance. However, connectors are not completely reliable and most are susceptible to damage. For these reasons, connectors should be used only where needed.

Consider the following for connector selection, design, and applications:

- Connectors should allow for fast and easy maintenance operations
- Connectors should allow for easy removal and replacement of components and units. It should be possible to mate and de-mate individual connectors without having to remove or replace other connectors.
- Connectors should allow for minimal time to set up, test, and service equipment.
- Connectors must not subject personnel and equipment to potentially hazardous spills, electrical shocks, or damage from the release of stored mechanical energy, during the mating or de-mating.
- Connectors should allow for one-hand operation where possible. According to the ISS records, some of the non-QD connectors reportedly require excessive force and/or pliers to operate.
- Connectors must accommodate the strength and anthropometry of the crew. The ISS experience indicates that when mating hydraulic connectors, the crew felt that their hands were too big to get a good grip on them, and that finger grasping was inadequate to generate the necessary force. Thus a tool was needed to enable their operation. The relationship of hand torque capability to fastener diameter illustrated in Figures 9.6-1 and 9.6-2 can be consulted for applicability to connector design. (OpsHab, 2008)
- Single rows of electrical connectors normally provide the best access. Multiple rows of connectors should be avoided, but if they are required, the connectors should be staggered.

9.6.3 Fluid and Gas Connectors

When designing IVA and EVA liquid and gas connectors, the following guidelines apply:

- Fluid Line Connectors – Fluid line connectors should be convenient to use, be permanently installed, and permit in-flight maintenance for all brazed or welded gas and liquid lines.
- Indication of Pressure Flow – All liquid and gas lines must provide positive indication of the gas pressure or fluid flow to verify that the line is passive before disconnection of connectors. QDs that are designed to be operated under pressure do not require pressure or flow indications.
- Fluid Loss – Liquid and gas connectors must minimize escape or loss of fluids, particularly any toxic materials, during connect and disconnect operations.

9.6.4 Electrical Connectors

When designing IVA and EVA electrical connectors, the following guidelines apply:
- Ease of Disconnect – Electrical connector plugs should be designed so that no more than one-full turn is needed to disconnect, or some other QD design should be provided.
- Self-Locking – Electrical connector plugs should provide a self-locking safety catch.
- Access – Electrical connectors and cable installations should be designed with sufficient flexibility, length, and protection to permit disconnection and reconnection without damage to wiring or connectors.
- Arc Containment – Electrical connector plugs should be designed to confine or isolate electrical arcs or sparks caused by mating or de-mating.
- Contact Orientation – The arrangement of contacts within connectors must be designed in such a way that when the connectors are de-mated there is no voltage potential on exposed male pins.
- Contact Protection – All de-mated connectors should be protected against physical damage and contamination.

9.6.5 Structural Connectors

When designing IVA and EVA structural connectors the following guidelines apply:
- Alignment provisions should be provided.
- Soft latching capability before full firm connection or full release should be provided.
- Positive lock indication should be provided.

9.6.6 Connector Arrangement

Designers should also account for the possibility of operation with EVA gloves. When designing IVA and EVA connectors, the following arrangement and spacing guidelines apply.
- Hand Access – Connectors must be spaced far enough apart that they can be grasped firmly for connecting and disconnecting. The worst case scenario to be considered is the largest hand in the crew population.
- Adjacent Connectors or Obstructions – Space between a connector and any adjacent obstruction should be compatible with the size and shape of the plugs.
- Alternate Configuration – 90° connector configurations should be provided to improve accessibility in cases where the space behind a connector is limited.
- Single Rows – Connectors arranged in a single row that need to be removed and replaced by an IVA crewmember must be spaced at a minimum of 3.56 cm (1.4 in.) apart (edge-
to-edge) for hand access during alignment and insertion. A separation of 41 mm (1.6 in.)
must be provided for EVA connectors (based on Skylab space suit data) and preferred for
IVA connectors (See Figure 9.6-1). Exact EVA connector spacing will depend on the
design of the EVA suit.

- Staggered Rows – Staggered rows of connectors must be a minimum of 64 mm (2.5 in.)
apart for IVA and EVA (based on Skylab space suit data; see Figure 9.6-2).
- Tools – If a tool is used, the hand access clearance to facilitate initial alignment by hand
should be considered.

![Figure 9.6-1 Preferred spacing of single row of connectors (bare or gloved hand).](MSFC-STD-512A, 1976)

![Figure 9.6-2 Preferred spacing of staggered single rows of connectors. (MSFC-STD-512A, 1976)]
The following design consideration applies to the identification, coding, alignment, and marking of electrical connectors and receptacles.

- Coding – Alphanumeric coding should be used in preference to color coding (see Figure 9.6-3).
- Locating Labels – Alphanumeric coding of electrical connector plugs and receptacles should be located so they are visible when connected or disconnected.
- Keys and Keyways – Correct and incorrect methods of providing keys and keyways on electrical connectors are shown in Figure 9.6-4 and should be considered.
- Alignment Pins – A symmetrical pin arrangement with asymmetrical guide pin arrangement should be used to ensure proper alignment (see Figure 9.6-5).
- Alignment Marks – Alignment marks should be provided on electrical connector plugs and interfacing receptacles (see Figure 9.6-6). They are located so as to provide the crewmember with line-of-sight access during insertion.

Figure 9.6-3  Color and alphanumeric coding of mating connectors. (MIL-HDBK-759A, 1981)
9.6.7 Research Needs

Research is needed to develop new materials to replace the rubber, metal springs, and other flexible materials currently used in bungee cords and other restraints. The materials currently used can release their stored mechanical energy abruptly and potentially cause injury to a crewmember. Ideally, a new material would release its mechanical energy gradually over 1 second, for example.
9.7 RESTRAINTS AND MOBILITY AIDS

9.7.1 Introduction

This section contains guidance for design of restraints and mobility aids for use primarily in microgravity conditions. However, mobility aids such as ladders and climbing handholds will be used on planet surfaces. Design criteria include dimensions, coding, texture, design loads, temperature limits, and mounting. Guidance for the design for portable and fixed foot restraints, body restraints, and equipment restraint devices is included.

9.7.2 Mobility Aids

Mobility aids allow crewmembers to efficiently move from one location to another in 0g, as well as reduce the likelihood of inadvertent collision into hardware, which may cause damage to the spacecraft or injury to the crew. Mobility aids include both of the following:

- Personnel Mobility Aids – Handles, grasp areas, kickoff areas, and other aids that are fixed to various points within the spacecraft.
- Equipment Mobility Aids – Handles and grasp areas fixed to packages or equipment that help with their movement and repositioning.

9.7.2.1 General Mobility Aid Design

Early experience in the Skylab program showed the problems of movement in 0g. Stopping, starting, and changing direction all require forces that are best generated by the hands or feet. Appropriately located mobility aids make this possible. If predefined mobility aids are not available, personnel will use available equipment, some of which may be damaged from induced loads.

The following are general guidelines and considerations for mobility aids:

- Pressurized-Suited Crew – Because of the limited maneuverability of a pressurized-suited crewmember, mobility aids must be provided to allow crewmembers to safely and efficiently enter and leave the spacecraft while they are in pressurized suits.
- Incapacitated Crew – Incapacitated pressurized-suited crewmembers may be unable to exit the spacecraft on their own, and may also be in a constrained position that requires assisted extraction. Mobility aids must be provided for the extraction of incapacitated crewmembers and may include spacecraft handholds, suit handholds for ground personnel, lifting devices, gurneys, or other devices. Also, because of the body’s adaptation to 0g, some crewmembers (even if not incapacitated) may need assistance for some mobility tasks.
- Attachments – Systems can be optimized by simplifying and promoting commonality in how components are attached within the crew volume. The ISS crewmembers have reported that an excessive number of different interfaces exist for attaching components to a seat track. While the seat track provided a common interface on the spacecraft side, the restraints had numerous mechanisms for attaching to the seat track. Commonality on
both sides of the interface should be considered in the restraint system design to provide ease of use and to reduce crew training and real-time operations. (OpsHab, 2008)

9.7.2.2 Personal Mobility Aids

Personal mobility aids are handholds, ladders, and handrails used by crewmembers to help direct and control their movements. Crewmembers need to use them in both IVA and EVA as they move from place to place while performing tasks.

Handholds or handrails also provide local protection to the spacecraft or payload components. They also serve as convenient locations for temporary mounting, affixing, or restraint of loose equipment and as attachment points for equipment and personnel safety tethers. Handholds provide adequate restraint for low-force, short-duration manual tasks such as inspection, monitoring, and control or switch actuation. Handholds and handrails can be permanently installed or portable. Handhold areas are typically located around the edges of hatches, bulkheads, equipment, containers, and stowage lockers.

Handholds and handrails have been fabricated primarily from metals. Other rigid, semi-rigid, or cloth materials may be used.

The ISS crewmembers have expressed satisfaction with the overall operation, quantity, and location of the ISS handrails. However, the ISS crewmembers have sometimes grabbed a cable by mistake and pulled it out. Therefore, routing cables over or near handrails should be avoided. The ISS crew debriefs also show that handrails have been used as foot restraints and were preferred over the cloth foot restraints. This is addressed in section 9.7.3.1.1 Foot Restraints. Figure 9.7-1 shows a removable ISS handrail that attaches to a seat track.

Figure 9.7-1 Removable ISS handrail.
9.7.2.2.1 Mobility Aid Shape and Dimensions

When designing handholds and handrails the following cross-section design constraints apply:

- **Standardization** – Cross-sectional dimensions of handholds and handrails should be standardized throughout the spacecraft to provide a uniform interface for mounting items such as brackets and tether hooks.

- **Cross-Sectional Shape** – The cross-sectional shape of handholds and handrails should be designed so that the crewmember’s hand or attached brackets will be stabilized (i.e., circular cross section should not be used). (Refer to Figure 9.7-2, IVA Handhold Cross Section)

- **IVA Handhold Minimum Dimensions** – All IVA handholds must have a minimum of 14 cm (5.5 in.) grip length and a minimum of 3.8 cm (1.5 in.) clearance between the lower surface of the handgrip and the surface on which it is mounted. (Refer to Figure 9.7-3)

![Figure 9.7-2 IVA handhold cross section. (MSFC-STD-512A, 1976)](image1)

![Figure 9.7-3 IVA handhold dimensions. (MSFC-STD-512A, 1976)](image2)

9.7.2.2 Coding

When designing handholds and handrail coding consider the following so that the crew may locate them with ease:

- **Standard Color** – The color of all handholds and handrails must be standardized within the spacecraft.

- **Contrast Ratio** – Where distinctive identification is needed, the color value should have a contrast ratio of 5:1 or greater with the background.
During emergencies, it is particularly important for crewmembers to be able to quickly distinguish mobility aids from the surrounding structures. Visual cues such as color-coding may aid in this function. Commonality among visual cues is important so that crewmembers can easily distinguish intended mobility aids from nonmobility aids that may be damaged by the application of crew-induced loads. For the Constellation Program, handrails will be colored International Safety Yellow.

**9.7.2.2.3 Finish**

For handhold and handrail textures the following design guidance applies to their feel and grasp:

- **Identical Finish** – The finish of all handholds/handrails should be identical to enhance identification.
- **Non-Slip Surface** – Handholds and handrails must have a nonslip surface with no burrs, sharp edges, or protrusions.

**9.7.2.2.4 Design Loads**

All fixed and portable IVA handholds and handrails must be designed to withstand expected loads of the crew without failure or damage that precludes full use by crewmembers.

**9.7.2.2.5 Mounting**

When determining how handhold and handrail will be mounted, consider the following:

- **Stability** – All fixed and portable handholds and handrails should be designed so that when installed they have no instability (i.e., looseness, vibration, or slippage).
- **Portable Handhold and Handrail Lock Status Indication** – Portable handhold and handrails should provide a positive indication of when they are in the locked position.
- **Visibility and Accessibility** – Handholds and handrails should be mounted so that they are clearly visible and accessible.
- **Handhold Removal** – Portable handholds should be removable and replaceable without the use tools.
- **Safety** – Handrails and associated mounting provisions should be designed so as to preclude snagging of body parts, clothing, or loose equipment (e.g., cables).
- **Orientation** – Handholds used for crew restraint and mobility should be designed to allow flexibility of mounting orientation. Experience from the ISS indicates that the hand and foot rails could be mounted only in a local horizontal or vertical direction. Feedback from use of these ISS restraints indicates that the crew needs multidirectional restraint capability, and the ability to overlap adjacent handholds. (OpsHab, 2008).

**9.7.2.3 Equipment Mobility Aids**

Equipment mobility aids are used by crewmembers to grasp and move equipment or stowage items in 0g. Consider the following guidance when determining the design of an equipment mobility aid system:

- **Tethers** should be used on equipment items being transferred but are not generally required for IVA.
• Equipment transfer by two crewmembers should be considered where transfer of large equipment may cause injury to the crewmember or damage to the spacecraft.
• Removable equipment mobility aids should be provided for use in locations where removal of the aids would be needed to provide clearance and access passage.
• Access – Design should consider whether the area around the mass is adequate for manipulation and visibility.
• Containers for Small Items – Containers should be provided for simultaneous transfer of small equipment items.
  o Single items should be individually removable.
  o The container should be easily attached to the crewmember and spacecraft at the worksite.
• Bump Protection – Bump protections can be designed so they can be used as mobility aids.

9.7.2.3.1 Handle and Grasp Areas

All removable or portable hardware, equipment, and units need to have handles or other suitable means for grasping, tethering, handling, and carrying.

When designing a handle or grasp area, consider the following factors:
• The operational location of the item relative to other items.
• The manner in which the item is to be handled.
• The distance the item needs to be moved.
• The frequency with which the item may need to be handled.
• The additional uses the handle may serve, such as the anchor for a tether or as a handhold.
• Handles should be located on either side of the center of mass.
• The number and location of handles should be determined by the mass, size, and shape of the object.
• Nonremovable handles should be recessed or fold flush with surfaces to minimize the potential for snagging clothing, equipment, or restraints.

9.7.2.3.2 Handle Shape and Dimensions

IVA handles for movable or portable units must be designed in accordance with the minimum applicable dimensions in Figures 9.7-4 and 9.7-5.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Illustration</th>
<th>Type of Handle</th>
<th>Dimensions in mm (in inches)</th>
<th>(Bare Hand)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Two-finger bar</td>
<td>32 (1-1/4)</td>
<td>65 (2-1/2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>One-hand bar</td>
<td>48 (1-7/8)</td>
<td>111 (4-3/8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Two-hand bar</td>
<td>48 (1-7/8)</td>
<td>215 (8-1/2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T-bar</td>
<td>38 (1-1/2)</td>
<td>100 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J-bar</td>
<td>50 (2)</td>
<td>100 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Two-finger recess</td>
<td>32 (1-1/4)</td>
<td>65 (2-1/2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>One-hand recess</td>
<td>50 (2)</td>
<td>110 (4-1/4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Finger-tip recess</td>
<td>19 (3/4)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>One-finger recess</td>
<td>32 (1-1/4)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9.7-4 Minimum IVA handle dimensions. (MIL-STD-1472F, 1981)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Curvature of handle or edge (does not preclude use of oval handles)</th>
<th>Weight of item</th>
<th>Minimum diameter</th>
<th>Gripping efficiency is best if finger can curl around handle or edge to any angle of $\frac{2}{3} \pi$ rad ($120^\circ$) or more.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Up to 6.8 kg (up to 15 lbs)</td>
<td>D = 6 mm (1/4 in)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.8 to 9.0 kg (15 to 20 lbs)</td>
<td>D = 13 mm (1/2 in)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.0 to 18 kg (20 to 40 lbs)</td>
<td>D = 19 mm (3/4 in)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 18 kg (Over 40 lbs)</td>
<td>D = 25 mm (1 in)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-bar post</td>
<td>T = 13 mm (1/2 in)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9.7-5 Minimum IVA handle dimensions for curvature. (MIL-STD-1472F, 1981)
9.7.2.3.3   Nonfixed Handles

When designing hinged, foldout, or attachable (i.e., nonfixed) handles consider the following:

- Locked or Use Position – Nonfixed handles should have a stop position for holding the handle perpendicular to the surface on which it is mounted.
- One-Handed Operation – Nonfixed handles should be capable of being placed in the use position by one hand and should be capable of being removed or stowed with one hand.
- Tactile or Visual Indicators – Attachable or removable handles should incorporate tactile and/or visual indication of status (locked or unlocked).

9.7.3   Restraints

This section covers means to stabilize and secure both people (personnel restraints) and equipment (equipment restraints). Restraints are primarily used in microgravity conditions, but are also used in transient hypergravity conditions (such as during launch or entry).

9.7.3.1   Personnel Restraints

Personnel restraints are used during nontranslating (fixed) operations and are required at liftoff, during major thrusting maneuvers, during 0g and partial-gravity operations, and during return-to-Earth operations.

During launch, abort, and entry, the potential exists for flail injury to the limbs if proper restraint is not used. Features such as harnesses, form-fitting seats, and tethers help maintain the proper position of the crewmember and prevent flailing. In addition, the design of the suit may contribute to reducing flail injury to the crew.

This section includes seat belts, shoulder harnesses, fixed and portable foot restraints, and body restraints. Handholds can also be used as personnel restraints. Donning/doffing, loads, materials, color, temperature limits, and dimensional requirements are included for each type of personnel restraint.

Table 9.7-1 shows types of personnel restraints used on Skylab and the ISS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crew task</th>
<th>Personnel Restraint</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Handhold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Skylab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meal preparation</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eating</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight data management</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consoles/Spacecraft operations</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robotics operations</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9.7-1 Personnel Restraints Used on Skylab and ISS (MSFC-STD-512, 1974; OpsHab, 2001)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crew task</th>
<th>Personnel Restraint</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Handhold</td>
<td>Toe (foot loop)</td>
<td>Foot (triangular cleat)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Skylab ISS</td>
<td>Skylab ISS</td>
<td>Skylab ISS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body waste collection</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment operations</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise operations</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General crew tasks, maintenance, and housekeeping</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole-body cleaning</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stowage operations</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment transfer</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal hygiene</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: ✓ = The ISS crew often used the handholds as foot restraints.

Maintaining a static position and orientation at a workstation is necessary to ensure that controls can be activated without motion being imparted to the crewmember. Without gravity to hold an individual onto a standing or sitting surface in 0g, the body will float or move in the direction opposite to an applied force. The cognitive and physical work required to maintain body position during a task can interfere with performance of the task. Previous orbital missions have indicated that, when properly restrained, crewmembers can perform most manipulative operations on orbit, using standard tools, as effectively as these operations can be performed in a 1g environment. In many 0g maintenance operations, adequate restraint was not anticipated in the design of the equipment and task to be performed, resulting in wasted time and crew frustration. Therefore, it is important to design with this in mind and provide adequate restraints for body stabilization for nominal and off-nominal operations. Although openings, holes, ductwork, and protrusions in and around equipment have been used by crewmembers as informal microgravity body restraints, a need for crew to rely upon improvised restraints is not acceptable.

Personnel restraints should be included where necessary to ensure that equipment is not damaged by inadvertent use as a restraint. For example, the ISS U.S. Laboratory window did not initially have surrounding handholds, causing the crew to use the nearby flexible pressure hose to provide the needed body stabilization. Repeated stress due to grabbing and holding the hose resulted in an air leak into space, reportedly at 5 times the normal rate.

When designing IVA and EVA personnel restraints (e.g., seat belts, shoulder harnesses, body restraints, foot restraints, and sleep restraints) consider the following:

- Comfort – Restraint forces should be reasonably distributed over the body to prevent discomfort, and it should not require conscious effort for a crewmember to remain constrained.
- Allowable Comfort Time – Comfort of the IVA restraint system should allow uninterrupted completion of expected tasks.
- Muscular Tension – Restraint design should minimize or prevent muscular tension.
- Anthropometric Range – All personal restraints must accommodate the specific population of users for whom the system is to be designed.
- 0g Posture – Personal restraints to be used in 0g applications must be designed for compatibility with 0g posture.
- Cleaning and Repair – The personal restraint system should be capable of being cleaned and repaired on orbit.

9.7.3.1.1 Foot Restraints

The following apply to all fixed and portable foot restraints:

- Location – The use of foot (and/or body) restraints should be considered where activities require the use of both hands, are long duration, and require precision.
- Standardization – Foot restraints should be standard throughout the spacecraft.
- Range of Motion – All foot restraints should maintain foot position to allow the crewmember a complete range of motion (roll, pitch, and yaw).
- Comfort – Foot restraints should provide comfortable support. Any portion of the restraint worn on the foot should be as low in mass as possible. For longer duration tasks, or tasks requiring reaction to high forces, designers of foot restraints should consider the use of padding or cushioning to prevent occurrences of callusing, scraping, or pressure-point buildup on crewmember extremities. However, care should be taken to preserve some level of rigidity for body control.
- Texture – Foot restraints should be textured to reduce slipping, since crewmembers often wear socks without shoes.
- Interchangeability – Attachment interfaces for foot restraints (portable-to-portable and fixed-to-fixed) should be interchangeable throughout the spacecraft.
- Retention – The foot restraint should firmly hold the user in the desired position.
- Loads – Foot restraints should provide the capability to withstand loads applied by the crewmember.
- Ventilation – IVA foot restraints and covers should allow ventilation of the feet.
- Fixed Foot Restraints – Fixed foot restraints should be capable of being removed for replacement or repair.
- Portable Foot Restraints – Portable foot restraints should be capable of being installed and removed easily and quickly without the use of tools.
- Donning and Adjustment – Foot restraints should be able to be donned (ingressed) or attached with minimum effort. On-orbit experience from the ISS crewmembers has shown that simple designs for donning, adjustment, and use of foot restraints are preferred. As an example, the ISS long-duration foot restraint (LDFR) provided adequate body stabilization for long-duration workstation tasks. However, the complexity of the design for adjustability created high overhead in restraint setup and discouraged its use. Thus for the majority of tasks, the crew tended to use the simpler short-duration foot restraints (foot plates with loops) or even the handrails.
- Quick Release – All IVA foot restraints should be able to release the foot quickly for rapid egress.
- No-Hand Operation – Foot restraint ingress and egress should not require use of hands at the restraint.
• Handholds – Handholds between the waist and shoulder should be available at all foot restraint locations to aid foot restraint ingress and egress.
• Entrapment – Foot restraints should minimize the danger of entrapment. A positive means of releasing the foot from the restraint should be provided.
• Tension and Torsion Loads – Foot restraints must be designed to withstand tension and torsion loads of the crew.
• Durability – Finish should be durable and smooth, and prevent undue wear on footwear.
• Color – Color for all foot restraints of a given type should have a contrast ratio of approximately 10:1 or greater with the background.

The ISS crews have found that handrails, designed mainly to be translation mobility aids, are useful foot restraints even for the longer-duration robotics operations. The handrails provided better rigidity (and restraining control) than that provided by foot restraints made of fabric. In many cases, such as medical experiment operations, the crew felt that just one handrail as a restraint was adequate for the stability needed (OpsHab, 2001).

The following figures show examples of foot/leg restraints:

• Figure 9.7-6 shows an example of a lower-leg restraint available on the Skylab.
• Figure 9.7-7 illustrates the short-duration foot restraint used on the ISS.
• Figure 9.7-8 illustrates the LDFR used on the ISS.
• Figure 9.7-9 illustrates the long-duration crewmember restraint used on the ISS. This is an adaptation of the LDFR and attaches hardware to the lower foot rail to provide upper leg restraint for increased stability at workstations (glove box, robotics).
9.7.3.1.2  Body Restraints

Body restraints include tethers, seat belts, and harnesses that can be used in microgravity to stabilize the body for task performance (as an alternative or supplement to foot restraints). Body restraints are also used to restrain and stabilize the body during the high accelerations of launch and entry.

The following crewmember body restraint guidelines apply to all tether attachments, seat belts, and shoulder harnesses:

- Latching Mechanisms – The latching mechanism attachment should require a positive action by the crewmember to both latch and unlatch the mechanism.
- One-Handed Operation – The latching mechanism should have the capability of being latched and unlatched with one hand.

9.7.3.1.2.1  Body Restraint Loads

The following load recommendations apply to seat belts, shoulder harnesses, and IVA tethers:
• Seat Belts and Shoulder Harnesses – IVA seat belts and shoulder harnesses installed at stations designated as occupied during launch and landing must be designed so the occupant making proper use of the equipment will not suffer serious injury when the maximum forces of launch and landing, acting separately, are imposed on the crewmember.

• Body Harnesses – Body harnesses should have lifting attach points that can be used in lifting or hoisting the crewmember during egress operations in a 1g environment. The body harness should be designed to support the load of the crewmember while being lifted or hoisted. The body harness can be designed to be an integral part of the suit or be designed as a separate harness to be worn in addition to the seat belt and shoulder harness restraint system.

• Tether Attachments – IVA tether attachments should be capable of sustaining the maximum expected loads by the crew.

9.7.3.1.2.2 Body Restraint Dimensions

The following dimensional recommendations apply to seat belts, shoulder harnesses, and tethers:

• Commonalty – Seat belts, shoulder restraints, waist restraints, and tether attachments should be uniform in size, shape, and method of operation within the limits of task performance and other design tradeoffs.

• Size – Task requirements should be considered when determining the size of the restraint. The crewmember size ranges (see section 4.3 Anthropometry) must be accommodated in the design of restraints.

9.7.3.1.3 Sleep Restraints

When designing sleep restraints, consider the following:

• Extremity Restraint – Sleep restraints should include provisions to prevent leg and arm float and prevent the head from moving during sleep.

• Trapped Air – Sleep-restraint design should eliminate excessive or unevenly distributed trapped air.

• Individual Sleep Restraints – One sleep restraint should be provided for each crewmember.

• Stowage, Transport, Cleanability – Sleep restraints should be easily stowed, transportable, and cleanable on orbit.

• Features – A sleep restraint should incorporate the following features:
  o Adjustable, flexible restraint straps.
  o Arm slits.
  o Adjustable, removable pillows or head strap.
  o Adjustable thermal protection.

• Opening and Closing – A sleeping bag opening and closing device should extend the full length of the bag. The device should be easy to use, and must be quick to open in case of emergency.
- Torso Restraint – Torso restraining straps should be provided to allow the crewmembers to restrain themselves in their choice of sleeping position.

Figure 9.7-10 shows the sleep restraint configuration used on the Space Shuttle.

![Figure 9.7-10 Shuttle sleep restraint. (JSC-12770, 1985)](image)

9.7.3.2 Equipment Restraints

Equipment restraints are needed in microgravity to retain tools or equipment at workstations and storage locations. Also, temporarily restraining equipment in microgravity is very important. Everything from new shipments and supplies to small nuts and bolts need to be secured at storage and work sites to keep them from floating away. In addition, restraints will be needed to secure items during engine burns. Restraints include tethers, tape, bungee cords, Velcro, bags, and various specialized restraints.

9.7.3.2.1 General Equipment Restraint Design

When designing IVA and EVA equipment restraints, the following guidelines apply:

- Hand-Operated
  - Equipment restraints should be designed so that tools are not required to attach or detach the restraint.
  - Equipment restraints should be designed so that they can be attached and detached by either the left or right hand.

- Blind Operation – The equipment restraints should be designed so that the user can attach and detach them without having to look at them.

- Adjustable – Equipment restraints should provide the capability to adjust the restraint to adapt to a wide range of sizes of the items. These restraints should provide the user with
the adjustability to restrain the item at a preferred location relative to the restraint attachment points. This does not preclude the use of fixed-length tethers for specific applications.

- Positive Restraint – Equipment restraints must secure the item such that the item will not come loose as a result of inadvertent touching, air currents, spacecraft dynamic motions, or other predictable environmental conditions.
- Damage – The equipment restraint should be designed so that it cannot pinch, abrade, or cut the item to be restrained, interfacing surfaces, or adjacent hardware.
- Color – Equipment restraints should have a standardized color to distinguish them from loose equipment or items that will be restrained.
- Commonality – Equipment restraints should have a common design.

9.7.3.2.2 Specific Restraint Systems

When designing specific restraints, the following guidelines apply:

- Individual Restraints
  - Individual restraints should be used when the item to be restrained is large, sensitive, or delicate, or when attachments are difficult or complex in operation.
- Group Restraints
  - Group restraints should be used to restrain like-sized items wherever possible.
  - Group restraints should provide a system that allows the removal of one item at a time.
- Velcro – When Velcro is used as a restraint, the item to be restrained should be equipped with hook-type Velcro and the restraining surface should be equipped with pile-type Velcro.
- Adhesive Residue – Adhesive equipment restraints should not leave an adhesive residue on the item or on the spacecraft surface when the adhesive restraint is detached.

9.7.3.2.3 Tethers

When designing tethers, the following guidelines apply:

- Common attachment method – All equipment tethers should use a common attachment method.
- Tether attachment points – All equipment items that require tethering should have a standardized tether hook receptacle as an integral part of the item. This standardized receptacle should be provided on the interfacing surface to which the item is to be secured.
- Tether lock status indication – The tether hook should be designed such that it will be easy to recognize whether the hook is locked or unlocked in both daytime and nighttime lighting conditions.
9.7.3.2.4 Loads

- Minimum load – The minimum design load should be based on the expected crew-imposed and environmental loads to be applied to the item in the normal operating conditions.
- Maximum load – The maximum design load should be based on the resultant load imposed by a crewmember attempting to dislodge a restrained item that has become entrapped in adjacent hardware. The stress of this activity should not exceed the design load of the surface to which the restraint is attached or the design load of the entrapping hardware (i.e., the restraint should break before the item, attachment surface, or entrapping hardware breaks).

9.7.3.2.5 Example Equipment Restraints

Examples of equipment restraints are shown in Figures 9.7-11, -12, -13, -14 and -15.

- Temporary Stowage Bags – Temporary stowage bags are typically transparent so that the contents are visible (i.e., use transparent plastic or netting).
- Gray Tape – Apollo, Skylab, ISS, and Space Shuttle missions have used gray tape extensively as a temporary restraint for IVA operations. Use in EVA was not always acceptable because the adhesive capabilities may have been affected by temperature extremes.
- Cable Restraint Clips – These were used extensively in Apollo and Skylab missions. One set of cable restraints used on the Space Shuttle is the steel spring-loaded pass-through. These restraints were also modified to allow attachment to seat tracks on the fronts of equipment racks on the ISS. Figure 9.7-11 shows the ISS cable/wire restraint. Another option offering versatility and ease of use is the quick twist wire mount assembly, shown in Figure 9.7-12. This ISS wire restraint has an adhesive backing to allow flexibility in mounting locations. However, many ISS crewmembers do not like these because they pop out of the seat track too easily.
- Bundling Wrap Assembly – This restraint uses a Teflon helical bundling assembly to organize and secure data cables and wires. Figure 9.7-13 shows the 8-in. bundling wrap assembly (5/16 to 8 in.). Another option exists for bundles with a maximum diameter of 3 in.
- Velcro – Velcro can provide an acceptable equipment restraint, but its limitations and possible complications from its use need to be understood. The adhesive holding the Velcro pad needs to be stronger than the retention capability of the Velcro hook-and-pile linkage. Adhering qualities of the hook and pile are quite dependent on the material. Temperature constraints may prohibit its use in EVA. The ISS experience with use of Velcro indicated it was useful for certain needs, but also had undesirable characteristics:
  - Velcro use in the sleep station worked very well.
  - Velcro weakened over time.
  - Velcro was adequate for very temporary stowage needs.
  - Velcro tended to trap dust and debris.
• Straps with Snaps and/or Velcro – Crewmembers require handholds or foot restraints to mate female snaps to spacecraft structure studs in a microgravity environment. Snaps are also difficult to align during EVA.

• Metal and Elastic Bungee Springs with Snaps or Flat Hooks – These are recommended for IVA use only, but they were the most widely accepted retention devices used on Apollo, Skylab, and Space Shuttle missions. Both the metal springs and the elastic tend to stretch after extended use and snaps are difficult to attach to structure-mounted interfacing studs in a microgravity environment.

• EVA Tethers – Fixed-length, adjustable, and retractable tethers have been used extensively in EVA operations but can also be used for IVA operations.

• Rubber Bands – Experience on Skylab missions showed that rubber bands were an excellent device to prevent flight manuals and checklists from inadvertently opening in microgravity.

• Other Devices – Many other equipment restraint devices have been tried. These include pip pins, dog leash clips, pinch clamps, and snap rings.

The overall lesson learned from the ISS is that restraints for loose items need to be simple, and that bungees were preferred because they require less force and are easy to set up. Specifically, the ISS crews made the following comments (OpsHab, 2001):

• Bungees used across the dining table were useful for holding down food items.
• Bungees work well and provide the best equipment restraint.
• The flat Russian bungees were preferred to the round U.S. bungees.
Figure 9.7-11  Examples of equipment restraints. (JSC 12770, 1985)

Figure 9.7-12  ISS cable restraint.
Unique equipment restraints have been designed and used on both the Space Shuttle and the ISS. These unique restraints are needed for hardware operation or provide additional flexibility and accommodation of multiple equipment items. Examples of these unique restraints follow:

- **Flexible Bracket** – The ISS example shown in Figure 9.7-16 is similar to the Space Shuttle LocLine Bracket with the exception that it is adapted to interface with the seat track. This bracket consists of a series of flexible hose segments that fit together to form a flexible bracket. A camera shoe interface (female) is provided on the flexible bracket. Attaching hardware needs to have a male camera shoe interface.
Multiuse Bracket – The ISS example shown in Figure 9.7-17 is similar to the current Space Shuttle multiuse bracket with the exception that it is adapted to interface with the seat track. This restraint uses a mechanical arm with a central elbow and ball joints on each end; a single knob at the elbow joint tightens all joints. Like the flexible bracket, the multiuse bracket has a camera shoe interface (female). Attaching hardware needs to have a male camera shoe interface. The ISS on-orbit experience indicates that the multiuse bracket is useful, but joints became loose and required occasional tightening.

Payload Equipment Restraint System. The system shown in Figure 9.7-18 is being used on the ISS (“H” Strap and attaching components). It is described as a modular system of fabric components designed to allow crew operations for handling and restraining a large variety of loose equipment and tools at various worksites. All items related to operation or maintenance can be co-located for quick access and use, and the ISS crewmembers have cited the device as invaluable for temporary stowage.
The set of common IVA equipment restraints used on the ISS can be found in the IVA ISS Catalog of IVA Government-Furnished Equipment Flight Crew Equipment, JSC 28533.

9.7.4 Research Needs

RESERVED
9.8 CABLES

9.8.1 Introduction

The primary purpose of interconnecting cables is to transmit power and data reliably to and from various parts of the spacecraft. The design, routing, and identification of cables are important for ensuring safe and efficient operations.

9.8.2 Cable Design and Routing

When designing or provisioning cables for a spacecraft or hardware, consider the following guidance:

- Cable length should permit
  - Hardware to be inspected and maintained in a convenient place.
  - Hardware to be withdrawn from drawers or slide-out racks without breaking electrical connectivity.
- Cables should be fanned out in junction boxes for easy checking, especially if there are no other test points in the circuits.
- Cables should be routed so they
  - Cannot be pinched by doors, lids, or slides.
  - Will not be used as a translation device.
  - Will not be bent sharply under any condition.
  - Are easily accessible to the crewmember.
  - Do not infringe into the operational envelope nor constitute a safety hazard (e.g., sagging, hooking).
- Location of Cables – Cables should not interfere with crewmember movement, or with controls or displays.
- Retention – A means should be provided to retain the ends of cables near their connection points.
- Cable Clamps – Long conductors, bundles, or cables should be secured by clamps unless they are contained in wiring ducts or cable retractors.
- Protection – Guards or other forms of protection, such as strain relief, should be provided for easily damaged conductors such as wave guides, high-frequency cables, or insulated high-voltage cables.

The ISS crewmembers have made the following comments (OpsHab, 2008) about the design, quantity, and location of the ISS cabling:

- There are too many cables on the ISS.
- Cables became a “trip” hazard.
- The crew had to work around the cables all the time, and many of the cables seem unneeded and unused.
- Managing cables has taken too much crew time and was more complex than training had indicated. Hardware providers need to minimize the quantity of cables the crew has to manage.
- Most of the cables were too long, which complicated hardware installation and decreased crew efficiency. For example, the crew noted they had a lot of 25-ft cables where only 10-ft cables were needed. Crewmembers would shove the extra cable in between racks.
- Common cable interfaces would result in more efficient operations and better maintenance access.

9.8.3 Cable Identification

Cables must be clearly labeled to indicate the equipment and connectors to which the cables mate. All replaceable wires and cables must be uniquely identified in accordance with section 10.6 Labels. In addition, individually insulated conductors within a common sheath must be color-coded. According to lessons learned by the ISS crew, cables that are not interchangeable should have dissimilar labels.

The following are operationally-proven cable management designs:
- Figure 9.8-1 is an example of cable marking and maintenance instruction techniques (note the cable identification location).
- Figure 9.8-2 is an example of markings provided on Space Shuttle cables. Note the locations of the cable identification part number and the labels for connector locations.

Figure 9.8-1 Cable identification technique. (AFSC HD 1-3, 1972)
Figure 9.8-2 Spare or test cable identification methods. (JSC-18702, 1985)

9.8.4 Research Needs

RESERVED
9.9 CREW PERSONAL EQUIPMENT

9.9.1 Introduction

Personal equipment includes small, very useful items that crewmembers typically carry in their pockets. The personal equipment to be provided is determined by mission and program needs and requirements and each crewmember’s personal preference.

9.9.2 General Considerations

The following are examples of personal ancillary equipment that have been found useful in previous missions:

- Penlights and Flashlights – Penlights and flashlights provide a portable light source for use during both IVA and EVA. They are used for handheld illumination of poorly lighted areas, of normal operations and maintenance tasks, and as a source of light in the event of cabin light failure. For hands-free operation, a headlamp assembly has been used.
- Pocket Knives – Pocket knives are reported to be one of the most essential instruments for tasks such as food preparation, repair work, opening packages, and cutting string. U.S. astronauts are provided a Swiss Army knife.
- Multifunction Tool – Another option available to the U.S. Space Shuttle and ISS crewmembers is the Leatherman Tool Assembly. This item offers multiple uses (pliers, wire cutters, scissors, file, screwdriver, saw, wire stripper, tweezers, punch, ruler), depending on 1 of 3 versions, and can be carried via a belt clip.
- Sunglasses – Crewmembers should be provided with sunglasses. These sunglasses should be restrained with straps or other appropriate devices to provide positive retention on the user. Eyeglasses or sunglasses should be made of shatterproof material. The U.S. ISS crewmembers are allocated one pair of sunglasses in a case, and two sets of elastic head strap restraints (“Croakies”) per mission.
- Wristwatch – A multipurpose wrist chronograph that incorporates both digital and analog functions has been an essential item on past space missions. Chronographs are used as wristwatches and stopwatches and need to be antimagnetic, protected from shock, function in both IVA and EVA, and easily read in daylight or darkness. The Timex Ironman Triathlon Watch has been offered for use by Space Shuttle and ISS crewmembers.
- Writing Tools – Ballpoint pens, marker pens, and pencils are also needed during space missions. For the ISS crewmembers, these types of items are supplied as part of an Office Supply Pantry. On future missions, computer displays may be the primary medium for procedures and checklists, but paper will likely be used for some tasks.
- Pockets – Ancillary equipment should incorporate pocket clips and/or Velcro patches for restraints. The ISS crewmembers can request a crew preference flight removable pocket, which mates via Velcro attachment to their clothing. The removable pocket has different sizes of compartments and flaps, to hold small personal items such as pens, markers, notepad, flashlight, knife, Leatherman, scissors, snacks, or other items.
9.9.3 Research Needs

RESERVED
9.10 CLOSURES AND COVERS

9.10.1 Introduction

Closures and covers for hardware and equipment are necessary, especially in 0g, to prevent loose items from getting into unintended locations. This is important because small items may not be easily retrieved from inaccessible locations, they may cause damage, or they may become lost. Closures and covers can also protect sensitive equipment from inadvertent damage by crewmembers. Finally, closures and covers can protect the crew by isolating moving parts, electrical hazards, snags, and sharp corners. For more detailed guidance information regarding closeout panels and covers see the Crew Compartment Closeout Provisions section of the NASA/TP-2006-213725 Crew Station Aspects of Manned Spacecraft Volume 2.

9.10.2 General Considerations

Closures and covers need to preclude access by crewmembers to dangerous areas such as electrical hazards, moving machinery, and temperature hazards.

Consider the following when designing equipment closures:

- **Sealing** – Sealing must be provided to inaccessible areas to prevent small items from drifting into them in 0g.
- **Removal and Installation** – Closures should be quickly and easily removed to allow maintenance of equipment. Additionally, design of panels in general should consider potential deformation of the spacecraft and equipment, which can occur in the transition from 1g to 0g. The ISS crewmembers have commented that the panels in the Node module were very tight-fitting and difficult to reinstall. (OpsHab 2008)
- **Access** – For frequently accessed areas, the closure attachment methods should minimize the number of fasteners and use captive and hand-operated fasteners.
- **Securing** – It should be obvious when a closure is not secured, even though it may be in place.
- **Loads** – Closures must be capable of sustaining crew-imposed loads and maintaining closure when these loads are applied.
- **Instructions** – If the method of opening a cover is not obvious from the construction of the cover itself, instructions (including applicable tool instructions) should be permanently displayed on the outside of the cover.
- **Clearance** – Bulkheads, brackets, and other units should not interfere with removal or opening of covers.
- **Application** – An access cover should be provided whenever frequent maintenance operations would otherwise require removing the entire case or cover, or dismantling an item of equipment.
- **Self-Supporting Covers** – Access covers that are not completely removable should be self-supporting in the open position in any gravitational environment in which they may be expected to operate.
- **Ventilation Screen Access** – Where ventilation screens, holes, or grids are used, the ventilation surface should be accessible (e.g., for cleaning, repair).
- Retention of Parts – Doors, covers, and retainers (e.g., screws and clips) should be retained (using tethers or hinges) so they do not become misplaced.
- Size of Ventilation Holes – Some hardware and equipment closures and covers require ventilation holes. These ventilation holes should be small enough that crewmembers cannot inadvertently entrap an appendage (e.g., finger) during translation or insert an object that might touch high voltage or moving parts.
- Cleaning – Ventilation holes, grids, screens, and mesh can provide surfaces for the accumulation of particulate and fibrous debris (e.g., dead skin flakes, fabric lint, and packaging scraps), so they should be accessible for cleaning.

Special hardware items, such as metal or rubber trim strips, moldings, fairings, or cover plates, can be used to seal off inaccessible areas and meet closure requirements. An example is shown in Figure 9.10-1.

![Figure 9.10-1 Example of use of closures. (MSFC-STD-512A, 1976)](image)

9.10.3 Research Needs

RESERVED
9.11 FASTENERS

9.11.1 Introduction

Fasteners used by crewmembers include those that are used on access doors, containers, panels, equipment stowage, doors, covers, restraints, and orbital replacement units. Although the predominant fasteners in previous and current programs (such as the ISS) have been screws and bolts, fasteners can also include items such as hand-actuated and tool-actuated latches, catches, clamps, and connectors.

9.11.2 General Fasteners

The following applies to the design of fasteners:

- **Standardization** – Fasteners should be of a common type and size to minimize the number of types of tools required to operate fasteners, the crew workload required to collect the appropriate tools, and the potential for trying to use the wrong tool. Hand-actuated fasteners should also be of a common type and size to minimize the frustration of having to remember how to operate a variety of latches and fasteners.

- **Differentiation** – Different fasteners that are similar in appearance and cannot be easily distinguished should be avoided. For example, screws that differ by a small degree in length or diameter increase the probability that a size inappropriate for the intended application may be selected inadvertently.

- **Hand-Actuated Versus Tool-Actuated Fasteners** – Decisions as to which of these two types of fasteners are chosen for a particular application needs to be informed by the force required by crewmembers, the structural loading on the fastener, and frequency of use. Hand-actuated fasteners are generally preferred over tool-actuated fasteners when a hand-actuated fastener can meet the requirements for size, clearance, and structural loading for the particular application. Hand-actuated fasteners are preferred, as they will minimize the crewmembers’ workload unless a large number of fasteners are required. In this case, fasteners activated by power tools are preferred. The ISS crewmembers have indicated that although the reason for using quarter-turn fasteners was to simplify the frequent access to hardware under panels, operations became complicated with the use of many (up to a dozen) quarter-turn fasteners per panel (OpsHab 2008).

- **Multi-turn Fasteners** – Where multi-turn fasteners are required, the fastener should fasten or unfasten in less than ten turns.

- **Clearance and Access** – Clearance must be provided around fasteners for the crewmember to obtain hand access for tool clearance and operation. Access and clearance should be considered for sockets, extensions, and lever arms. Consider that it might take two hands or a power tool to manipulate, break away, or remove stuck fasteners. Recessed fasteners should be avoided. Fastener mounting holes should be large enough to allow starting fasteners without perfect alignment.

- **Bare-Hand or Gloved Actuation** – When selecting fasteners, either hand-actuated or tool-actuated, consider whether the fasteners or the tool will be manipulated by the bare hand or with a pressurized glove.
• Safety – Exposed fasteners must be selected so that they will not snag clothing or cause injury to the crewmembers. Fasteners and latches must not spring open and cause injury to the crewmember.
• Minimum Number of Fasteners – The number of fasteners used in an application should be minimized.
• Tool-Actuated Fastener Head Type – For high-torque applications, use internal (Allen) or external hex-head styles.
• Fastener Replacement – Methods for replacing stripped, worn, or damaged fasteners should be considered. Avoid fasteners that are 1) an integral part of the equipment (e.g., threaded studs) or 2) countersunk.
• Dual-Purpose Fasteners – Dual-purpose fasteners should be used (e.g., a lock handle may be designed to serve as an extra handhold) whenever possible.
• Cotter Keys and Safety Wire – The use of cotter keys and safety wire should be avoided.
• Thread Fastener Installation and Replacement – Thread fasteners should be installed with torque-limiting tools.
• Force – Fasteners that require force to keep the tool engaged should be avoided in 0g since the pushing force will tend to push an unrestrained crewmember away from the fastener.
• Engagement Feedback — Feedback should be provided for engagement or disengagement of fasteners. In a 0g environment, fasteners such as screws and bolts may not provide correct feedback when they have been fully released from their mountings. There tends to be a surface adhesion component that may prevent the familiar fastener “pop-out” experienced in 1g.
• Captive Fasteners – All fastener components intended for crew interaction should be captive. A captive fastener is one that is automatically retained in a work-piece when it is not performing its load-bearing job, and therefore does not require the crew to restrain and store them during maintenance. Where washers or other locking devices are required, these items should also be designed to be captive to the bolt or locking nut and receptacle. Without this captivity, the number of loose parts that need to be handled by the crew and could be lost is effectively doubled. In cases where panels or hardware need to support high structural loads (for example, launch), a large number of fasteners may be needed for secured mounting. If these panels or hardware will be accessed routinely for maintenance or other purposes, a subset of the fasteners should be noncaptive and removable. After high loading has been experienced, removable fasteners could be stowed, thus reducing the workload imposed upon the crew when accessing the items during the mission. Stowage of removable fasteners should be local to the panel or equipment they were removed from. The presence of stowed fasteners in their stowage location or enclosure should be easily visible. All replaceable fasteners should be amenable to loose parts control, and a means of fastener containment and/or restraint should be incorporated in the fastener removal and replacement system.

9.11.2.1 Hand-Actuated Fasteners

In addition to the general fastener guidelines, the following hand-actuated guidelines apply:
• Actuation – Hand-actuated fasteners should be designed to be actuated by one hand and by either left- or right-handed crewmembers.
• Fastener Knobs – Fastener knobs should be textured for better grip.
• Quick-Opening Fasteners – Quick-opening captive fasteners should
  o Require a maximum of one complete turn to operate (quarter-turn fasteners are preferred).
  o Require only one hand to operate.
  o Be positive locking in open and closed position.
• Locking Threaded Fasteners – Hand-actuated threaded fasteners should have a locking feature that provides an audible, tactile, or visual feedback to the crewmember. Such locking features should ensure that threaded fasteners will not unthread themselves without crew actuation. The preference from the crew interface perspective may be to use locking helicoils rather than staking (need to break adhesive) or lockwiring (potential for sharp hazard).
• Pin Fasteners (IVA)
  o Alignment – Hardware using pin fasteners should be designed to accommodate misalignment of holes caused by on-orbit distortions of primary and secondary equipment.
  o Locking devices – Locking devices used in conjunction with pin fasteners should be made accessible and easily visible.
• Over-Center Latches
  o Nonself-latching – Nonself-latching latches should include a provision to prevent undesired latch element realignment, interference, or re-engagement.
  o Latch lock – Whenever possible, latch catches should be spring loaded to lock on contact, rather than using a positive locking device. If positive locking is necessary, provide a latch loop and locking action.
    o Latch handles – If the latch has a handle, the latch release should be located on or near the handle so it can be operated with one hand.
• Wing-Head Fasteners – Wing-head IVA fasteners should fold down and be retained flush with surfaces so they will not snag personnel, clothing, or equipment.
• Cotter Keys
  o Fit – Keys and pins should fit snugly without requiring the use of a tool.
  o Large heads – Cotter keys should have large heads for easy removal by hand.
• Forces to Operate – The force necessary to operate fasteners must be within the strength capabilities of the crew. Strength depends on the crewmember’s restraint and the surface area provided for gripping by the tool or by hand. Hand-actuated fasteners are generally more easily operated as the grip area increases. The relationship between fastener head size, hand torque capabilities, and crewmember restraint is shown in Figure 9.11-1 and Figure 9.11-2. The effect of grip surface area on the torquing capability of the weakest (fifth percentile) male is shown in Figure 9.11-3. Torquing capability data for the female needs to be developed. American female upper-body strength is generally considered to be from half to two-thirds that of the American male equal percentile.
Figure 9.11-1 Hand torque capabilities when restrained by both feet, both feet and pelvis, or both feet and one hand (IVA). (MSFC-STD-512A, 1976)

Figure 9.11-2 Hand torque capabilities when restrained by one hand (IVA). (MSFC-STD-512A, 1976)
### Figure 9.11-3  Torque by knob size (values for 5th-percentile male). (MSFC-STD-512A, 1976)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Knob diameter</th>
<th>Rectangular knurl</th>
<th>Diamond knurl</th>
<th>Smooth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cm</td>
<td>in.</td>
<td>Ncm</td>
<td>lb-in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1/8</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3/8</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>5/8</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>7/8</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1-1/4</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>1-1/2</td>
<td>63.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>1-3/4</td>
<td>81.4</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>97.2</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>2-1/4</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>2-1/2</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>2-3/4</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>3-1/2</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>4-1/2</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>28.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>33.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 9.11.2.2 Tool-Actuated Fasteners

In addition to the general fastener design guidelines, the following tool-actuated fastener guidelines apply:

- **Nonstandard Tools** – Fasteners requiring nonstandard tools should be avoided.
- **High-Torque Fasteners (IVA Only)** – External hex or external double-hex fastener heads are preferred and should be provided on all machine screws, bolts, or other fasteners requiring more than 14 Nm (10 ft-lbs) of torque. Internal wrenching fasteners should be Allen-head-type fasteners.
- **Low-Torque Fasteners**
  - Hex-type internal grip head, hex-type external grip head, or combination-head (hex or straight-slot internal grip and hex-type external grip head) fasteners should be used where less than 14 Nm (10 ft-lb) of torque is required.
Internal-grip head fasteners should be provided only where a straight or convex smooth surface is required.

Straight-slot or Phillips-type internal grip fasteners should be avoided.

- **Precision Torquing** – When possible, precise torque on fasteners should not be required. Where precise torque or preload is required, fasteners that incorporate torque-indicating features or that will mate with appropriate onboard torquing tools should be used.
- **Torque Labeling** – When fastener torquing to specifications is required, an instructional label should be provided in reasonable proximity to the fasteners.
- **Number of Turns** – When machine screws or bolts are required, the number of turns and the amount of torque should be no more than necessary to provide the required strength.
- **Fastener Head Length** – Fastener heads should be as short as possible so they will not snag personnel clothing or equipment.
- **Left-Hand Threads** – Left-hand threads should not be used unless system requirements demand them; then identify both the bolts and nuts clearly by use of markings, shape, color, etc.
- **Locking** – Threaded fasteners should incorporate features that allow them to be locked so that they will not unthread without using a tool.
- **Hand-Tool Operable** – All fasteners installed with power tools should be removable with a hand-operated tool.
- **Tool-Actuated Fastener Head Types** – In addition to the general tool-actuated fastener design considerations, the following IVA-specific tool-actuated fastener selection considerations apply:
  - Fastener heads directly exposed to the crew must be free of burrs, edges, and sharp corners, or be provided with protective covers, or be flush with the surface.

### 9.11.3 Packaging

Hardware and equipment such as consumables, spare parts, and specimens for experiments may require a protection not provided by a stowage system. Any additional packaging needs to be designed to be compatible with the planned stowage system, trash system, and inventory management system.

#### 9.11.3.1 Packaging Design

The following packaging design guidelines apply:

- **Sizing** – Package size should be determined by taking into account the rate of use of the contents, the ease of handling, and the size of the processing equipment with which the package interfaces.
- **Packaging Restraint** – In 0g, a means should be provided for physically attaching or restraining a package at all locations where the package may have to be temporarily placed during use.
- **Opening and Closing** – Package design should accommodate opening and closing (if to be reused) tasks. Consider the conditions in which these tasks will be done: bare-handed or with gloves, emergency or normal operations, in lighted or dark conditions.
• Opening and Closing Hazards – Pull-tabs, lids, and other easy-opening features of packaging should be selected to avoid crew injury during normal use of the feature.

• Package Identification – Package contents should be identifiable on the outside of the package. Labeling is required and, if possible, the contents should be visible. The labels must be visible when the packages are in their stowed position. For “dispensing” packages, the content level should be discernible without opening the package. In 0g, package weight cannot be used to judge content level.

• Package Disposal or Reuse – Packaging designers should consider what happens when the contents are removed from the package. Disposable packaging should be minimized. Reusable packaging should be durable to withstand repeated opening and closing actions. Ziploc bags used onboard the ISS for small items tended to tear and the equivalent Russian-provided bags seemed to be indestructible (OpsHab, 2008).

9.11.4 Research Needs

RESERVED
9.12  SAFETY HAZARDS

9.12.1  Introduction

When designing hardware for nominal operations as well as for maintenance and repair, the safety of the crew is a critical factor. This section discusses potential hazards to the crew created by systems hardware and equipment and include mechanical, thermal, electric shock, and fire hazards.

9.12.2  Mechanical Hazards

9.12.2.1  Sharp Edges

To prevent injury and damage to protective equipment, corners and edges to which the crew could be exposed must be rounded as specified in Table 9.12-1. Sharp edges on equipment have the potential to cause injury, as well as damage to equipment such as spacesuits. Rounding of corners and edges helps to prevent injury to personnel and damage to protective equipment.

Table 9.12-1  Corners and Edges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Thickness, t</th>
<th>Minimum Corner Radius</th>
<th>Minimum Edge Radius</th>
<th>Figure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>t &gt; 25 mm (t &gt; 1 in.)</td>
<td>13 mm (0.5 in. (spherical))</td>
<td>3.0 mm (0.120 in.)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Figure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.5 mm &lt; t ≤ 25 mm (0.25 in. &lt; t ≤ 1 in.)</td>
<td>13 mm (0.5 in.)</td>
<td>3.0 mm (0.125 in.)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Figure" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 9.12-1 Material Thickness, t

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Thickness, t</th>
<th>Minimum Corner Radius</th>
<th>Minimum Edge Radius</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.0 mm &lt; t ≤ 6.5 mm</td>
<td>6.5 mm (0.26 in.)</td>
<td>1.5 mm (0.06 in.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.125 in. &lt; t ≤ 0.25 in.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5 mm &lt; t ≤ 3.0 mm</td>
<td>6.5 mm (0.26 in.)</td>
<td>Full radius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.02 in. &lt; t ≤ 0.125 in.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t &lt; 0.5 mm</td>
<td>6.5 mm (0.26 in.)</td>
<td>Rolled, curled, or covered to 3.0 mm (0.120 in.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(t &lt; 0.02 in.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Items with functional sharp edges, such as scissors, needles, and razor blades, will exceed the limits in Table 9.12-1 by necessity, but methods of protecting the crew such as covers and proper stowage containers must be provided. The method to be used to provide protection should be determined on a case-by-case basis.

Corners and edges of systems, which are accessed infrequently such as during maintenance, may exceed the limits in Table 9.12-1, but must be rounded to at least 0.01 in.

#### 9.12.2.2 Loose Equipment

Corners and edges of loose equipment must be rounded to radii no less than those given in Table 9.12-2. Equipment that can become loose may become a projectile in flight. The more massive an object, the more damage can be done with a given corner/edge radius. Therefore, the greater the mass, the larger the radius of corners and edges.

### Table 9.12-2 Loose Equipment Corners and Edges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equipment Mass</th>
<th>Minimum Corner Radius mm (in.)</th>
<th>Minimum Edge Radius mm (in.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At Least kg (lb)</td>
<td>Less Than kg (lb)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0.25 (0.6)</td>
<td>0.3 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25 (0.6)</td>
<td>0.5 (1.1)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.03)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9.12.2.3   **Entrapment**

To ensure that systems and equipment do not entrap suited or unsuited fingers, round or slotted holes that are uncovered must be less than 1.02 cm (0.4 in.) or greater than 3.56 cm (1.4 in.) in diameter for systems and equipment located inside habitable volumes.

9.12.2.4   **Burrs**

Exposed surfaces must be free of burrs. Burrs should be removed from as-built surfaces during the manufacturing phase. Manufacturing processes can leave burrs on exposed surfaces, which cannot be prevented in design. Possible injuries from burrs include cuts, scrapes, and fabric tears that could create problems critical to a mission.

9.12.2.5   **Pinch Points**

Pinch points must be covered or otherwise prevented from causing injury to the crew. Pinch points could be present with latches, hinges, and other mechanisms.

9.12.3   **Thermal Hazards**

Exposure to either extreme heat or extreme cold, from whole-body exposure or contact with hot or cold surfaces, can be dangerous to crewmembers. Exposure to extreme environmental temperatures can affect body core temperature, resulting in hypothermia and hyperthermia, and even death. Environmental thermal exposure is discussed in section 6.2 Internal Atmosphere. However, hot or cold surfaces can be safety hazards because of the risk of incidental touch exposure to them; either type of surface may cause damage to the skin. Since the sensation of the temperature of an object depends on the type of material that is touched, and in some cases on perception of injury by the human, a specific temperature range for acceptable touch temperature cannot be given for all materials. The thermal properties of the given material and duration of exposure should be considered.

9.12.3.1   **High Temperature**

Any surface to which the bare skin of the crew is exposed must not cause epidermis/dermis interface temperature to exceed the pain threshold limit of 44°C. Research by Greene et al., (1958) on human tolerance to heat pain showed that the pain threshold is reached at 43.7°C skin temperature. Lloyd-Smith and Mendelssohn (1948) found the pain threshold to be 44.6°C. Defrin et al. (2006) investigated heat pain threshold across the body and found the lowest level in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equipment Mass</th>
<th>Minimum Edge Radius mm (in.)</th>
<th>Minimum Corner Radius mm (in.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At Least kg (lb)</td>
<td>Less Than kg (lb)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5 (1.1)</td>
<td>3.0 (6.6)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0 (6.6)</td>
<td>15.0 (33.1)</td>
<td>3.5 (0.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.0 (33.1)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>3.5 (0.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13.0 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the chest (42°C), the highest in the foot (44.5°C) and the hand was 43.8°C. Moritz & Henriques (1947) found that:
1) 44°C is the lowest temperature at which significant epidermal damage occurs, after exposure is sustained for 6 hours.
2) As the contact temperature increases above 44°C, the time to damage is shortened by approximately 50% for each 1°C rise in temperature, up to about 51°C.
3) Increasing contact pressure was not sufficient to increase the risk of thermal injury.
4) At contact skin temperatures above 70°C, it takes less than 1 second to produce complete epidermal cell death.

It is important to stress that these temperatures refer to the surface temperature of the skin, and not objects in contact with the skin. Depending on their material properties, objects with higher surface temperatures may not provide sufficient heat transfer to raise the skin to the same temperature.

Studies by Stoll et al. (1979) on human tolerance to pain from contact of bare skin with materials at various high temperatures, for contact times ranging from 1 to 5 seconds, have shown that pain threshold can be fit to a curve described in the equation below:

\[ T_o = YI \left[ (k\rho c)_o^{-1/2} + 31.5 \right] + 41 \]

where

- \( T_o \) = object temperature (°C)
- \( YI = \text{antilog} \left[ YII \left( a1 \right) + \log YIII \right] \)
  \[ YII = 1.094 (t) - 0.184 \]
  \[ YIII = 0.490 (t) - 0.412 \]
  \( (k\rho c)_o = \text{thermal inertia of contact material (cal}^2/\text{cm}^4 \cdot \text{°C}^2 \cdot \text{s}) \)
- \( k = \text{coefficient of heat transfer,} \ \rho = \text{density, and} \ c = \text{specific heat} \)
- \( a1 = \text{epidermal thickness, in mm (average 0.25 mm)} \)
- \( t = \text{time of exposure, in seconds (time of exposure is limited to 1 to 5 seconds)} \)

This equation should be used only for contact times from 1 to 5 seconds since data for pain thresholds for contact times less than 1 second and greater than 5 seconds are not available. The effective thermal inertia of materials, such as Velcro, that are not solid throughout need to be calculated conservatively using the material thermal inertia divided by an estimate of the fraction of material versus air contacting the skin. For example, the product \( k\rho c \) for Nylon 66 is 0.000266 cal²/cm⁴°C²·s, but the fraction of hook material is 1.09%. Therefore, the equivalent value of \( k\rho c \) for hook Velcro is \( 2.9 \times 10^{-6} \text{cal}^2/\text{cm}^4 \cdot \text{°C}^2 \cdot \text{s}. \)

For skin contact < 1 second, use the equation above using 1 second for the exposure time. This is necessary to stay within the bounds of the data, and also allows for a margin of safety.

Beyond 5 seconds of contact, a different method is required. Hattan and Halfdanarson (1982) found that a semi-infinite model correlated well with Stoll’s data using a contact conductance of 1,000 W/m²K. Solving the one-dimensional semi-infinite model with contact conductance for object temperature, assuming initial skin temperature of 32.5°C, epidermis thickness of 0.25 mm, skin inverse square root of thermal inertia of 27.96 cal²/cm⁴°Csec), skin thermal conductivity of
0.54 W/m·K, and maximum dermis/epidermis temperature of 44°C, yields the equation and constants given below for contact duration greater than 5 seconds. This method is only valid for thick, single materials. Problems involving layers of materials (layups) may require the use of thermal models.

For skin contact > 5 seconds, the following equation should be used:

\[ T_{\text{object}} = \frac{a}{(k \rho c)_{\text{object}}} + b \]

where:

- \( T_{\text{object}} \) = object temperature
- \((k \rho c)_{\text{object}}\) = object thermal inertia (cal²/cm⁴°C² sec)
- \( a, b \) = equation constants, found in the table below

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>time (s)</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.475</td>
<td>50.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.459</td>
<td>46.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.446</td>
<td>45.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \infty )</td>
<td>0.422</td>
<td>44.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9.12-3 provides inverse thermal inertia constants for materials that may be common in a vehicle, and Figure 9.12-1 shows the resulting allowable touch temperature for different times, using the previous equations.

**Table 9.12-3 Inverse Thermal Inertia Constants for Commonly used Materials**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>( (k \rho c)^{-1/2} ) (cm²·°C·s^{1/2}/cal)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum (6061T-6)</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>316 Stainless Steel</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>28.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teflon</td>
<td>57.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nylon Hook Velcro</td>
<td>586 (effective)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For contact times between those given in the table, a linear interpolation for time should be performed (\( a \) and \( b \) should not be interpolated). For example, for a duration of 20 seconds, calculate \( T_{\text{object}} \) for 10 and 30 seconds, equate the slope between 10 and 30 to the slope between 20 and 30 (or 10), and solve for the unknown variable, \( T_{\text{object}} \).
To calculate the allowable contact time for a given material at a particular temperature, a table of allowable contact temperatures can be built from 1 second to infinity and interpolated. Note that at a value of $\sqrt[2]{(k\rho c)} = 50 \text{ cm}^2\text{C s}^{1/2}/\text{cal}$, the curves for 5 seconds and 10 seconds intersect.

Above this point, the curve for 30 seconds must be used to interpolate all times between 5 and 30 seconds. For $\sqrt[2]{(k\rho c)} < 50 \text{ cm}^2\text{C s}^{1/2}/\text{cal}$, the curve for 10 seconds may be used for interpolation.

The following is a graph for $\sqrt[2]{(k\rho c)}$ between 0 and 100:

![Graph](image)

Thermal models can allow more exact calculation of allowable touch temperatures for thermally thin single material objects. The resulting allowable temperatures will be higher than those calculated using the above method.

If a thermal model is used for verification, the method of Hattan and Halfdanarson (1982) is used. That is, it must meet the following conditions:

- 1-dimensional semi-infinite skin model using
  - thermal conductivity of 0.54 W/mK
  - thermal diffusivity of $1.3\times10^{-7} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ (combined with the thermal conductivity, this yields an inverse square root of thermal inertia of 27.96 cal$^2$/cm$^4$°C sec
  - density of 1200 kg/m$^3$ if required (modeling software may require the density and heat capacity of the skin as inputs – if this is the case, use this density and the value of thermal diffusivity to calculate the specific heat), the exact value of density (and specific heat) do not affect the result
  - Epidermal thickness of 0.25 mm
- Contact conductance of 1000 W/m$^2$K
- 1-dimensional finite model of the object using appropriate material properties and thicknesses

Figure 9.12-1 Allowable touch temperature.
9.12.3.2 Low Temperatures

Research has shown that as finger skin temperature drops, due to contact (< 2 minutes) with a cold object, the following occur (Geng et al., 2006):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Finger Skin Temperature</th>
<th>Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15°C</td>
<td>Pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7°C</td>
<td>Numbness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0°C</td>
<td>Risk of Frostbite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contact force did not significantly contribute to the ability to predict the temperature at which each effect occurred.

Pain threshold, versus damage threshold, should be used to a) preclude skin damage, and b) prevent a startle pain reaction (i.e. pulling a hand away quickly), which may cause injury to due flail. In addition, staying above the numbness threshold is important, since numbness may mask skin damage.

Very little other data exists in the literature for cold touch temperature. Geng et al., (2006) developed some data which was used to create ISO 13732-3: Ergonomics of the thermal environment – Methods for the assessment of human responses to contact with surfaces, Part 3: Cold Surfaces. However, there are only curves of time and allowable temperature for specific materials: aluminum, stainless steel, Nylon, stone and wood. Instead, this data was used to develop a generalized cold touch temperature curve (and resulting equation and constants) for any material, given indefinite contact time is allowed for Nylon at 0°C (Geng et al., 2006) and for a material with infinite thermal conduction at 15°C. This method is only valid for thick, single materials. Problems involving layers of materials (layups) may require the use of thermal models.

Verification of cold temperature limits for thick single materials should use the following equation to calculate the minimum object temperature that would limit skin temperature from going below 15°C. The object’s material is taken into account by using its thermal inertia in the equation.

\[
T_{\text{object}} = \frac{a}{(k\rho c_p)_{\text{object}}} + b
\]

where:
- \(T_{\text{object}}\) = object temperature
- \((k\rho c_p)_{\text{object}}\) = object thermal inertia (cal²/cm⁴°C² sec)
- \(a, b\) = equation constants, found in the table below
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact time</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.580</td>
<td>-5.536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.580</td>
<td>2.735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.580</td>
<td>6.755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.580</td>
<td>9.459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-0.580</td>
<td>11.460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-0.543</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-0.397</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>-0.318</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∞</td>
<td>-0.278</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For contact times between those given in the table, a linear interpolation for time should be performed (a and b should not be interpolated). For example, for a duration of 20 seconds, calculate $T_{\text{object}}$ for 10 and 30 seconds, equate the slope between 10 and 30 to the slope between 20 and 30 (or 10), and solve for the unknown variable, $T_{\text{object}}$.

To calculate the allowable contact time for a given material at a particular temperature, a table of allowable contact temperatures can be built from 1 second to infinity and interpolated.

The following is a graph for $\frac{1}{\sqrt{(kpc)_{\text{object}}}}$ between 0 and 100:
9.12.4  Electrical Hazards

Injurious physiological effects of the passage of electric current through the human body include stoppage of breathing, ventricular fibrillation of the heart, electric burns, paralysis, and even death.

9.12.4.1  Electrical Hazard Potential

The crew must be protected from electrical hazards per Tables 9.12-4 and 9.12-5.

**Table 9.12-4 Electrical Hazard Potential**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voltage/Current</th>
<th>Hazard Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Worst case credible failure results in exposure below threshold for shock:</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Non-patient with internal voltages below 30 volts rms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Current below maximum leakage current as defined in HIDH sections 9.12.4.2 and 9.12.4.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Worst case credible failure results in exposure exceeding threshold for shock and is below let-go current (Table 9.12-5)</td>
<td>Critical (two controls required)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Worst case credible failure results in exposure exceeding let-go current (Table 9.12-5)</td>
<td>Catastrophic (three controls required)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The let-go current threshold is the current above which a person will be unable to release his or her grip on the electrically energized surface because of involuntary muscle contractions. The let-go current threshold is dependent on the frequency and wave shape of the current.

**Table 9.12-5 Let-Go Current**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>Threshold of Let-Go (mA) (AC + DC components combined)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DC</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4000</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6000</td>
<td>17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7000</td>
<td>19.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 9.12.4.2 Chassis Leakage Current

Nonpatient chassis-leakage currents for both grounded, and double-insulated, equipment must not exceed the levels in Table 9.12-6. Equipment that is not used for patient care must not produce current great enough to deliver an electric shock to crewmembers who may come in contact with it.

**Table 9.12-6 Maximum Nonpatient Chassis-Leakage Current**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>Threshold of Let-Go (mA) (AC + DC components combined)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8000</td>
<td>20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9000</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10000</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50000</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A physiological effect of current through the skin is that the hands will not remain dry; skin will perspire at the point of contact with an electrically energized surface. In addition, small cuts or punctures that may be difficult to visually locate can greatly reduce the resistance of the skin by acting as short circuits through the skin. Therefore, conservative analysis needs to assume that the body contact areas are wet (i.e., skin resistance is negligible).

### 9.12.4.3 Patient Connection Leakage Current

Maximum patient connection current and chassis-leakage currents must not exceed the values in Table 9-12-7.
Table 9.12-7 Maximum Patient Connection Leakage Current

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Isolated (1)</th>
<th>Ordinary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient</td>
<td>dc</td>
<td>ac ma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interface</td>
<td>ma</td>
<td>rms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invasive</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noninvasive</td>
<td>0.070 (1)</td>
<td>0.050 (1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Enclosure or Chassis Leakage Current

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Grounded</th>
<th>Double Insulated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient</td>
<td>dc</td>
<td>ac ma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interface</td>
<td>ma</td>
<td>rms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noninvasive</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>0.100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:
(1) If equipment labeling indicates “isolated,” the maximum current is 0.014 ma dc/0.010 ma rms.

Equipment that is used for patient care, such as an electrocardiogram, may produce current by function, but the chassis-leakage current must not be great enough to deliver an electric shock to crewmembers who come in contact with it.

9.12.4.4 Electrical Current Protective Measures

Typical methods of implementing hazard controls include the use of:

- Safety (green) wire
- Bonding
- Insulation around electrically energized surfaces and around conductive surfaces likely to become electrically energized on experiencing a fault within the equipment
- Barriers to electrically energized surfaces and conductive surfaces likely to become electrically energized on experiencing a fault within the equipment
- Task procedure steps that remove or inhibit the source of the electrical current

Note that terrestrially, three controls are frequently used. For example, hair blowers are typically fabricated with double-insulated enclosures and derive power through fixed ground fault interrupters; many power tools are double-insulated and frequently derive power through fixed or portable ground fault interrupters; and many power tools are double-insulated, have a safety (green) wire, and frequently derive power through fixed or portable ground fault interrupters (four controls). Electrical connectors should have design features that completely enclose or shroud the pins and sockets during making and breaking of electrical contact, to reduce hazard from shock or molten metal. Indirect electrical hazards include fire, molten metal, and blinding flashes as a result of short-circuit arcing. Design must prevent generation of these indirect hazards or protection of the crew in case of their occurrence.
9.13 DESIGN FOR TRAINING

9.13.1 Introduction

This section discusses the integration of training considerations in hardware and system design. Designing a system for optimal trainability reduces the required training time and the likelihood that operator errors will result in reduced life-cycle costs and greater risk.

9.13.2 Design with Training in Mind

When designing with training in mind, consider the following:

- The time needed for crewmembers to acquire a skill should be minimized, to accommodate the demanding and limited time available for training.
- The retention of skills should be maximized to last from the initial training to a future use.
- The capability of transferring skills to new situations should be maximized. Training and simulation on Earth will be conducted in 1g, neutrally buoyant, and other environments (including parabolic flight and virtual reality). The design for training and simulations should be such that the transition from Earth to 0g or partial-g environments does not significantly negate the benefits of this training.

These can be achieved if training considerations are integrated early into system design. Traditionally, training programs are not developed until the design of a system has been completed, and that the training needs to adapt to it. It has also been assumed that because people are very adaptive, they can adapt to the system. As a result, there has been little incentive to design systems with training and operational impacts in mind. The ISS crewmembers have emphasized the importance of skills based as opposed to task-based training.

Integrating training considerations into system design requires the following set of assumptions:

- Human-centered design can minimize the need of the human to adapt, significantly reduce the likelihood of error, and lead to considerable savings in training and procedure complexity.
- System designers are not necessarily a representative sample of the user population.
- Human factors experts add significant value to design teams through better human-centered design and early consideration of training and use.
- The cost of errors and of compensating for design “savings” through complex procedures, extended training, and longer time on task over the full life cycle of a system is much greater than the cost of integrating trainability into the initial design.
- Training experts should participate in the design process from its very beginning. (The idea of including trainers early in the design cycle of a new product to enhance learning is similar to including quality assurance experts early in the design cycle for testability.)

Once the above set of assumptions is incorporated into a program or a project, the following considerations can be used to integrate training into the design phase:

- **Analysis** – A careful and comprehensive analysis of the intended task and user, including the user’s existing knowledge, should be completed. Designs need to match the intended
task, and should take advantage of the intended user’s existing knowledge and knowledge structures. It is particularly useful for a new design to incorporate lessons learned from the design and use of similar systems, or of systems developed for similar uses. Thus, the analysis should include the search for such lessons that could be incorporated.

- **Intuitive Design** – Claimed “intuitiveness” should never be accepted as a rationale for a design choice. The notion of “intuitive” is often different for different people, especially across different cultures. Significant cultural differences can exist across generations, across professions, and across regions within a given country.

- **Ease of Learning versus Ease of Use** – The potential tradeoffs between ease of learning and ease of use should be considered. A system that is easy to use is not necessarily easy to learn. Ease of learning can be thought of in terms of minimizing the time required to master a skill, and ease of use as minimizing the likelihood of failure to accomplish a task.

  The computer interface provides many examples illustrating the differences between design for ease of learning and the design for ease of use. Different functions in a program, for instance, could be listed alphabetically, which would be very easy to learn. However, using such a list would be cumbersome if the user needs to scroll through the entire list each time it is used, regardless of the needed function. To make usability easier, functions are often grouped thematically. While it may take longer to learn a thematic or hierarchical arrangement than an alphabetical listing, the initial training time investment likely pays off over the period of time using the program.

- **User Knowledge** – The system should be designed to capitalize on the intended users’ knowledge and their common knowledge structures. However, just because intended users have previous experience with similar systems do not assume that that they do not need training. It is possible that similar systems do not incorporate optimal designs and the new design provides significant improvements. Even if users have learned to compensate for poorly designed systems, that is not a justification for repeating that design in future systems.

- **Knowledge Transfer** – Systems should be designed to facilitate transfer of knowledge across tasks by capitalizing on common skills needed in different tasks. The fewer separate skills needed, the better, for both training and use.

- **Similarity versus Differentiation** – The potential tradeoffs between similarity and differentiation should be carefully considered. The more similar different tasks are, the easier they are to learn. This is especially true once a first task is mastered. All subsequent tasks that are similar to this first task are much easier to learn, compared to dissimilar tasks. This principle is particularly important for long-duration space missions, when the retention interval between initial learning and subsequent use of the learned skill may be very long. However, tasks that are very similar procedurally but are very different functionally could lead to confusion. Sometimes, tasks with critical consequences are intentionally made inconsistent. This may be done to focus the attention of the user, not to confuse. Unintended consequences should be considered, and an optimal tradeoff needs to be sought.

- **Consistency and Standardization** – Consistency and standardization should be maximized across systems and subsystems. In the context of spacecraft systems, desired similarity could take the form of consistent interface design, consistent terminology and
nomenclature, and consistent forms of interaction. One example is the use of the computer mouse to access all functions in all computer applications in the same way. In addition to supporting initial knowledge acquisition and transfer to other tasks, standardization and consistency across all systems and subsystems also greatly enhance retrieval. Retrieval refers to a person’s ability to retrieve and use in the appropriate context that which has been learned and retained. Consistency enhances retrieval because the ability to retrieve a memory is a function of repetition: the more often a procedure is performed, the easier it is to remember and retrieve it. Consistency across interfaces means that the same procedure is used multiple times, and thus is more easily remembered and retrieved. (See section 10.2.3, Standardization, and section 10.2.1, Simplicity, for further discussion of relevant issues.)

- **Automation** – The potential tradeoffs between the savings and costs of automation should be considered.
- **Adaptive Elements and Embedded Training** – The development of adaptive elements and embedded training in the system should be considered (especially important for long-duration missions). (See 9.13.2.2, Adaptive Systems and Embedded Training.)
- **Capturing Use Case Scenarios** – Many design methodologies prescribe the creation of use case scenarios during the specification and design phases of a project. These scenarios should be used as models and scenarios in the training and performance support systems. (See 9.13.2.3, Performance Support Systems.)

### 9.13.2.1 User Knowledge and Knowledge Transfer

A discussion of learning often includes the importance of transfer of knowledge, usually in the context of using in one domain what was learned in a different domain. For space operations, there is the additional critical importance of transferring what was learned on the ground to the actual operations in space, especially with the novel environment of 0g.

People learn best when new information can be mapped onto their existing knowledge. Additionally, people organize their knowledge around central concepts, or metaphors. For example, organizing computer functions along themes relevant to the user’s purpose is very helpful. In a word processing program, all editing functions are listed under the “Edit” menu, and all formatting functions are listed under the “Format” menu. However, such a design requires careful task analysis as well as an analysis of intended users’ previous knowledge.

The Macintosh “desktop” metaphor is an example of a design that capitalizes on the way people organize their knowledge structures. Early personal computers required very abstract thinking and an abstract form of communication between the user and the interface. The graphical user interface of the Macintosh with its icons of folders, documents, and a trash can allowed the users to map the metaphorical computer “desktop” onto their physical work desk, and thus easily learn how to manipulate the icons. Moreover, communicating with the interface through the point, click, and drag functions of the computer mouse eliminated the need for the abstract language of key combinations used on PCs of the time. All of these features made the Macintosh easy to learn, and were the result of a careful integration of user learning needs early in the design process.
9.13.2.2 Adaptive Systems and Embedded Training

Given the necessary tradeoffs between design for ease of use and design for ease of learning, an optimal system is an adaptive one that can recognize a user’s level of knowledge and change accordingly. Current technology is not able to do that for hardware, but software-driven interfaces could be made adaptive. New ideas of reconfigurable hardware (e.g., the Optimus Maximus Keyboard of Art Lebedev Studio) are getting us closer to adaptive systems, but there is still a long way to go.

Embedded training refers to an operational system that can also be used for training, without interfering with current operations. For example, an airplane can be designed so it can be used as a simulator while it is being flown.

Embedded training can be very useful on long-duration space missions. Such an opportunity can be vital when the retention interval between training and performance is too long to maintain necessary proficiency, such as on a mission to Mars.

The possibility of embedded training needs to be evaluated and determined early in the design process. However, embedded training needs to be designed with extreme care and with multiple layers of defense against an inadvertent breach, activating the actual system instead of the training mode. An embedded training system can take advantage of existing hardware and software and override it for training. If the system is designed for redundancy, the embedded training system can take advantage of the redundant circuits and subsystems to perform training. While these methods may incur extra cost, it needs to be weighed against the cost of doing training in other ways, and the potential long-term effects of errors.

9.13.2.3 Performance Support Systems

Another form of a “training system” worth considering during the design phase is a “look over your shoulder” performance support system. This type of system contains a model of the tasks and procedures within the relevant domain; this model needs to be captured and created as part of the system specification and design phases. As the user is performing the tasks and activities, the performance support system monitors performance and compares it with the model. Such a system may be viewed as a type of embedded training, but it does not actually perform training. It is also not a simulation, but rather monitoring in real time and correcting, or at least restricting, what the operator is doing and what is available or allowable in light of the model.

9.13.2.4 Optimal Training

Defining a metric to measure optimal training is extremely difficult. It is easy to say that optimal training is that which yields the perfect skill for the maximum long-term retention in the minimum time and for the minimum cost. However, these four key parameters create a very complex set of tradeoffs.

- Level of performance
- Length of retention
- Training time
- Cost
It is common for a program to set a fixed training budget and schedule a priori in such a way that level of performance and length of retention are compromised. It is important to consider ways in which refresher training, just-in-time training, and in-flight practice could compensate for any initial compromises in performance level and length of retention. Particularly if the design is standardized and is consistent across tasks and subsystems, operating such subsystems can function as refresher training or practice for other subsystems.

9.13.3 Research Needs

RESERVED
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10 CREW INTERFACES

10.1 INTRODUCTION

The user interface of a system is any part of that system through which information is exchanged between the user and the system. Well-designed user interfaces are critical for crew safety and productivity, and they minimize training requirements. This chapter includes discussion of categories of user interfaces including visual displays, audio displays, controls, and labels. Visual displays deliver information by using visible media to present text, graphics, colors, images, video, and symbols. Audio displays deliver information using sound, and include communication and audio alarms. Controls allow the user to provide input to a system in the form of information or a change in physical state. Labels form a distinct class of user interfaces, usually providing a static identification of a device or device component, or brief static message.

10.2 GENERAL

10.2.1 Simplicity

- User interfaces should be simple to use. A user interface is considered usable if it is simple, easy to learn, efficient, error-free, and pleasant to use. Simplicity is important in preventing errors in execution. Crewmembers are trained on display use before a mission, but often under conditions of high arousal (i.e., an emergency); access to the display and its operations needs to be attained with minimal cognitive effort. Consequently, simplicity must be designed into the display to ensure efficiency in training and effectiveness in operation. The simplicity of several important components to the user interface should be considered. The display should not contain superfluous information.
- Access to information should follow a logical flow pattern.
- Related items (with similar purpose or function) must be grouped together. They should be grouped either in a logical sequence in time or in a similar location in space.
- The wordage used should consist of simple, high-frequency (highly used) words, or if domain-specific wordage is needed, it should be common to that domain, so that it can be understood by a person with minimal training. The wordage for each item or process should be self-explanatory and direct the viewer to the function or usage of the item.
- The graphics should be simple, so that the meaning is easily extracted from the item.

10.2.2 Usability

Usability refers to how easy a system, product, or technique is to use. Making a display usable involves presenting information in such a manner that it can be interpreted rapidly and correctly. Consider the following important components of user interfaces for usability:

- User – Any system developer should keep in mind the range of both size and skills of the user population and plan accordingly. For example, a certain level of familiarity with abbreviations and word meanings can be assumed in the U.S. space flight population, but cannot be assumed in dealing with crews from other cultures.
• Users’ Expectations or Preferences – The users’ expectations as to how the system will perform, and familiarity with similar systems, should be considered in system development. For example, users may expect an icon to function like similar icons they have encountered in the past. Designers need to keep the user’s previous experience in mind when designing the display interface.

• User Control and Automation – The user should be in control of the system in accordance with the user’s allocated function and responsibilities, so that the user is playing an active and not a passive role in the outcomes of the system operations. For example, issuing feedback and alerting users when they are to make a change to the system are features of the software that keep the user in control.

• Environmental Conditions – The environmental conditions in which the system will be operated should be considered in the design of the system. For example, in space flight we must consider that a high level of background noise exists and incorporate this fact into our design of auditory feedback.

10.2.3 Standardization

User interfaces must be standardized throughout a system. That includes standardization of the user interfaces (visual, auditory, and tactile) of displays and controls, and methods of operation across the system. Standardization of user interfaces throughout the system is important for consistency and ease of learning.

10.2.3.1 Items to Standardize

Consider the following aspects of crew interface for standardization:

• Controls – Shape, texture, direction of operation, type and function, and location relative to user should be standardized across the system.
• Displays – Type, color, content, format and mechanization of symbology, and location relative to user should be standardized across the system.
• Labels – Color, language, reading level, font, level of detail, terminology, shape, and location relative to the item labeled must be standardized across the system.
• Arrangement Schemes – Equipment arrangement, the relative position of controls and displays, and synergistic information within displays should be standardized across the system.
• Computer Operations – Function names, terminology, key combinations, and commands must be standardized across the system. Placement of items on a screen should be standardized across the system.

Standardization throughout the system allows the user to command different processes with the same knowledge. It would be inefficient for the system to require different skills and knowledge levels to perform the same processes at different workstations. Standardization helps to keep error rates down. Task switching studies have shown that when people switch from performing one task to another, response times and error rates increase (Monsell, 2003). Switching between non-standardized systems may result in similar performance decrements. Standardization can also reduce overall training requirements and costs as well as diminish the incidence of negative transfer of training.
10.2.4 Cues

User interfaces must reduce the demand on user memory through the use of prompts, labels, menus, and other salient cues. Indicators, prompts, and cues assist the operator in gaining awareness of system status or determining appropriate action by minimizing cognitive effort. Cues may be visual, auditory, or tactile. Research has shown that recognizing an item using a cue is usually faster and more accurate than recalling the same item from memory without an aid (Anderson & Bower, 1973).

- Visual cues – Visual cues should be used to indicate important or complex information in a simple manner. Such indication may include using symbols, labels, prompts, and/or color.

- Auditory cues – An auditory cue is any type of auditory signal that has a predefined meaning for users. Auditory cues may be used to remind the user to perform a task, convey alerting messages, and/or provide redundant information when used in conjunction with visual cues. Auditory cues are very useful for reminding the user to perform an action. For example, an alarm may sound, indicating that it is time for a meeting. At times, providing a cue other than visual can prevent overload of visual cues, which can elicit attention more effectively. Auditory alarms also have the advantage of not requiring the user to be in a specific location to receive the message, which is not normally true of a visual cue. Presenting information in both visual and auditory modalities increases the likelihood that the user will receive the message and respond to it in a timely manner. Using both visual and auditory cues is especially useful in space because the high levels of background noise may impede the user’s ability to hear an alert.

- Tactile cues – Tactile cues should be used to provide feedback to the user, and/or provide redundant information in conjunction with visual or auditory cues. Tactile information is the least salient of the cue types, and recognition of a tactile cue can be weakened by distractions. Tactile cues include vibration, mouse clicks, texture, shape, and size. For example, vibration can be an effective way of communicating a message, such as when a cell phone vibrates to indicate an incoming call. However, in the space flight environment, where vibration may occur from system functions, the appropriate time and frequency to deliver a message in this form should be considered. Texture can be used in a way similar to Braille. In a common example, there are raised bars on the “f” and “j” keys of the keyboard to indicate which keys the fingers are on in the absence of sight. This type of tactile cue informs the user of the state of their hands. The shape and size of objects also allow easy recognition, even in the absence of visual cues.

10.2.5 Distinction

Actions, feedback, and system status indications with different purposes or functions must be distinct to avoid confusion and to help identification. To maximize differentiation of similar items, altering two or more dimensions is beneficial (for example, both color and shape).
10.2.5.1 Visual and Auditory Distinction

Visual properties are most often the best way to distinguish among items. Items can differ in any of the visual dimensions: they can be different colors, in different spatial locations, or different shapes. Therefore, if users need to discriminate between two items, the items need to differ by at least one of these visual dimensions. For example, if two items have similar names but initiate very different actions, visual information should be used to differentiate these items to prevent unintended actions.

Shape differentiation is a less noticeable distinction than color or location, especially in a high-vibration environment that degrades visual precision. The shape of an object can vary from simple (square or circle) to complex (icon of trash can, icon of binoculars). Given the low saliency of shape distinction, it should be used in conjunction with another differentiating visual property. Correlating the shape of a control with its function can assist in distinguishing the control from another. For example, the landing gear switch in an aircraft is usually shaped to resemble a wheel or portion of the undercarriage, and a similar approach is often used for the flap switch.

Auditory properties can also distinguish between items. Auditory distinction is often used to differentiate the criticality of an auditory warning. Auditory information can vary in loudness, pitch, frequency, rate, and other dimensions. Distinguishing items on two or more of these dimensions produces a greater difference between the items than distinguishing them on only one dimension.

Deciding whether to distinguish items on the basis of one or more dimensions depends on the desired level of distinguishability of items. If two items have a low probability of being confused, then differing in one dimension may be sufficient. However, if items have a high probability of being confused, then they should differ in two or more dimensions.

Two items may also be distinguished by varying both the visual and auditory dimensions. For example, one command may be initiated by turning a square knob that produces a high-frequency beep, while another command may be initiated by turning a key-like shape that produces a series of mid-frequency beeps. Varying two dimensions will result in greater distinction than when varying only one. This is because the user is provided with two different sources of feedback for the action. However, two sources of feedback may not be feasible in certain circumstances (e.g., high-noise environments, smoky environments); thus, the environment must be considered when attempting to differentiate items.

10.2.5.2 Operational Distinction

Instituting an operational distinction is an effective way of differentiating two commands. Operational distinction involves requiring the user to perform different manual actions or procedures to initiate a command.

Physical controls can be differentiated by the type of control and the direction used to actuate them. A very simple example of behavioral distinction with physical controls is the light switch: up for on and down for off. With respect to the expected operating orientation, the most common direction for an action (e.g., up/down, left/ right) must be used. Physical controls can also be differentiated by the intensity of the movement required to actuate them. For example, simple
commands can be initiated by a simple button press, whereas commands whose actions will have a more important consequence may require more force to actuate.

One of the most efficient ways to differentiate commands is to require a multifunction process to initiate each command. A multifunction process requires the user to complete a specific sequence of events to initiate a command. For example, on a Microsoft® Windows computer the key sequence “Ctrl Alt Delete” brings up the Windows security menu, allowing the user to then access the Task Manager or to log off, whichever is the resulting intended action. One of the most beneficial aspects of the multifunction process is that it prevents accidental actuation of that action. An example of a multifunction process would be lifting a guard cover before gaining access to a specific switch.

10.2.5.3 **Syntax Distinction**

Syntax to initiate different commands must be distinct in appearance, content, and overall structure. For example, the lowercase letter “l” and the number “1” look very similar and can easily be confused with each other. Commands should not contain syntax that is contingent on differentiating these similar items.

10.2.5.4 **Spatial Distinction and Grouping**

Distinct actions can be differentiated by their spatial location, and physical controls can be physically grouped by outcome results. For example, physical controls that actuate environmental systems can be grouped together and separately from controls that actuate propulsion systems.

Display controls can be grouped by location on the interface or the virtual path used to access that control. Grouping by display location is similar to the physical control grouping. Controls to delete must not be located next to controls to save or send. Grouping by virtual path involves differentiating items on the basis of names of steps or categories selected previously. For example, initiating Microsoft Word® on a computer involves navigating to the start menu, selecting the program menu, selecting Microsoft Office®, and then selecting Microsoft Word. Selecting this program is differentiated from selecting the volume control by the general category (program vs. settings) and sub-level category (Microsoft Office vs. control panel) steps involved in accessing them. Requiring users to navigate these paths results in less confusion between the two programs than would occur if the two respective icons were adjacent to each other on the desktop.

10.2.6 **Feedback**

The system must provide feedback on user actions and system changes. The feedback is important to ensure that the system is responding to the user’s actions in a way intended by the user. The feedback should be clear, easy to understand, and specific to the action. If further actions are required from the user, the feedback should be specific, and timed to allow sufficient consideration and execution of these further actions.
10.2.6.1 Types of Feedback

The feedback provided to the user can be visual, auditory, or tactile. The level of feedback should be appropriate for the message it is trying to convey. A simple change of background color on a display may indicate that the user has moved into a specific submenu, but a flashing, pop-up message may indicate a more important change of system status, such as a low fuel level. Auditory feedback can be effective in communicating important messages, because they can easily grab a user’s attention even when the user is away from the system. For example, changes in pitch, volume, or rate can indicate changes in the severity of a situation. Auditory alerts function well in conjunction with visual feedback conveying the same message. Presenting the information in both the visual and auditory modalities increases the likelihood that the message will be received and responded to in a timely manner by the user. This is especially important in space because the high levels of background noise may impede users’ ability to hear an alert.

Tactile feedback can be used to signal the status of events to the user. This may be especially helpful when the other modalities are restricted, such as during ascent or descent. For example, if a button stays depressed, this state may indicate that the function is proceeding, and when it releases the function has stopped. Vibration may also be used to convey a message to the user, just as cell phones vibrate when a call comes in. Vibration can also alert the user to an approaching performance limit, such as occurs with a stick- or pedal-shaker in aircraft flight controls.

10.2.6.2 Timing of Feedback

The feedback must be delivered to the user in a timely manner. Table 10.2-1 lists recommended maximum acceptable system response times for feedback, taken from MIL-STD-1472F (1999). Feedback must be delivered directly after the event to ensure that the user correctly associates the response with the input, and in time to allow the user to perform any needed adjustments and follow-on tasks. The word “event” here can take on different meanings depending on the circumstances. For example, for a crucial task such as firing a rocket, feedback may be given after each command line to ensure that the user is intending to perform this critical action. However, if the user is changing the status of a valve, the feedback may need to be provided only at the conclusion of the entire command. In a third instance, such as tracking a target, feedback should be continuous. The timing of feedback, either continuously or at the conclusion of a command, depends on the nature of the event and its consequences.

Immediate feedback is also very important for preventing the user from initiating an action twice. If the feedback occurs long after an event, the user may believe that the event was not properly received and initiate it again. For some events this can have dire consequences, and it should be avoided through the use of prompt feedback. If there is to be a delay in notifying the user of a change in status, a message such as “Please wait” may be displayed in the interim to notify the user that the system is processing the request.

The optimal timing of feedback is important in 1) delivering the desired information to the user and 2) preventing the user from initiating the action twice. Additionally, the timing of feedback is important for the speed of overall operations. If the feedback is slow, the user must wait between commands, slowing productivity and leading to frustration in the user. In some instances, it is helpful for feedback to reoccur. For example, the same message may be delivered every 5 minutes if the problem has not been rectified. It is important to make the timing of this
recurring feedback appropriate for the severity of the event. Critical alarms, such as fire alarms, should continuously sound until acknowledged by the crew. Noncritical messages may be delivered less often. The timing of delivery is important because it must alert the user to the problem, but not be so frequent that the user becomes desensitized to it. Desensitization occurs when users stop responding to the alert because they have heard it too many times. Essentially they have begun to “tune it out.” Presenting unimportant or noncritical alerts to the user at very short intervals will cause the user to become desensitized to them. Therefore, determining the frequency of the feedback is important to ensuring that the message is properly received.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System Interpretation</th>
<th>Response Time Definition</th>
<th>Time (Seconds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Key Response</td>
<td>Key depression until positive response</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key Print</td>
<td>Key depression until appearance of character</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page Turn</td>
<td>End of request until first few lines are visible</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page Scan</td>
<td>End of request until text begins to scroll</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XY Entry</td>
<td>From selection of field until visual verification</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Function</td>
<td>From selection of command until response</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pointing</td>
<td>From input of point to display of point</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sketching</td>
<td>From input of point to display of line</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Update</td>
<td>Change to image using local database (e.g., new menu list)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Host Update</td>
<td>Change where data is at host in readily accessible form</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>File Update</td>
<td>Image update requires access to a host file</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inquiry (Simple)</td>
<td>From command until display of a commonly used message</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inquiry (Complex)</td>
<td>Response message requires seldom-used calculations in graphic form</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error Feedback</td>
<td>From entry of input until error message appears</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
10.2.6.2.1 Prioritizing Alerts

Important alerts should be given priority and the less critical alerts should be less frequent and/or less salient. When establishing the frequency of the alerts, it is important to keep in mind the entirety of what the crew will be experiencing at one time. Crewmembers on the International Space Station (ISS) have commented that focus should be placed on determining priority and frequency of critical caution and warning alerts rather than intuitive low-level alerts. The crew became desensitized by caution and warning alerts occurring too often.

10.2.7 System Status

The user must have access to the status of the system at all times. It is important that the user know the state of certain processes to be able to determine the next course of action, and to avoid initiating a change of status if that change has already been made. The designer should consider the following three major design features: the type of status indicator, the method used to deliver that status information, and the timing or precision of the information delivery.

- Types of Status Indicators – Many possibilities exist for the types of status indicators used to convey system information to the user. The most common types of status indicators on a computer include lights, selection (e.g., using highlighting, color, or handles around an object), accessibility indicators (e.g., when a button is available or able to be formatted, the font is bold and black, and when it is unavailable the font is gray), an indented appearance to buttons, and a check or dot next to an item.

- Methods of Indicating Status – The most common methods of indicating status involve a visual change, text label or message, and/or auditory tone. Under most circumstances using one method of providing status information to the user is sufficient. However, situations may occur that require two forms of status indicators. When two forms of status indicators are needed, varying the dimension to which they are presented is beneficial. For example, in certain situations, providing a visual change and an auditory confirmation beep would be more beneficial than providing just a visual change. The number of status indicators needed for each status message needs to be evaluated when a system is being designed. Additionally, the user may request status information from the system. When the user is requesting information, the most salient way of delivering that information is through a text message.

- Precision of Status – The user needs timely and precise status information. The information needs to be timely to prevent double actuation of a command, and precise to ensure proper user interaction with the system. For example, if the status information is delivered at some delay, the user may believe that the system has not received the command and thus issues it again. This double actuation of a command can have minor to serious consequences depending on the command delivered, and needs to be avoided. If there is to be a delay in notifying the user of a change in status, a message such as “Please wait” may be displayed in the interim to notify the user that the system is processing the request.

10.2.8 Inadvertent Operation

The system must be designed to prevent inadvertent operation. And, if an inadvertent operation occurs, the system must provide for rapid recovery. Actions or commands can be initiated
accidentally in any situation as a result of numerous types of human error (e.g., accident, fatigue, inexperience). For example, a user may select the wrong button on a panel, click on the wrong menu in a display, or more serious, order the discharge of a missile. Therefore, when designing a system that interacts with a user, it is important to implement certain precautions to prevent these errors from occurring and if they do occur, to have easy procedures in place to recover from the error. For example, for a user who has clicked on the wrong menu option on a display, there needs to be a “Back” button that is easily accessible to take the user back to the previous screen. In the case of more severe circumstances, such as initiating an abort, precautions need to be put in place that make the command difficult to initiate. This will prevent the user from executing an inadvertent command. Errors can range from minor to severe. The precise mechanisms for avoidance and recovery vary with the severity of the consequences of the error.

- **Minor Consequences** – For minor commands, the user should be able to undo an action (procedural step) if an error is made (e.g., selecting the wrong key on a keyboard or navigating to the wrong screen). In these cases the easiest solution is to have a button in place to allow the user to go back to the previous step.

- **Significant Consequences** – For critical commands, multiple steps must be in place to complete the command and users should be able to correct or undo a command (as with minor consequences).
  - **Confirmation of Choice** – On a display, confirmation may come in the form of a message that requires the user to affirm the choice, and if the command could have severe consequences, the system should require confirmation at every step in the process.
  - **Multiple Keystrokes** – Requiring multiple keys to be depressed simultaneously (for example, Control-Alt-Delete) helps to ensure that the user intends to perform that action. The likelihood that those keys would be simultaneously depressed by chance is rare.
  - **Confirmation Message** – A message to confirm the initiation of the command is beneficial as an additional precaution.
  - **Multiple Control Movements** – Requiring two or more movements to actuate the control, such as pushing and turning the knob on the stove to light it, turning the knob on a combination lock clockwise, counterclockwise, and then clockwise to open it, or requiring the user to disable a cover over a button to access the button, makes the command difficult to initiate and thus less likely to be done inadvertently.

An example highlighting the importance of preventing inadvertent operations comes from the ISS Audio Terminal Unit interface. The “VOL” and “VOX” buttons are identically shaped buttons located beside each other on the Audio Terminal Unit. Periodically this has resulted in crewmembers inadvertently pressing “VOX” and having a “hot” microphone to the ground. The buttons in this case are labeled very similarly, are in the same general location, and have the same shape. The only way to distinguish between the two buttons is to evaluate the last letter in the sequence. This amount of differentiation is not sufficient to preclude unintended activation of the wrong button. Additional differentiation needed to be included, such as color, shape, location, and/or labeling.
10.2.9 Consistency of Operations

The manner in which the operator commands the system should remain consistent and should not change unless the user requests the change. If an unanticipated change occurs it should be obviously alerted to the user. This consistency allows the transfer of motor learning from one part of the system to the other. Often, this motor control is highly practiced and can occur with little or no mental effort. If systems that perform similar functions do not operate in a standardized way, then the system may not respond in a way that is predictable to the user (negative transfer of learning). Automatic control is then lost, as the user must now analyze all responses and check that the input is received in the way intended. When the system changes, so that it no longer responds in a way that the user can predict, this variability also makes the user lose trust in the capabilities of the system and slows down productivity on this and other tasks. A larger issue may present itself when the user fails to notice that the system has changed its response to the input and this input now results in an unintended error. The severity of the error depends on the system and the task, but any error should be avoided in pursuit of a goal of error-free operations.
10.3 LAYOUT OF DISPLAYS AND CONTROLS

10.3.1 Introduction

Good layout design of displays and controls can decrease task times and errors. Control-display operational sequences and their relationships need to be apparent through arrangement proximity, similarity of groupings, coding, framing, labeling, and similar techniques.

10.3.2 Location and Arrangement

Layout design of displays and controls should consider the task flow, relative importance of the displays and controls, and frequency of use. Displays should be close to the associated controls to make sure that the eye-hand coordination and display-control coordination are seamless. This standard approach is important for reducing errors, maintaining task flow, and reducing task times. Any change made with the controls should be easy to follow on the display; this will require close proximity and good visibility of the display.

Display-control operational sequences and their relationships should be apparent through arrangement proximity, similarity of groupings, coding, framing, labeling, and similar techniques. Consider the following for the arrangement of displays and controls:

- Controls and their associated displays should be located together.
- Functionally related controls and displays should be located close to each other and arranged in functional groups, e.g., power, status, and test.
- Functional groups of controls and displays should be located to provide for left-to-right (preferred) or top-to-bottom order of use, or both.
- Provided that the integrity of grouping by function and sequence is not compromised, the more frequently used groups and the most important groups should be located in areas of easiest access. Control-display groups required solely for maintenance purposes should be located in positions providing a lesser degree of access relative to operating groups.
- Controls and displays that might require rapid response in emergency conditions should be placed in primary manual and visual areas.
- Displays and controls should be located and designed so that they may be used to the required degree of accuracy by personnel in their normal operating or servicing positions without need to assume uncomfortable, awkward, or unsafe postures.
- Displays and controls should be located so that their faces are perpendicular to the operator’s normal line of sight whenever feasible and should be no greater than 45° from the normal line of sight.
- Displays and controls should be constructed, arranged, and mounted to prevent reflection and glare.
- Displays and controls should be located in the operator’s field of view, which is a function of the operator’s size, orientation, position, and constraints (e.g., a helmet).
- Controls must be located within reach of the operator, which is a function of the operator’s size, orientation, position, constraints such as a suit, and environmental factors such as acceleration and vibration.
10.3.3  Grouping

Grouping means that when the information is presented all on one display, related items appear together and are distinct from unrelated items, and that items used in a sequence are grouped together. These properties are important in preventing the user from having to navigate through multiple pages of information to find the information they are looking for.

Related items should be grouped together, either in a logical sequence in time or in a similar location in space. Displaying information in a logical sequence in time means that the flow of information from one display to another follows a logical, predictable sequence. The sequence should be predictable in the sense that the flow of information starts at a high (global) level and continually becomes more specific.

10.3.3.1  Color

Displays and controls can be grouped using color. Color is a strong property that can be very effective in marking displays and controls.

Colors should be used consistently for grouping displays and controls. Some colors, such as red for emergency, are reserved for distinctive cuing and are not to be used for other purposes. Color choice usually depends on other similar conventions (see section 10.7.7.8 for a more detailed discussion of the colors used for certain items). The use of too many colors should be avoided to prevent the so-called “Christmas tree” effect that can distract users from their main task. Color is a very strong factor in orienting attention and should be used to facilitate this process rather than distract from it. Color contrast should be great enough that the color is clearly visible to serve its purpose of grouping, and colors should be chosen so that color-deficient observers can distinguish between them.

10.3.3.2  Spacing

Display spacing affects grouping, alignment, and readability. Spacing can separate groups of information and make the display easier to use. Good spacing increases readability, decreases task completion time, and reduces visual fatigue.

Displays and controls that are used together should be grouped together. This allows easy access to the whole group. Unrelated groups of displays and controls should be placed farther away from each other so that when one group is used, displays and controls from the other groups are not in the way of the task.

10.3.4  Display-Control Relationships

Logical and close relationships of displays and controls reduce mental loads for the user and leave more mental resources for the task itself. The arrangement of displays and controls should be logical to reduce task completion time (task time) and errors. Displays should be close to the associated controls to ensure that eye-hand coordination and display-control coordination are seamless. If displays and controls are arranged to support the task flow, their use will be intuitive and logical. If a control is too far from a display, and they are used together, the excessive visual referencing between the two may lead to difficulty perceiving all changes on the display and focusing on the control task. Any change made with the controls should be easy to follow on the
display. To meet this objective, the proximity of the control to the display is essential, along with good visibility of the display. Proximity is important for reducing and eliminating errors, maintaining efficient task flow, and reducing task times.

10.3.4.1 Movement Compatibility

Displays and controls should be designed so that movement of a control corresponds to a movement on a display. For example, with knobs, performing one of the following movements of the knob is expected to result in the one of the indicated movements of the display:

- When turned clockwise with hand or fingers: turn function on, increase value, move discrete cursor right, move display left.
- When turned counterclockwise with hand or fingers: turn function off, decrease value, move discrete cursor left, move background page right.

These movements are defined for controls, and the standards should be used for display-control relationships.

10.3.4.2 Discrimination

Crewmembers need to be able to discriminate between displays and controls, and their individual elements. Displays and controls should have features such as color and shape that make them sufficiently different from each other. If they are similar, confusion may occur, resulting in errors. To avoid errors, use consistent, differentiable displays and controls.

10.3.4.3 Sequential Use

All displays and controls necessary to support an operator activity or sequence of activities should be grouped together. Displays and controls should be arranged in relation to one another according to their sequence of use or the functional relations of the components they represent. Whenever possible, displays and controls should be arranged in sequence within functional groups and provide a flow from left to right or top to bottom. Functional relations and flow can be determined by task analysis and other methods.

10.3.5 Location

Displays and controls should be located in the optimal visual and manual zones. These are the locations that provide the best discriminability, easiest reach, and fastest response. The following factors should be considered when determining the location of displays and controls:

- Placement – The most frequently used, important, or critical displays and controls should be provided privileged positions in the viewing and operating zones. Controls should be placed so that the hand or arm does not obscure the display that needs to be viewable during operations of the control. Critical controls should not be located in high-traffic paths or translational paths. If controls are placed in these locations, a means should be used to prevent inadvertent actuation.
- Distraction – Displays and controls should be located away from distractions (e.g., high-traffic areas, visual and auditory distractions) as much as possible.
• Congestion – Only displays and controls that are necessary and sufficient for the completion of the task should be placed in the main visual field of the user.

• Illumination – When designing a layout of displays and controls, one should consider proper illumination.

• Orientation – The orientation of displays and controls should be as consistent as possible and be designed to be compatible with crew orientation during procedures. Requiring crewmembers to reach out for displays or controls or to assume an uncomfortable position to use any device should be avoided whenever possible. Controls located directly adjacent to the user should be oriented so that the operator is not likely to strike or move them accidentally in the normal sequence of movements.

• Readability – Displays and control labels should be readable by the operator under normal working conditions.

• Sharing – Displays can be used by one or multiple operators. Shared usage implies different viewing angles and viewing distances. Shared displays should be located within the required viewing angles and viewing distances of all operators.

10.3.6 Research Needs
TBD

10.4 VISUAL ACQUISITION OF DISPLAY

10.4.1 Display Content

Information displayed to an operator must be sufficient to allow the operator to perform the intended mission, but limited to information necessary to perform specific actions or to make decisions. Information need be displayed only within the limits and precision required for specific operator actions or decisions. Requirements for transposing, computing, interpolating, or mentally translating into other units should be avoided (e.g., for more details on verbiage and abbreviations including acronyms, see sections 10.4.3 and 10.4.6.1). Redundant information should not be displayed unless it is required to achieve specified reliability. Operations and maintenance information should not be combined in a single display unless the information content, format, and timeliness support the needs of both users. Finally, failure of a display or other parts of the display subsystem must be immediately apparent and unambiguous to the operator. False or inaccurate information must be avoided.

10.4.2 Minimal Information

The display of information at any one time should be as simple and minimal as possible. Designers should avoid cluttered displays. As the amount of information on a display increases, the time it takes the user to find a given piece of information increases. This means that the more information there is on a display, the slower the users will be in completing their tasks. The information should be organized so that it is easy to find and is not obscured by unnecessary information on the display.
10.4.3 Effective and Consistent Verbiage

The verbiage used must be simple and common. If domain-specific verbiage is needed, it should be common to that domain, so that it can be understood by a person with minimal training. The verbiage for each item or process should be self-explanatory and direct the user to the function or usage of the item. Terminology should be short and concise, and adequately convey the intended meaning. The name of a control, display, piece of equipment, or process should reflect its function and what it does in the mission. Terms that describe part names or engineering functions are more difficult to learn and remember.

Consistency in verbiage includes nomenclature, syntax, and procedures. The nomenclature, or wordage, used to describe each item of a system, the syntax, and procedure presentation must be consistent across all aspects of the system. When a word is used to describe an item, the user learns the association between that word label and the meaning it is intended to convey. If this association changes or deviates at any point in the user’s interaction with the system, the user will become confused about the operations of the item. The change can occur by either changing the label or by attaching a new meaning to the label. Either of these outcomes will confuse the user and should be avoided. The syntax refers to the arrangement of words in a sentence, or the order of information presentation. This means that the presentation of the words and the order of procedures should be consistent across the system.

10.4.4 Data Density

The amount of information on a given display should be necessary and sufficient to complete the current task. Operators should advance to another display page to acquire more information as needed. This reduces the amount of clutter on a display at any given time and thus the amount of information a user needs to process at any one time. The analysis of cluttered displays requires high levels of attention and serially searching for information, resulting in high mental effort and increased risk of errors, especially under conditions of high stress or arousal.

10.4.5 Information Access

The user should be able to access information in as few steps as possible. Minimizing steps may result in redundant information in the system, as some information may fall under multiple categories (i.e., can be accessed in multiple ways), but the end result will be efficient for the user.

10.4.6 Graphics

Graphical representations should avoid clutter and high density. They should also have good grouping, clear representation, and task orientation. A graph or image should have high resolution and size for good readability.

Graphics should be simple, so that the meaning is easily extracted from them. The number of graphics on a display at any one time should be limited to only those necessary to complete the current step in a process. Careful consideration should be given to the choice of graphics, to ensure that the meaning is obvious. For example, photographs sometimes display too much
information, which can cause confusion. A line drawing can eliminate extraneous information and allow the user to focus on the purpose of the illustration.

Any displayed grid lines should be unobtrusive and not obscure data elements. Grid lines should be displayed or suppressed at the option of the user.

10.4.6.1 Abbreviations, Including Acronyms

Abbreviations save space on a display and this can be an important issue when space is limited. However, abbreviations should be used as sparingly as possible. If they must be used, make sure that target users are familiar with them. The definitions for any abbreviations used should be available to the user.
10.5 VISUAL DISPLAYS

10.5.1 Introduction

With the growing importance of complex information processing, visual displays have become an ever-present part of all user interfaces with space systems. For this reason, their performance can have major impacts on the safety and efficiency of space missions.

The term “visual display” can refer to any means of conveying visual information. In this section, the term is restricted to electro-optical displays that render text, graphics, and video on a surface. Typical examples are computer monitor displays and television displays.

Topics discussed in this section include display metrics and related human factors standards, and display acquisition, identification, and interpretation. Additionally, various current and emerging display technologies, and their distinctive attributes, are discussed.

10.5.2 Display Metrics

In specifying displays, it is useful to consider three levels of attributes. At the most fundamental level, are attributes of the display itself, such as brightness, contrast, and resolution. At the next level are attributes of the content of the display, such as text, symbols, icons, graphics, color sets, and video. At the third and highest level are attributes relating to the layout of display content, relating to concepts such as partition of the display with windows, and placement of user interface elements such as menus. In this section, the focus is primarily on the first level of attributes, along with a few attributes from the second level.

Attributes such as weight, volume, or power are not visual and are not considered here. The metrics are organized into seven categories. For each metric, there is a definition, a rationale, and references to existing standards in addition to caveats that should be considered when specifying metric values as part of a standard.

After each metric category, a brief table of related standards is provided. For ease of reference, in the text and the tables abbreviated names are used for the existing standards documents that are referred to most frequently.

10.5.2.1 Types of Display Metrics

A number of different types of human factors metrics exist for displays. Physical metrics are measurements of purely physical aspects of the display, such as horizontal resolution in pixels. Psychophysical metrics are derived from physical measurements, but are processed to reflect human visual sensitivity. Examples are luminance, contrast, and most color measurements. Subjective metrics involve application of a visual judgment to a display by a human observer or group of observers. An example is subjective evaluation of the existence of display flicker. Performance metrics are evaluations of the utility of the display in a target application. For example, rather than specifying the contrast and resolution of the display, one might specify that it must be legible, with a method for assessing legibility that involves reading text (Boschmann & Roufs, 1997).

Model-based metrics are an extension of psychophysical metrics (described above). They are distinct only in the complexity of the psychophysical model on which they are based. For
example, recently proposed metrics for visibility of display defects (mura – see 10.5.2.4.8), or of motion blur, incorporate somewhat complex models of visibility of spatial patterns (Watson, 2006). Other examples are the Square-Root Integral metric of Barten (Barten, 1987) and the just noticeable difference (JND) model of Carlson and Cohen (Carlson & Cohen, 1980).

*Design tools* are hardware or software devices that incorporate one or more standards to assist the designer in creation of a compliant or optimized design. They have the advantages of automating the application of standards, and of optimizing the tradeoffs between competing elements of a design.

### 10.5.2.2 Viewing Conditions

These metrics do not describe the display itself, but factors outside of the display that affect its quality, such as the position of the viewer and surrounding illumination.

#### 10.5.2.2.1 Viewing Distance

The viewing distance is the distance from an eye of the observer to the center of the display (Figure 10.5-1). It is important because it determines the angular size of the display, and thus the visual resolution of the display. It also determines the range of gaze angles to various points on the display. These quantities in turn have a powerful impact on the quality of the display. The angle subtended by an object of height $H$ viewed on a surface orthogonal to the line of sight from distance $D$ to the center of the object is

$$\alpha = 2 \tan^{-1} \frac{H}{2D}$$

Viewing distance varies considerably, and depends on the type of display and the application. For example, televisions are typically viewed from greater distances than are computer monitors. For many applications (e.g., television) the range of actual viewing distances adopted by users is very large. Nonetheless, for standards that measure spatial quantities, a specific viewing distance (sometimes called the “design viewing distance”) is often adopted. For example, the certification standard TCO’05 adopts a viewing distance of 1.5 times the diagonal for “notebook” displays, and TCO’06 adopts 4 times the height for “media” displays. For flat-panel displays, TCO’03 adopts 1.5 diagonals, but as a measurement distance. The Human Factors and Ergonomics Society (2007) standard assumes a “default” viewing distance of 50 cm. The ISO13406-2 standard states that design viewing distance should be not less than 40 cm, but allows a 30-cm distance for certain applications such as touchscreens. Historically, NASA-STD-3000 suggested a minimum viewing distance of 33 cm, with a preference of 51 cm.
Optimal viewing distance for a display is determined by many factors, including the desired field of view, the visual resolution required (which is based on the expected content), human visual system limitations (such as accommodation), and specific extraneous design requirements (such as those for helmet-mounted displays). Accommodation limits suggest a minimum viewing distance (for a direct-view display) of 40 cm (see Human Factors and Ergonomics Society (2007) for a discussion).

It is important to understand that viewing distance will determine the visual significance of every display metric that incorporates a spatial aspect (e.g., resolution, mura, motion blur, image retention, uniformity). Thus, whereas many metrics are expressed independently of viewing distance, they are not ergonomic, or human factors, or display quality standards until viewing distance is taken into account.

10.5.2.2.2 Gaze Angle

Gaze angle refers to the angle of the eye with respect to the straight-ahead position, as shown in Figure 10.5-1. The vertical angle is usually taken with respect to the so-called Frankfort plane. The extensive literature on preferred gaze angle for displays (summarized by Psihogios et al., 2001) does not provide compelling evidence of an advantage for either positive or negative angles.


10.5.2.2.3 Viewing Angle

Viewing angle (Figure 10.5-1) is the angle between a normal (perpendicular line) at the center of the display surface and a line from the same point to the eye (or light measurement device). Positioning of the display relative to the user will affect viewing angle. Displays may perform differently at different viewing angles, and this general matter is described as viewing angle.
performance, which is discussed below. Viewing angle performance may be especially important in 0g, since the viewer may be at an arbitrary angle relative to the display.

10.5.2.2.4 Ambient Light

The ambient lighting environment in which displays operate has a profound effect on both the displayed image and the visual sensitivity of the observer. Incident ambient illumination reflected from display surfaces can strongly affect the overall luminance, contrast, and color of displayed imagery. Also, the visual sensitivity of an observer is modulated by the state of visual adaptation, which in turn is partly determined by the ambient illumination.

Sources of illumination in the operating environment are defined by their spectral power distribution (SPD) and their overall level of photopically-weighted optical power or radiance integrated over a range of wavelengths from approximately 380 to 780 nanometers (nm). Illumination is typically specified in base units of lux (lx), which are defined as flux in lumens (lm) incident on a unit area of one square meter (m²). Space environments may involve distinctive ambient light environments, with respect to intensity, SPD, and timing (e.g., 90-minute day / night cycles in low Earth orbit).

10.5.2.2.5 Reflections

Three basic types of reflection can be identified according to the amount and type of scattering introduced during reflection. If no scattering occurs, the reflection is designated as regular or specular and is typified by the reflection produced by a mirror or a mirrorlike smooth surface. If the incident illumination is completely and uniformly scattered, such as by a ground-glass surface or paper, this type of reflection is designated as diffuse or Lambertian reflection. The third type of reflection is essentially a combination of specular and diffuse reflections in that scattering is constrained, with the maximum of reflected intensity in the specular direction, and decreases with angular separation relative to the beam of illumination. This type of reflection is typically known as haze. Figure 10.5-2 illustrates the geometry of illumination and reflection and the angular characteristics of the three basic types of reflection (Becker, 2006).

Figure 10.5-2. The three basic types of reflection. Upper panels show geometric relations of illumination and reflection. Lower panels show the angular distribution of reflected light for a beam incident at a right angle to the surface. (a), specular reflection; (b), diffuse or Lambertian reflection; (c), haze.
When defining the display technology and performance specifications for any display application, it is important to consider the inherent reflectance characteristics of the type of display as well as any optical surface treatments included to manage display reflections. A variety of mixtures of the three basic types of reflection can be found in electronic displays: for example, cathode ray tubes (CRTs) generally feature a superposition of Lambertian and specular components (no haze); plasma display panels (PDPs) typically generate reflections containing Lambertian, specular, and haze components; and liquid crystal displays (LCDs) often combine various types of haze, sometimes including minor specular components, but usually without the Lambertian component of reflection. These typical reflection characteristics result from a combination of the inherent optical properties of the different technologies and the surface optical treatments commonly applied to each technology. Therefore, the reflection characteristics of any display technology can be significantly altered by suitable modifications of the display itself or variations in surface optical treatments. Figure 10.5-3 further illustrates the three basic types of reflection by themselves and in various combinations (Kelly, 2006).

10.5.2.2.6 Measuring Reflections

Many different approaches and methods are used for measuring reflections from material surfaces and displays (American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM), 1987; Commission Internationale de L’Eclairage (CIE), 1977, 1979, 1987; Video Electronics Standards Association (VESA), 2001). Reflectance is generally defined as the ratio of the reflected flux to the incident flux for a given geometry of source and detector. All display reflection measurements are defined by the characteristics of the illumination source and detector as well as the geometric relations between source, detector, and display sample. Figure 10.5-4 illustrates two possible geometries for measuring specular and diffuse reflectance (Kelly, 2006).
Recently, more complex and comprehensive methods for characterizing display reflectance based on the bidirectional reflection distribution function have been proposed, and such methods are capable of providing the complete reflectance profile of displays including specular, diffuse, and haze components (ASTM, 1987; Becker, 2006; Kelly, 2006). Regardless of the method of measuring reflectance, it is important to recognize that the measurement and interpretation of display reflectance are application-dependent. The display technology, optical surface treatments, and illumination and sensor (viewing) geometries should represent the application environment as closely as possible.

10.5.2.2.7 Impact of Reflections on Displayed Images

Incident ambient illumination reflected from display surfaces can strongly affect the overall luminance, contrast, and color of displayed imagery. For most types of displays, reflection of incident ambient illumination will result in the addition of luminance to both the image and the display background. Display luminance will increase as a result of the additional luminance produced by reflected ambient illumination, while display contrast will decrease. The principal effect of reflected ambient illumination on the color of displayed imagery is a decrease in color saturation, at least for broadband illumination such as sunlight or relatively neutral artificial illuminants.

The ambient luminance, contrast, and color gamut of displays should be estimated for the intensity levels and SPDs expected in the display application environment. Figure 10.5-8 provides examples of how the color gamut for a display with sRGB (standard red-green-blue color space) color primaries changes when the environment changes from a nominal or dark ambient environment to one in which a high level of broadband illumination is incident on the display surface. The incident illumination reduces the display’s contrast ratio and color saturation, reducing the effective color gamut of the display.

10.5.2.2.8 Optical Treatments to Reduce Reflections and Glare

A variety of optical treatments may be used to reduce reflections and glare from displays. Contrast enhancement filters are often used to improve the contrast of emissive-type displays with high levels of diffuse reflectance, such as the reflectance produced by illumination incident on the phosphor surfaces of CRTs or color PDPs. Most contrast-enhancement filters are neutral-density filters that achieve their effects by attenuating the incident illumination and associated
reflected luminance on two passes through the filter, while the emitted display luminance undergoes attenuation with only a single pass through the filter. The performance tradeoff of the resulting enhancement of contrast is, of course, the reduction of displayed image luminance.

A challenging but important requirement for all displays that operate in any appreciable illumination environment is the reduction of front-surface reflections. Specular front-surface reflections are often the most intense and troublesome and should be avoided as much as possible by placement and shielding of the display and adjustments of the viewing geometry. Where front-surface reflections cannot be mitigated by such means, anti-reflection and/or anti-glare surface treatments should be used.

10.5.2.2.9 Adaptation

As noted in section 5.4 Visual Perception, the human visual system (HVS) operates over a range of about 14 log units of light intensity, but is sensitive to a much smaller range of about 2 log units at any one time. The process of light adaptation shifts the range of sensitivity to the prevailing ambient level.

Light adaptation is determined by the luminance of objects in the operating environment, including the display, and the pattern and duration of an observer’s eye fixations on those objects. Steady-state adaptation, in which an observer is adapted to the prevailing luminance of the fixated field of view (FOV), determines an observer’s incremental or decremental contrast threshold and overall contrast sensitivity. Transient visual adaptation occurs as a result of changes in visual fixation. It has important implications for the visibility of information in dynamic and complex illumination environments, especially when the difference in the prevailing luminance levels of the alternately fixated FOVs exceeds approximately 2 log units. Transient light adaptation resulting from increases in the prevailing luminance of the FOV is relatively rapid, while transient dark adaptation resulting from decreases in the prevailing luminance of the FOV is relatively slow. Transient visual adaptation can have profound effects on the time course of display visibility and thus on luminance and contrast requirements for displays operated in dynamic viewing environments, such as those for vehicular applications, as well as mobile computing and communications (Krantz et al., 1992; Silverstein, 2003; Silverstein & Merrifield, 1985).

10.5.2.2.10 Effect of Sunglasses on Display Viewing

The use of sunglasses in a display application environment reduces the level of observer adaptation as well as the effective intensity of all illumination sources and luminous surfaces in the environment. Whereas sunglasses can be a very effective aid to vision and promote visual comfort in very bright environments, they should generally be of a neutral-density type to avoid significant changes in the chromaticity of displays. In addition, the use of polarized sunglasses should generally be avoided in display application environments that use LCDs that produce linearly polarized light output or in displays that use circular polarizing filters for control of front-surface reflections.
### 10.5.2.2.11 Viewing Conditions: Related Standards

#### Table 10.5-1 Viewing Condition Standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Viewing distance</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Factors and Ergonomics Society (2007)</td>
<td>7.2.2.1</td>
<td>Design viewing distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Factors and Ergonomics Society (2007)</td>
<td>7.2.1</td>
<td>Default configuration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCO '05 Notebooks</td>
<td>A.2.1.1</td>
<td>Native pixel array requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCO '06 Media Displays</td>
<td>A.2.1.1</td>
<td>Pixel array requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCO '03 Flat Panel Displays</td>
<td>B.2.0.5</td>
<td>Measurement distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO, 2001</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>Design viewing distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASA-STD-3000</td>
<td>9.4.2.2</td>
<td>Visual display design considerations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASA-STD-3000</td>
<td>9.4.2.3.9g</td>
<td>Viewing distance and angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gaze angle</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Factors and Ergonomics Society (2007)</td>
<td>7.2.2.2</td>
<td>Gaze angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO, 2001</td>
<td>3.3.5</td>
<td>Frankfort plane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO, 2001</td>
<td>3.3.6</td>
<td>Gaze angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO, 2001</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>Gaze and head-tilt angles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO, 1992</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>Line-of-sight angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reflections</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Factors and Ergonomics Society (2007)</td>
<td>7.2.5.3</td>
<td>Luminance contrast and reflections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO, 2001</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>Design screen illuminance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO, 2001</td>
<td>7.17</td>
<td>Reflections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO, 2001</td>
<td>7.17.1</td>
<td>Contrast in the presence of reflections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO, 2001</td>
<td>7.17.2</td>
<td>Contrast of unwanted reflections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCO '03 VDU of CRT Type</td>
<td>A.2.5.1 / B.2.5.1</td>
<td>Front frame reflectance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCO '03 VDU of CRT Type</td>
<td>A.2.5.2 / B.2.5.2</td>
<td>Front frame gloss</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 10.5.2.3 Luminance and Contrast

#### 10.5.2.3.1 Luminance

The luminance of a display is one of the critical performance parameters that define the efficacy of a display in stimulating human vision. Luminance is closely related to the subjective sensation...
of brightness. In general, brighter displays are better, as they retain their contrast and saturation in brighter ambient illumination.

The display luminance can be measured and specified in a variety of ways. In most cases standard units of luminance, cd/m², should be used, and measurements should be made normal to the display surface. For some technologies, such as CRTs, the area of the screen that is illuminated may affect the maximum luminance measured, because of power supply loading.

### 10.5.2.3.2 Maximum Display Luminance

The maximum or peak luminance of a display determines the upper limit of the range of ambient illumination and visual adapting conditions in which a particular display may effectively operate. Maximum display luminance is the numerator in the display contrast ratio (see below) and as such also defines the upper end of the dynamic range of a display. The display dynamic range in turn determines the number of discriminable intensity steps or gray levels that can be rendered by a display.

For monochromatic displays, maximum display luminance is simply the peak luminance achievable with the single SPD produced by the display. For color displays, maximum display luminance is typically specified as the peak luminance that can be achieved for a selected white-point chromaticity. The maximum luminance of a color display is therefore often designated as white luminance or peak white luminance. When reflective displays are considered, the maximum luminance can be meaningfully defined for only a specified illuminant and is often characterized as a proportion of reflected luminance relative to a reflectance standard under the specified illuminant.

Typical maximum display luminance will depend on the display technology and the intended application, but common current (2007) examples are 400 to 600 cd/m² for LCD television, 250 to 500 cd/m² for computer monitors, and 150 to 400 cd/m² for notebook displays.

### 10.5.2.3.3 Minimum Display Luminance

The minimum luminance of a display is also designated as the black level or background level of the display. It is the luminance produced when the display is driven to its darkest level or off state. From a human factors viewpoint, the minimum luminance is important because it determines the maximum obtainable contrast on the display. Minimum display luminance is the denominator in the display contrast ratio. Since the minimum display luminance is effectively the background luminance of the display, it is strongly affected by the reflectivity of the display and the level of ambient illumination.

Display technologies vary greatly in the minimum luminance that they are able to achieve. Self-luminous or emissive displays such as PDPs generally have a lower black level than non-emissive technologies such as LCDs. This is due to the fact that the former can be fully deactivated by removal of driving voltage or current while the latter modulates illumination from a backlight and is subject to residual light leakage.

Measurements and specifications for minimum display luminance are typically defined for a dark ambient environment where little or no incident illumination of the screen is present. However, for some display applications it may be more meaningful to characterize the minimum display luminance under a defined set of ambient illumination conditions.
10.5.2.3.4 Gamma and Grayscale

All visual displays have a characteristic transfer function that relates display input values to display output. Display input values are typically expressed in device-independent digital values. The display transfer function is generally a nonlinear function and is often characterized by a power function with an exponent of gamma, and is often called the “gamma function.” The exponent gamma is usually in the range of 1.5 to 2.5. Although this gamma function is a legacy of the CRT, it is an advantageous coding scheme since unit input steps yield approximately equal steps of brightness. As a result, most modern displays adopt a similar transfer function.

In a digital imaging system, the number of bits determines the number of gray levels available; for example, 8 bits yields 256 gray levels. The actual luminance produced by each of those gray levels will be determined by the gamma function. The number of gray levels affects the quality of the display. If the steps between gray levels are too large, the intended image cannot be produced, and artificial contours will appear. The number of levels required for an artifact-free display depends largely on the contrast ratio of the display: larger ratios require larger numbers of gray levels to ensure that the contrast step between gray levels is below threshold. The required number of gray levels depends on the task: photographic images may require 8 bits, while text and simple graphics may require fewer. While 8 bits has usually been found sufficient for the first generation of digital displays, new displays with very high contrast ratios may require greater numbers of gray levels.

For color displays these considerations apply to each of three color channels. Displays are often specified in terms of the number of colors available. Thus a display is which each color has 6 bits would have $2^3 \times 6 = 262,144$ colors.

10.5.2.3.5 Contrast

In general terms, contrast refers to a ratio of luminances of different points in a display. The ability of a display to generate large contrasts is one of the most significant contributors to the quality of a display. It is in many respects more significant than the peak luminance of the display. This is because the human visual system adapts to the average luminance, and responds only to changes from the adapted level. In effect, the visual system converts the luminance signal into a contrast signal, and as a consequence visual performance is more nearly constant with respect to contrast than with respect to luminance.

It is useful to distinguish between the overall contrast of the display and the contrast of content rendered within the display. The former is usually measured by comparing the luminances of white and black, while the latter compares the luminances of points within display content, with gray levels that may be other than black and white.

Display contrast is often measured in the dark, but in actual use display contrast may be profoundly affected by ambient illumination. A diffuse ambient illumination, in concert with a particular reflectivity of the display, will add a veiling luminance to the display. This will add to the luminances of both black and white, and thereby reduce display contrast. The greater the peak luminance of the display, and the lower its reflectivity, the less effect ambient illumination will have. Thus the dark-room contrast of the display, the ambient light environment, and the reflectivity of the display combine to determine actual display contrast.
Different definitions of contrast are used in different contexts. These are described below. Some of the quantities involved are pictured in Figure 10.5-5.

![Figure 10.5-5 Illustration of quantities related to luminance contrast. The points show luminance in a one-dimensional luminance pattern on a hypothetical display. $L_W$, luminance of white; $L_{max}$, maximum luminance of the display element; $L_0$, background luminance; $L_{min}$, minimum luminance of the display element; $L_K$, luminance of black.](image)

10.5.2.3.5.1 Contrast Ratio

The most common definition used to characterize displays is the contrast ratio $C_R$

$$C_R = \frac{L_W}{L_K}$$  \(1\)

where $L_W$ and $L_K$ are the luminances corresponding to white and black. Unless otherwise stated, this is assumed to be recorded in a dark room, so that only light emitted by the display is measured. Without ambient light, for an emissive display $L_K$ can be very close to zero, and the ratio can reach very large numbers. Although low values of $L_K$ (dark blacks) yield an attractive display, it is unlikely that perceived quality rises in proportion to the contrast ratio. Also, it is rare that displays are viewed in the absence of any ambient light, so the actual viewed contrast ratio will be much lower. In the example in Figure 10.5-5, the contrast ratio is $100 / 10 = 10$. For current (2007) LCD monitor and TV displays, contrast ratios of 1,000 to 2,000 are commonplace.

The contrast ratio is also sometimes used to describe content, such as the contrast of a character relative to its background. In that case, the contrast ratio would be the absolute value of the ratio of character luminance to background luminance.
10.5.2.3.5.2 Michelson Contrast

The Michelson contrast is a measure used primarily to describe the contrast of an element of content on a display, such as an alphanumeric character. It is defined as

\[ C_M = \frac{L_{\text{max}} - L_{\text{min}}}{L_{\text{max}} + L_{\text{min}}} \]  

where \( L_{\text{max}} \) and \( L_{\text{min}} \) are the maximum and minimum luminances of the display element. In the example in Figure 10.5-5, the Michelson contrast of the pattern is \((90 - 30) / (90 + 30) = 60 / 120 = \frac{1}{2}\).

10.5.2.3.5.3 Image Contrast

In a complex image, neither the contrast ratio nor the Michelson contrast definitions are useful. Instead, the concept of image contrast, as defined for a luminance image \( L(x,y) \) with some agreed-upon background luminance of \( L_0 \), is

\[ C(x, y) = \frac{L(x, y) - L_0}{L_0} \]

In some contexts, the value of \( L_0 \) is specified as the luminance of a mid-gray (128); in other contexts it may be taken as a specific background luminance, or as the mean of all the gray levels in the image.

The peak image contrast of the image is then defined as the maximum of the absolute value of \( C(x, y) \):

\[ C_p = \max \left| C(x, y) \right| \]

For images in which the gray levels are distributed symmetrically about \( L_0 \), \( C_p = C_M \). An example is a sinusoidal grating with a mean level of \( L_0 \). In the example of Figure 10.5-5, the image contrast ranges from \((90 - 50) / 50 = 0.8\) to \((30 - 50) / 50 = -0.4\), and thus the peak contrast is 0.8.

10.5.2.3.5.4 Contrast Energy

Although it is not yet widely used in display standards, the concept of contrast energy is useful in quantifying the visibility of displayed information. It takes into account the area over which the contrast is distributed, and the shape of that distribution. It is defined as the integral of the image contrast squared, and has units of degrees squared for a three-dimensional (3D) pattern of space and time. For the one-dimensional (1D) example in Figure 10.5-5, the pattern has a contrast energy of

\[ (0.8)^2 (4) + (-0.4)^2 2 = 2.88 \text{ degrees}. \]

On photopic backgrounds, the lowest contrast energy thresholds are about \(10^{-6} \text{ degrees}^2\) (Watson et al., 1983).
10.5.2.3.5.5  Visible Contrast Energy

Not all contrast energy is equally visible; energy near the peak of the contrast sensitivity function is much more visible than energy at high spatial frequencies. Visible contrast energy is defined as contrast energy weighted by a contrast sensitivity function,

\[ VCE = \iint (h(x, y) * c(x, y))^2 dxdy \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where \( h(x, y) \) is the impulse response corresponding to a contrast sensitivity function. Specific examples of the function are available (Watson & Ahumada, 2005a).

10.5.2.3.5.6  Ambient Contrast

Ambient contrast is the ratio between luminances of white and black when the display is measured in the presence of typical amounts of diffuse ambient illumination. An amount of 500 lux is often used to simulate an office environment. As previously noted, the dark-room contrast ratio assumes no ambient illumination, and consequently does not reflect the apparent contrast of displays when they are viewed in common situations that include some amount of ambient illumination. Ambient contrast is designed to provide a more realistic measure of the apparent contrast of a display in real-world situations. If the display pictured in Figure 10.5-5 were viewed in a diffuse ambient illumination that added a luminance of 10 cd/m² to the screen, then the ambient contrast ratio would be \((100 + 10) / (10 + 10) = 110 / 20 = 5.5\).

10.5.2.3.5.7  Dynamic Contrast

On some displays (e.g., LCDs with controllable backlight) the overall gain of the display can be changed over time. This allows, for example, a dark scene to be rendered more faithfully by turning down the backlight and amplifying the gray levels. This allows a new measure of contrast ratio, in which \( L_K \) is measured with the backlight dimmed and \( L_W \) is measured with the backlight at its brightest. The obtained ratio bears little relation to the static contrast ratio, and may be of questionable value since it cannot be obtained in a single frame of the display.

10.5.2.3.5.8  Existing Standards

The National Aeronautics and Space Administration (1995) requires that indicators have a contrast of 0.5, except for displays viewed in sunlight. Additionally, in applications where ambient light is low, contrast should be 90%. For projected displays, a contrast ratio (called “luminance ratio”) of 200 is required, while for various display elements, values of between 5 and 100 are required. On an alphanumeric video display terminal, the Michelson contrast of characters is required to be between 0.88 and 0.9. No rationale is provided for these numbers.

TCO’03, TCO’05, and TCO’06 require the display to have the capability of displaying characters with Michelson contrast of 0.7. ISO (2001) provides a formula for required contrast that ranges between about 0.45 and 0.75. Human Factors and Ergonomics Society (2007) indicates a default configuration with ambient contrast ratio of 10. In addition it requires an ambient contrast ratio of at least 3 under all office illumination conditions.
### 10.5.2.3.6  Luminance and Contrast: Related Standards

Table 10.5-2  Luminance and Contrast Standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gamma and grayscale</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCO’03 FPD</td>
<td>A.2.6.5 / B.2.6.5</td>
<td>Color Greyscale Linearity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VESA (2001)</td>
<td>302-5</td>
<td>Grayscale of Full Screen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>302-7</td>
<td>Full-Screen Grayscale Color Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>304-4</td>
<td>Grayscale of Centered Box</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>304-11</td>
<td>Grayscale-JND Relationship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A209</td>
<td>Nonlinear Response of the Eye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A227</td>
<td>NEMA-DICOM Grayscale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Luminance</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Factors and Ergonomics Society (2007)</td>
<td>7.2.5.1</td>
<td>Luminance Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO, 2001</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>Display Luminance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.16</td>
<td>Luminance Balance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO/WD 18789-3</td>
<td>6.2.2</td>
<td>Display Luminance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.2.3</td>
<td>Luminance Balance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCO’03 VDU of CRT Type</td>
<td>A.2.3.1 / B.2.3.1</td>
<td>Luminance Level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A.2.3.3/B.2.3.3</td>
<td>Image Loading Capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCO’03 FPD</td>
<td>A.2.3.1 / B.2.3.1</td>
<td>Luminance Level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-STD-1472F</td>
<td>5.2.1.6.2</td>
<td>Luminance Considerations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.2.1.6.2.1</td>
<td>Luminance Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.2.2.18</td>
<td>Luminance of Transilluminated Displays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.2.4.2.1</td>
<td>Luminance of CRTs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.2.5.3.3</td>
<td>Image Luminance &amp; Light Distribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-HDBK-87213</td>
<td>3.2.1.6</td>
<td>Display Luminance, Contrast &amp; V-angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.2.1.6</td>
<td>Verification of Display Luminance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.2.1.6.3</td>
<td>MFD Luminance &amp; Contrast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.2.1.6.3</td>
<td>Verification of MFD Luminance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VESA (2001)</td>
<td>302-1</td>
<td>Luminance &amp; Color of Full-Screen White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>302-2</td>
<td>Luminance &amp; Color of Full-Screen Black</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Topic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>302-9</td>
<td>Luminous Flux</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>304-1</td>
<td>Luminance &amp; Contrast of Centered Box</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>304-2</td>
<td>Centered Box On-Off Luminance &amp; Contrast Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>304-8</td>
<td>Luminance Loading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>304-9</td>
<td>Checkerboard Luminance &amp; Contrast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>304-10</td>
<td>Highlight Luminance &amp; Contrast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gamma and grayscale</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCO’03 FPD</td>
<td>A.2.6.5 / B.2.6.5</td>
<td>Color Greyscale Linearity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VESA (2001)</td>
<td>302-5</td>
<td>Grayscale of Full Screen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>304-4</td>
<td>Grayscale of Centered Box</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>304-7</td>
<td>Full-Screen Grayscale Color Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>304-11</td>
<td>Grayscale-JND Relationship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A209</td>
<td>Nonlinear Response of the Eye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A227</td>
<td>NEMA-DICOM Grayscale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contrast</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASA-STD-3000</td>
<td>9.4.2.3.1.2</td>
<td>Display Contrast Design Requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASA-STD-3000</td>
<td>9.4.2.3.3.9</td>
<td>Visual Display Terminal Design Requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VESA (2001)</td>
<td>302.3</td>
<td>Darkroom Contrast Ratio of Full Screen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VESA (2001)</td>
<td>303-1</td>
<td>Line Luminance and Contrast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VESA (2001)</td>
<td>303-1</td>
<td>N × N Grille Luminance and Contrast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VESA (2001)</td>
<td>304-1</td>
<td>Luminance &amp; Contrast of Centered Box</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VESA (2001)</td>
<td>304-2</td>
<td>Centered Box On-Off Luminance &amp; Contrast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VESA (2001)</td>
<td>304-3</td>
<td>Transverse Contrast of Centered Box</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VESA (2001)</td>
<td>304-9</td>
<td>Checkerboard Luminance &amp; Contrast (n×m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VESA (2001)</td>
<td>304-10</td>
<td>Highlight Luminance &amp; Contrast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VESA (2001)</td>
<td>308-2</td>
<td>Ambient Contrast Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Factors and Ergonomics</td>
<td>7.2.5.3</td>
<td>Luminance Contrast and Reflections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Society (2007)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO, 2001</td>
<td>7.15</td>
<td>Contrast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Topic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO, 2001</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>Combined Measurement for Luminance, Contrast and Diffuse Illumination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-HDBK-87213</td>
<td>3.2.1.6</td>
<td>Display Luminance, Contrast, and Viewing Angle.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCO’03</td>
<td>A.2.4.1</td>
<td>Luminance Contrast – Characters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCO’03</td>
<td>A.2.4.2</td>
<td>Luminance Contrast – Angular Dependence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCO’05</td>
<td>A.2.4</td>
<td>Luminance Contrast Characteristics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCO’06</td>
<td>A.2.4</td>
<td>Luminance Contrast Characteristics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCO’06</td>
<td>A.2.4.1</td>
<td>Luminance Contrast – Characters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCO’06</td>
<td>A.2.4.2</td>
<td>Luminance Contrast – Angular Dependence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.5.2.4 Spatial Metrics

Visual information consists of light distributed over space, time, and wavelength. For some displays, such as still grayscale images, only the spatial dimension matters. Consequently the ability of a display to render arbitrary spatial patterns, up to some level of detail, is critical to its effectiveness and to its apparent quality. In matrix displays, the quality of spatial rendition is mainly determined by two quantities: the visual resolution of the display, and its freedom from blemishes and nonuniformity. Visual resolution is the term given to the resolution of the display expressed in pixels per degree of visual angle. This takes into account both the native resolution, for example in pixels per centimeter, and the viewing distance in centimeters.

Required values of visual resolution are ultimately determined by two factors: the display application and the limitations of human vision. As described in section 5.4, “Visual Perception,” human observers are unable to see spatial patterns above about 60 cycles per degree. To render this frequency requires a visual resolution of 120 pixels per degree. See Klein & Carney, 1991, for discussion of the possibility of even higher requirements. At visual resolutions below about 30 pixels per degree, the pixel matrix itself may become visible, and depending on the application, this may or may not be acceptable. Thus, in general, high-quality displays are characterized by visual resolution of between 30 and 120 pixels per degree. Below are typical visual resolutions for a number of display applications, which suggest that expectations, if not requirements, differ for different applications. For example, high-definition television (HDTV) displays with 1080 vertical pixels have a nominal viewing distance of three picture heights, which corresponds to a visual resolution of about 60 pixels per degree. A large-screen computer monitor, with 1600 vertical pixels, may be viewed at 1 picture height, for a visual resolution of close to 30 pixels per degree.

Specifying resolution purely in terms of pixel densities is appropriate so long as each pixel can be independently set to an arbitrary luminance within the range of the display. This is generally true for LCD and most other flat-panel displays. However, it is not true for displays in which illumination of one pixel location affects the illumination of adjacent pixel locations. This is the case for CRTs, in which the approximately Gaussian spot of the scanning beam may extend
somewhat over several pixels. In such cases, the true resolution of the display can be determined using modulation transfer function (MTF) methods as discussed below.

In the sections below, the most prominent metrics of spatial display quality are defined. Many turn out to be variants of spatial resolution.

Relevant standards for spatial metrics are listed in section 10.5.2.4.7, Table 10.5-5.

10.5.2.4.1 Pixel

Most contemporary displays are so-called matrix displays, in which imagery is created by controlling the color of individual pixels in a rectangular array. A pixel is defined by TCO’03 as “the smallest addressable imaging element of the flat panel display (FPD) capable of reproducing a full range of luminance and colors.” In color displays, a pixel may be made up of smaller subpixels with distinct color properties.

10.5.2.4.2 Resolution

This is a confusing term, which is often used to describe the size of the display in pixels, and sometimes to describe the inverse of pixel pitch. In the latter case, quantities such as “dpi” (dots per inch) may be used. Below, a term – visual resolution – is discussed that is closer to the intuitive meaning of resolution as sharpness or fineness of detail. Also discussed below is the display MTF, which is a still more detailed measure of the spatial resolving power of the display. Other terms such as “size” or “definition” are also sometimes used to describe the number of pixels in the display matrix. A number of sizes have become industry standards with particular names. In Table 10.5-3 a number of the more common standard sizes are enumerated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Width × Height</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VGA</td>
<td>640 × 480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>640 × 480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD</td>
<td>1366 × 768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FullHD</td>
<td>1920 × 1080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XGA</td>
<td>1024 × 768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGA</td>
<td>1600 × 1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SXGA</td>
<td>1280 × 1024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UXGA</td>
<td>1600 × 1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WUXGA</td>
<td>1920 × 1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WQXGA</td>
<td>2560 × 1600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QSXGA</td>
<td>2560 × 2048</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pixel Pitch

Pixel pitch is defined as the distance between corresponding points (e.g., centers) on adjacent pixels. Where different, separate horizontal and vertical pitches may be specified, pitch is usually specified in millimeters (mm). The pitch of current (2007) LCDs can vary by as much as a factor of six, depending on application and expected viewing distance. Table 10.5-4 provides some current examples. Pitch is sometimes stated as “resolution” in pixels per inch. NASA-STD-3000 mandated a resolution of 67 lines per inch.

Visual Resolution

The visual resolution of a display is defined as the number of pixels (horizontal or vertical) per degree of visual angle. It is thus a function of both pixel pitch and viewing distance. Visual resolution $V$ is given by

$$V = \left(\tan^{-1} \frac{1}{D_p}\right)^{-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $D_p$ is the viewing distance in pixels (distance in millimeters divided by pixel pitch), and the arctangent is computed in degrees. Over the range of interest ($D_p > 100$), this can be accurately approximated by

$$V = \frac{D_p}{57.2957}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

This measure can be computed separately in horizontal and vertical dimensions when they differ.

To give some examples, Table 10.5-4 below shows six representative displays available from one large vendor in 2006. The viewing distances are only illustrative examples.

Table 10.5-4  Visual Resolution for a Number of Representative Displays at a Number of Viewing Distances.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pixels (vertical)</th>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Pixel pitch (mm)</th>
<th>Viewing distance, H (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>Cell phone</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>480</td>
<td>Car navigation</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>768</td>
<td>46&quot; TV</td>
<td>0.746</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1080</td>
<td>46&quot; TV</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>768</td>
<td>Notebook</td>
<td>0.297</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1600</td>
<td>Monitor</td>
<td>0.251</td>
<td>27.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A typical viewing distance is indicated in shaded cells.

A number of existing standards mandate particular visual resolutions. TCO’03 and TCO’05 mandate a “pixel density” of 30 pixels per degree or greater at a measurement distance of 1.5 diagonals, while TCO’06 mandates 30 pixels per degree at a viewing distance of 4H.
Factors and Ergonomics Society (2007) specifies a “default configuration” with a pixel pitch of 0.3 mm and a viewing distance of 50 cm, which equates to 29 pixels per degree.

10.5.2.4.5 Modulation Transfer Function

The MTF is a standard method for measuring the ability of a display to render spatial imagery of specified fineness. In its purest form, a one-dimensional sinusoidal grating pattern of nominal full contrast and a specific spatial frequency is rendered on the screen, and the resulting rendered contrast is measured. The measurement is repeated at a number of spatial frequencies to obtain a function relating contrast modulation to spatial frequency: the MTF. The VESA (2001) document offers a simplified method using an “N × N grille” consisting of alternating white and black lines, each \( n \) pixels wide. The MTF can be summarized by specifying the value of \( n \) at which the modulation falls to some threshold, such as 25%. Dividing the display resolution by that number then yields the resolution in number of resolvable pixels. For some displays, such as CRTs, this is a more accurate measure than the number of pixels.

For matrix displays that use discrete, non-overlapping pixels (such as LCDs), the MTF is of less value, since it will generally show a constant MTF up to the highest frequency (1/2 cycle per pixel). It is more commonly used with displays, such as CRTs, in which adjacent pixels overlap, and in which each pixel is defined by a smoothly varying function of luminance with respect to position.

10.5.2.4.6 Uniformity

Few if any displays exhibit fully consistent performance over their entire screen surface. Therefore it is important to characterize displays in terms of the spatial uniformity of various performance parameters. Luminance uniformity refers to a metric that characterizes how well display luminance remains constant (or varies) over the surface of the screen. For example, a luminance uniformity of 100% would indicate that the desired luminance is displayed at exactly the same level regardless of screen position, while a luminance uniformity of 90% would indicate that the display suffers from a relatively small deviation from perfect performance. In its most simple and general form, luminance uniformity may be defined as the percentage change from minimum to maximum luminance.

Various methods exist for sampling and analyzing luminance values as a function of screen position; they range from a sparse sampling of discrete screen positions to scanned area measures that provide a complete profile of luminance variation across the entire screen. Luminance uniformity may be defined for the maximum display luminance, minimum display luminance, and intermediate intensity levels or grayscale steps. The choice of metric for characterizing luminance uniformity should be based on the display application and the measurement resources available.
## 10.5.2.4.7 Relevant Standards

### Table 10.5-5 Spatial Metric Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TCO'03</td>
<td>A.2.1.1</td>
<td>Pixel array requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO13406</td>
<td>3.4.8</td>
<td>Pixel pitch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Factors and Ergonomics Society (2007)</td>
<td>7.2.3.2</td>
<td>Pixel pitch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASA3000</td>
<td>9.4.2.3.3.9a</td>
<td>Resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VESA (2001)</td>
<td>303-7</td>
<td>Resolution from contrast modulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Factors and Ergonomics Society (2007)</td>
<td>7.2.1</td>
<td>Default Configuration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO, 2001</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>Design viewing distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCO '05 Notebooks</td>
<td>A.2.1.1</td>
<td>Native pixel array requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCO’06 Media Displays</td>
<td>A.2.1.1</td>
<td>Pixel array requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCO’03 Flat Panel Displays</td>
<td>A.2.1.1</td>
<td>Pixel array requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MTF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VESA (2001)</td>
<td>303-2</td>
<td>$N \times N$ Grille Luminance and contrast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VESA (2001)</td>
<td>303-7</td>
<td>Resolution from contrast modulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VESA (2001)</td>
<td>A220</td>
<td>Measures of contrast – A tutorial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Uniformity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Factors and Ergonomics Society (2007)</td>
<td>7.2.5.1</td>
<td>Luminance Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.2.5.2</td>
<td>Luminance Nonuniformity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO, 2001</td>
<td>7.19</td>
<td>Luminance Uniformity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO/WD 18789-3</td>
<td>6.4.1</td>
<td>Luminance Nonuniformity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCO’03 VDU of CRT Type</td>
<td>A.2.3.2 / B.2.3.2</td>
<td>Luminance Uniformity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCO’03 FPD</td>
<td>A.2.3.2 / B.2.3.2</td>
<td>Luminance Uniformity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-HDBK-87213</td>
<td>3.2.1.6.6</td>
<td>Luminance Uniformity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VESA (2001)</td>
<td>306-1</td>
<td>Sampled Uniformity &amp; Color of White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>306-2</td>
<td>Sampled Uniformity of Black</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 10.5.2.4.8 Mura

Mura (derived from the Japanese word for blemish) refers to localized screen defects such as dark or bright spots, smears, stripes, or streaks that are visible when the display is uniformly illuminated. It is usually distinguished from single pixel defects. There is at present no agreed-upon metric to identify or quantify mura. A metric based on the Spatial Standard Observer (Watson, 2006) has been developed recently. In that metric, the visible contrast energy of the blemish is measured in units of JND.

Though the two properties are usually treated separately, mura is closely related to nonuniformity. The two differ only in the band of spatial frequencies they consider: medium for mura and low for nonuniformity.

### 10.5.2.4.9 Pixel Fill Factor

Pixel fill factor is defined as the ratio of illuminated pixel area to total pixel area. This measure is meaningful only when the pixel is formed by well-defined areas (as in an LCD). The pixel fill factor, by itself, is not directly related to display quality, but may influence brightness, as well as the visibility of the display matrix. It is related to another metric, pixel grid modulation that describes the periodic modulation in luminance of a uniformly illuminated screen that is caused by the pixel structure of the display. ISO (2001) and Human Factors and Ergonomics Society (2007) require that if visual resolution is less than 30 pixels per degree, the fill factor must be greater than 0.3.

### 10.5.2.5 Temporal Metrics

Current imaging displays are used to present both static and dynamic imagery. They achieve this by operating in a tachistoscopic mode: they present a rapid sequence of static images to the observer. The rate at which images are presented and the temporal properties of the display technology will affect the quality of the display. For example, temporal properties will determine whether the display appears to flicker, whether objects appear to move smoothly, and whether moving edges appear blurred.

As with the spatial dimension, temporal requirements are closely related to the temporal limitations of the eye. As noted in section 5.4 Visual Perception, human observers cannot see modulations of luminance more rapid than about 60 Hz. This is the basis for frame rates at around that value.
Another set of display attributes that relate to time are those that reflect the long-term temporal behavior of the display. For example, does the display take a long time to warm up, does it decline in brightness over the course of months, do persistent images become permanently “burned in” to the display, or do they “stick” for a long but finite interval?

Among current stroboscopic displays, there are two types that differ in their time course over the duration of a single frame. The first is a sample-type display, in which a given pixel is illuminated for only a fraction of the frame interval. The CRT is an example of a sample-type display. As the electron beam scans the raster, it visits each pixel in turn, producing a brief pulse of illumination, the brevity determined by the speed of the phosphor but almost always less than the frame time. In a hold-type display, a given pixel is usually illuminated for the entire duration of the frame. The LCD is an example of a hold-type display. The temporal behavior of a hold-type display is determined by the on- and off-response of the pixel, and also by the hold time.

Relevant standards for temporal metrics are in section 10.5.2.5.5, Table 10.5-6.

10.5.2.5.1 Flicker

Flicker refers to an apparent periodic fluctuation in the brightness of a uniformly illuminated display. It is a result of the periodic illumination of the display by successive frames, and appears when the resulting luminance modulation over time exceeds the human visual threshold. That threshold is defined by the human temporal contrast sensitivity function, which is discussed in section 5.4 Visual Perception. This function depends on the size of the illuminated area, its retinal location, and the average luminance, but is typically zero at frequencies above about 70 Hz. Consequently flicker is rarely a problem at frame rates of that frequency or greater. Flicker can be measured subjectively, as described in VESA (2001) or Human Factors and Ergonomics Society (2007) section 7.2.4.3

Conventional hold-type displays, such as LCDs, do not usually exhibit flicker, because the screen is not modulated for a constant gray level. However, recent techniques for ameliorating motion blur (see below) have the potential of producing flicker in hold-type displays.

10.5.2.5.2 Step Response and Response Time

This is a measure usually applied to hold-type displays, such as LCDs. It describes the temporal step response: the time required to turn on or turn off a given pixel. Because precise onset and offset times are hard to identify, on-time is usually defined as the time taken to transition from 10 to 90%. An example of the measurement of on-time is shown in Figure 10.5-6. A similar arrangement is used to measure off-time. Both on-time and off-time are usually measured, as they may differ. Response time is often specified as the sum of on-time and off-time. Response times can be measured in milliseconds or in frames. Detailed measurement methods are provided in VESA (2001). Response time has significant impact on the quality of dynamic displays, especially through the artifact known as motion blur, discussed below.

Figure 10.5-6 shows the response time between gray levels of 0 and 255 (black and white), but response time can be measured between any two gray levels and may differ markedly for different gray levels, so it is common practice to measure all possible transitions among a subset (e.g., 5) of gray levels. There is at present no agreed-upon method to combine these values into a single metric.
10.5.2.5.3  Motion Blur

On a hold-type display, imagery in motion exhibits motion blur. This happens because the imagery remains stationary during each hold time, while the eye moves continuously as it tracks a moving feature, resulting in motion of the feature across the retina. Motion blur can also occur on a sample-type display with a slow response (e.g., a CRT with a slow phosphor). Motion blur can produce highly blurred views of objects in motion. It is prominent in LCDs, but is also present in plasma displays.

Several techniques are being used to ameliorate motion blur on LCDs, and potentially other hold-type displays. Among these are “black insertion,” in which the pixel is turned off for a fraction of the frame-time; “overdriving,” in which the on-time is reduced by transiently driving the pixel beyond its final target value; use of a 120-Hz or higher frame rate with motion-interpolated imagery; and strobing the backlight, which can in principle make the LCD into a sample-type display. All of these techniques show promise but carry with them costs and potential artifacts.

Various methods have been proposed for measurement of motion blur. One method uses a “pursuit camera” to track the motion of an edge across the screen. The camera is synchronized so that the average position of the edge remains stationary on the camera. The motion of the camera is intended to simulate the motion of the eye as it pursues the moving edge. The result is a still image of the edge as it would be seen by a tracking eye. This image is usually converted into an edge profile, as shown in Figure 10.5-6.

A second method relies on the observation that under typical conditions the blurred edge profile can be obtained from the convolution of the step response and a pulse of width one frame (Watson, 2006). An example is shown in Figure 10.5-6. The black curve is the step response, and the gray curve is the edge profile. When computed in this way, the edge profile is usually expressed as a function of time. This can be converted to a function of space (pixels) by scaling the time axis (in frames) by the speed of motion of the edge (in pixels per frame). Thus in the example shown, the horizontal axis can also be read as pixels, for a speed of 1 pixel per frame.

![Figure 10.5-6. Measurement of step response and motion blur of an LCD.](image-url)
The black line is the luminance of the LCD over time in a transition from gray level 0 (black) to 255 (white). The red lines show asymptotic black and white luminances. The gray lines show 10 and 90% of the luminance difference between on and off. The blue lines mark times at which the curve is at 10 and 90%; between them, marked by the blue arrow, is the on-time interval (0.987 frames). The gray curve is the blurred edge profile, obtained by convolution from the on-response. The green lines mark the 10 and 90% points of this curve, and the green arrow indicates the interval between them, known as the blur edge time (BET) (1.26 frames).

Current practice is to quantify motion blur in terms of the width of the edge profile, measuring from 10 to 90% of the full excursion, as shown by the green arrow in Figure 10.5-6. This width is defined as the blur edge time (BET), which in this example is 1.26 frames. BET can be converted to blur edge width (BEW) in pixels by multiplying BET by the speed in pixels/frame. So for a speed of 16 pixels/frame, BEW = 1.26 × 16 = 20.16 pixels.

It is important to note that the edge profile is not always smooth and monotonic, and that the 10% and 90% points may not be well defined. An example of an edge profile between gray levels of 150 and 139 on a plasma display is shown in Figure 10.5-7. The overshoot and ringing may be visible and annoying, but are not captured by a simple time measure such as BEW. Ad hoc methods of dealing with overshoot have been proposed, but they have not been validated and do not address the full range of variations in the edge profile.

![Figure 10.5-7: Edge profile between gray levels of 150 and 139 on a particular plasma display, exhibiting overshoot and ringing.](image)

Furthermore, BEW does not take into account the contrast of the edge. When an array of different gray-level transitions are measured, each yields a BET, but each BET is for an edge of a different contrast. No method has yet been provided for converting the combination of BET and contrast into a measure of the visibility or annoyance of the artifact.

Partly for these reasons, there is at present no agreed-upon standard for meaningful measurement of motion blur. The International Committee on Display Metrology (ICDM) is addressing this gap and may provide guidance in the near future.
One approach to a perceptually meaningful metric is provided by the spatial standard observer (Watson, 2006). In that metric, the difference between an ideal edge and the actual edge, including blur, ringing, overshoot, and contrast, is quantified in units of JND. Research is under way to evaluate this approach.

10.5.2.5.4 Long-Term Behavior

The image quality of a display may be influenced by a number of long-term behaviors. The first is warm-up time, which describes the luminance change of a full-screen white after the power is turned on. Many displays may not reach a stable final value for minutes or even hours.

The second long-term property is a change (usually a decline) in full-screen white luminance over the lifetime of the display. This is sometimes called “display aging.” Some aspects of aging occur when phosphors are used as the source of illumination, which is common in CRT, LCD, and PDP. The usual measurement is number of hours of use for a 50% decline in brightness, sometimes referred to as “lifetime.” Typical values for CRT, LCD, and PDP are in the range of 20,000 to 60,000 hours, and are somewhat dependent on the brightness settings and the average gray level.

10.5.2.5.5 Relevant Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VESA (2001)</td>
<td>305-1</td>
<td>Response time</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VESA (2001)</td>
<td>305-3</td>
<td>Warm-up time</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VESA (2001)</td>
<td>305-2</td>
<td>Residual image</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VESA (2001)</td>
<td>305-4</td>
<td>Dominant flicker component</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VESA (2001)</td>
<td>305-6</td>
<td>Flicker visual assessment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFES2006</td>
<td>7.2.4.1</td>
<td>Response time</td>
<td>On- and off-times &lt; 55 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFES2006</td>
<td>7.2.4.3</td>
<td>Flicker</td>
<td>Frame rate &gt; formula value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO13406</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>Flicker</td>
<td>Invisible to 90% of users</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO13406</td>
<td>3.4.4, 7.21</td>
<td>Image formation time</td>
<td>On-time &lt; 55 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCO-06</td>
<td>A.2.8.2</td>
<td>Response time</td>
<td>On- and off-times &lt; 13 ms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ms, milliseconds.
The third long-term attribute relates to uneven aging of the screen. This aging is usually called “burn-in,” or “residual image.” It may occur in CRT or PDP displays that have had long-term uneven illumination occur from persistent imagery. Usual metrics involve measurement of several small screen areas before and after long-term exposure to differential illumination VESA (2001).

10.5.2.6 Color

Color is an important attribute of most contemporary display technologies and many display applications. Color is often a dimension of natural images and may be used as an information code in synthetic images by the process of pseudo-color encoding. Graphics and character displays also frequently use color for information coding. Color is especially effective for organizing and segmenting visual information and for facilitating visual search. The effective use of color in visual displays requires careful colorimetric characterization of the display, stable methods for the control and management of color throughout the imaging chain, and a rational basis for the representation of color in the images displayed to an observer. The color vision capabilities of the crew should be considered in design. Color-coding should not be used as the only means of conveying information, indicating an action, prompting a response, or distinguishing a visual element; it should be redundant to some other means of coding.

A wide variety of color metrics are available for characterizing the performance of visual displays. Most of these are based on color measurement methodology and standards developed by the CIE (CIE, 1978, 1995, 1996, 2001; Hunt, 2004; Wyszecki & Stiles, 1982). This section describes those color metrics of most importance for characterizing visual displays.

Relevant standards for color are in section 10.5.2.6.6, Table 10.5-7.

10.5.2.6.1 Color Primaries

The primaries of a color display are the set of elemental colors that can be generated and combined in various proportions to visually synthesize a range of colors. To synthesize a full range of colors, a display must contain at least three color primaries with a distribution of wavelengths in the red (R), green (G), and blue (B) regions of the visible spectrum. Almost all full-color displays use a set of R, G, and B color primaries, but some displays use more than three primaries to achieve specific performance objectives such as an extended range of colors or the matching of display colors to some other color medium.

Various standards exist that specify the chromaticity coordinates for the color primaries of displays used in television, computer workstations, and graphics displays and for the proper reproduction of color content from the Internet (Poynton, 2003). One prominent and important specification for display color primaries is that designated as recommendation (Rec.) 709 for high-definition television (HDTV) by the International Telecommunications Union (ITU). It also provides the basis for the standard red-green-blue (sRGB) color primary specification for computer graphics and color Internet content. Figure 10.5-8 shows the coordinates of the ITU Rec. 709 / sRGB display color primaries plotted on a CIE 1976 UCS chromaticity diagram.
10.5.2.6.2 Color Gamut

The two-dimensional (2D) color gamut of a display specifies the area envelope of colors or chromaticities that can be generated on the display. It is typically described as the area bounded by display primaries in a reference chromaticity coordinate system. An example of a 2D color gamut for the Rec. 709 / sRGB set of color primaries is shown in Figure 10.5-8 and is indicated by the triangular area bounded by the chromaticity coordinates of the R, G, and B color primaries. The 2D color gamut is a useful metric for the outer limits of display color performance in that the display is capable of producing any color point either on or within the bounded area, but the restrictions arising from the limited dynamic luminance range of the primaries and the quantization of that range are absent.

A common industry metric for specifying color gamut is the area of the display gamut, in the CIE 1931 chromaticity diagram, as a percentage of the NTSC color gamut. The latter is a standard hypothetical gamut designed in the early years of television broadcasting. This metric is problematic for several reasons. First, the CIE 1931 color space is known to be far from uniform, so areas are not meaningful. It would be more sensible to compute areas in a more nearly uniform color space such as the CIE 1976 UCS discussed above. Second, when computing the ratio, it is unclear how to treat areas that are not in the intersection of the two gamuts. Third, if an arbitrary reference triangle is to be used, it would be more sensible to use one in actual use, such as the ITU Rec. 709 gamut discussed above. One solution, proposed in VESA (2001), is the gamut area metric, consisting of the ratio of the display gamut area in the CIE 1976 UCS diagram to the entire area within the spectrum locus (0.1952). This metric has not yet gained wide acceptance.
All 2D color gamut metrics fail to represent the full range of colors that can be produced by a display, because they consist only of a slice though the 3D color volume. In particular, they neglect the dynamic range and the quantization within that range. It is possible to construct 3D color gamut metrics that would give a more accurate representation of a display’s rendering capabilities. For example, the gamut may also be represented as a 3D volume in which the third dimension is luminance. More typically, the gamut may be a perceptually linearized rescaling of luminance into a normalized lightness dimension using the CIE L*a*b* color space, as illustrated in Figure 10.5-9 for a display with ITU Rec. 709 / sRGB color primaries and a quantized luminance range for each color primary.

New, more general gamut metrics are especially needed as new extended color gamuts, and extended dynamic ranges, are enabled by new technology. Examples are the xvYCC extended color gamut for video systems (Tatsuhiko et al., 2006), and so-called “deep color,” a scheme for 30- to 48-bit color representation that is now part of the High-Definition Multimedia Interface (HDMI). The design of accurate and meaningful 3D color gamut metrics is an important topic for future research.

Figure 10.5-9 Three-dimensional color gamut for the ITU-Rec. 709 / sRGB color primaries plotted in the CIE L*a*b* color space. The Rec. 709 / sRGB color gamut is represented by the red wireframe. The gray volume is an estimate of the gamut of real-world object colors, and the full-color volume illustrates the intersection of these two gamuts.

10.5.2.6.3 White Point

The white point of a display establishes the effective colorimetric center of balance for a color display system and the estimated source of chromatic visual adaptation for the display observer. The white point establishes the luminance ratios between the color primaries to achieve a specified chromaticity in the achromatic region of the display color gamut.

The white point is typically specified as a colorimetric match to a color temperature defined in terms of degrees Kelvin (K) along the blackbody radiation curve. Such a colorimetric match is
designated as a correlated color temperature (CCT). The process of establishing the display white point involves locating the chromaticity coordinates of a specified CCT and then determining the ratios of the display color primaries that are necessary to achieve these coordinates. White points in common usage along with their CIE standard illuminant designation (if defined) and CIE 1976 chromaticity coordinates include CCTs of 6,504° K (CIE Source D65; u'= .1978, v'= .4683); 5,000° K (CIE Source D50; u'= .2092, v'= .4881); 6,774° K (CIE Source C; u'= .2009, v'= .4609); 2,856° K (CIE Source A; u'= .2560, v'= .5243); and 9,300° K (u'= .1915, v'= .4436). Figure 10.5-8 shows the locations of the D65 CCT in a CIE 1976 chromaticity diagram.

10.5.2.6.4 Ambient Color Gamut

Ambient illumination incident on a display typically reduces the contrast and color saturation of displayed content and may also change the hue of displayed content if the illumination departs substantially from an achromatic or neutral spectrum. The degree to which incident ambient illumination changes the display color gamut depends on the emissive (if any) and reflective characteristics of the display, the lux and SPD of the incident illumination, and the geometric relations between the display and the source(s) of illumination.

It is important to consider the color gamut of displays under the range of ambient illumination levels anticipated in a particular operational environment. Such considerations may affect the choice of display technologies and the specifications for display visual parameters. Figure 10.5-9 provides examples of how the color gamut for a display with sRGB color primaries changes from a nominal or dark ambient environment to one in which a high level of broadband illumination is incident on the display surface. The incident illumination reduces both the display contrast ratio and color saturation, reducing the effective color gamut of the display.

10.5.2.6.5 Color Tracking

Once the white point of the display is selected and the resulting luminance and drive level ratios are established for that white point, it is important to ensure that these ratios are maintained within reasonable tolerances across all display intensity levels. Drive level can be thought of as the product of the contrast setting and the gain setting for a color. The degree to which the luminance and drive level ratios are maintained determines the stability of mixture colors over the dynamic range of the display and is known as color tracking.

Various metrics can be used to assess color tracking. One obvious method is to evaluate the display primary luminance ratios for the white point across drive levels, while another method involves measurement of white point chromaticity across the same range. Color difference metrics are also useful for this purpose and provide a general approach for establishing color display tolerances.
### 10.5.2.6.6 Related Standards

#### Table 10.5-7 Color Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Display Standard</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Human Factors and Ergonomics Society (2007)</td>
<td>7.2.5.6</td>
<td>Default Color Set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.2.5.7</td>
<td>Color Differences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.2.5.8</td>
<td>Color Uniformity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.2.5.9</td>
<td>Number of Colors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.2.5.10</td>
<td>Background/Foreground Interactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.2.6.2</td>
<td>Size of Colored Characters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO, 2001</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>Chromaticity Uniformity Difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>Default Color Set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.26</td>
<td>Multicolor Object Size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.27</td>
<td>Color Differences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.28</td>
<td>Spectrally Extreme Colors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.29</td>
<td>Number of Colors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO/WD 18789-3</td>
<td>6.4.2</td>
<td>Color Nonuniformity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.6.4</td>
<td>Color Coding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.7.1</td>
<td>Monochrome and Multicolor Object Size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.7.3</td>
<td>Color Considerations for Graphics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.7.4</td>
<td>Background and Surrounding Image Effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.7.5</td>
<td>Number of Colors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.8.1</td>
<td>Color Gamut and Reference White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.8.2</td>
<td>Color Gamma and Grayscale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCO’03 VDU of CRT Type</td>
<td>A.2.6.1 / B.2.6.1</td>
<td>Correlated Color Temperature Variation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A.2.6.2 / B.2.6.2</td>
<td>Color Uniformity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A.2.6.3 / B.2.6.3</td>
<td>RGB Settings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCO’03 FPD</td>
<td>A.2.6.1 / B.2.6.1</td>
<td>Correlated Color Temperature Variation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A.2.6.2 / B.2.6.2</td>
<td>Color Uniformity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A.2.6.3 / B.2.6.3</td>
<td>RGB Settings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A.2.6.4 / B.2.6.4</td>
<td>Color Uniformity – Angular Dependence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A.2.6.5 / B.2.6.5</td>
<td>Color Greyscale Linearity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
10.5.2.6.7 Viewing Angle Performance

Viewing angle is the angle between a normal at the center of the display surface and a line from the same point to the eye (or measurement device, or nasal bridge). Figure 10.5-1 illustrates the general concept of display viewing angle. Displays may exhibit changes in various aspects of their performance as a function of viewing angle. Common changes in display visual characteristics with viewing angle include luminance attenuation, degradation of contrast or even contrast inversion, and color changes including shifts in the hue and reductions in the saturation of displayed colors. Moreover, such changes are often anisotropic and vary with both the
azimuthal viewing direction ($\phi$) and the polar declination angle ($\theta$) with respect to the display normal.

Standards for viewing angles are given in section 10.5.2.7.1, Table 10.5-8.

Display technologies differ in the degree to which their performance varies with viewing angle. CRTs, which are basically Lambertian emitters, typically exhibit few or no changes with viewing angle. PDPs generally show some attenuation in luminance with eccentricity. The viewing angle performance of rear-projection displays is primarily determined by characteristics of the projection screen, and by design such screens often exhibit anisotropic luminance changes due to screen geometry and optical gain. The largest performance variations attributable to viewing angle are typically found in LCDs, some of which can exhibit dramatic and highly anisotropic changes in luminance, contrast, and color. Although the optics of LCDs make some variation in viewing angle inevitable, several recent advances in LCD technology have ameliorated the dramatic viewing-angle problems that plagued earlier twisted-nematic-type LCD panels.

Viewing angle performance usually refers to changes in luminance, contrast, or chromaticity of a pixel or patch of pixels as a function of viewing angle. A complete description of performance would be provided by a function of the form $Y_{xy}(r,g,b,\theta,\phi)$ where $Y_{xy}$ is a CIE coordinate; $r$, $g$, and $b$ are the digital values of the selected color; $\theta$ is the polar declination angle, and $\phi$ is the azimuthal angle in spherical coordinates. Since a high-resolution sampling of $Y_{xy}$ for the hemisphere of all possible viewing angles would require a prohibitive number of measurements, various simplifications are adopted. For example, many measurements consider only luminance performance ($Y$) as a function of gray level ($r=g=b$), and often consider only the contrast ratio between white and black. Likewise it is common to consider only a few angles in each of the horizontal and vertical directions or to sample a set of angles in spherical coordinates (e.g., $10^\circ$ increments in $\theta$ and $\phi$) and then fit iso-contour lines to estimate performance for the full viewing volume. Figure 10.5-10 shows a peak contrast ratio iso-contour plot of an active-matrix LCD.

![Figure 10.5-10 Peak photopic contrast ratio iso-contours for an active-matrix LCD plotted in spherical coordinates.](image)
One common practice is to verify that a display meets a set of standards (e.g., for luminance, contrast, and color) within an envelope specified by four selected angles: left, right, up, and down. For example, Human Factors and Ergonomics Society (2007) states that all of its requirements should be met within ± 30° horizontally, and +30° and –20° vertically. Another common practice is to specify a set of four angles (left, right, up, down) at which performance (such as the contrast ratio or color) changes by a threshold amount (e.g., contrast ratio reduced by 0.5, or color shift of CIE L*a*b* DE = 5) from its on-axis or normal value. VESA (2001) provides a good description of various measurements of viewing angle performance. Actual required viewing angle performance will depend on the application and its distribution of viewing angles.

10.5.2.6.8 Viewing Angle: Related Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Human Factors and Ergonomics</td>
<td>7.2.2.3</td>
<td>Design Viewing Envelope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Society (2007)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO, 2001</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>Design Viewing Direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO/WD 18789-3</td>
<td>6.1.2</td>
<td>Design Viewing Direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCO’03 FPD</td>
<td>A.2.3.4/B.2.3.4</td>
<td>Luminance Uniformity Angular Dependence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A.2.4.2/B.2.4.2</td>
<td>Luminance Contrast Angular Dependence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A.2.6.4/B.2.6.4</td>
<td>Color Uniformity – Angular Dependence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-HDBK-87213</td>
<td>3.2.1.6.7</td>
<td>Viewing Angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.2.1.6.7</td>
<td>Verification of Viewing Angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VESA (2001)</td>
<td>300-2</td>
<td>Coordinates and Viewing Angles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>307</td>
<td>Viewing Angle Performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>307-1</td>
<td>Four-Point Viewing Angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>307-2</td>
<td>Threshold-Based H&amp;V Viewing Angles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>307-3</td>
<td>Gray-Scale Inversion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>307-4</td>
<td>Viewing Cone Thresholds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>307-5</td>
<td>Gray-Scale Inversion Viewing Cone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>307-6</td>
<td>Color Inversion Viewing Cone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>304-6</td>
<td>Color Scales of Centered Box</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>306-1</td>
<td>Sampled Uniformity and Color of White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>306-4</td>
<td>Sampled Uniformity of Colors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>307-6</td>
<td>Color-Inversion Viewing Cone</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Early display standards documents contained a wealth of detail regarding particular display content elements. With the ubiquity of programmable matrix displays, on which arbitrary display contents may be rendered, it seems more appropriate to write standards that mandate more general properties, such as resolution, contrast, gray levels, and color gamut. Nevertheless, a few types of display content are sufficiently distinct and frequent that they deserve special mention. Text is the most obvious of these special display elements.

Standards related to text are in section 10.5.2.8.10, Table 10.5-10.

10.5.2.7.1 Background Research

Standards for display text are concerned primarily with character size, spacing, aspect ratio, and line spacing. Here a brief survey of relevant research results is provided.

Characters are complex graphic elements (especially if Asian fonts are considered), and the characters of a single font vary in width and height. Nevertheless there is a need to specify a size for each font. In the standards that are considered in this chapter, this size is usually given by the height of the uppercase letter H (sometimes known as the “cap-height”). In contrast, typographers typically specify font size in terms of the height of the letter x (also known as “x-height,” or the unit “ex”). The ratio of x-height to cap-height can be considerably different for different fonts. Because lowercase characters are more common in reading experience, it may be argued that x-height may be the better basis for standardization. A further reason for this choice is that most of the research on reading has varied x-height, rather than cap-height.

To illustrate this discussion, a magnified example of a particular fixed-width 32-point Courier font is shown in Figure 10.5-11. In this example, the x-width is 1.16 times the x-height, and the H-height is 1.28 times the x-height. The default horizontal spacing between the two x's is 1.31 x-heights.

![Figure 10.5-11 Example of font dimensions.](image_url)

A useful starting point for the discussion of character size is the observation that subjects with normal visual acuity (20/20) cannot identify letters with a height less than 5 minutes of arc. However, this limit is reached by emmetropic observers (observing normally with the object in sharp focus on the retina) under conditions where each letter is scrutinized, and where the letters are drawn from a special character set (the Sloan letters). To accommodate less-than-normal acuity and a variety of fonts, and to allow rapid reading without scrutiny, larger character heights are usually required.

In a comprehensive series of articles, Legge and colleagues studied reading rate as a function of letter size, contrast, font, color, and other variables. Legge varied character width (which he defined as the horizontal pitch between adjacent letters), and actual letter size covaried with this spacing. A fixed-width font was used. As noted above, character pitch is often about the same as...
letter height. The best reading rates were obtained for character widths between 24 min and 42 min, and reasonable rates were obtained for widths between 12 min and 360 min. Rates declined rapidly for letters smaller than 12 min and for very large letters (Legge et al., 1985). These basic results are shown in Figure 10.5-12.

![Figure 10.5-12](image)

**Figure 10.5-12** Effect of character width and spacing on reading rate. Figure is reproduced from (Legge, 1985). DK, KS, DGP and MK are the initials for individual observers.

Using more advanced display technology, Chung also measured reading rate as a function of character spacing (Chung et al., 1998). Her data, which covers only smaller sizes, are shown in Figure 10.5-13. They are similar to the data of Legge (red points), though Chung’s data does not extend to the optimal size in Legge’s data.

![Figure 10.5-13](image)

**Figure 10.5-13** Reading rate as a function of letter size or spacing. Data from (Chung, 1998).
The blue curve is an average for six observers, obtained by averaging a linear interpolation of the data for each observer over the indicated range of spacings. The red points are averages extracted from Legge’s data (Figure 10.5-12).

Legge et al. also found that text polarity (black-on-white vs. white-on-black) had no effect. When letter images are sampled (as on a matrix display), reading rate declines below a critical sample density that is a function of letter size, and is about 4 samples/width for 6-min characters.

For the best sizes, contrast has little effect until it is reduced to 0.1 or below (Legge et al., 1987). For smaller and larger sizes, contrast has a greater effect. The reading contrast threshold (contrast at which reading rate is 35 words per minute) can be understood in terms of the contrast sensitivity function and a critical frequency of 2 cycles per letter width.

Color and luminance contrast have equal effects on reading rate when both are expressed in units of threshold. When both are present, the larger controls reading rate (Legge et al., 1990).

Chung examined the separate effects of letter size and spacing using a fixed-width Courier font. She first located the critical print size, the size at which reading rate reaches its asymptotic value. Using letters of either 0.8 or 1.5 times the critical print size, she found only modest variations in reading rate, as shown in Figure 10.5-14.

![Figure 10.5-14](image)

**Figure 10.5-14** Reading rate as a function of horizontal spacing. Data from (Chung, 2002).

Spacings that caused letters to overlap were excluded. The gray arrow indicates the default spacing for this font of 1.16 x-width.

In a subsequent study on vertical spacing, Chung found equally small effects, as shown in Figure 10.5-15. A summary of Chung’s results might be that as long as characters do not overlap, letter spacing has little effect on reading rate.
Pelli and colleagues have pointed out that letter spacing and letter size are usually confounded. They argue that the limitation is actually letter spacing, due to the effects of “crowding” on adjacent letters, especially as the letters viewed in a fixation extend into the periphery (Pelli et al., 2007).

The studies cited above used fixed-width fonts. Arditi and colleagues compared fixed- and variable-width fonts, and their results show no consistent difference in reading rate for the two types of font over the range of sizes of interest here (15 to 30 min) (Arditi et al., 1990).

As noted below under Research Needs, text displays might be better designed with tools that could compute legibility for arbitrary combinations of size, shape, contrast, and color. But until such tools are available, we provide a brief survey of existing metrics and standards in this area.

10.5.2.7.2 Character Size (Degrees)

In Figure 10.5-16, a summary of various standards is provided, in which both reading and scrutiny are shown. For scrutiny, letters must be identifiable, but more time and effort may be taken. Examples are subscripts and superscripts.
Figure 10.5-16 Character height standards in minutes of arc. Requirements are indicated in red, recommendations in blue. The letter size corresponding to normal visual acuity is also shown, as well as the optimal size found by Legge (see Figure 10.5-12). The illustrative letters on the left are correct when viewed from an appropriate distance.

10.5.2.7.3 Character Size (Pixels)

Character size in pixels (sometimes called character format or character matrix) is an issue only for displays with low visual resolution (< 30 pixels per degree). Under those circumstances, characters meeting requirements for size in degrees (Figure 10.5-16) might contain too few pixels for intelligible rendering. Common requirements are shown in Table 10.5-9. As displays trend toward finer pixel pitch and higher visual resolution, these requirements become less relevant.
Table 10.5-9 Character Size Requirements in Pixels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Width</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>uppercase, numerals</td>
<td>Human Factors and Ergonomics Society, 2007, ISO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>subscripts</td>
<td>Human Factors and Ergonomics Society, 2007, ISO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+2</td>
<td></td>
<td>lowercase</td>
<td>Human Factors and Ergonomics Society, 2007, ISO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+2</td>
<td></td>
<td>diacrits</td>
<td>Human Factors and Ergonomics Society, 2007, ISO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>reading</td>
<td>ISO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Asian, reading</td>
<td>ISO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Asian, reading, preferred</td>
<td>ISO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.5.2.7.4 Character Size (Points)

The point is a traditional typographic unit of font size. In modern usage, a point is 1/72 of an inch. The point size of a particular font is defined as the distance from the tallest ascender to the lowest descender, plus built-in leading (spacing) above and below each character. Thus successive lines of 72-point type will be one inch apart from center to center.

Unless the viewing distance is known with some certainty (e.g., handheld displays), character sizes should be specified in degrees of visual angle rather than in point size. If viewing distance is known, point size can be related to x-height in degrees. But this relationship is only approximate, because different fonts may occupy different portions of the total line height; there is no fixed relationship between point size and x-height or cap-height.

10.5.2.7.5 Stroke Width

In many fonts, stroke width is not well defined. Nevertheless it is clear that excessively thin or thick strokes may reduce the legibility of text. Example requirements are that stroke width be from 1/12 to 1/6 (HFES, 2007) or 1/12.5 to 1/5 (ISO, 2001) or 1/8 to 1/6 (NASA-STD-3000) of character height.

10.5.2.7.6 Character Spacing

The following are the existing standards for spacing between characters: one pixel or 0.2 character width (NASA-STD-3000), stroke width (HFES, 2007, sans serif), stroke width or one pixel (ISO, 2001, sans serif), one pixel (HFES, 2007, ISO 2001, serif). HFES recommends 0.25 to 0.6 of a character width.
10.5.2.7.7 Line Spacing
Vertical spacing between lines, including ascenders, descenders, and diacrits, is required to be one pixel (HFES 2007, NASA-STD-3000, ISO 2001). HFES recommends 0.15 of the letter height.

10.5.2.7.8 Word Spacing
Word spacing is required to be one letter width (NASA-STD-3000), greater than the letter spacing and at least 0.5 letter width (HFES, 2007), or one letter width or one space proportional font (ISO, 2001).

10.5.2.7.9 Character Contrast
TCO’03 and TCO’06 mandate that character contrast be greater than 0.7.

10.5.2.7.10 Related Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VESA (2001)</td>
<td>303-5</td>
<td>Intracharacter luminance and contrast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Factors and Ergonomics Society (2007)</td>
<td>7.2.6.1</td>
<td>Character Height</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Factors and Ergonomics Society (2007)</td>
<td>7.2.6.2</td>
<td>Sizes of Colored Characters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Factors and Ergonomics Society (2007)</td>
<td>7.2.6.3</td>
<td>Character Width-to-Height Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Factors and Ergonomics Society (2007)</td>
<td>7.2.6.4</td>
<td>Stroke Width</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Factors and Ergonomics Society (2007)</td>
<td>7.2.6.5</td>
<td>Character Format</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Factors and Ergonomics Society (2007)</td>
<td>7.2.6.6</td>
<td>Spacing Between Characters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Factors and Ergonomics Society (2007)</td>
<td>7.2.6.7</td>
<td>Spacing Between Lines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Factors and Ergonomics Society (2007)</td>
<td>7.2.6.8</td>
<td>Spacing Between Words</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Topic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO, 2001</td>
<td>7.10</td>
<td>Character format</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO, 2001</td>
<td>7.11</td>
<td>Between-character spacing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO, 2001</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>Between-word spacing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO, 2001</td>
<td>7.13</td>
<td>Between-line spacing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO, 2001</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>Combined measurement for character design analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO 9241</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>Character format</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO 9241</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>Character size uniformity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO 9241</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>Between-character spacing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO 9241</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>Between-word spacing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO 9241</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>Between-line spacing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-1472</td>
<td>5.5.5</td>
<td>Design of label characters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCO’06</td>
<td>A.2.4.1</td>
<td>Luminance contrast – characters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCO’03</td>
<td>A.2.4.1</td>
<td>Luminance contrast – characters</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 10.5.2.8 Identification

Each display and display element should be easy to identify so that the user can quickly recognize it. A system usually has multiple display elements, each with different functions. Easy identification can be based on features such as location, size, shape, or color. The same principles are valid for sections of a display. The following additional tools can be used to increase ease of identification:

- **Grouping**: Grouping is important for task flow support and to make visual search easier on the display. Grouping can be attained in multiple ways: similarity, closure, grouping by using a border. Elements that belong together based on the task flow should be placed closer to group them. They should also be grouped by similar color or similar shape.
- **Spacing**: Spacing should be used to separate displays and groups of information on displays to make the display easier to view and to find information.
- **Alignment**: Display elements should be aligned, to reduce clutter and support easy visual search and grouping.

### 10.5.2.9 Software Elements

#### 10.5.2.9.1 Scrolling

A scroll bar is included in an interface if an area has scrolling content. Vertical scrolling is appropriate most of the time because computer users are experienced with vertical scrolling. However, horizontal scrolling is never recommended, especially when vertical scrolling is also
required. Vertical scrolling should be limited when motor skills may be impaired by environmental or other factors (e.g., high-g environments).

When scrolling is not a good option for the structure of the display, paging may be appropriate, that is, moving from page to page. When the system response time is fast, paging may be a better option, especially if users are not reading for comprehension but rather are accomplishing a task.

### 10.5.2.9.2 Navigation

Navigation from one display or section of a display to another should be intuitive and efficient. A system should have as few levels of navigation as possible because if navigation is too deep, it can be cumbersome to use and difficult to learn.

Navigation should be consistent across the software in color, label, positioning, and other features. The interface should provide indication to the user about their location within the structure of the display. There must always be a way to get back to the previous software display or to the starting display. A navigation structure or site map may be helpful in allowing users to get an overview of the structure.

### 10.5.2.9.3 Selection

Selectable elements on a display must have distinctive features. For example, in Microsoft Windows® interfaces, text in selectable text field areas has a white background that indicates that the text is selectable and editable. Selectable standard buttons look three-dimensional and have a stronger gray color than buttons that are not clickable. When a user selects an interface element, the user must receive feedback to indicate that the element has been selected. To use the example of the selectable text, selection causes the text’s background color to change from white to a blue or dark gray.

### 10.5.2.9.4 Menus

Menus should be intuitive and have clear labels. The number of items in a menu should be minimized. If there are too many items, it is difficult for the user to find the option needed. The number of submenu items should also be limited. Having multiple submenus with multiple options makes it difficult to remember the options under each. Multiple submenus are also cumbersome to use because of the multiple clicks and fine motor movement required.

Menus should be distinct from the main content of the display. They should be clearly readable with good visual contrast and labels. Menus should also be consistent across different displays within the same application. It is confusing for users to see different labels that lead to the same results when activated.

### 10.5.2.9.5 Toolbars and Status Bars

Toolbars usually contain menus and icons that allow activation of commands and functions. Toolbars may be in a fixed position or they can “float” on the screen and be dragged to more convenient locations.

A status bar is a horizontal line of information displayed at the top or bottom of a software display. It displays information about the current state of the software. It may also show progress bars that indicate the progress (in time) of the processes occurring on the software display.
**10.5.2.9.6 Dialog Boxes**

A dialog box is a window that appears on the screen and presents information or requests some input from the user. Dialog boxes should allow interaction to be continued with the rest of the interface without forcing the user into the mode of operation of the dialog box; that is, dialog boxes should be nonmodal. Modal dialog boxes temporarily stop the program and the user cannot continue without closing the dialog box. This type of dialog box should be avoided or used infrequently.

The language used in dialog boxes should be simple, natural language that is easy for users to understand. It should provide the necessary and sufficient information for the situation, and if applicable, provide a suggestion for resolving the situation.

Dialog boxes may be used as an aid for composing complex control entries. For example, for a print request, a displayed form might help a user invoke the various format controls that are available.

**10.5.2.10 Research Needs**

The lengthy list of ad hoc rules related to character dimensions is troublesome, but not surprising. Even within a single font, characters differ markedly in size and shape, and allowing the font to vary increases this variability. Current high-resolution matrix displays allow great variety and creativity in the design of fonts, but this, too, increases the variation in size and shape. The inclusion of Asian and other language character sets increases it still further. Beyond variations in size and shape, character sets differ in their number of elements, which in turn affects the information conveyed by each character and the difficulty of identification. Because current displays allow the color of text and background to be easily controlled, color and contrast also will affect legibility. For all of these reasons, it is unlikely that a small set of well-defined rules will serve in all of these (and future) situations.

A better solution would be a tool that measures the discriminability of the elements of an arbitrary symbol set. A metric of this sort has recently been developed, and has been shown to provide good predictions of letter identification in the presence of various optical aberrations (Watson & Ahumada, 2005b; Ahumada, et. al., 2007). This metric would have the advantage of taking into account all of the variations mentioned above. Additional research is required to determine its value in setting standards for character size and format in displays. Progress has also been made in understanding how complexity of letter shape affects identification, and this also could lead to useful metrics (Pelli et al., 2006).

**10.5.3 Display Interpretation**

A display is easy to interpret if it is well designed and easy to learn, and supports efficiency. The ability to interpret a display is closely related to transparency. A transparent interface is commonly defined as one that maximizes user task completion and minimizes interfering factors.
A transparent interface has the following properties:

- Simplicity
- Consistency of elements and style
- Clear navigation
- Logical grouping of content and tasks
- Logical grouping of visual elements

10.5.3.1 Simplicity

Simplicity is important in preventing errors in execution. A display must not contain superfluous information. Access to any information should follow a logical flow pattern. Labels should be easy to understand.

Navigation should be intuitive. Redundancy should be reduced on a display. The less frequently used features should be moved to a separate display.

Simplicity does not mean necessarily fewer features. To increase simplicity, the design of displays should be based on task analysis to identify the necessary and sufficient information to be presented. Also, designing with consistency, good grouping, and logical flow can increase the simplicity of a display.

10.5.3.2 Indicators

An indicator is used to tell users where they are on the screen, what procedure they are working on, or what display unit is active.

A status indicator is a visual symbol used to indicate the current status of something. For example, if the user closes a valve, the appearance of the valve icon should change, such as by labeling it as closed, graying out the graphical representation of the valve, or changing the representation of the valve to a picture of a closed valve.

Some indicators show the progress of a process on the screen. Others may indicate time, battery life, or other information. Indicators should have representative icons or labels that are distinct and easy to identify.

10.5.3.3 Modes

A mode is a setting in which the user input produces actions different from those that it otherwise would, or that it would in a different mode. For example, pressing the Caps Lock key on a keyboard takes the system into capitalization mode, in which depressing any letter key prints that letter in a format different from the one that it would print if the Caps Lock key were not depressed. In general, modes should be avoided, because they lead to what are called “mode errors,” in which users input a command different from the one intended, usually because they forget which mode they are in. The user then needs to correct the error and reperform the action they intended. Modes also require the user to shift the state of the operating system, which takes time and requires a level of fluency with the system. An example of a system with mode settings that were poorly designed is one in which the same interface design is used for two different modes. This makes it hard for users to determine which mode they are in without switching from...
mode to mode or restarting the program. This condition should be avoided. If it is necessary to implement a modal system, then design factors should be in place to clearly signal to the user which mode is in effect.

10.5.4 Display Technologies

10.5.4.1 Overview of Display Technologies

A broad range of display technologies are now available and under development to serve specific requirements and applications. Figure 10.5-17 illustrates the range of currently available display technologies. Regardless of the technology used, the common emphasis in virtually all application areas is on improved display image quality and lower display cost. Desired image quality improvements for all displays are enhancements in contrast, brightness, color gamut, and resolution.
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Figure 10.5-17 Range of currently available display technologies. Hierarchical organization of currently available display technologies, arranged according to viewing mode (projection, direct-view, and virtual) and whether the technology intrinsically generates light (emissive) or modulates light from a separate external or internal source (non-emissive).
Display applications in the industrial, military, and aerospace sectors often have their own unique requirements and performance standards. However, it is now commonplace that these applications adopt the core display technologies developed through huge investments in commercial research and development for their specialized needs.

The following sections provide an overview of the most important display technologies currently available. The technology review is divided into the following major sections: direct-view displays of the emissive type, direct-view displays of the non-emissive type, and projection display technologies. Each of these sections contains two categories of display technology: those that are beyond the development stage and currently available on the market as a mature technology, and those that are in active stages of development with limited market penetration and/or are limited in scope to niche applications. For the former category the basic principles of operation, most salient operating characteristics, and major operational advantages and disadvantages are briefly reviewed. For the latter category only a limited operational description and status estimate are provided. The final section contains an overview of emerging and future display technologies.

Following the technology descriptions, other sections list and describe standards for display technologies (10.5.5) and areas for future research (10.5.6).

10.5.4.2 Direct-View Displays of the Emissive Type

Emissive displays are those that intrinsically generate or emit light. For emissive displays the light-generating and image-forming functions are provided by the same elements.

10.5.4.2.1 Cathode Ray Tubes

The CRT has dominated the display market since the 1960s, until the recent proliferation of flat-panel display technologies. The principal technology for full-color, direct-view CRTs is the shadow-mask CRT. Table 10.5-11 shows cathode ray tube attributes and their ratings for use as a display device.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Display Technology Attributes</th>
<th>General Rating</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Spatial Addressability       | Very High      | • Gaussian beam reduces spatial noise but limits optical resolution  
| Spatial Resolution           | High           | • Luminance and resolution negatively correlated |
| Temporal Response            | High           | • Short and medium-short persistence phosphors exhibit narrow impulse response |
| Luminance                    | Low to Medium  | • Monochrome CRTs capable of moderate to high luminance  
|                              |                | • Shadow-mask and phosphor fill factor limit luminance of color CRTs |
| Contrast                     | High           | • Excellent black levels |
| Ambient Contrast             | Low to Medium  | • Diffuse reflectance from phosphor limits ambient contrast |
10.5.4.2.2 Plasma Display Panels

The PDP is a flat panel display capable of high brightness, color, and large display sizes. The basic principle of operation is that intersecting row and column electrodes excite a gas, which in turn excites a phosphor, which releases light. Color is produced by the use of localized deposits of three different phosphors.

The use of powder-type phosphors in color PDPs introduces diffuse scattering much like that found in CRTs, which increases the reflectance of the front surface and thereby reduces the ambient contrast of the device. Color filters can ameliorate this effect but at a cost in brightness.

PDPs do not have analog grayscale capability and thus use pulse-width modulation (PWM) to generate intermediate gray levels. This, and the need to impose a gamma function, make the PDP subject to contouring artifacts at low gray levels and to perceptible motion artifacts when observers visually track or pursue the motion of objects in dynamic images (Schindler, 2004).

Table 10.5-12 shows PDP technology attributes and their ratings for use as a display device.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Display Technology Attributes</th>
<th>General Rating</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spatial Addressability</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial Resolution</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal Response</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luminance</td>
<td>Medium to High</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contrast</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambient Contrast</td>
<td>• Excellent black levels</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Diffuse reflectance from phosphor limits ambient contrast</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grayscale Performance</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• PWM, linear transfer function and temporal subframe structure result in non-optimal grayscale performance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viewing Angle</td>
<td>Very High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Effectively Lambertian viewing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Contrast enhancement filters can attenuate luminance off axis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color Gamut</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambient Color Gamut</td>
<td>Low to Medium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Color gamut defined by phosphor SPD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Diffuse reflection from phosphors reduces color gamut under ambient lighting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Package</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Large and relatively heavy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Relatively small depth required</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application Flexibility</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Not amenable to portable or battery-powered applications</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Limited to large screen sizes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Subject to contouring artifacts at low gray levels</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Subject to perceptible motion artifacts when viewing dynamic imagery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 10.5.4.2.3 Light-Emitting Diodes and Organic Light-Emitting Diodes

The LED is a semiconductor device consisting of a single p-n junction; light is emitted when the junction is forward-biased by the application of a suitable voltage. The first commercially available LEDs were introduced into the marketplace in 1968 and quickly became an important technology for indicator lamps and small segmented alphanumeric displays.

LEDs are now capable of producing a full spectrum of colors with very high color saturation and good luminous efficiency. Nevertheless, relatively high cost, interconnect complexity, and manufacturing limitations in building high-density arrays of full-color LEDs have restricted their use primarily to very large displays for digital signage and electronic billboard applications.

Organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs) and polymer LEDs (PLEDs) are LEDs whose emissive electroluminescent layer consists of a film of organic compounds (Bulovic, 2005; King, 1994). These films emit light when subjected to an electric current. The SPD of the emitted light depends on the type of organic molecule in the emissive layer. Full-color OLEDs are generally achieved by either spatial patterning of R, G, and B emissive materials or by use of a broadband emissive material in conjunction with a spatial pattern of R, G, and B color-selection filters. The intensity of the emitted light depends on the amount of electrical current applied. The nonlinear relationship between voltage and current provides OLEDs with a nonlinear transfer function that is well characterized by a power function.

Claimed advantages for OLEDs include simplified manufacturing structure, compatibility with flexible substrates, lower manufacturing costs than LCDs or PDPs, high contrast with true black level, high luminance with good luminous efficiency, low power consumption, fast temporal response, wide viewing angle, and excellent grayscale performance (Bulovic, 2005; Ghosh & Hack, 2004). The principal disadvantages include limited lifetime of OLED and PLED emissive materials, lack of a stable short-wavelength emissive material with a good lifetime, differential aging of emissive materials with different SPDs, and susceptibility to contamination and damage from moisture. In addition, reflections from metal cathodes and other metallic structures in these devices can also affect performance.
devices can result in high levels of internal specular reflection under ambient illumination, with commensurate degradations of ambient contrast. Circular polarizers may be used to mitigate these reflections, but their use dramatically reduces the luminous efficiency of the display.

OLED and PLED display technologies remain in a very active state of development with a great deal of ongoing research on OLED / PLED materials, display system architectures, and manufacturing processes. To date only a few small, mobile display products using OLEDs and PLEDs have appeared and stayed on the market.

Table 10.5-13 shows OLED/PLED technology attributes and their ratings for use as a display device.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Display Technology Attributes</th>
<th>General Rating</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spatial Addressability</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial Resolution</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal Response</td>
<td>Medium to High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luminance</td>
<td>Medium to High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contrast</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambient Contrast</td>
<td>Low to Medium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grayscale Performance</td>
<td>Very High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viewing Angle</td>
<td>Very High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color Gamut</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambient Color Gamut</td>
<td>Low to Medium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Package</td>
<td>Very High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application Flexibility</td>
<td>Very high</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Spatial resolution can be enhanced by vertically stacked color pixel structure
- Native response in sub-millisecond range
- Temporal aperture extended by sample-and-hold mode of operation in active-matrix configurations
- Material-dependent
- Use of circular polarizer to enhance ambient contrast reduces luminance
- Excellent black levels
- Specular reflectance from metal cathode limits ambient contrast
- Circular polarizer can be used to enhance ambient contrast
- Continuous analog grayscale capability
- Transfer function a true power function
- Effectively Lambertian viewing
- Contrast enhancement filters can attenuate luminance off axis
- Color gamut defined by OLED / PLED materials
- Specular reflections from metal cathode reduce color gamut under ambient lighting
- Circular polarizer can be used to enhance ambient color gamut
- Very small footprint and light weight
- Very small depth required
- Very amenable to portable or battery-powered applications
- Great flexibility in screen sizes
10.5.4.2.4 Other Direct-View Emissive Display Technologies

Three additional emissive display technologies suitable for direct-view applications are electroluminescent (EL) displays, vacuum-fluorescent displays (VFD), and field-emitter displays (FED). Each of these technologies has been the subject of extensive development efforts and has achieved some success in limited application environments. They may be suitable for some specific applications but are generally not evolving and are being displaced by newer technologies.

Like the OLED and PLED devices, the EL display is particularly appealing due to its simple structure. Electroluminescence is the nonthermal conversion of electrical energy into luminous energy resulting from the application of an electrical field to a substance. The substance is typically a phosphor of the thin-film or powder type, and either ac or dc driving waveforms may be used. The combination of phosphor type and driving waveform gives rise to four types of EL devices. However, for high-resolution display applications, and particularly where the generation of color images is concerned, the dominant EL technology is the ac-driven thin-film EL display or TFEL (King, 1994).

The first commercial EL displays were introduced into the marketplace in 1983. These were monochrome devices, and today a full line of monochrome EL displays up to $1024 \times 864$ pixels is available. In 1993, the first multicolor EL display panels were introduced into the marketplace and the first full-color TFEL prototypes were demonstrated. EL technology is still used extensively for medical instrumentation displays because of its wide viewing angle and good form factor; however, it has steadily lost market share to LCD and OLED / PLED displays.

In a VFD, cathode filaments are heated and emit electrons, which excite a phosphor-coated anode plate, emitting light. All components are enclosed in a glass envelope vacuum. To date, VFDs have succeeded in applications requiring small displays and the presentation of alphanumeric and limited graphical information. They are often found as indicator displays in a variety of electronic equipment (e.g., video cassette recorders, stereo equipment, test instruments) and have become a popular display technology for automobile dashboards.

The quest for a thin, lightweight, low-power display with the desirable characteristics of a CRT, including full-color and grayscale capability, wide viewing angle, and high resolution, has led to the development of FEDs (Kumar et al., 1994). In a basic FED, the electrons emitted by an array consisting of a very large number of localized “micro-tip” cold cathodes are accelerated onto a patterned phosphor screen. Color selection is achieved by creating a mosaic pattern of R-, G-, and B-emitting phosphors on the anode side of the panel that can be independently addressed via the cold-cathode array.

Problems in fabrication and reliability of cold-cathode arrays have limited interest in this technology, although some research and development efforts on materials and fabrication for
improved cold-cathode arrays remain active. One direction that continues to see activity is the use of carbon nanotubes for the cathode arrays.

10.5.4.2.5 Emerging and Future Direct-View Emissive Display Technologies

At present, the only notable direct-view emissive display technology that is emerging and has demonstrated the potential for very high performance is the surface-conduction electron-emitter display (SED). The SED is a flat-panel display technology that uses surface-conduction electron emitters for every individual display pixel. SEDs combine the slim form factor of LCDs and PDPs with the superior viewing angles, contrast, black levels, color gamut, and pixel response time of CRTs.

10.5.4.3 Direct-View Displays of the Non-Emissive Type

Non-emissive displays do not themselves generate light, but rather function to modulate some aspect of an extrinsic source of illumination. Non-emissive displays may be either transmissive, reflective, or transflective. Virtually all high-performance color LCDs use a transmissive mode of operation and thus require an illumination source (a backlight). Higher-performance transflective LCD configurations have become available for mobile display applications in recent years, but these displays still require an internal illumination source and do not achieve the contrast or color gamut of purely transmissive LCDs under benign ambient conditions.

In general, non-emissive displays are low-power devices in that they themselves do not serve as a source of light. However, for other than applications where a totally reflective approach will suffice, some source of artificial illumination is required. One of the advantages of a non-emissive display is that the image-forming source (i.e., the light-controlling elements) and the source of illumination are decoupled. Thus, for a non-emissive display, luminance can be increased by using a more powerful illumination source without significantly affecting the image-forming components of the display.

10.5.4.3.1 Liquid Crystal Displays

Today LCDs dominate the display market for both mobile displays and high-performance computer monitors, and are rapidly gaining dominant market share for high-definition television displays.

The basic principle of operation of the LCD is that each element acts as a shutter that blocks or transmits light from a backlight. The shutter consist of a sandwich of two identically oriented polarizers, separated by a liquid crystal layer that in its “off” state rotates the light through an angle of 90°. In the “on” state, an applied electric field eliminates the rotation, and the light passes through both polarizers. Color filters are used to create a full-color display.

The backlight for most direct-view color LCDs is a cold-cathode fluorescent lamp. The current trend in LCD backlighting is the transition to the use of solid-state illumination sources such as LEDs or semiconductor laser sources (Anandan, 2008). LED backlights using arrays of RGB sources are now found in a number of active matrix LCDs and offer the benefits of a greatly enhanced color gamut and a display white point that is controllable at the backlight.

Recent techniques have resulted in dramatic improvements in LCD viewing angle performance and have enabled the development of large LCD panels used in direct-view LCD HDTVs and
high-performance computer monitors. Recent advances have also been evident in optical designs for reflective and transflective LCDs, resulting in improved display performance for dynamic ambient illumination environments.

Table 10.5-14 shows LCD technology attributes and their ratings for use as a display device.

**Table 10.5-14 LCD Technology Attribute Ratings and Comments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Display Technology Attributes</th>
<th>General Rating</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spatial Addressability</td>
<td>Very High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial Resolution</td>
<td>Very High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Very high pixel densities achievable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Subpixel addressing techniques can improve effective resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal Response</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• LC response limited by relaxation phase of LC switching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Temporal aperture extended by sample-and-hold mode of operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luminance</td>
<td>Medium to High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Low optical throughput can be compensated by increased backlight power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contrast</td>
<td>Medium to High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambient Contrast</td>
<td>Low to High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Black levels determined by light leakage from LC cell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Ambient contrast limited by anti-glare and anti-reflection surface treatments and black matrix materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grayscale Performance</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Continuous analog grayscale capability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Transfer function generally a piecewise approximation to a power function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viewing Angle</td>
<td>Medium to High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• IPS and VA modes dramatically improve viewing angle performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Multidomain configuration further enhances viewing angles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color Gamut</td>
<td>High to Very High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambient Color Gamut</td>
<td>Low to High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Color gamut defined by backlight SPD and color filter selectivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• LED backlights enhance color gamut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Ambient color gamut limited by antiglare and antireflection surface treatments and black matrix materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Package</td>
<td>Very High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Small footprint and relatively low weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Small depth required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application Flexibility</td>
<td>Very High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Amenable to portable or battery-powered applications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Supports the broadest range of screen sizes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Subject to motion blur with dynamic imagery due to AM sample-and-hold behavior and LC response time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**10.5.4.3.2 Other Direct-View Non-Emissive Display Technologies**

Two additional non-emissive display technologies suitable for direct-view applications are electrophoretic displays and interferometric modulator (iMod) displays. Each of these technologies has been the subject of extensive development efforts and to date has achieved
some success in limited application environments. These technologies are evolving but are likely to remain suitable only for a limited range of display applications.

Electrophoretic displays operate only in the reflective mode and exhibit a high degree of reflectivity relative to LCDs operated in a reflective or transflective mode. The nature of the particle reflection is diffuse and approaches a Lambertian distribution. This imparts a paper-like appearance to monochromatic electrophoretic displays. The contrast of the display is limited and, although full-color operation can be achieved through the addition of color filters, the color saturation is low and the absorption of the filters greatly reduces the reflectivity. Electrophoretic displays are most suitable for electronic paper displays (e.g., electronic book displays), small reflective indicators, and digital signage applications.

Interferometric modulator (iMoD) displays are direct-view, reflective imaging devices based on micro-electromechanical systems (MEMS) technology (Sampsell, 2006). The iMoD displays operate only in the reflective mode and exhibit a high degree of reflectivity relative to LCDs operated in a reflective or transflective mode. The nature of the interference reflection is specular, and as a result it is challenging to achieve a wide and homogeneous viewing angle with such devices. The contrast of the iMoD is limited by reflections from the front surface of the device as well as by structures surrounding the pixels and diffusing films required to distribute the specular reflection. Unlike electrophoretic displays, high-reflectivity full-color operation is readily achieved with the iMoD, although the color saturation is low due to the limited contrast of the device. These displays are most suitable for mobile display applications due to their very high reflectivity and full-color operation under ambient illumination. Digital signage is another potential application for the iMoD.

10.5.4.3.3 Emerging and Future Direct-View Non-Emissive Display Technologies

A number of direct-view, non-emissive display technologies are currently under active development. At present, two of these technologies are notable for their demonstrated progress and application potential. These are electrochromic displays and electro-wetting displays.

Electrochromic displays have been the subject of intermittent research and development for many years, but have had limited success at commercialization (Sampsell, 2006). This situation is likely to change with recent significant advances in materials for electrochromic displays. This technology relies on the use of an electric current to reversibly oxidize or reduce a colored dye and change its color, or reversibly deposit a metal on a surface. The color change can be between two colors, or between a colored state and a transparent state. A white reflector can be used to form a high-reflectance white state or provide a colored background. Electrochromic displays have excellent viewing angle performance, and are capable of achieving moderate levels of contrast and grayscale. Full-color performance is difficult to achieve and stability of electrochromic displays is a persistent concern since few electrochemical reactions are 100 percent reversible.

Electro-wetting displays are an emerging technology that relies on fluid flow controlled by an electric field (Sampsell, 2006). The electro-wetting display offers various configurations for full-color operation and can be operated as a hybrid device using both a subtractive color optical stack with cyan, magenta, and yellow oils and additive spatial color with different color subpixels. Although electro-wetting displays are still in an early stage of development, they have already demonstrated many positive attributes. These include full-color capability, grayscale,
wide viewing angle, video-rate switching, both reflective and transmissive modes of operation, and very good optical efficiency. To date prototypes have shown only moderate display contrast and color saturation. Electro-wetting displays are currently most suitable for mobile display applications.

10.5.4.4  Projection Displays

Projection displays are a category of display technology that generates images that are not viewed directly by an observer. Rather, the image is relayed or projected from the image source to another surface or screen for viewing (Stupp & Brennesholtz, 1999). There are many types of projection displays, which can be classified according to the imager technology of the projection engine, the system optical design, and the screen configuration.

The principal types of imagers used in current projection displays are CRTs, liquid crystal light valves of both the transmissive and reflective type, and imagers based on MEMS. A wide range of projection optical systems are available, and projection displays can be further classified into front-projection systems, rear-projection systems, and virtual displays. Both front- and rear-projection systems typically involve magnification of the source image. In front-projection systems the screen is reflective, and the projection engine and the viewer(s) are on the same side of the screen. Rear-projection systems use transmissive screens, and the projection engine and viewer(s) are on opposite sides of the screen. The same projection engine can sometimes be used in both front- and rear-projection configurations. Virtual displays do not generate a real image that can be viewed on a physical screen. Instead a virtual image of diverging rays is relayed or projected directly to the retina of the viewer and focused by the optics of the eye.

These displays can be used in either front- or rear-projection applications. Virtual displays, which involve a unique set of optical and visual requirements, are themselves a complex topic and are not included in these sections. Projection screens strongly affect the viewing characteristics and image quality of projection display systems. They must be carefully selected and matched to the projection engine to achieve optimal performance of a projection display system for a particular application and viewing environment. Despite their importance, the many types of projection screens and screen parameters are too application-specific to consider in a general overview of projection displays.

10.5.4.4.1  Projection Displays Using CRT Imagers

Full-color projection displays using CRT sources typically consist of three CRTs, one each for the R, G, and B image components. Additional components are required for combining and aligning the three primary color images, and projection optics are needed to relay the images to a suitable front- or rear-projection screen.

Projection display systems based on CRTs have achieved good color performance and image quality. The approach is constrained by all of the technical limitations of CRTs, especially limits on image luminance and the negative relation between luminance and resolution resulting from CRT spot growth with increasing beam current. Additional problems include convergence or registration of the three primary color images, geometric distortions from both the CRTs and the optical system, CRT faceplate cooling, and system drift over time. As with all projection display systems, the projection screen limits image contrast under ambient illumination, and rear-projection screens using lenticular optical structures restrict the viewing angle of the system.
Table 10.5-15 shows PDP technology attributes and their ratings for use as a display device.

**Table 10.5-15  CRT-Based Projection Display Attribute Ratings and Comments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Display Technology Attributes</th>
<th>General Rating</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spatial Addressability</td>
<td>Very High</td>
<td>• Gaussian beam reduces spatial noise but limits optical resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial Resolution</td>
<td>Medium to High</td>
<td>• Luminance and resolution negatively correlated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal Response</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>• Projection optics and screen limit resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luminance</td>
<td>Low to Medium</td>
<td>• Phosphors with short and medium-short persistence exhibit narrow impulse response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contrast</td>
<td>Medium to High</td>
<td>• Monochrome CRTs capable of moderate to high luminance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambient Contrast</td>
<td>Low to Medium</td>
<td>• Magnification and optical losses limit luminance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grayscale Performance</td>
<td>Very High</td>
<td>• Excellent black levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viewing Angle</td>
<td>Low to Medium</td>
<td>• Diffuse reflectance from screen limits ambient contrast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color Gamut</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>• Continuous analog grayscale capability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambient Color Gamut</td>
<td>Low to Medium</td>
<td>• Transfer function a true power function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Package</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>• Projection screen limits viewing angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application Flexibility</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>• Color gamut defined by phosphor SPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Diffuse reflection from screen reduces color gamut under ambient lighting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 10.5.4.4.2 Projection Displays Using Liquid Crystal Imagers

The liquid crystals can be applied directly to the surface of a silicon chip and used as reflective surface to produce images. This technology is referred to as liquid crystal on silicon or LcoS. As matrix-addressed display devices, LC light valves or spatial light modulators (SLMs) are not subject to the geometric distortion or luminance / resolution tradeoffs of CRTs. Moreover, the separation of the image-forming source and illumination source in LC-based projection systems affords great flexibility for the design of a compact projection display with enhanced lumen output. High-efficiency projection light sources such as metal-halide arc lamps are typically used in LC-based projection systems.

Table 10.5-16 shows LC technology attributes and their ratings for use as a display device.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Display Technology Attributes</th>
<th>General Rating</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spatial Addressability</td>
<td>Very High</td>
<td>• Very high pixel densities achievable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial Resolution</td>
<td>Medium to High</td>
<td>• LCoS can achieve higher pixel densities than transmissive light valves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Projection optics and screen limit resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal Response</td>
<td>Medium to High</td>
<td>• LC response limited by relaxation phase of LC switching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Temporal aperture extended by sample-and-hold mode of operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• LCoS capable of faster LC response times and reduced sample-and-hold time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luminance</td>
<td>Medium to High</td>
<td>• Low optical throughput can be compensated by increased backlight power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• LCoS has higher light throughput efficiency than transmissive light valves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambient Contrast</td>
<td>Low to Medium</td>
<td>• Black levels determined by light leakage from LC cell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grayscale Performance</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>• Diffuse reflectance from screen limits ambient contrast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viewing Angle</td>
<td>Low to Medium</td>
<td>• Continuous analog grayscale capability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color Gamut</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>• Color gamut defined by projection light source and selectivity of dichroic elements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambient Color Gamut</td>
<td>Low to High</td>
<td>• Diffuse reflection from screen reduces color gamut under ambient lighting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Package</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>• Projection engine relatively compact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application Flexibility</td>
<td>Low to Medium</td>
<td>• Rear projection requires substantial depth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Not amenable to portable or battery-powered applications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Generally used for large screen sizes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Transmissive LC light valves subject to motion blur with dynamic imagery due to AM sample-and-hold behavior and LC response time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Transmissive LC light valves subject to “screen-door effect” due to low aperture ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• LCoS only minimally susceptible to motion blur and “screen-door effect”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 10.5.4.4.3 Projection Display Using MEMS Imagers

Reflective imagers or SLMs based on MEMS technology have become an important technology for projection displays (Sampsell, 2006). The dominant MEMS technology for projection applications is the digital mirror device (DMD), which has also been designated as the digital light processing (DLP) technology. The single-chip DMD projection engines using field-sequential color synthesis constitute a relatively low-complexity, low-cost approach and are...
typical in projection systems for consumer applications such as HDTV and portable data projectors. The three-chip DMD engines are much more complex and costly and generally are found in commercial applications such as electronic cinema projectors.

Another MEMS imager that is currently being used for projection display applications is the grating light valve (GLV) (Sampsell, 2006). The GLV is most effective when used in conjunction with laser illumination. Given the complexity of the GLV projection optical system and the need for lasers to achieve very high image quality, the GLV is currently restricted in its application to very high-end and costly projection systems such as those for electronic cinema and specialized visual simulation systems. GLV displays can accommodate very high frame rates with effectively zero motion blur.

Table 10.5-17 MEMS Imagers technology attributes and their ratings for use as a display device.

Table 10.5-17 MEMS Imager-Based Projection Display Attribute Ratings and Comments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Display Technology Attributes</th>
<th>General Rating</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spatial Addressability</td>
<td>Very High</td>
<td>• Very high pixel densities achievable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial Resolution</td>
<td>Medium to High</td>
<td>• Projection optics and screen limit resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal Response</td>
<td>Medium to High</td>
<td>• MEMS device response extremely fast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luminance</td>
<td>Medium to Very High</td>
<td>• Temporal aperture extended by sample-and-hold mode of operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contrast</td>
<td>Medium to High</td>
<td>• MEMS devices capable of handling very high optical power levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambient Contrast</td>
<td>Low to Medium</td>
<td>• PWM and large number of subframes for grayscale reduce luminance potential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grayscale Performance</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>• Black levels determined by projection optical system design and complexity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viewing Angle</td>
<td>Low to Medium</td>
<td>• Diffuse reflectance from screen limits ambient contrast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color Gamut</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>• PWM, linear transfer function, and temporal subframe structure result in non-optimal grayscale performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambient Color Gamut</td>
<td>Low to High</td>
<td>• Projection screen limits viewing angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Package</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>• Color gamut defined by projection light source and color filters if present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application Flexibility</td>
<td>Low to Medium</td>
<td>• Diffuse reflection from screen reduces color gamut under ambient lighting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Projection engine relatively compact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Rear projection requires substantial depth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Not amenable to portable or battery-powered applications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Generally used for large screen sizes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Subject to contouring artifacts at low gray levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Subject to perceptible motion artifacts when viewing dynamic imagery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Field-sequential color configurations subject to color breakup and flicker</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Emerging and Future Projection Display Technologies

Currently the most active research and development efforts for emerging and future projection display technologies are in the areas of illumination sources for projection, projection screens, and image and color processing. Projection illumination sources are rapidly evolving toward solid-state illumination. LED projection sources are currently on the market, and semiconductor laser sources have made great advances in recent years. These improved sources offer greatly expanded color gamuts, higher potential luminance, longer source life, and reduced warm-up times. In addition, they provide opportunities for improved projection display system concepts resulting from fast switching times, improved beam spread, and spectral selectivity. Advances in projection screens are currently focused on improved screen contrast under ambient illumination, viewing angle control, reduced spatial screen noise, improved uniformity, and enhanced optical efficiency. Many opportunities for the enhancement of projection system performance and image quality are being provided by new methods in image and color processing, with major emphasis on wide-gamut color, image sharpening, noise and artifact reduction, and algorithms for calibration and seamless appearance of tiled projected images.

Related Display Standards

Many display standards are very device-specific. They are written in terms of the prevailing technology at the time (e.g., shadow-mask color CRT), and may not be relevant to new technologies. Because of this, many are now obsolete, or will be in the near future. Another problem is that in many cases they intermix standards regarding the display itself with standards regarding display content.

Video Electronics Standards Organization VESA (2001), Flat-Panel Display Measurements Standard

First published by the Video Electronics Standards Organization (VESA) in 1998 and updated in 2001 and 2005, this document is a concise description of a large set of basic measurements. While described as a “standard,” it does not set acceptable values, but only specifies how they need to be measured. It is widely cited by other standards documents, and by display manufacturers. As its name implies, it is focused primarily on flat-panel displays, though many of the measurements are appropriate for CRTs as well.

The primary section headings are “Set Up of Display and Equipment,” “Center Measurements of Full Screen,” “Detail,” “Resolution and Artifacts,” “Box-Pattern Measurements,” “Temporal Performance,” “Uniformity,” “Viewing Angle Performance,” and “Reflection.” It includes measurements of luminance, contrast, color gamut, gamma, resolution, pixel defects, mura defects, spatial uniformity, viewing angle effects, reflections, response time, residual image, and flicker.

A 2005 update, published as a separate document, is concerned primarily with motion artifacts such as motion blur and perceptually equal gray shade intervals, which is a common artifact in LCD and other hold-type displays. A full update is planned, but this successor document may appear under the auspices of the ICDM, a committee of the Society for Information Display.
10.5.5.2 ISO 13406-2 (ISO, 2001) – Ergonomic Requirements for Work with Visual Displays Based on Flat Panels - Part 2: Ergonomic Requirements for Flat Panel Displays (ISO13406)

This standard was released in 2001 and was intended to augment or replace ISO 1992, which dealt mainly with CRTs. It is focused on ergonomic aspects of displays, and devotes considerable attention to user-display configuration. It also deals with display content, such as character dimensions and legibility. It includes standards for color uniformity, character dimensions, luminance, contrast, reflections, luminance uniformity, pixel defects, image formation time, flicker, and number of colors.

10.5.5.3 Human Factors and Ergonomics Society (2007), ANSI / HFES 100 – Human Factors Engineering of Computer Workstations, Chapter 7- Visual Displays

Published in 2002, this document is under revision, and is discussed here and referred to elsewhere in this chapter as the Second Canvas Draft, dated 2006. This document resembles ISO13406 in its ergonomic and user-centered emphasis, and also in its specific content. The main section headings are “Viewing Characteristics,” “Spatial Characteristics,” “Temporal Quality,” and “Information Format.” The last heading refers primarily to text.

10.5.5.4 MIL-STD-1472 Revision F Human Engineering, Section 5.2: Displays (MIL1472)

Published in 1999, this standard deals largely with traditional single-purpose displays such as lights and indicators. It contains a few specific requirements for head-up displays (HUDs), helmet-mounted displays (HMDs), and stereoscopic displays.

10.5.5.5 NASA-STD-3000, Section 9.4.2 Visual Displays

This document discusses design requirements for contrast, reflections, vibration, and display size. Some requirements for information presentation are also given, as well as special requirements for large-screen projection displays. Requirements for various indicators are given. A section on visual display terminal design requirements discusses resolution, luminance, contrast, glare, flicker, viewing distance, and viewing angle. Requirements are given for text dimensions.

10.5.5.6 TCO’06 Media Displays, TCOF1076 Version 1.2, 2006-08-16 (TCO06)

TCO, a European company, provides standards and certification services for displays. In addition to TCO’06, they offer TCO’03 Displays, TCO’05 Notebooks, and TCO’05 Desktops.

TCO’06, published in 2006, provides standards for displays of moving pictures, such as TV. The main headings are “Pixel Array Characteristics,” “Luminance Characteristics,” “Luminance Contrast Characteristics,” “Screen Color Characteristics,” and “Video Reproduction.”
10.5.6.1 Human Factors Metrics

Many display metrics have been constructed as purely physical measurements of display attributes. But as human factors metrics they need to be more closely connected to human perception and task performance.

10.5.6.2 Model-based Metrics

Because they are inherently in mathematical form, and because they are more general than the point solutions often provided by metrics based on small sets of measurements, model-based metrics should be a goal for all human factors standards. This is an especially realistic goal for display standards, because models of human visual perception are in an advanced state of development. Additional research is needed, however, to apply those models to critical display metrics, and to validate their performance.

10.5.6.3 Motion Blur

Motion blur is a key challenge for current displays. Many technologies are under development to ameliorate this problem while preserving brightness, spatial resolution, and other key attributes. An accurate, validated human factors metric for motion blur would be of great value in evaluating these technologies.

10.5.6.4 Mura

Mura (display blemishes) is a key concern of manufacturers and buyers of modern flat-panel displays, yet there is no agreed-upon metric to quantify this artifact. This is partly because it is a visual artifact: only visible blemishes matter. Model-based metrics for mura have been proposed but they require further development.

10.5.6.5 Uniformity

Uniformity metrics are at present very ad hoc. The measurements and the permissible values are not consistently measured or justified. The problem is exacerbated by the failure of the metrics to accommodate very large variations in display size, which will profoundly affect the visibility of nonuniformities. This is another case, like mura, in which human-factored, model-based metrics need to be researched, designed, and validated.
10.5.6.6 Legibility and Symbol Discriminability

Legibility is a key concern in the design of visual displays and interfaces. However, the metrics provided to evaluate legibility have not kept pace with the proliferation of display types, and with tools that enable variations in font shape, size, color, contrast, background, and arrangement. This great diversity in possible manifestations of text means that no simple mandates, such as point size, will suffice. Instead, model-based metrics and/or performance-based metrics will be required. Research is needed to design and validate these metrics. Very similar metrics may be useful to predict the discriminability of arbitrary symbol sets, such as icons or other user-interface elements. These predictions can in turn be used to optimize symbol design.

10.5.6.7 3D Color-Gamut Metrics

As noted previously, 2D color-gamut metrics fail to account for the effect of dynamic range and quantization. Uniform color spaces, such as CIE L*a*b* and its successors, provide a path for the development of 3D color-gamut metrics. Such metrics might count the number of discriminable colors offered by a display system, including quantization and variations in luminance in the calculation. However, research is required to design such measures and to verify that they relate to display quality.

10.5.6.8 Clutter

Though somewhat outside the range of metrics considered in this chapter, clutter is an important consideration in the design of visual display content. Clutter arises from the competing desires to provide simple information layouts and to provide as much information as possible. There is some prospect that current models of vision might provide useful metrics of clutter (Bravo & Farid, 2008; Rosenholtz et al., 2007).

10.5.6.9 Design Tools

Display standards usually reside in static documents that must be located, acquired, read, and understood before they are useful to the designer. Furthermore, they are often applied after the design is complete. It would be more efficient to embed display metrics and standards into design tools that could be used directly by the designer. Such tools would allow evaluation of competing designs and tradeoffs, while making visible their consequences for key metrics. The construction of such human factors design tools depends largely on the creation of model-based metrics as described above.

10.5.6.10 Global Display Quality Metrics

Tradeoffs in display design place different metrics in competition. For example, motion blur can be reduced by shortening the hold time, but at a cost in brightness. Reflections can be reduced by surface coatings, but these may reduce contrast as well. Yet, beyond ensuring that all minimum requirements have been met, no sensible method of trading off one metric against another is currently available. To do so, metrics that combine other metrics are needed. These global quality metrics are most likely to arise in the context of model-based metrics, as described above, because models provide a linkage, such as JNDS or bits of information, through which different metrics can be combined.
10.6 CONTROLS

10.6.1 Introduction

Controls in a spacecraft include any method of interaction in which the user enters information into a system. They may be in the form of cockpit flight controls, or computer input devices such as a mouse, touch screen, or keyboard.

The type of device needed for a task depends on the type of task, the user, and the environment. Specifically, the manipulation of controls during spacecraft acceleration, or while in a spacesuit, requires unique consideration. This section describes the factors that must be considered in control selection and layout in a spacecraft.

10.6.2 Design Characteristics

10.6.2.1 Size

The size of a control must ensure optimal operation by the expected body part (e.g., finger, hand, foot) of the smallest and largest crewmember, including the expected clothing such as a spacesuit, boots, and gloves. For example, a knob that must be turned by a suited glove must be large enough that it can be activated comfortably with at least two fingers of any crewmember.

Control size is often an indication of how it is to be used, and aids in recognition. For example, a large lever (say on a hatch) usually indicates high forces and gross motor actions are required. A small control (such as a trackball) indicates a need for low force and high precision.

10.6.2.2 Location

- **Reach Zones** – Controls must be located within the reach zones of the smallest and largest crewmembers. This depends on anthropometric measurements as well as range of motion.
- **Frequently Used** – The most important and frequently used controls (particularly rotary controls and those requiring fine settings) must have the most favorable position for ease of reaching and grasping.
- **Emergency** – Emergency controls must be located as close to the user as possible, to minimize any delay in activating them under emergency conditions.
- **Consistency** – The arrangement and location of functionally similar, or identical, controls must be consistent from panel to panel throughout the system.
- **Acceleration and Vibration** – Controls that are used during high acceleration or vibration (see sections 6.5 and 6.7) must be located and designed so that the operator can make accurate control inputs.
- **Handedness** – Hand controls should be located where they are operable by either hand. If they can be operated by only one hand, then consideration should be given to having both right-hand and left-hand versions available.
- **Reduction of Movement** – Controls should be oriented so that their operations are consistent with the users’ dominant hand and arm position.
10.6.2.3 Grouping and Arrangement

To make an interface simple for the user, related items should be grouped together. Where sequential operations follow a fixed pattern, controls should be arranged to facilitate operation (e.g., a left-to-right / top-to-bottom pattern, as on a printed page). If a series of controls has no specific sequence or functional relatedness, they should be arranged with the most frequently used or most important as the most accessible.

10.6.2.4 Labeling

All controls for which the function is not obvious must be labeled. The label should appear as close to the control as possible and should be readily identifiable as the specific label for that control. A label should not appear between two controls, unless those controls have the same function, as the user will be unable to determine which control the label applies to. The best placement of a control’s label is directly on, above, or to the left of the control, and consistent implementation of this rule will allow the user to quickly extract which label belongs to which control. See section 10.7, “Labels.”

10.6.2.5 Spacing

Controls must be spaced so that they can be accessed and operated by crewmembers who are suited for all expected operational environments. Spacing depends on the type of control. A switch may require minimal spacing since a simple motion of a single finger can actuate the switch. However, for controls such as knobs, spacing must be sufficient for multiple fingers and the motion to turn the knob.

Recommended minimum control spacing for barehanded operations is shown below in Figure 10.6-1. The figure shows only the spacing between dissimilar controls; recommended spacing between the same control types is given in the section that describes that control type.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TOGGLE SWITCHES</th>
<th>PUSH BUTTONS*</th>
<th>CONTINUOUS ROTARY CONTROLS</th>
<th>ROTARY SELECTOR SWITCHES</th>
<th>DISCRETE THUMBWHEEL CONTROLS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOGGLE SWITCHES</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>13 mm (0.5 in)</td>
<td>19 mm (0.75 in)</td>
<td>19 mm (0.75 in)</td>
<td>13 mm (0.5 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUSH BUTTONS1</td>
<td>13 mm (0.5 in)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>13 mm (0.5 in)</td>
<td>13 mm (0.5 in)</td>
<td>13 mm (0.5 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONTINUOUS ROTARY CONTROLS</td>
<td>19 mm (0.75 in)</td>
<td>13 mm (0.5 in)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>25 mm (1.0 in)</td>
<td>19 mm (0.75 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROTARY SELECTOR SWITCHES</td>
<td>19 mm (0.75 in)</td>
<td>13 mm (0.5 in)</td>
<td>25 mm (1.0 in)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>19 mm (0.75 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISCRETE THUMBWHEEL CONTROLS</td>
<td>13 mm (0.5 in)</td>
<td>13 mm (0.5 in)</td>
<td>19 mm (0.75 in)</td>
<td>19 mm (0.75 in)</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*for pushbuttons not separated by barriers

NOTE: All values are for one hand operation.

Figure 10.6-1 Recommended minimum spacing between controls (for bare-handed operations). Recommended minimum control spacing for suited glove operations are shown below in Figures 10.6-2 and 10.6-3. Source: MIL-STD-1472F.

Figure 10.6-2 Recommended minimum spacing between controls (for pressurized suit glove operations) (under development).
10.6.2.6 Coding

10.6.2.6.1 Methods

Labeling is one form of coding and is discussed in section 10.7. This section discusses coding methods other than labeling.

The use of a coding mode (e.g., size and color) for a particular application should be governed by the relative advantages and disadvantages of each type of coding (see Figure 10.6-4). Where coding is used to differentiate among controls, application of the code should be uniform throughout the system.
### Figure 10.6-4 Advantages and disadvantages of various types of control coding.

#### 10.6.2.6.2 Size Coding

- No more than three different sizes should be used to code controls for discrimination by absolute size.
- Controls used for performing the same function on different items of equipment should be the same size.
- When knob diameter is used as the coding parameter, the differences between diameters should be not less than 13 mm (0.5 in.).
- When knob thickness is the coding parameter, the differences between thicknesses should be not less than 10 mm (0.4 in.).
10.6.2.6.3  Shape Coding

Shape coding may be used to ensure identification of control knobs or handles by “feel” where visual identification is not possible. When shape coding is used,

- Shapes must be associated with or resemble the control function, and not alternate functions.
- The shape must not interfere with ease of control manipulation.
- Shapes should be identifiable by hand and by eye regardless of the position and orientation of the control knob or handle.
- Shapes must be tactually identifiable when gloves must be worn.
- The number of shapes to be identified by each operator based on absolute discrimination should be not more than 10.
- Shape-coded knobs and handles should be positively and non-reversibly attached to preclude incorrect attachment when replacement is required.

10.6.2.6.4  Color Coding

Colors may be used only to supplement other control-coding methods. Color coding should be done on the control’s label and not on the control itself.

10.6.2.6.4.1  Choice of Colors

The color of controls should be a neutral gray or black so that they are consistent across the cockpit. The label associated with each control will vary across the cockpit, to provide the functional or descriptive information for each control.

If color coding is required, not more than five colors should be used. Only the following colors should be selected for control coding:

- Red
- Green
- Orange-yellow
- White
- Blue (only if an additional color is absolutely necessary)

Where specular reflection (glare) or reduced friction could degrade task performance, gloss finishes should not be used on controls.

10.6.2.6.4.2  Relation to Display

When color coding is used to relate a control to its corresponding display, the same color should be used for both the control and the display.

10.6.2.6.4.3  Control to Background Contrast

Sufficient contrast in color and brightness between the control and its background must be provided to ensure prompt and accurate identification by the operator.
10.6.2.6.4 Ambient Lighting

Color coding should be compatible with anticipated ambient light during the mission.

10.6.2.7 Operating Characteristics

10.6.2.7.1 Force

- To prevent accidental actuation, the resistive force should be sufficient to support the resting weight of the operating body part (e.g., finger, hand, foot).
- The resistive force must be large enough to prevent unintended drifting or changing of position due to vehicle movements.
- Controls must be operable by the weakest crewmember (taking into account the effect of any 0g or partial-g deconditioning).
- For repeated operations, the force should not be great enough to cause fatigue.

See section 4.7 Strength for more information.

10.6.2.7.2 Inadvertent Operation

Controls must be protected against inadvertent operation. Protective measures may include the use of switch guards, covers, separation from other controls, or elements such as command confirmation or multistep sequences. Response Latency

Controls should respond with the desired action immediately (provide specific latency – TBD) after activation, unless confirmation of the action is required. When confirmation of an action is required, the action should be implemented immediately after confirmation. This immediate implementation allows rapid responses when they are needed (i.e., emergency situations) and increases the level of precision for any situation.

10.6.2.7.3 Expectation and Consistency

Controls should be activated in an expected and consistent manner. For a control to be intuitive for spacecraft maneuvering, it should move in a direction similar to that of the resulting spacecraft motion. For example, moving the control stick to the right should also move the spacecraft to the right (right roll), and pulling the stick back should tilt the spacecraft backward (upward pitch). Also, control activation should build on the users’ past experience with the same or similar controls. Especially during emergency conditions, where response time is critical, control of the spacecraft must be intuitive. Figure 10.6-5 shows the relationships between commonly expected function of a system and movement of the control.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System Function</th>
<th>Control Movement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On</td>
<td>Up, right, forward, pull</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off</td>
<td>Down, left, rearward, push</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The type or mechanism of control should match the users’ expectations; that is, the most commonly experienced control for specific functions should be selected. A few examples are given in Figure 10.6-6 below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control Function</th>
<th>Suggested Control Mechanism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Selection between 2 alternatives or discrete positions; e.g., on/off</td>
<td>Toggle switch, two-position stalk, push-pull knob, push button, or rocker switch; computer interface (touchscreen, mouse, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selection among 3 or more alternatives or discrete positions; e.g., climate controls</td>
<td>Slide, multipurpose stalk, discrete rotary knob, three-position toggle or rocker switch, push buttons (for 3 alternatives only), keypad, computer interface (touchscreen, mouse, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precise adjustment</td>
<td>Continuous rotary knob or thumbwheel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross adjustment</td>
<td>Continuous rotary knob, lever, or touchscreen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large force application</td>
<td>Lever</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 10.6-6  Suggested control selections to match user expectations. (Campbell, et al., 1998)
10.6.2.7.4 Feedback

Detent controls must be used whenever possible to provide tactile feedback to the user.

Stops must be provided at the beginning and end of a range of control positions if the control is not required to be operated beyond the end positions or specified limits.

The system must provide feedback on user actions and system changes. The feedback should be clear, easy to understand, and specific to the action. If further actions are required from the user, the feedback should be specific. The feedback is important to ensure that the resulting action is the one intended by the user. This is especially important for commands that are critical or potentially hazardous.

Immediate feedback is very important for preventing the user from initiating an action twice. If the feedback occurs a long time after an event, the user may believe that the event was not properly received and initiate it again.

The feedback provided to the user can be visual, auditory, or tactile. The level of feedback should be appropriate for the message it is trying to convey. A simple change of background color on a display may indicate that the user has completed an action.

Auditory feedback can be effective in communicating important messages, because auditory messages can easily grab a user’s attention even when the user is away from the system. Auditory feedback also functions well in conjunction with visual feedback conveying the same message. Presenting the information in both the visual and auditory modalities increases the likelihood that the message will be received in a timely manner by the user. This is especially important in space because the high levels of background noise may impede the user’s ability to hear an auditory signal.

Tactile feedback can be used to signal the status of events to the user. This may be especially helpful when the other modalities are restricted, such as during ascent or descent. For example, if a button stays depressed, this may indicate that the function is proceeding, and when it releases the function has stopped.

10.6.3 Control Devices

Control devices come in many different forms. Below is a description of input devices, applications for which they are most appropriate, and guidelines for their design.

The following types of input devices are discussed:

- Linear controls
  - Push button
  - Foot-operated switches
  - Keyboard
  - Toggle switch
  - Legend switch
  - Rocker switch
  - Slide switch
  - Push-pull control
  - Printed circuit switch
- Lever
- Joystick (isotonic and isometric)
- Ball control
- Grid and stylus
- Mouse
- Stylus
- Touch screen
- Pedals
- Rotary controls
  - Rotary selector switch
  - Thumbwheel
  - Knobs
  - Crank
  - Handwheel
- Other controls
  - J-handles

10.6.3.1 Push Button

10.6.3.1.1 Use

Push buttons allow efficient use of volume and/or space, and they are easy and fast to activate. However, their state of activation is sometimes difficult to identify. They are susceptible to inadvertent activation.

Push buttons should be used when a control or an array of controls is needed for momentary contact or for actuating a locking circuit, particularly in high-frequency-of-use situations.

Push buttons should not be used for discrete control where the function status is determined exclusively by a position of the switch.

10.6.3.1.2 Shape

The push button surface should be concave (indented) to fit the finger. When this is impractical, the surface should provide a high degree of frictional resistance. Large, hand- or fist-operated, mushroom-shaped buttons should be used only as “emergency stop” controls.

10.6.3.1.3 Positive Indication

A positive indication of control activation must be provided (e.g., snap feel, audible click, or integral light).

10.6.3.1.4 Channel or Cover Guard

A channel or cover guard should be provided when accidental actuation of the control needs to be prevented. When a cover guard is in the open position, it should not interfere with operation of the protected device or adjacent controls.
10.6.3.1.5  **Dimensions, Resistance, Displacement, and Separation**

Except for use of push buttons in keyboards, control dimensions, resistance, displacement, and separation between adjacent edges of finger or hand-operated push buttons should conform to the criteria in 10.6-7.

10.6.3.1.6  **Interlocks or Barriers**

Mechanical interlocks or barriers may be used instead of the spacing required by Figure 10.6-7.

---

**Figure 10.6-7 Requirements for push buttons (finger- or hand-operated). Source: MIL-STD-1472F**
10.6.3.2 Foot-Operated Switches

10.6.3.2.1 Use

Foot-operated switches are useful when the hands are occupied, but they cannot be used when foot restraints are needed. In addition, foot-operated switches are susceptible to inadvertent activation and should be limited to non-critical operations. Furthermore, they are not recommended for fine adjustments, because the foot is not able to make fine motor movements. Lastly, frequent use of foot-operated switches should be avoided.

10.6.3.2.2 Operation

Foot switches should be designed for operation by the toe and the ball of the foot rather than by the heel. They should be located so that the operator can center the ball of the foot on the switch button without interference. A pedal may be used over the button to aid in locating and operating the switch. If the switch may become wet and slippery, the switch cap surface should provide a high degree of frictional resistance.

10.6.3.2.3 Dimensions, Resistance, and Displacement

Dimensions, resistance, and displacement of foot-operated switches should conform to the criteria in Figure 10.6-8. While only one switch per foot is preferred, when one foot must be used to operate more than one switch, such switches should be a horizontal distance of at least 75 mm (3 in.) apart and a vertical distance of at least 200 mm (8 in.) apart.

10.6.3.2.4 Feedback

A positive indication of control actuation must be provided (e.g., snap feel, audible click, or associated visual or audio display).

![Diagram of foot-operated switch](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DIAMETER</th>
<th>RESISTANCE</th>
<th>DISPLACEMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Foot Will Not Rest On Control</td>
<td>Foot Will Rest On Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>13 mm (0.5 in.)</td>
<td>18 N (4 lb)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>96 N (20 lb)</td>
<td>90 N (20 lb)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 10.6-8 Requirements for foot-operated switches. Source: MIL-STD-1472F.
10.6.3.3 Keyboard

10.6.3.3.1 Use

Arrangements of push buttons in the form of keyboards should be used when alphabetic, numeric, or special function information is to be entered into a system.

10.6.3.3.2 Layout of Keys

Keys should be grouped according to their function, based on convention. Groupings can include numeric keys, alphabetical keys, and function keys.

The specific arrangement of keys should match the standards used in keyboards with similar functions. For example:

- **Alphabetical Keys** – The QWERTY layout is the standard for alphabetical keys. Other keyboard formats exist (such as the Dvorak layout) and have ergonomic advantages, but are not as widely used as the QWERTY layout. The Dvorak layout is defined in ANSI, 1991.

- **Numeric Keys** – Two standard layouts are used for numeric keys: the telephone layout and the calculator layout (see Figure 10.6-9).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Telephone Keypad Layout</th>
<th>Calculator Keypad Layout</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figure 10.6-9 Standardized numeric keyboard layouts.*

10.6.3.3.3 Key Displacement

Recommendations for keyboard key dimensions, separation, resistance, and displacement are in Figure 10.6-10A.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DIMENSIONS (D)</th>
<th>RESISTANCE (R)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Permitted at Snap Point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIN</td>
<td>12 mm (0.47 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAX</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISPLACEMENT (A)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Permitted (continuous entry use)</th>
<th>Preferred</th>
<th>Acceptable for Non-Continuous Entry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MIN</td>
<td>1.5 mm (0.04 in)</td>
<td>2.0 mm (0.08 in)</td>
<td>0.7 mm (0.03 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAX</td>
<td>6.0 mm (0.24 in)</td>
<td>4.0 mm (0.16 in)</td>
<td>1.6 mm (0.06 in)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SEPARATION (S)

Measured between centerline of any two adjacent keys (without gloves)

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MIN</td>
<td>18 mm (0.70 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAX</td>
<td>20 mm (0.78 in)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 10.6-10A  Requirements for keyboard keys. *N, newtons. Source: MIL-STD-1472F, Human Factors and Ergonomics Society (2007)
10.6.3.4 Keystroke Feedback

Keystroke feedback can be auditory, tactile, or both. Tactile feedback is preferred in space environments because the background noise may prevent the user from hearing auditory feedback.

If the feedback is auditory, it should occur at a consistent point in the displacement of all the keys.

Changes in the key resistance can provide tactile feedback. Figure 10.6-10B shows the recommended force profile for user feedback.

![Tactile feedback recommendations](image)

**Figure 10.6-10B** Tactile feedback recommendations.

10.6.3.5 Keyboard Height and Slope

Figure 10.6-10C shows recommendations for keyboard slope and height.
### 10.6.3.3.6 Key Repeat Rate

If a key is held for longer than 500 milliseconds, the character should repeat at a rate of approximately 10 characters per second. This character repeat rate should be adjustable.

### 10.6.3.3.7 Home Row Locator

The keyboard should have at least one tactile feature that helps initial finger positioning. For the QWERTY keyboard, this could be a dimple or raised area on the “F” and “J” keys. Similarly, this could be a tactually distinguishable feature at the center “5” on a numeric keyboard.

### 10.6.3.4 Toggle Switch

#### 10.6.3.4.1 Use

A toggle switch is a switch that uses a toggle joint with a spring to open or close an electric circuit as an attached lever is pushed through a small arc. Toggle switches should be used where two discrete control positions are required or where space limitations are severe. Toggle switches with three positions should be used only where the use of a rotary control or legend switch control is not feasible or when the toggle switch is spring-loaded to a center-off position. Three-position toggle switches with spring-loaded to center-off, should not be used if release from the spring-loaded position results in switch handle travel beyond the off position. Toggle switches provide an efficient use of space. As in the case of levers, the status of the toggle switch is intuitive to the user; it is easy to identify which position it is in.
10.6.3.4.2 Accidental Actuation

Toggle switches are susceptible to inadvertent activation, and because of this they often require guards or shields. Preventing accidental actuation is important (i.e., to prevent critical or hazardous conditions). Thus, channel guards, lift-to-unlock switches, or other equivalent prevention mechanisms should be provided. Safety or lock wire should not be used. Resistance of lift-to-unlock mechanisms should not exceed 13 N (3 lb). An open cover guard should not interfere with the operation of the protected device or adjacent controls.

10.6.3.4.3 Dimensions, Resistance, Displacement, and Separation.

Dimensions, resistance, displacement, and separation between adjacent edges of toggle switches should be as shown in Figure 10.6-11. The recommended separation dimensions are for bare-handed operations. Resistance should gradually increase, then drop when the switch snaps into position. The switch should not be capable of being stopped between positions.

10.6.3.4.4 Positive Indication

An indication of control actuation must be provided (e.g., snap feel, audible click, or associated or integral light).

10.6.3.4.5 Orientation

Toggle switches should be vertically oriented with OFF in the down position. Horizontal orientation and actuation of toggle switches should be used only for compatibility with the controlled function or equipment location.

![Figure 10.6-11 Requirements for toggle switches. Source: MIL-STD-1472F.](image-url)
10.6.3.5 Legend Switches

Legend switches are a special type of push-button switch and are used to display qualitative information on system status.

10.6.3.5.1 Dimensions, Resistance, Displacement, and Separation

Dimensions, resistance, displacement, and separation between adjacent edges of legend switches should conform to the criteria in Figure 10.6-12, except that maximum switch separation does not apply to nonmatrix applications.

10.6.3.5.2 Barrier Height

Barrier height from panel surface should conform to the criteria in Figure 10.6-12. Unless otherwise specified, barriers are required on critical switches and on switches likely to be inadvertently actuated. Barriers, when used, should not obscure visual access to controls, labels, or displays, and should have rounded edges.

10.6.3.5.3 Other Requirements

- The legend switch should be provided with a detent or click for positive indication of switch actuation. When touch-sensitive switches are used, a positive indication of actuation must be provided, e.g., an integral light within or above the switch being actuated.
- The legend should be legible with or without internal illumination.
- A lamp test or dual lamp / filament reliability test should be provided for switches if the mean time between failures is less than 100,000 h.
- Lamps within the legend switch should be replaceable from the front of the panel by hand and the legends or covers should be keyed to prevent the possibility of interchanging the legend covers.
- A legend plate should not contain more than three lines of lettering.
- Legend switches should be distinguishable from legend lights.
Figure 10.6-12 Requirements for legend switches. Source: MIL-STD-1472F.
10.6.3.6 Rocker Switches

10.6.3.6.1 Use

A rocker switch is an on/off switch that rocks (rather than trips) when pressed, which means one side of the switch is raised while the other side is depressed, much like a rocking horse rocks back and forth. Rocker switches may be used in lieu of toggle switches for functions requiring two discrete positions. They may be used for applications where toggle switch handle protrusions might snag the operator, or where there is insufficient panel space for separate labeling of switch positions. Rocker switches with three positions should be used only where the use of a rotary control or legend switch control is not feasible or when the rocker switch is of the spring-loaded center-off type. Rocker switches provide efficient use of space, and their status is intuitive. However, they are susceptible to inadvertent activation.

10.6.3.6.2 Accidental Actuation

When accidental actuation needs to be prevented to avoid critical or hazardous conditions, channel guards or equivalent protection should be provided.

10.6.3.6.3 Positive Indication

An indication of control actuation must be provided (e.g., snap feel, audible click, associated or integral light).

10.6.3.6.4 Dimensions, Resistance, Displacement, and Separation

Dimensions, resistance, displacement, and separation between centers of rocker switches should conform to the criteria in Figure 10.6-13. Resistance should gradually increase, then suddenly decrease when the switch snaps into position. The switch should not be capable of being stopped between positions.

10.6.3.6.5 Orientation

Where practicable, rocker switches should be vertically oriented. Actuation of the upper wing should turn the equipment or component on, cause the quantity to increase, or cause the equipment or component to move forward, clockwise, to the right, or up. Horizontal orientation of rocker switches should be used only for compatibility with the controlled function or equipment location.

10.6.3.6.6 Color and Illumination

Alternate colors may be used to denote the ON and OFF portions of a rocker switch. Alternate illumination of either the ON or OFF switch position may be used to facilitate positive recognition of current switch position. Rocker switches should be internally illuminated when ambient illumination will provide display luminance below 3.5 cd/m² (1 Ft-L). Digits and letters should appear as illuminated characters on an opaque background and their dimensions should approximate the following: height: 4.8 mm (3/16 in.); height-to-width ratio: 3:2; height-to-stroke-width ratio: 10:1.
Figure 10.6-13 Requirements for rocker switches. Source: MIL-STD-1472F.
10.6.3.7 Slide Switch Controls

10.6.3.7.1 Use
Slide switches have a sliding button, bar, or knob. They can be discrete or continuous. Slide switch controls may be used for functions that require two discrete positions. Slide switch controls may be used for functions that require a larger number of discrete positions in which the switches are arranged in a matrix to permit easy recognition of relative switch settings (e.g., audio settings across frequencies). Slide switches should not be used where mispositioning is to be avoided.

10.6.3.7.2 Accidental Actuation
When accidental actuation needs to be prevented to avoid critical or hazardous conditions, channel guards or equivalent protection should be provided.

10.6.3.7.3 Dimensions, Resistance, and Separation
Dimensions, resistance, and separation of slide switch handles should conform to criteria in Figure 10.6-14. Detents should be provided for each control setting. Resistance should gradually increase, and then suddenly decrease when the switch snaps into position. The switch should not be capable of stopping between positions.

10.6.3.7.4 Orientation
Slide switches should be vertically oriented with movement of the slide up or away from the operator turning the equipment or component on, causing a quantity to increase, or causing the equipment or component to move forward, clockwise, to the right, or up. Horizontally oriented or actuated slide switches should be used only for compatibility with the controlled function or equipment location.

10.6.3.7.5 Positive Indication
Slide switches with more than two positions must provide positive indication of control setting, preferably a pointer located on the left side of the slide handle.
10.6.3.8 Discrete Push-Pull Controls

10.6.3.8.1 Applications

Push-pull controls may be used when two discrete functions are to be selected. However, such applications should be used sparingly, only for applications in which such configurations are typically expected and in certain cases where limited panel space suggests a miniaturized knob that may be used to serve two related, but distinct, functions (e.g., an ON-OFF / Volume switch for a TV monitor). A three-position push-pull control may be used only where inadvertently selecting the wrong position has no serious consequences.

10.6.3.8.2 Handle Dimensions, Displacement, and Clearances

Push-pull control handles should conform to criteria in Figure 10.6-15.

10.6.3.8.3 Rotation

Except for combination push-pull / rotate switch configurations (e.g., the handle is rotated to disengage the brake setting), push-pull control handles should be keyed to a non-rotating shaft. When the control system provides a combination push-pull / rotate functional operation, using a
round-style knob, the rim of the knob should be serrated to denote (visually and tactually) that
the knob can be rotated, and to facilitate a slip-free finger grip.

10.6.3.8.4 Detents
Mechanical detents should be incorporated into push-pull controls to provide tactile indication of
positions.

10.6.3.8.5 Snagging and Inadvertent Contact
Use, location, and operating axis of push-pull type controls should preclude the possibility of the
operator’s:

• Bumping a control while getting into or out of position (as in a vehicle),
• Snagging clothing, communication cables, or other equipment items on the control, or
• Inadvertently deactivating the control setting while reaching for another control.

10.6.3.8.6 Direction of Control Motion
Control direction should be as follows:

• Pull toward the operator for ON or activate; push away for OFF or deactivate.
• Rotate clockwise to activate or increase a function of combination pull / rotary switches.

10.6.3.8.7 Resistance
Force for pulling a panel control with fingers should be not more than 18 N (4 lb); force for
pulling a T-bar with four fingers should be not more than 45 N (10 lb).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONFIGURATION EXAMPLE</th>
<th>APPLICATION CRITERIA</th>
<th>DESIGN CRITERIA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PUSH-PULL CONTROL. LOW RESIST-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANCE, FOR TWO-POSITION, MECHANICAL AND/OR ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ALTERNATE THREE POSITION PLUS ROTARY FUNCTION ACCEPTANCE FOR APPLICATION SUCH AS VEHICLE HEADLIGHT PLUS PARKING LIGHTS, PANEL AND DOME LIGHTS PROVIDE SERRATED RIM.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D. MIN DIAM: 19 mm (0.75&quot;)</td>
<td>C. MIN CLEARANCE: 25 mm (1&quot;) Add 13 mm (0.5&quot;) for gloved hand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S. MIN SPACE BETWEEN: 35 mm (1.5&quot;) Add 13 mm (0.5&quot;) for gloved hand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ALTERNATE HANDLE, MINIATURE ELECTRICAL PANEL SWITCH ONLY. AVOID GLOVE USE APPLICATION.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D. MIN DIAM: 6 mm (0.25&quot;)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L. MIN LGTH: 19 mm (0.75&quot;)</td>
<td>MINIMUM: 13 mm (0.5&quot;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S. MIN SPACE BETWEEN: 25 mm (1&quot;)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HIGH-FORCE PUSH-PULL, FOR TWO-POSITION MECHANICAL SYSTEM ONLY.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W. MIN WIDTH: 100 mm (4&quot;)</td>
<td>D. Depth: 16-38 mm (0.625-1.5&quot;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C. MIN CLEARANCE: 38 mm (1.5&quot;) Add 6 mm (0.25&quot;) for gloved hand</td>
<td>MINIMUM: 25 mm (1&quot;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PREFERRED: 50 mm (2&quot;)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAME AS ABOVE. PREFERRED WHERE POSSIBLE GARMENT OR CABLE-SNAG POSSIBILITY EXISTS.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W. MIN WIDTH: 100 mm (4&quot;) Add 25 mm (1&quot;) for gloves</td>
<td>D. Depth: 16-32 mm (0.625-1.25&quot;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C. MIN CLEARANCE: 32 mm (1.25&quot;)</td>
<td>MINIMUM: 25 mm (1&quot;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PREFERRED: 50 mm (2&quot;)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NOTE: 1 &amp; 2 FINGER PULLS ALSO ACCEPTABLE FOR LESS THAN 18 N (40lb) APPLICATIONS.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 10.6-15 Requirements for push-pull controls. Source: MIL-STD-1472F.
10.6.3.9  Printed Circuit Switch Controls

10.6.3.9.1  Use

A printed-circuit (PC) switch control is a special rotary switch that can be connected directly to a mating printed circuit board without wires. PC “DIP”-type switches or hand-selected jumpers should be installed only for settings that require infrequent changes.

10.6.3.9.2  Dimensions, Resistance, Displacement, and Separation

Dimensions, resistance, displacement, and separation between adjacent PC switch actuators should conform to the following:

- Actuators should be sufficiently large to permit error-free manipulation by the operator when using commonly available styluses (e.g., pencil or pen). The actuators should not require the use of a special tool for manipulation.
- Resistance should be sufficiently high to avoid inadvertent actuation under expected use conditions. Resistance should gradually increase, then drop when the actuator snaps into position. The actuator should not be capable of stopping between positions.
- Slide-type actuators should have sufficient travel (displacement) to permit immediate recognition of the switch setting. The travel should be not less than twice the actuator length. When actuators are rocker-type, the actuated wing should be flush with the surface of the module.
- Actuators should have sufficient separation to permit error-free manipulation by the operator (i.e., the stylus cannot inadvertently contact adjacent actuators).

10.6.3.9.3  Shape

The surface of the actuator should be indented to accept the point of the stylus. The indentation should be sufficiently deep to avoid slippage of the stylus during manipulation.

10.6.3.10  Levers

10.6.3.10.1  Use

A lever is a simple machine consisting of a rigid bar that pivots about a fulcrum. Levers are used to transmit motion and alter mechanical advantage. They are good for high forces or when multidimensional movements of controls are required.

10.6.3.10.2  Coding

When several levers are grouped near each other, the lever handles should be coded.

10.6.3.10.3  Limb Support

When levers are used to make fine or continuous adjustments, support should be provided for the appropriate limb segment as follows:
- For large hand movements: elbow
- For small hand movements: forearm
- For finger movements: wrist

10.6.3.10.4 Dimensions

The length of levers should be determined by the mechanical advantage needed. The diameter of spherical lever or grip handles should conform to the criteria in Figure 10.6-16.

10.6.3.10.5 Resistance

Lever resistance should be within the limits indicated in Figure 10.6-16, measured as linear force applied to a point on the handle.

10.6.3.10.6 Displacement and Separation

Control displacement (for the seated operator) and separation should conform to the criteria in Figure 10.6-16.

![Figure 10.6-16 Requirements for levers. Source: MIL-STD-1472F.](image)
10.6.3.11  Displacement (Isotonic) Joysticks

10.6.3.11.1  General

A joystick is a general control device consisting of a handheld stick that pivots about one end and transmits its angle in two or three directions to a computer. Displacement joysticks have the following uses:

- Joysticks may be used when the task requires precise or continuous control in two or more related dimensions.
- Displacement joysticks may also be used for various display functions such as selecting data from a display and generation of free-drawn graphics.
- Displacement joysticks usually require less force than isometric joysticks and are less fatiguing for long operating periods.
- When positioning accuracy is more critical than positioning speed, displacement joysticks should be selected over isometric joysticks.

Consider the following general operating characteristics when designing displacement joysticks:

- In rate-control applications, which allow the follower (cursor or tracking symbol) to transit beyond the edge of the display, indicators should be provided to aid the operator in bringing the follower back onto the display.
- For displacement joysticks used for rate control, resistance to movement should increase with the distance the user displaces it from the center (null) position and the control should return to the center when the hand is removed.
- Displacement joysticks that have a deadband near the center or hysteresis should not be used with automatic sequencing of a display follower (cursor or tracking symbol) unless they are instrumented for null return or zero-set to the instantaneous position of the stick at the time of sequencing. Upon termination of the automatic sequencing routine, the joystick center should again be registered to scope center.

Following is a discussion of three types of displacement joysticks, distinguished by how the operator uses them:

- Hand-operated displacement joysticks
- Finger-operated displacement joysticks
- Thumbtip- / fingertip-operated displacement joysticks

10.6.3.11.2  Hand-Operated Displacement Joysticks

10.6.3.11.2.1  Specific Use

In addition to general use, hand-operated displacement joysticks may be used to control vehicles and aim sensors. Such joysticks may be used as mounting platforms for secondary controls, such as thumb-and finger-operated switches. (Operation of secondary controls has less induced error on a displacement hand grip than on an isometric handgrip.) When buttons are located on hand-operated joysticks, they should be operable using a normal grip without diminishing control of the joystick.
10.6.3.11.2.2 Dynamic Characteristics

Movement should not exceed 45° from the center position and should be smooth in all directions. Positioning of a follower should be attainable without noticeable backlash, cross-coupling, or need for multiple corrective movements. Control ratios, friction, and inertia should meet the dual requirements of rapid gross positioning and precise fine positioning. When a joystick is used for free motion, the display refresh rate should be sufficiently high to display the follower as a continuous track. Delay between control movement and the confirming display response should be minimized and should be not greater than 0.1 second.

10.6.3.11.2.3 Dimensions and Clearance

The hand-grip length should be 110 to 180 mm (4.3 – 7.1 in.). The grip diameter should be not more than 50 mm (2 in.). Clearances of 100 mm (4 in.) to the side and 50 mm (2 in.) to the rear should be provided to allow for hand movement. Joysticks should be mounted to provide forearm support. Modular devices should be mounted to allow actuation of the joystick without slippage, movement, or tilting of the mounting base.

10.6.3.11.3 Finger-Operated Displacement Joysticks

10.6.3.11.3.1 Specific Use

In addition to general uses, finger-operated displacement joysticks are useful for free motion. In this application, there is usually no spring return to center, and the resistance should be sufficient to maintain the handle position when the hand is removed.

10.6.3.11.3.2 Dynamic Characteristics

Dynamic characteristics should conform to 10.6.3.11.2.2. Recessed mounting may be used as indicated in Figure 10.6-17, to allow more precise control.

10.6.3.11.3.3 Dimensions, Resistance, and Clearance

The joystick should be mounted on a desk or shelf surface as shown in Figure 10.6-17. Joysticks should be mounted to provide forearm or wrist support. Modular devices should be mounted to allow actuation of the joystick without slippage, movement, or tilting of the mounting base.

10.6.3.11.4 Thumbtip-/Fingertip-Operated Displacement Joysticks

10.6.3.11.4.1 Specific Use

Thumbtip-/fingertip-operated joysticks may be mounted on a hand grip, which serves as a steady rest to damp vibrations and increase precision. If a joystick is so mounted, the hand grip should not simultaneously function as a joystick controller.

10.6.3.11.4.2 Dynamic Characteristics

Movement should not exceed 45° from the center position.
10.6.3.11.4.3 Dimensions, Resistance, and Clearance

Joysticks should be mounted to provide wrist or hand support. Console-mounted devices should be mounted as shown in Figure 10.6-17. Modular devices should be mounted to allow actuation of the joystick without slippage, movement, or tilting of the mounting base.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DIMENSIONS</th>
<th>RESISTANCE</th>
<th>DISPLACEMENT</th>
<th>CLEARANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D&lt;sub&gt;DIAM&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>L&lt;sub&gt;LENGTH&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>S&lt;sub&gt;DISPLAY CL TO STICK CL&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINIMUM</td>
<td>6.5 mm (0.25&quot;)</td>
<td>75 mm (3&quot;)</td>
<td>3.2 N (12 oz.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAXIMUM</td>
<td>16 mm (0.625&quot;)</td>
<td>150 mm (5&quot;)</td>
<td>8.8 N (32 oz.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Maximum stick excursion plus 100 mm (4").

Figure 10.6-17 Requirements for displacement (isotonic) joysticks. Source: MIL-STD-1472F.

10.6.3.12 Isometric Joystick (Two-Axis Controller)

10.6.3.12.1 General

The isometric joystick is also known as the stiff stick, force stick, or pressure stick. The control has no perceptible movement, but its output is a function of the force applied.

Isometric joysticks may be used for tasks requiring precise or continuous control in two or more related dimensions and are particularly appropriate for the following types of application:
• Applications that require precise return to center after each use
• Applications in which operator feedback is primarily visual, rather than tactile feedback from the control itself
• Applications where there is minimal delay and tight coupling between control input and system reaction
• When positioning speed is more critical than positioning accuracy, isometric joysticks should be selected over displacement joysticks

Isometric joysticks may be used for various display functions such as data pickoff from a display. Isometric sticks should not be used in applications that require the operator to maintain a constant force on the control for a long period or that provide no definitive feedback when maximum control inputs have been exceeded.

In rate-control applications, which may allow the follower (cursor or tracking symbol) to transit beyond the edge of the display, indicators should be provided to aid the operator in bringing the follower back onto the display.

Following is a discussion of three types of isometric joysticks, distinguished by how the operator uses them:

• Hand-operated isometric joysticks
• Finger-operated isometric joysticks
• Thumbtip- / fingertip-operated isometric joysticks

10.6.3.13  Hand-Operated

10.6.3.13.1  Specific Use

In addition to general use, hand-operated isometric joysticks may be used as vehicle controllers, aiming sensors, and mounting platforms for secondary controls, such as thumb- and finger-operated switches. (Operation of secondary controls has greater induced error on the isometric hand grip than does operation of displacement hand-grip joysticks.)

10.6.3.13.2  Dynamic Characteristics

Maximum force for full output should be not more than 118 N (26.7 lb).

10.6.3.13.3  Dimensions, Resistance, and Clearance

Dimensions, resistance, and clearance should conform to the specifications listed in section 10.6.3.11.2.3.

10.6.3.13.4  Finger-Operated

Dimensions, resistance, and clearance should conform to the specifications listed in section 10.6.3.11.3.3.
10.6.3.14 **Thumbtip- / Fingertip-Operated**

10.6.3.14.1 **Specific Use**

Thumbtip- / fingertip-operated joysticks may be mounted on a hand grip, which serves as a steady rest to damp vibrations or increase precision. If the joystick is so mounted, the hand grip should not simultaneously function as a joystick controller.

10.6.3.14.2 **Dimensions, Resistance, and Clearance**

Dimensions, resistance, and clearance should conform to the specifications listed in section 10.6.3.11.4.3.

10.6.3.15 **Ball Control**

10.6.3.15.1 **General**

A trackball is a pointing device consisting of a ball housed in a socket containing sensors to detect rotations of the ball about two axes. Studies in 0g have shown that trackballs can be used efficiently in space. They are unaffected by 0g if properly designed and mounted. Using a trackball requires more training and practice than using a mouse, but the speed and accuracy of the trackball are comparable to those of the mouse. Because a type of rolling movement is necessary for actuation, repetitive strain injuries may be avoided. Trackballs can be easily built into consoles.

10.6.3.15.2 **Dimensions and Resistance**

Dimensions and resistance should conform to the criteria listed in Figure 10.6-18.

![Figure 10.6-18 Requirements for ball controls. Source: MIL-STD-1472F and HFES (2007)](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DIMENSIONS</th>
<th>RESISTANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D DIAM</td>
<td>A SURFACE EXPOSURE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINIMUM 50 mm (2.0&quot;)</td>
<td>100°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PREFERRED 100 mm (4.0&quot;)</td>
<td>120°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAXIMUM 150 mm (6.0&quot;)</td>
<td>140°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Initial resistance should range from 1.0 N(3.6 lbf) to 0.4 N(1.4 oz).
10.6.3.16 Stylus

10.6.3.16.1 General
A stylus is similar in form and use to writing tools, and because of this similarity it is excellent
for graphic entry. It can be designed so that the user works on a horizontal surface. Extra space is
required on the work surface. Displacement of visual feedback from motor activity may cause
coordination problems. Entering hand-printed characters to be recognized by the system is very
slow (fewer than 40 characters / min) compared with type entry (averaging 200 recognition
characters/min). It is difficult to maintain control in 0g.

10.6.3.16.2 Force
The stylus force required on a tablet to produce a continuous input should be not greater than 0.8
N (2.9 oz).

10.6.3.16.3 Design
The stylus should have a slip-resistant grip surface. Figure 10.6-19 shows the recommended
stylus dimensions.
10.6.3.17 Mouse

10.6.3.17.1 General

A mouse is the most common input device for interacting with objects on interfaces. It detects 2D motion relative to its supporting surface. It is relatively fast and has low error rates for large targets. It requires a flat work surface, although certain types of mice can be used remotely without a flat surface. Positioning is important, because when a mouse is held backward or sideways, it does not work in the intended way. Since the computer mouse is widely used for many applications, its use is intuitive and little training is needed. In general, a mouse must be adapted for 0g use, since a contact force is typically required for use. Human factors considerations: ergonomic design, hand fatigue, repetitive strain injury.

10.6.3.17.2 Dimensions

Figure 10.6-20 shows recommended dimensions for the mouse. These recommendations also apply to puck devices.
10.6.3.17.3 Mouse Position Sensor

Fine positioning accuracy is improved if the sensor for mouse position is forward on the mouse, under the fingertips rather than under the palm of the hand.

10.6.3.18 Touch Screen

10.6.3.18.1 General

Touch screens are displays that can detect touches within the display area. They are direct input devices because the input is in direct correspondence with the targets. Touch screens are very popular because they are intuitive to use. Their sensitivity can be changed according to their role, but it can be reduced by impurities. An ergonomic problem is the stress on fingers (and possibly the arm) that occurs when a touch screen is used for more than a few minutes at a time.

10.6.3.18.2 Dimensions

If the user must touch a specific area on the screen, then this area must be of sufficient size to minimize errors. Accuracy is not improved, however, beyond a certain maximum size. Also, each area should be surrounded by a dead space to minimize accidental activation of an adjacent touch area. Figure 10.6-21 gives recommended touch area and dead space sizes.

---

**Figure 10.6-20** Recommended dimensions for mouse interfaces. Source: MIL-STD-1472F and HFES (2007)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WIDTH (W)</th>
<th>LENGTH (L)</th>
<th>HEIGHT (H)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MIN</td>
<td>40 mm (1.6 in)</td>
<td>70 mm (2.8 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAX</td>
<td>70 mm (2.8 in)</td>
<td>120 mm (4.7 in)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TARGET HEIGHT AND WIDTH (T)

DEAD SPACE BETWEEN TARGETS (D)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TARGET HEIGHT AND WIDTH (T)</th>
<th>DEAD SPACE BETWEEN TARGETS (D)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MIN</td>
<td>9.5 mm (0.4 in)</td>
<td>3.2 mm (0.1 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAX (ineffective beyond this size)</td>
<td>22 mm (0.9 in)</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 10.6-21 Recommended dimensions for touch screen areas. Source: MIL-STD-1472F and HFES (2007)

10.6.3.19 Pedals

10.6.3.19.1 General

Pedal controls should be used only where the operator is likely to have both hands occupied, control system force is too high for the manual force capability of the operator, or standardized use of pedals has created a stereotype expectancy (e.g., vehicle pedal controls such as clutches, brakes, accelerators, and rudders).

10.6.3.19.2 Location

Pedal controls should be located so that the operator can reach them easily without extreme stretching or twisting and can reach the maximally-displaced pedals within anthropometric limits and force capabilities (see Figure 10.6-22). Pedals that may be held or must be adjusted (e.g., accelerator, clutch) should be located so the operator can “rest” and “steady” the foot, i.e., the pedal should be an appropriate critical distance above the floor so the operator’s heel can rest on the floor while articulating the ankle and foot. When this cannot be done and the pedal angle is more than 20° from the horizontal floor, a heel rest should be provided.
10.6.3.19.3  Pedal Return

Except for controls that generate a continuous output (e.g., rudder controls), pedals should return to the original null position without requiring assistance from the operator (e.g., brake pedal). Where the operator’s foot may normally rest on the pedal between operations, sufficient resistance should be provided to prevent the weight of the foot from inadvertently actuating the control (e.g., accelerator pedal).

10.6.3.19.4  Pedal Travel

The travel path should be compatible with the natural articulation path of the operator’s limbs (i.e., thigh, knee, ankle).

10.6.3.19.5  Nonslip Pedal Surface

Pedals should have a nonskid surface. This is especially true of pedals with high force requirements.

10.6.3.19.6  Dimensions, Resistance, Displacement, and Separation

Dimensions, resistance, displacement, and separation of pedals should conform to the criteria in Figure 10.6-22.
Figure 10.6-22 Requirements for pedals. Source: MIL-STD-1472F.

10.6.3.20 Rotary Selector Switches

10.6.3.20.1 Use

Rotary selector switches (see Figure 10.6-23) should be used for discrete functions when three or more detented positions are required. A rotary selector switch should not be used for a two-position function unless prompt visual identification of the control position is of primary importance and speed of control operation is not critical.

10.6.3.20.2 Moving Pointer

A rotary selector switch should be designed with a moving pointer and a fixed scale.
10.6.3.20.3  **Shape**

A moving pointer knob should be bar-shaped with parallel sides and its index end tapered to a point. Exceptions may be made when pointer knobs are shape coded or when space is restricted and torque is light. Shape coding should be used when a group of rotary controls, used for different functions, is placed on the same panel and control confusion might otherwise result.

10.6.3.20.4  **Positions**

A rotary selector switch that is not visible to the operator during normal system operation should have no more than 12 positions. A rotary switch that is constantly visible to the operator should have not more than 24 positions. In addition, the following criteria should apply:

- Rotary switch positions should not be placed opposite each other unless knob shape prevents confusion about which end of the knob is the pointer.
- Switch resistance should be elastic, build up, then decrease as each position is approached, so that the control snaps into position without stopping between adjacent positions.

10.6.3.20.5  **Contrast**

A reference line should be provided on rotary switch controls. The luminance contrast of this line with the control color should be not less than 3.0 under all lighting conditions.

10.6.3.20.6  **Parallax**

The knob pointer should be mounted close enough to its scale to minimize parallax between the pointer and the scale markings. When viewed from the normal operator’s position, the parallax errors should not exceed 25% of the distance between scale markings.

10.6.3.20.7  **Attachment**

Selector switch shafts and knobs should be designed for only the intended installation orientation.

10.6.3.20.8  **Dimensions, Resistance, Displacement, and Separation**

Control dimensions, resistance, displacement, and separation between adjacent edges of areas swept by rotary selector switches should conform to the criteria in Figure 10.6-23.
Figure 10.6-23  Requirements for rotary selector switches. Source: MIL-STD-1472F

10.6.3.21  Discrete Thumbwheel Controls

10.6.3.21.1  Application

Thumbwheel controls may be used if the function requires a compact digital control-input device (for a series of numbers) and a readout of these manual inputs for verification. The use of thumbwheels for any other purpose is discouraged. Detent indexing units should provide 10 positions (0 – 9) in digital or binary (3 or 4 bits and complement) outputs.

Thumbwheels can be discrete- or continuous-position thumbwheels. Discrete-position thumbwheels should have 10 or fewer detent positions. Each position around the circumference of a discrete thumbwheel should have a slightly concave surface to ensure easy positioning. Resistance should be elastic, building up and then decreasing as each detent is approached so that the control snaps into position without stopping between adjacent detents. These movement characteristics make sure that operation is easy and the user receives enough tactile feedback. For continuous-position thumbwheels, hard stops should be provided to limit the maximum amount of travel.
10.6.3.21.2  Shape

Each position around the circumference of a discrete thumbwheel should have a concave surface or should be separated by a high-friction area that is raised from the periphery of the thumbwheel. The thumbwheels should not preclude viewing the digits within a 30° viewing angle to the left and right of a perpendicular to the thumbwheel digits.

10.6.3.21.3  Coding

Thumbwheel controls may be coded by location, labeling, and color (e.g., reversing the colors of the least significant digit wheel as on typical odometers). Where used as input devices, thumbwheel switch Off or Normal positions should be color coded to permit a visual check that the digits have been reset to their Off or Normal positions.

10.6.3.21.4  Direction of Movement

Moving the thumbwheel edge forward, upward, or to the right should increase the setting.

10.6.3.21.5  Numerals

Where ambient illumination will provide display brightness below 3.5 cd/m² (1 ft-L), the thumbwheel characters should be internally illuminated and appear against a black background.

10.6.3.21.6  Visibility

Thumbwheel design should permit viewing of inline digital readout from all operator positions.

10.6.3.21.7  Dimensions

Control dimensions should conform to the criteria in Figure 10.6-24.

10.6.3.21.8  Resistance

Detents should be provided for discrete position thumbwheels. Resistance should be elastic, and should build up and then decrease as each detent is approached so that the control snaps into position without stopping between adjacent detents. The resistance should be within the limits indicated in Figure 10.6-24.

10.6.3.21.9  Separation

The separation between adjacent edges of thumbwheel controls should conform to the criteria in Figure 10.6-24 and should be sufficient to preclude accidental actuation of adjacent controls during normal setting of the thumbwheel.
10.6.3.22 Continuous-Adjustment Thumbwheel Controls

10.6.3.22.1 Use

Continuously adjustable thumbwheel controls may be used as an alternative to rotary knobs when the application will benefit from the compactness of the thumbwheel device.

10.6.3.22.2 Orientation and Movement

Thumbwheels should be oriented and move in the directions specified in Figure 10.6-25. If a thumbwheel is used to affect vehicle motion, movement of the thumbwheel forward or up should cause the vehicle to forward or up.

10.6.3.22.3 Turning Aids

The rim of a thumbwheel should be serrated or provided with a high-friction surface to aid the operator in manipulating the control.

10.6.3.22.4 Dimensions, Separation, and Resistance

Dimensions, separation, and resistance should conform to criteria in Figure 10.6-25.

Figure 10.6-24 Requirements for discrete thumbwheel controls. Source: MIL-STD-1472F.
10.6.3.22.5 Labeling and Visibility

Marking and labeling should conform to requirements herein, with respect to visibility of markings and legibility of label alphanumerics.

10.6.3.22.6 OFF Position

A detent should be provided for continuous thumbwheels having an OFF position.

---

**Figure 10.6-25 Requirements for continuous-adjustment thumbwheels. Source: MILSTD-1472F.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minimum Exposure</th>
<th>Width</th>
<th>Resistance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25 mm * (1&quot;)</td>
<td>3 mm * (0.125&quot;)</td>
<td>To minimize effects of inadvertent input if operator subject to motion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 mm (4&quot;)</td>
<td>23 mm (0.875&quot;)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Preferred. Some miniature applications may require less.

10.6.3.23 Knobs

10.6.3.23.1 Use

Knobs should be used when low forces or precise adjustments of a continuous variable are required. For most tasks, a moving knob with fixed scale is preferred over a moving scale with fixed index. If positions of single-revolution controls must be distinguished, a pointer or marker should be available on the knob.
10.6.3.23.2 Dimensions, Torque, and Separation

The dimensions of knobs should be within the limits specified in Figure 10.6-26. The knob should be easily grasped and manipulated. When panel space is extremely limited, knobs should be as small as possible while maintaining good usability and should have resistance as low as possible without permitting the setting to be changed via vibration or merely touching the control. Resistance and separation between adjacent edges of knobs should conform to Figure 10.6-26.

![Figure 10.6-26 Requirements for knobs. Source: MIL-STD-1472F.](image)

### Dimensions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>a) Fingertip Grasp</th>
<th>b) Thumb and Finger Encircled</th>
<th>c) Palm Grasp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>H</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>13 mm (0.5 in.)</td>
<td>13 mm (0.5 in.)</td>
<td>35 mm (1.4 in.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>25 mm (1.0 in.)</td>
<td>100 mm (4.0 in.)</td>
<td>75 mm (3.0 in.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **D**          |                    |                               |               |
| Minimum        | 16 mm (0.64 in.)   | 25 mm (1.0 in.)               | 38 mm (1.5 in.) |
| Maximum        | 100 mm (4.0 in.)   | 75 mm (3.0 in.)               | 75 mm (3.0 in.) |

| **D**          |                    |                               |               |
| Minimum        | 25 mm (1.0 in.)    | 75 mm (3.0 in.)               |               |
| Maximum        | 100 mm (4.0 in.)   | 75 mm (3.0 in.)               |               |

| **L**          |                    |                               |               |
| Minimum        | 25 mm (1.0 in.)    | 75 mm (3.0 in.)               |               |
| Maximum        | 100 mm (4.0 in.)   | 75 mm (3.0 in.)               |               |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>TORQUE</strong></th>
<th><strong>SEPARATION</strong></th>
<th><strong>SEPARATION</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25 mm (1.0 in.)</td>
<td>50 mm (2.0 in.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimum</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50 mm (2.0 in.)</td>
<td>125 mm (5 in.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>42 mN·m (4.5 oz·in)</td>
<td>42 mN·m (4.5 oz·in)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* = 25 mm (1.0 in.) diameter knobs
** = 25 mm (1.0 in.) diameter knobs.

10.6.3.24 Cranks

10.6.3.24.1 Use

A crank is a device or mechanism for producing rotation about an axis. Its usual form is a bar or disk set at right angles to the shaft and containing a crank-pin, remote from the axis of rotation, to which the force is applied. Cranks should be used for tasks that require many rotations of a control, particularly where high rates or large forces are involved. For tasks that involve large slewing movements, plus small, fine adjustments, a crank handle may be mounted on a knob or
handwheel. Where cranks are used for tuning, or other processes involving numerical selection, each rotation should correspond to a multiple of 1, 10, 100, etc. Simultaneously operated hand cranks should be used in preference to other two-axis controllers where extreme precision is required in setting crosshairs, or reticles as in map readouts or optical sighting mechanisms (as opposed to tracking). This type of control may also be used in other applications requiring x-y control, provided there is no requirement for rapid or frequent operation. The gear ratio and dynamic characteristics of such cranks should allow precise placement of the follower (e.g., crosshairs) without overshooting or undershooting or requiring successive corrective movements.

10.6.3.24.2  Grip Handle

The crank grip handle should be designed so that it turns freely around its shaft.

10.6.3.24.3  Dimensions, Resistance, and Separation

Dimensions, resistance, and separation between adjacent circular areas of cranks should conform to the criteria of Figure 10.6-27.

10.6.3.24.4  Location

Cranks that are to be operated from a standing position should be mounted between 900 and 1200 mm (35 – 47 in.) above the floor.

10.6.3.24.5  Folding Handle

If a crank handle could become a hazard to persons passing by, or if it is critical that the handle not be inadvertently displaced by being accidentally bumped, a folding handle-type control should be used. Such a handle should be spring-loaded to keep it extended in the cranking position when in use and folded when not in use.
Hand Wheels (Two-Hand Operated)

10.6.3.25 Use

A hand wheel is a small wheel fitted to the hand for operating such mechanisms as valves. Hand wheels, designed for nominal two-hand operation, should be used when the breakout or rotational forces are too high to be easily overcome with a one-handed control, provided that two hands are available for this task. Typical applications are steering, latch securing, valve opening and closing, and direct-linkage adjustment. Hand wheels require substantial space, and are not...
good for fine adjustments. When a hand wheel is designed for use in 0g, adequate restraints should be provided for the operator.

10.6.3.25.2 Turning Aids

Knurling, indenting, high-friction covering, or a combination of these should be built into the handwheel to facilitate operator grasp for applying maximum torque and to reduce the possibility of the wheel’s being jerked from the operator’s hands.

10.6.3.25.3 Spinner Handles

For applications where the wheel may be rotated rapidly through several revolutions, a spinner handle may be added, except where it is vulnerable to inadvertent displacement of a critical wheel setting or if it creates a safety hazard.

10.6.3.25.4 Direction of Movement

Except for valves, handwheels should rotate clockwise for ON or INCREASE and counterclockwise for OFF or DECREASE. The direction of motion should be indicated on the handwheel or immediately adjacent to it, by means of an arrow and appropriate legends.

10.6.3.25.5 Dimensions, Resistance, Displacement, and Separation

Control dimensions, resistance, displacement, and separation between edges of adjacent handwheels should conform to the criteria in Figure 10.6-28.

10.6.3.25.6 Steering Wheel Shape

All steering wheels should be round, except for established uses and where maximum wheel deflection does not exceed 120°.

10.6.3.25.7 Power Steering Failure

Steering systems should provide sufficient mechanical advantage to meet the force requirements of Figure 10.6-28, even when the primary operating mode is power assisted (i.e., the operator should be able to steer the vehicle to a safe stop if power fails).

10.6.3.25.8 Steering Ratio

Maximum turning limits of vehicles should be achieved with not more than 3.5 turns of the steering wheel.
Table: Requirements for handwheels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration Example</th>
<th>Application Criteria</th>
<th>Dimensions</th>
<th>Hand Clearance</th>
<th>Displacement</th>
<th>Separation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Continuous Adjustment for Alternate Slewing/Precise Positioning, Using Display Reference. Resistance Low (e.g., Below 110 N (25 lb))</td>
<td>Diameter: 200-510 mm (8-20&quot;)</td>
<td>19-32 mm (0.75-1.125&quot;)</td>
<td>7/16&quot; around rim</td>
<td>See control/display ratios 5.1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Continuous Lock Unlock Operation</td>
<td>Diameter: 200 mm (8&quot;) for 22 N (5 lb) to 510 mm (20&quot;) for 155 N (35 lb)</td>
<td>19-32 mm (0.75-1.125&quot;)</td>
<td>7/16&quot; around rim</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High Torque Valves</td>
<td>Diameter: 200-400 mm (8-16&quot;) for overhead; 200-510 mm (8-20&quot;) for other positions: 300-1520 mm (12-60&quot;) above standing surface</td>
<td>19-32 mm (0.75-1.125&quot;)</td>
<td>7/16&quot; around rim</td>
<td>See 5.1.4 when applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 10.6-28 Requirements for handwheels (page 1 of 2). Source: MIL-STD-1472F
### Figure 10.6-28  Requirements for handwheels (page 2 of 2). Source: MIL-STD-1472F.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONFIGURATION EXAMPLE</th>
<th>APPLICATION CRITERIA</th>
<th>DESIGN CRITERIA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VEHICLES STEERING</td>
<td>D, DIAMETER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(AUTOMOTIVE)</td>
<td>Rg, RIM DIAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAX RESISTANCE POWER</td>
<td>S, SLOPE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STEERING</td>
<td>DIMENSIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAX NON-POWER = 220</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N (50 lb)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AIRCRAFT STEERING</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(COMBINE WITH LEVER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FOR PITCH, RUDDER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PEDALS FOR ROLL/STEER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32 mm</td>
<td>X - 262 mrad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.125&quot;) preferred</td>
<td>(15&quot;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y = 0-262 mrad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0-15&quot;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X-Y GRIP TIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100 mm minimum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Max of 2/3 \( \pi \) rad

(120°) when both hands must remain on wheel

Max of 525 mrad

(30°) for light vehicle

(45°) for heavy vehicle

(preferred)
10.6.3.26 Other Controls

The following is a brief discussion of newer and/or less common controls. Generally, these controls are computer input devices.

- **Freespace controller:** A freespace controller is an input device that detects the user’s movement of the device in free space. These manipulations are then typically translated into control inputs for some interactive system, such as a personal computer, television, or game console. Many of these fall into the category of pointing devices, and eliminate the need for a computer mouse or trackball in situations where these devices are difficult to deploy or use. Freespace controller devices are typically handheld, although devices designed for accessibility can be mounted on other mobile parts of the body, such as the head.

- **3D controller:** Motion controllers feature a ball, or cap, which easily rotates in 6 directions to perform the ultimate in 3D navigation. It can simultaneously pan, zoom, and rotate models and objects on the screen, without using the mouse. It allows perfect and precise positioning in one fluid motion. These controllers also have programmable buttons that allow the user to map frequently used commands and functions for one-touch access. They also make it possible to quickly execute a command sequence that would take many trips to the keyboard or many clicks on the menu bar to accomplish.

- **Roller bar:** The roller bar moves the cursor by sliding left to right, up and down, and diagonally. It is positioned below the keyboard in the center, allowing easy access when hands are on the keyboard. Pressing the roller bar is a single click. It also has an additional button that makes it similar to a traditional mouse. It addresses some ergonomic problems of the mouse; for example, it does not require lifting the arm to reach out.

- **Voice activation:** Voice activation is the activation of a command by using the voice. It does not require hands nor gaze shift from the user. It can be useful in no-light or low-light conditions. Voice activation allows simultaneous activation of more than one control mode. A speaker-dependent system prevents an unauthorized person from issuing commands verbally. The disadvantages of voice activation include the following: data entry can be slow, a specified vocabulary must be used, and a headset may be required. If the system is speaker-dependent, it must be individualized to specific users. If an individual’s voice changes (e.g., becomes stressed) a speaker-dependent system may not respond. Speaker-dependent systems require template loading time and background noise may interfere with recognition, but a speaker-independent system may allow unauthorized people to issue commands.

- **Track point:** The track point is an isometric joystick used as a pointing device. It operates by sensing the force that is applied to it, and the velocity of the cursor depends on the applied force. Its sensitivity can be adjusted. One of the problems with track points is that the cursor drifts, and this needs frequent recalibration by lifting the finger off it. Track points are usually incorporated into the keyboard, and they are preferred by touch typists because hands do not have to be moved from the keyboard to use the device.
10.7  LABELS

10.7.1  Introduction

Labels are used to help crewmembers identify, recognize, and interpret items, controls, displays, and procedures and avoid hazards. This section describes design considerations for labels in a spacecraft.

10.7.2  General

Labels must be designed so that crewmembers can locate and identify the label in a timely manner under all conditions of intended use, including suited operations.

Labels must be readable and consistent across interfaces and systems. They should be simple, and easy to recognize and identify. Designers should consider the placement, orientation, and alignment of labels, since these factors can affect readability and processing time.

Procedure and task completion times depend greatly on labeling. Good labels help avoid errors, reduce processing time, and make the interface more intuitive.

Factors that should be considered in the design and application of labeling and coding include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Degree of accuracy of identification required
- Amount of time available for recognition or other responses
- Distance at which label material must be read
- Level and color of illumination
- Criticality of the function labeled

Labels must be provided whenever crewmembers need to identify items (except where it is obvious), interpret or follow procedures, or avoid hazards.

Labels aid in quick identification of items, interpretation of procedures, and avoidance of hazards. Labels make an interface easier to understand, remember, and recognize.

Labels are used to identify items of an interface as well as to describe steps and tasks to be completed on the interface.

Below are descriptions of several different methods of labeling for crew interfaces.

- Silk-screened labels – Markings that are silk-screened with ink onto hardware
- Decals – Peel-off labels, with adhesive backing, that are applied onto hardware.
- Ink-stamped labels – Markings stamped with ink onto the hardware.
- Engraved or etched labels – Markings carved onto the hardware surface.
- Placards – Cards that are inserted into transparent pockets on the hardware.

10.7.3  Standardization

Labels must be consistent and standardized throughout a spacecraft or system. Standardization allows the user to command different processes or hardware with the same knowledge. It would
be inefficient for the system to require different skills and knowledge levels from the user to operate at different levels or to perform different processes. Standardization helps to keep error rates down. Task-switching studies have shown that when people switch from performing one task to another, response times slow and error rates increase. Therefore, switching from one format to another would result in such performance decrements.

The design must optimize consistency within a system and across systems that will be used by crewmembers on the spacecraft, to the best extent possible. This allows training knowledge to be transferred to systems across the spacecraft, aiding in ease of use and helping to decrease the probability of error. If all systems use the same configuration, crewmembers will be able to use their experience on their dominant system to access information on a system that is rarely used. This allows crewmembers to have quick access to information and controls without learning or searching, which are both time-consuming processes.

10.7.4 Identification of Items

The presence of labels allows easy acquisition and interpretation of item function. The label informs the user of the name and function of the corresponding item. This eases the memory demands on users because they are not required to remember the function of the item. Labels also help users find the items they are looking for. Labels are coded by color or location, and these codes act as cues to users when they are searching for a particular item to perform a task.

The label should be visually and functionally simple. Labels should be identifiable and visually easy to distinguish. The wording should be simple and familiar. Complex labels may take additional time to recognize, identify, and interpret, thus increasing task time and increasing the chance of errors.

10.7.5 Avoiding Hazards

The labeling of items helps users avoid hazards. It does this in several ways. One way is by displaying to the user the proper name and function of the item, thus helping to prevent unintended actuation of the wrong item. Another way labels help prevent hazards is by indicating to the user a possible hazard or hazard-prevention device. Some labels require specific coloring to indicate their function or possible hazard; for example, items used in an emergency situation are required to have diagonal red and white stripes on the label or directly adjacent to it. This color coding quickly indicates to the user that these items are aids in an emergency situation. Additionally, wires and cables are labeled with information indicating to the user which end attaches to what input unit. This will help prevent the user from inadvertently plugging a cable into the wrong location and possibly will help avoid a hazard.

10.7.6 Avoiding Errors

The implications of a poor label design include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Confusion about the function or purpose of the label
- Errors in procedures
- Issuance of an incorrect command or procedure
- Increased amount of time needed to perform a procedure
• Improper use of equipment
• Inability to avoid a hazard

If a label is placed far from the item that it is labeling, users can become confused, especially if the label is closer to another item (that is not the one it belongs to). Labels can have confusing wording that could lead to similar consequences. Because of bad label wording, users could perform the wrong step in a procedure or they could issue the wrong command. Improper labels can increase the time needed to perform a procedure because it takes more time to correctly interpret the label function and its relation to the procedure, and/or because errors that result from confusion with improper labels need to be corrected. Poor wording or placement of a label can result in improper equipment use as well, which has the potential to be very hazardous.

10.7.7 Visual Properties of the Label

Each label must be easily recognizable and distinguishable from other labels by properties such as color, contrast, size, and shape. Other properties such as letter size and font require consideration also.

10.7.7.1 Readability and Legibility

Labels must be readable and legible. Readability refers to the style or manner of the text, in terms of how easy it is to understand. Labels must be readable by the crew from their operating locations and user orientation. Legibility refers to how easily or comfortably text can be read. It is based primarily on the size and appearance of the text.

Abstract symbols should be used only when they have an accepted meaning to all intended readers. Common, meaningful symbols (e.g., % or +) may be used if they are compatible with the procedure software specifications.

If dual languages are necessary, English should be used first with lettering at least 25.0% larger than the secondary language. If space is limited, the primary and secondary language can be of equal size, but should be no smaller than a 10-pt font. If space allows, a 12-pt font is recommended.

The following are some common findings of legibility research (also refer to section 10.5.2.8):

• Lowercase text is more legible than uppercase text.
• Regular upright fonts are more legible than italics.
• Contrast is important and a black font on a yellow or white background is the most effective.
• A black font on a white background is easier to read than a white font on a black background.
• Letter spacing and word spacing also affect legibility.

Text should be as concise as possible without distorting the intended meaning or information. Text should convey verbal meaning in the most direct manner, by using simple words and phrases. Labels should be clearly legible under normal conditions as well as under conditions of high vibration, motion, and varying illumination.
10.7.7.2 Nomenclature and Syntax

Nomenclature and syntax should be consistent throughout the spacecraft and systems. When a word is used to describe an item, the user learns the association between that word label and the meaning it is intended to convey. If this association changes or deviates at any point in the user’s interaction with the system, the user will become confused about the operation of the item. The change can occur by either changing the label or attaching a new meaning to the label. Either of these outcomes will confuse the user, and should be avoided.

10.7.7.3 Recognition of the Label

Labels must be designed so that crewmembers can easily recognize and identify the label and understand (interpret) its meaning. As mentioned above, labels should use simple, familiar language. The terminology should be familiar to crewmembers. Abbreviations, including acronyms, should be used only if they are commonly used and crewmembers are familiar with them. Easy recognition is important for easy processing of information. Without easy recognition, task times will increase and the chance of error is larger, as well as the chance of hazard. Familiar labels are easier to recognize, especially if the language used is simple. Also, if the best ways of visualizing labels are used (reasonable font size, lowercase or sentence case, as well as good contrast between background and label), recognition times will be further reduced.

10.7.7.4 Orientation

Label orientation affects recognition and identification of the label. In most cases labels can be used in the horizontal position. In some cases space is limited on displays or interfaces and a different orientation would fit better. However, one should be careful about using orientations other than horizontal. Studies have shown that horizontal labels are easier to read than marquee-style labels or even rotated labels (90° left or right, see Byrne, 2002; Sándor et al., 2008). These results indicate that, whenever possible, designers should use horizontal orientation. The orientation of the label should allow it to be readable from the most likely orientations of crewmembers.

10.7.7.5 Location

Labels must be located so that they are visible to crewmembers in the normal position of access or operation.

Labels must be positioned close to the item they are labeling. Label location should also be consistent across a system to facilitate easy recognition. Labels should normally be placed above the controls, displays, or other items they describe. When a panel is above eye level, labels may be located below if label visibility will be better and if it is clear that the label is for one particular control, display, or connector. Labels identifying display functions should be placed on the panel above the displays. Placement of labels on curved surfaces should be avoided when possible. On overhead panels, markings and labeling should be oriented so that they appear upright when observed from the operational viewing angle. Markings should be spaced to avoid a cluttered appearance. The arrangement of markings on panels should be such that errors of association are unlikely.
10.7.7.6 Alignment

On a display, three methods are frequently used to align text: (1) aligned to the left margin, (2) aligned to the right margin, or (3) justified, in which the text has a uniform alignment to both margins. Also, in some cases when a display contains long labels, they may be wrapped to save space.

Results from research studies (Sándor et al., 2008) suggest that wrapping increases recognition and response times, and should be avoided whenever possible. Also, left alignment is recommended when labels have similar lengths, but when there is a mix of short and long labels, right or data alignment is more advantageous.

10.7.7.7 Abbreviations, including Acronyms

The use of abbreviations (including acronyms) should be minimized, and they should be included only if users are familiar with them. All abbreviations should be defined, and definitions readily accessible. Punctuation of abbreviations (e.g., periods as in a.m. and p.m.) should be omitted except when it is needed to prevent misinterpretation.

10.7.7.8 Color

Color is a useful way to help inform users of item function. Designers should keep some important factors in mind when choosing a label color. First, only one color should be used for each function. When the same color is used for two different functions, the functions are not easily distinguishable to the user. Second, the number of colors used for each label should be limited to nine (including black and white). It is difficult to distinguish two labels with too many colors from each other because the user must evaluate the presence or absence of certain colors, or the pattern of the colors. This requires cognitive calculation on the part of the user and should be avoided in favor of a more simplistic design. Third, the lighting conditions of the environment in which the label will be viewed should be considered when choosing the color combination. Colors that require full lighting to be distinguishable from each other should be used only in locations that will always possess full lighting. For locations, such as the cockpit, in which crewmembers may need to interact with labels under conditions of low light, the colors should contain high contrast and be easily distinguishable from each other. To avoid confusion for color-deficient observers, do not use the color green if the color scheme uses more than six colors and do not use red and green within the same complement. Fourth, it is important to consider the background color of the label and ensure that the other colors on the label have sufficient contrast with the background to be distinguishable.

Some labels require specific coloring to indicate their function or possible hazard. For example, items used in an emergency situation are required to have diagonal red and white stripes on the label or directly adjacent to it. The coding of information should be consistent across the system. Consistency in coding refers to both the presence and the absence of the coding. For example, if the diagonal red and white stripes are on 90% of items used in an emergency situation but not on the other 10%, the user will incorrectly infer that the 10% is not used in an emergency situation. Conversely, if a knob (e.g., volume) is colored red and all other knobs colored red are used for a specific function (e.g., opening pumps), the user will incorrectly infer that that knob has the same function. Here is a list of the conventional uses for the most common colors:
1. Red – Emergency-use items, warning, and master alarm lights; safety controls; critical controls requiring rapid identification; emergency shutdown; control panel outline of a functionally critical emergency nature
2. Yellow – Caution; safety controls associated with emergencies of a less critical nature
3. Yellow with black stripe – Immediate access; exit releases
4. Orange – Hazardous moving parts; machinery; start switches
5. Green – Important and frequently operated controls having no urgent or emergency implications
6. Green (Sage) – First aid and survival
7. Blue – Advisory (not recommended for general use)
8. Purple (Magenta) – Radiation hazard
9. White – Advisory (for trans-illuminated devices only)

10.7.7.9 Differentiation

Each category of function used for labels must be distinguishable from other categories. This can be achieved by grouping the items in one category together in the same spatial location, by using color, by using a distinctive header, or in other ways. Within each category, each label should be distinguishable from every other label. The labels need to have different names, but they may also be distinguishable in other ways, such as by having different shapes or different variants of the larger category color.

Labels that indicate high-priority functions should be distinguishable from those that indicate low or moderate functions. High-priority functions should be easier to identify than low-priority functions. Also, low-priority functions should have less distinctive features to make sure they do not distract attention from the high-priority functions.

Labels that indicate a higher level may differ from individual item labels in their specific function. This distinction should be clear. For example, all of the controls used to open and close pumps may be grouped together by location, but each individual control opens and closes a different pump and thus needs to be distinguishable from other individual controls.

10.7.8 Research Needs

TBD
10.8 AUDIO DISPLAYS

10.8.1 Introduction

Audio displays are the collection of systems that transmit information to the crew through sound, and include:

- Two-way speech communications equipment
- Audio annunciators and alarms (one-way)
- Sound combined with visual displays (usually computer-generated)
- Auditory alarms – Because the proper function of these displays is critical, a separate section is devoted to them.

The following factors are discussed in this section for each of the above items:

- Selection of the proper auditory display
- Signal design
- Auditory equipment design
- Design of direct user interface (including controls and displays)

Section 6.6 Acoustics discusses the sound characteristics of speech communications and assessment of intelligibility.

10.8.2 When to Use

Audio displays should be provided under the following conditions:

- The information to be processed is short, simple, and transitory, requiring an immediate or time-based response.
- The common mode of visual display is restricted by overburdening; ambient light variability or limitation; operator mobility; degradation of vision by vibration, high g forces, hypoxia, or other environmental considerations; or anticipated operator inattention.
- The criticality of the event makes supplementary or redundant notification desirable.
- It is desirable to warn, alert, or cue the operator to subsequent additional response.
- Custom or usage has created anticipation of an audio display.
- Voice communication is necessary or desirable.
- Crewmembers are not at specific stations and fixed visual displays will not be noticed.

Auditory presentation is preferred over visual presentation for the following signals and situations:

- Signals of acoustic origin
- Warning signals to call attention to imminent or potential danger
- Situations when many displays are visually presented
- Situations when information is presented independently of head orientation
- Situations when darkness limits vision or makes seeing impossible
- Conditions of anoxia or high positive g forces
- Situations when signals must be distinguished from noise, especially periodic signals in noise

### 10.8.3 Selection of Signal Type

When an audio presentation is required, the optimum type of signal should be presented in accordance with Table 10.8-1.

#### Table 10.8-1 Selection of Audio Signals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE of SIGNAL</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Tones (periodic)</th>
<th>Complex sounds (non-periodic)</th>
<th>Speech</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quantitative Indication</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Maximum of 5 to 6 tones absolutely recognizable.</td>
<td>Poor Interpolation between signals inaccurate.</td>
<td>Good Minimum time and error in obtaining exact value in terms compatible with response.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualitative Indication</td>
<td>Poor to Fair</td>
<td>Difficult to judge approximate value and direction of deviation from null setting unless presented in close temporal sequence.</td>
<td>Poor Difficult to judge approximate deviation from desired value.</td>
<td>Good Information concerning displacement, direction, and rate presented in form compatible with required response.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status Indication</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Start and stop timing. Continuous information where rate of change of input is low.</td>
<td>Good Especially suitable for irregularly occurring signals (e.g., alarm signals).</td>
<td>Poor Inefficient; more easily masked; problem of repeatability.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracking</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Null position easily monitored; problem of signal-response compatibility.</td>
<td>Poor Required qualitative indications difficult to provide.</td>
<td>Good Meaning intrinsic in signal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>Good for automatic communication of limited information. Meaning must be learned. Easily generated.</td>
<td>Some sounds available with common meaning (e.g., fire bell). Easily generated.</td>
<td>Most effective for rapid (but not automatic) communication of complex, multidimensional information. Meaning intrinsic in signal and context when standardized. Minimum of new learning required.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
10.8.4 General Design

Audio displays must be audible and intelligible under all conditions of intended use.

Audio displays should include the following design features:

- False Alarms – The design of audio display devices and circuits should preclude false alarms.
- Failure – The audio display devices and circuits should be designed to preclude warning signal failure related to system or equipment failure and vice versa. Positive and attention-demanding indication should be provided if failure occurs.
- Circuit Test – All audio displays should be equipped with circuit test devices or other means of operability testing.
- Disable – An interlocked, manual disable should be provided if any failure mode can result in a sustained activation of an audio display or when the signal has been acknowledged and no longer contributes useful information. There should be a visual indication of “off” status.

10.8.5 Signal Design

10.8.5.1 Nonspeech Signal Design

Nonspeech signals should be designed with consideration of the following features:

- Discrimination – When several different audio tones are to be used to transmit information, discriminable differences in intensity, pitch, beats and harmonics, or temporal patterns should be provided. Humans are capable of identifying a maximum of 5 or 6 things absolutely (without direct comparison). This applies to audio tones. Because of this, the number of audio tones to be identified absolutely should not exceed four.
- Interference with other sounds – Audio signals should not interfere with other sound sources, including verbal communication. When speech supplements are used, the length of the initial alerting and the actual message should not interfere with other auditory inputs, including interpersonal voice communication, unless the message is critical.
- Signal meaning – Each audio signal should have only one meaning.
- Signal characteristics – Even when it is considerably weaker than the background noise, if the signal is a sinusoid (pure tone) or a combination of sinusoids (complex tone), the ear can detect it. The ear acts as an effective detector of periodic signals in noise. The ear also efficiently detects periodic modulation in the very low frequency range and responds to variations in intensity or frequency.
- Apparent urgency – The attention-gaining characteristics of the signals in a set (e.g., rapidity of pulse pattern, frequency, and intensity) should match the relative priority of the signal.
- Use with several visual displays – If immediate discrimination is not critical to personnel safety or system performance, one audio signal may be used in conjunction with several visual displays.
• Manual overrides – Noncritical audio signals should be capable of being turned off at the discretion of the user. Where this capability is provided, a visual indication that the signal has been turned off should be provided to the user.

10.8.5.2 Speech Signal Design

Consider the following features when configuring spoken message:

• Use – Speech displays may be used where mobility is necessary or where the user’s eyes are busy. They should announce discrete events, not continuous status information. They should not be used if display use frequency is high, if simultaneous display of multiple messages is required, if messages are long, if messages include information that must be memorized, or if messages include a series of instructions that must be remembered.
• Output rate – All speech displays should provide an output rate between 150 and 180 words per minute.
• Digitized speech – Digitized speech should be used in preference to synthesized speech.
• Message priority control – Where simultaneous messages could occur, they should be prioritized so that the initial presentation of the most critical message receives transmission priority and overrides messages lower in priority.
• Instructional display structure – Instructional prompt messages should be structured with the desired goal first, followed by the desired action (e.g., “to delete, press enter” rather than “press enter to delete”). Prompts should be repeated after a user command or 10 seconds of inactivity.
• Message cancel capability – A manual cancellation capability should be provided for all speech displays after the initial presentation.
• Repeat capability – User-commanded repetition of messages should be provided.

10.8.6 Design for Audio Input and Output Equipment

10.8.6.1 Frequency Response

Microphones and other input devices, loudspeakers and other output devices, and associated audio system devices should be designed to respond optimally to the part of the speech / audio spectrum that is most essential to intelligibility (i.e., 200 to 6,100 Hz). Where system engineering necessitates speech transmission bandwidths narrower than 200 to 6,100 Hz, the minimum acceptable frequency range should be 250 to 4,000 Hz. Amplitude variation across the frequency response bandwidth should not be more than ± 6 dB for the end-to-end onboard distribution system, including speakers, earphones, and microphones.

10.8.6.2 Microphones and Other Input Devices

• Dynamic Range – The dynamic range of microphones and other input devices should be great enough to admit variations in signal input of at least 50 dB.
• Noise Canceling – Noise-canceling microphones and other input devices are required for high-noise environments (85 dBA or above) and are preferred in all areas.
10.8.6.3  Loudspeakers and Other Output Devices

- Sidetone – The speaker’s verbal input should be in phase (not have a perceivable delay) with its reproduction as heard on the output device.
- Multiple Channels – Audio equipment used to feed multiple channels into the same speaker or earphone should comply with the frequency response characteristics as stated in paragraph 10.8.6.1.
- Headsets – If listeners will be working in high ambient noise (85 dBA or above), binaural rather than monaural headsets should be provided. Unless operational requirements dictate otherwise, binaural headsets should be wired so that the sound reaches the two ears in opposing phases. Their attenuation qualities should be capable of reducing the ambient noise level to less than 85 dBA. Provisions should be incorporated to furnish the same protection to those who wear glasses.
- Loudspeaker Alarm Audibility – Loudspeakers should produce nonspeech auditory annunciations that exceed the masked threshold by at least 13 dB in one or more one-third octave bands where the alarm resides, as measured at the crewmember’s expected work and sleep station head locations. The 13 dB signal-to-noise ratio ensures that nonspeech auditory annunciations are sufficiently salient and intelligible, according to ISO 2003. ISO 2003 is an accepted standard for ensuring the ability to detect and discriminate nonspeech alarms and alerts.

10.8.6.4  Use of De-Emphasis

When transmission equipment uses pre-emphasis and peak-clipping is not used, reception equipment should use frequency de-emphasis of characteristics complementary to those of pre-emphasis only if it improves intelligibility (i.e., de-emphasis should be a negative-slope frequency response not greater than 9 dB per octave over the frequency range 140 to 4,800 Hz).

10.8.6.5  Feedback Noise

Positive feedback noise should be controlled to the extent that normal voice communication is not adversely affected.

10.8.6.6  Isolation of Feedback from Earphone or Speaker to Microphone

- Sufficient electrical, mechanical, and acoustical isolation should be provided to preclude feedback oscillations (squeal problems) or echo effects (no discernible unwanted voice echo to speaker).
- Gain of the system loop from earphone or speaker to microphone should be limited to less than 1.

10.8.6.7  Reverberation Time

The system should provide a reverberation time in the crew habitable volume of less than 0.6 seconds within the 500 Hz, 1 kHz, and 2 kHz octave bands.
This 0.6 second reverberation time standard will limit degradation of speech intelligibility to no more than 10% for ideal signal-noise ratios of $> 30$ dB, or 15% for a signal-noise ratio of 3 dB (Harris, 1991).

Figure 10.8-1 provides a general description of preferred reverberation time for different room volumes.

![Figure 10.8-1 Preferred reverberation time. from MIL-STD-1472F](image)

10.8.7 Operator Interface Design

10.8.7.1 Operator Comfort

Operator comfort and convenience needs are listed below.

- Comfort – Communication equipment to be worn by a crewmember (e.g., headphones) should be designed to preclude operator discomfort. Metal parts of the headset should not come in contact with the user’s skin.

- Hands-Free Operation – Operator microphones and headphones should be designed to permit hands-free operation under normal working conditions.
10.8.7.2 Annunciator Controls

- Manual Silencing – The crew must have the ability to silence an audible alarm that would otherwise annunciate continuously, to prevent it from interfering with their response to the underlying fault. There are well-known instances of aircraft crews being functionally incapacitated by audible alarms that they could not cancel. In addition, crews may become habituated to the sound and cancel the alarm without completing the corrective action. Consequently, consideration should be made for re-initiating a cancelled alarm if corrective action has not been accomplished within a reasonable amount of time, so as to counter the tendency to silence the alarm simply to remove the distraction.

- Volume Control for Auditory Annunciations – The crew should have the ability to adjust the volume of non-caution and warning signals to make desired signals intelligible. The system should provide a volume control from 5 to 100% of maximum for audio channels carrying aural annunciations, with the exception of caution and warning signals. Analogous to safety requirements in commercial aircraft, the crew does not adjust the caution and warning audio levels. Rather, caution and warning audio levels should be adjusted relative to the predicted background noise level. Provision is made to silence the alarm, but it must be audible initially above the masked threshold.

10.8.7.3 Voice Communication Controls

Guidelines for the design of operating controls for voice communication equipment are provided below.

- Volume Controls
  - Accessible volume or gain controls should be provided for each communication-receiving channel (e.g., loudspeakers or headphones) that has enough electrical power to drive sound pressure level to at least 110 dB overall when using two earphones.
  - Pressure-operated gain control switches should be provided to compensate for volume attenuation in underpressurized areas.
  - The system should provide a volume control from 5 to 100% of maximum for each audio channel that carries voice communications.
  - The minimum setting of the volume control should be limited to an audible level (i.e., it should not be possible to inadvertently disable the system with the volume control).
  - While separation of the adjustment controls for power (ON/OFF) and volume is preferred, if conditions justify their combination, a noticeable detent position should be provided between the OFF position and the lower end of the continuous range of volume adjustment. When combined power and volume controls are used, the OFF position should be labeled.

- Squelch Control – Where communication channels are to be continuously monitored, each channel should be provided with a signal-activated switching device (squelch control) to suppress channel noise during no-signal periods. A manually operated ON/OFF switch should be provided to deactivate the squelch when receiving weak signals.
10.8.8  Auditory Alarms

10.8.8.1  Introduction

An “auditory alarm” is an audio signal used for alerting or warning a user within a human-machine interface, while an “alarm” refers generically to either audio or visual cues. The use of auditory alarms in current Shuttle applications is reviewed in technical documents. Auditory alarms are part of the collective caution and warning system that consists primarily of visual cues (illuminated light displays and switches, an illuminated message on a dedicated matrix panel, or a text message on a CRT; Space Flight Operations Contract, 2004).

10.8.8.2  Auditory Alarm Functions

Auditory alarms have three primary functions:

- Get Attention – Alarms indicate that a specific condition exists that did not occur previously and that now requires attention. This may include the corollary function of waking a sleeping crewmember.
- Focus Attention – Alarms have a rudimentary function of stating, “Look over here at this specific visual display.” This is a form of “directed attentional shift” that is significant in the larger context of the cognitive challenge of fault management (Woods, 1995).
- Define Level of Importance – Alarms relate the relative urgency of the alarm through the semantic content contained in the alarm type. The type of alarm indicates where in the hierarchy of possible auditory alerts the new alarm lies and how quickly crewmembers need to attend to the problem.

10.8.8.3  Levels of Alarm

The “class” of an alarm defines the level of importance. Three alarm classifications are presented below:

- Class 1 alarm – Emergency
- Class 2 alarm – Warning
- Class 3 alarm – Caution

These classes are described in Table 10.8-2.
Table 10.8-2 Alarm Classifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alarm Class</th>
<th>Definition of Condition</th>
<th>Examples of Conditions That Would Trigger Alarm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class 1</td>
<td>Emergency – A life-threatening condition that requires an immediate and preplanned safing action to protect the crew</td>
<td>• The presence of fire and/or smoke in a pressurized element&lt;br&gt;• A rapid change in O₂ and CO₂ partial pressure within a pressurized element&lt;br&gt;• The presence of toxic atmospheric conditions within a pressurized element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 2</td>
<td>Warning – A condition that potentially affects crew survival, and may require a predetermined action to contain the consequences</td>
<td>• Loss of a system&lt;br&gt;• Loss of a system function&lt;br&gt;• Loss of insight into and/or control of a system function&lt;br&gt;• Accumulation of failures that jeopardize a system function&lt;br&gt;• Exceeding a predefined safety limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 3</td>
<td>Caution – Conditions that are less time-critical but have the potential for further degradation if crew attention is not given</td>
<td>• Heavier than normal consumable usage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The class 1-3 auditory alarms used on the Space Shuttle are useful reference points from which to discuss best practices in the development of future alarms for NASA applications. They illustrate a coherent, useful approach to alarms that nevertheless can be improved upon, given subsequent human factors research and the possibility of implementing superior alarm-generation hardware.

Four classes of alarms are used on the Shuttle and can be prioritized in ascending order as follows. These classes roughly align with the classifications in Table 10.8.2, with the addition of “class 0.” A “class 0” alarm visually indicates up and down arrows on the CRT display next to a specific monitored variable, indicating that it has exceeded its predefined upper or lower boundary limits. A “class 0” alarm has no auditory component. A “class 3” alarm is technically an “alert” and generates a steady tone of 512 Hz for approximately 1 second (this can be changed by the crew to longer durations, up to 99 seconds), along with an illuminated button and fault message on the CRT. A “class 2” alarm generates an illuminated text message on a dedicated matrix panel (panel number F7), and illuminates parameter lights on another panel (number R13U). The alarm consists of an alternating tone between 375 and 1000 Hz. It is silenced (“killed”) by pressing a master alarm switch.

Two types of class 1 “emergency” alarms are highest priority: (1) smoke detection and (2) rapid cabin depressurization. The smoke detection alarm on the Shuttle consists of a “siren” sound, i.e., a tone varied from 666 to 1,460 Hz and then back to 666 Hz over a 5-second interval. Smoke detection lights are indicated on a dedicated panel (L1). The cabin depressurization alarm is indicated via a “klaxon” sound, consisting of two tones at 270 and 2500 Hz that are periodically
iterated. Pressing the master alarm switch also silences these alarms. Under the current design, it is possible for all of the auditory alarms to sound simultaneously. (NOTE: An additional class 1 alarm has been implemented in hardware but not software for “toxic alert” on the ISS, meaning that the alarm is never annunciated under the current configuration).

Table 10.8-3 indicates the specifications for class 1–3 alerts (Space Flight Operations Contract, 2004). Note that the tolerances for frequency and timing are 10% of the specified value.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Specifications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 siren</td>
<td>Varying frequency from 666 Hz (± 66 Hz) to 1470 Hz (± 147 Hz) and return over 5 (±.5) seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 klaxon</td>
<td>2560 Hz with a period of 2.1 ms ON and 1.6 ms OFF mixed with a 256-Hz tone with 215 ms (± 21 ms) ON and 70 ms (± 7 ms) OFF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 tone</td>
<td>Alternating signal of 400 and 1024 Hz at a 2.5-Hz rate (i.e., 0.4 s duration per frequency)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 alert</td>
<td>Continuous tone of 550 (± 55) Hz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**10.8.8.4 Visual Vs. Auditory Alarms**

When is it appropriate to use an auditory alarm, as opposed to a visual alarm? Perhaps the most obvious function of the auditory alarm is to alert a person to inspect a visual display. Less obvious is the relationship between the alarm’s informational content and the preferred modality for communicating to a user.

There are some important differences between visual and auditory alarms from the standpoint of human factors and multimodal perception capabilities (Stanton & Edworthy, 1999). Auditory alarms are pervasive and independent of where the listener is in the environment, so long as the level of the alarm is audible. Visual alarms require the user to look at the specific alert to gain the meaning of the message. Because of these differences, auditory alarms can be used to guide attention to a visual alarm message. Auditory alarms can convey a specific message faster than a visual alarm. It is also possible to immediately convey an urgent versus a non-urgent meaning in an auditory alarm. On the other hand, the tradeoff is that the semantic content of an auditory alarm cannot be overly complex, and the order of messages is far easier to retain from visual information than auditory information. Finally, sometimes it is possible to ignore distractors (noise) in one modality to focus on the message in another modality.

From these perceptual performance differences, Table 10.8-4 can be derived to determine guidelines for when to use auditory and visual alarms.
Table 10.8-4 Guidelines for Using Auditory Vs. Visual Alarms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Auditory alarm preferred for</th>
<th>Visual alarm preferred for</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Simple message</td>
<td>• Complex message</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Short message</td>
<td>• Long message</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Not referred to later</td>
<td>• Referred to later</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Requires immediate action</td>
<td>• Does not require immediate action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• When visual system overloaded</td>
<td>• When auditory system overloaded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Moving persons</td>
<td>• Stationary persons</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.8.8.5 Design of Auditory Alarms

10.8.8.5.1 Summary of Auditory Alarm Design Guidelines

The following are guidelines for best practices for visual alarm design and visual caution and warning systems based on SSP 50005:

- A master alarm light should be provided in each room (or compartment).
- Illumination of the master alarm light shall indicate that at least one or more caution, warning, or emergency lights have been energized.
- Master alarm lights should have the capability to be energized simultaneously.
- Master alarm status lights should be visible from any location in the open volume of a module.
- Multiple methods should be provided for extinguishing signal lights:
  - Restoration of a within-tolerance condition without remedial action or as a result of automatic switchover
  - Correction of the situation as a result of remedial action by the crew
  - Performance of some action by the crew that is directly related to the controls of the affected system or component. This action indicates one or more of the following:
    - An acknowledgment of the occurrence of the malfunction
    - The completion of indirect remedial action
    - The shutting down of the malfunctioning system component
- The color of CWS indicator lights shall conform to the following guidelines:
  - Each color shall always be associated with a single meaning. That color shall always be associated with a single meaning within the same system.
  - No more than nine colors, including white and black, shall be used in a coding system.
  - Color usage should be consistent across the system. The usage and meanings of specific colors is outlined in the “Labels” section (10.6).
• Indicator lights shall be at least three times brighter than the other indicators on the same panel.
• When flashing lights are used, their flash rate shall be within three to five flashes per second with on and off times of approximately equal duration.
• Flashing lights shall illuminate and burn steadily if the indicator is energized and the flasher device fails.

10.8.8.5.2 Auditory Alarm Sound Pressure Level

An auditory alarm must be audible with a very high degree of reliability. This typically requires the amplitude level to “penetrate” background noise. At the same time, alarms need to be conducive to effective fault management, and not merely audible. Thus, the challenge for designing a good alarm in terms of its level can be expressed simply as “not too loud, not too soft – but just right!” This becomes a challenging matter when listeners are at varying distances from loudspeakers, or are wearing hearing protection devices without headset delivery of sound.

In terms of signal detection theory, an auditory alarm should have the audibility necessary to achieve a 100% “hit rate.” This is usually a matter of calculating a signal-to-noise ratio based on previous research into auditory signal detection. Most auditory alarm engineering guidelines err toward making the level higher than might be predicted by auditory masking experiments (Robinson & Casali, 2003). However, if the alarm is too loud or too pervasive, negative effects on human performance can occur; from the perspective of effective fault management, a startle effect requires time for recovery (Patterson, 1982). Many alarms have a startling, excessively high level that is counterproductive from the perspective of human factors research; simultaneous alarms can exacerbate the problem.

An overall level of 15 dB(A) is a commonly cited target signal-to-noise ratio for alarms, but this disregards the frequency content (spectra) of the alarm or the noise. International Standard ISO 2003, “Danger signals for work places – auditory danger signals” examines the role of the spectral components of alarms with regard to masking in concurrent and adjacent spectral bands (ISO, 2001). Figure 10.8-2 is an example of a one-third-octave band analysis from ISO 2003 that calculates the signal-noise ratio in greater spectral detail. The requirement states that the signal must be ≥ 13 dB relative to the masked threshold in one or more octave bands (Zwicker & Fastl, 1990). The masked threshold is modeled by allowing a contribution toward the masking level from adjacent bands of noise, as well as the frequency band that is concurrent with the alarm.
Figure 10.8-2 Annotated illustrative example from ISO 2003. Abscissa indicates octave band center frequencies in Hz. Ordinate indicates octave band sound levels in decibels. Alarm signal level ($L_{S, \text{oct}}$ : solid line) has a spectral component in the 1 kHz octave band that is 15 dB higher than the noise level ($L_{N, \text{oct}}$ : dashed line).

From an engineering perspective, it might at first be considered naïve to design a very loud, startling auditory alarm, but these have certain useful applications. For example, building evacuation alarms are designed to be annoying to compel persons to leave the vicinity as quickly as possible. In this case, the message is “leave immediately,” and the listener does so because the alarm is loud and irritating. These types of auditory alarms are of course counterproductive to environments such as flight decks.

10.8.8.5.3 Auditory Alarm Frequency Content

For ensuring audibility, the frequency content of an auditory alarm is as important as its level. Several standards specify that auditory alarms contain frequency components in the region of relative maximal hearing sensitivity, 0.2 to 4 kHz, which is the primary region of acoustical energy for speech sounds.

ISO 2003 specifies that alarm signals contain frequency components of 0.3 to 3 kHz, and have sufficient energy from 0.3 to 1.5 kHz to accommodate high-frequency hearing loss or those wearing hearing protection devices. Patterson (1982) recommends having four or more spectral components that are harmonically related, to allow “fusion” of spectral components. He also specifies that the fundamental frequency (the first spectral component) be between 0.15 and 1 kHz. MIL-STD-1472F (1999) specifies frequencies of 0.2 to 5 kHz but with an upper limit of 1 kHz for distances greater than 300 m (985 ft) and an upper frequency limit of 0.5 kHz if there are
particu\llar obstacles between the signal source and the listener. These limits are appropriate because shorter wavelengths that correspond to higher frequencies can be blocked by solid objects, while lower frequencies can “bend” around them. Other standards are similar in terms of specified frequency content; for example, the Society of Automotive Engineers Standard SAE J994b-1978 (Society of Automotive Engineers, 1978) for backup alarm devices indicates spectral components of 0.7 to 2.8 kHz.

10.8.8.5.4 Auditory Alarm Discriminability: Typology and Temporal Pattern

What should an alarm “sound like” for a given context? People identify alarms on the basis of their frequency content and temporal pattern. This infers that an alarm should be easily discriminated from background noise and other types of signals. For a specific context, it may be important to determine to what degree non-alarm auditory signals overlap with a potential alarm. For instance, if a fan has a constant harmonic tone that has significant energy at 1 kHz, an alarm with a constant tone may not be an ideal type of alarm, even if its frequency components conform to ISO 2003 by being ≥ 13 dB over the fan’s tone. Equally important, in an auditory display using multiple alarms, the alarms themselves should be easily discriminable.

The typology of an auditory alarm refers to the temporal aspects and frequency content of the sound “object” that gives it a specific semantic content. The typology of an auditory alert can be identified by using ecological references for determining an auditory alarm’s meaning and level of urgency. For example, the sound of a siren is a learned cultural reference that differs from region to region, but is easily identified. Research has indicated that the ease of recognizing an auditory alarm is driven partly by associations that people have learned between sounds and what they represent (Gaver, 1986; Stanton & Edworthy, 1999). The design of an auditory alarm system can take advantage of “known” alarm typologies. It is also useful in some cases to associate the typology with a specific action. For instance, the rattling sound and vibration of a stick shaker in an aircraft during a stall alert is caused by the object that must be attended to.

One researcher places alarms in four categories, “Siren – klaxon – horn – electronic.” Klaxon Signals LTD, a company specializing in alarms, indicates categories of “electronic sounders, sirens, buzzers, hooters, fire alarms and beacons” (http://www.klaxonsignals.com). The sound of alarms can be considered a subset of what Gaver (1986) has termed “auditory icons,” which can range from abstract to literal representations of sounds (e.g., a fire alarm being represented by the sound of something burning).

The most important aspect of alarm typology is that it allows ease of discrimination among a set of alarms that would be used in a human interface. The alarms must have an inherent means of conveying level of urgency and be easy to learn. Thus, the use of alarms that are already familiar to a user makes categorization and learning easy. For example, astronauts familiar with the caution and warning signals will make an easy transition to similar alarms that maintain the same typology of “siren, klaxon, and electronic tone.” On the other hand, the ability to learn and remember a set of abstract alarms is severely limited; Patterson (1982) set a limit of four alarms for easy acquisition, while learning with up to three additional alarms is far more difficult.

In aircraft, the “attention-getting” component of some alarms is followed by and distinguished through the use of an “added” synthesized speech message. However, speech messages take longer to comprehend than an auditory alarm, and are more easily masked by background noise. Although speech can convey complex ideas that cannot be conveyed by a nonspeech auditory
alarm, the chance for misidentification is far greater with speech auditory alarms than for nonspeech alarms.

Electronic (synthesized) tones have a far richer potential for differentiation than they once did because of the ease and economy of using sound-sampling techniques. It is potentially far easier now to create candidate alarms having more “discriminable” acoustic features.

The use of distinct temporal patterns has been proposed as a means of conveying urgency and for aiding discrimination between multiple alarms (Patterson 1981). This method is effective because temporal pattern—the sequence of “on” and “off” iterations of the alarm sound—is easily heard and discriminated by a listener.

American National Standard ANSI S3.41, “Audible Emergency Evacuation Signal,” (ANSI, 1990a) recommends a specific temporal pattern of three “on” pulses, each with a one-second period, followed by 1.5 s of silence. ISO 1994, “Anesthesia and respiratory care alarm signals,” indicates two specific patterns, as shown in Figure 10.8-3. An alarm “burst” is formed by multiple pulses with a silent interval in between of 0.15 to 0.5 s, depending on the ranking of the alarm. Between each burst is a silent period, here termed the “inter-burst interval.” Such silent intervals allow time to think, verbally communicate, and take action in a constructive manner, compared to the counterproductive use of a constant alarm. For each specific application, a question remains of how much the inter-burst interval could be increased while retaining awareness that the alarm was still active.

![Figure 10.8-3 Pulses forming an alarm “burst” (ISO, 1994).](image)

A note about discrimination is appropriate here and is based on an informal report that class 2 and class 3 alarms as heard on the International Space Station could be confused. The class 2 auditory alarm consists of an alternating low and high tone. When the class 2 tone is heard at a sufficient distance, e.g., within a different module, the upper tone is masked by shadowing effects, so that only the low tone is heard. This can be confused with the sound of the class 3 alarm, since they are not differentiated by temporal interval and in isolation the 375-Hz tone is not perceivably different from the 512-Hz tone. The use of distinct temporal patterns may help mitigate this problem.

### 10.8.8.5.5 Minimizing Auditory Alarm Startle Effect

Humans possess a startle reflex that is involuntarily activated by objects abruptly entering the visual space or by loud noises. Physiological responses include anxiety, arousal, and tightening of muscles. At the most basic level this is likely a hard-wired evolutionary adaptive mechanism
that helps protect us from potential dangers in our environment. From a human factors standpoint, the effect of the startle reflex is counterproductive to effective fault management. Two noise factors are responsible for activation of the startle reflex: 1) overall level; and 2) temporal transition of the amplitude envelope from the zero state to a maximum. The overall level can be mitigated as described in ISO (2003). An additional concept is the use of a “precursor” alert. The level of the alert is played –6 to –10 dB lower on its initial presentation than on successive presentations. This mimics the effect of hearing a gradually approaching emergency vehicle; sirens are far more startling when a person is standing near a vehicle that initiates the alarm than when the person hears the vehicle approaching from a distance. Design of the alarm pulse can make the temporal transition of the amplitude envelope more gradual than an instantaneous onset. Figure 10.8-3 indicates the use in ISO (1994) of an envelope rise and fall time equivalent to 10 to 20% of the overall duration of the pulse. The effect is to cause a “fade-in” of the tone that helps to mitigate the startle effect. It should be noted that several types of alarms, including the Shuttle depressurization class 1 klaxon and a fire bell, cannot be faded in using this method without significantly altering the timbre (and therefore the recognition) of the signal. Finally, ISO (2003) is the only standard that indicates that a human-in-the-loop test should be conducted to ensure that alarms are discriminable. A formal study using multidimensional scaling techniques would be the best means to determine the underlying perceptual scaling for differences between alarms.

10.8.8.5.6 Consideration of Auditory Alarm Levels at the Ear

If sound levels are to be maintained within NASA Human System Integration Requirement document, they cannot exceed 85 dB(A) during orbit or 105 dB(A) during launch or reentry. To maintain levels above a changing background noise level, it is possible to integrate systems that continually monitor the noise level and then adjust alarm levels to the target signal-noise ratio. When crewmembers are wearing hearing protection devices and helmets, alarms should be delivered via headsets, though not necessarily at as high a signal-noise ratio as from loudspeakers, since the position of the loudspeaker in relation to the ear is predictable. A rough estimate would be 50% of the loudspeaker level (about 6 dB above the background noise level). Under circumstances where this level would exceed 105 dB(A), the use of non-auditory means of alerts (e.g., tactile or haptic actuators, or only visual alerts) may be recommended to conserve hearing.

10.8.9 Research Needs

Further research is needed to examine the relationship between predicted responses for alarm typologies and subjective responses from nonprofessional subjects. The results can indicate the degree of predictability of responses, based on level of urgency via “post analysis” of the sounds used, and can narrow the number of stimuli to be evaluated in later studies involving crew or other domain specialists.
10.9 INFORMATION MANAGEMENT

10.9.1 Introduction

Information management is the act of performing functions with electronic data, including data input, organization, internal processing, storage, dissemination, and disposal. An information management system therefore includes all hardware and software to support these functions. Information management functions are performed by crew and ground teams using input devices in conjunction with displays on display devices. This section contains guidance related to information management and the use of electronic data.

10.9.2 General Considerations

An information management system must

- Be easy to use
- Provide data with relevance, timeliness, and accuracy to the user as needed
- Allow easy updating of, transmittal of, and access to data
- Reduce or eliminate the need for supplementary materials (such as hardcopy data for procedures), management material (e.g., document restraints, paper filing systems), and associated office supplies (e.g., paper, markers)
- Use standard nomenclature
- Have a logical and easily navigated system for accessing information
- Be compatible with all potential user demographics (considering a range of user skill levels, user knowledge, etc.)
- Be operable under all conditions of potential workplace environment
- Integrate well with input and display equipment

10.9.3 Types of Information

The information management system must be consistent with other interfacing systems. The information management system should consist of the following:

- Operational procedures
- Contingency procedures
- Safety hazard data (hazard sources, special procedures for safe operations and hazard recovery, and hazard incident log)
- System maintenance and troubleshooting procedures (e.g., replacement and repair log and procedures)
- System maintenance log
- Payload procedures
- Payload data collected
- Environmental status and trend data (see chapter 6, “Natural and Induced Environments”)
- Crew medical histories
• Inventory management data
• Video and still imagery
• Entertainment media (e.g., movies, photographs, books)
• Vehicle system operational health and performance and trend data

Additional data provided may include
• Schematics
• Mission event log (including system maintenance history)
• Recent onboard video and still imagery
• Training materials and records
• Hardcopies of critical procedural information – hardcopy backup materials for all
  emergency procedures of the spacecraft for continued crew safety, rescue, or escape,
  particularly for scenarios where the system’s operation may be degraded due to low
  power or loss of power

10.9.4 Crew Operability

The system must provide methods and tools for the crew to perform information management
functions. Information management functions may need to be performed at times when only the
crew can perform them, for example when there may be a lack of communication with the
ground. Examples of information management functions include graphing system trend
information, composing and sending electronic mail, searching for and within procedures, and
viewing training materials. Information management functions do not necessarily reside on the
flight avionics system. The system should be designed for high usability under a variety of
scenarios, including the range of environmental conditions in which the user may need access to
the data, such as emergency conditions (e.g., poor lighting, high vibration).

10.9.5 Data Available

10.9.5.1 Data Rate

The system must acquire and provide data at a rate that enables the crew to perform tasks
effectively and efficiently (including monitoring system status). Different classes of data must be
gathered at different minimum rates to be useful to the crew. For example, navigation data might
be gathered once per second, payload data once per minute, and routine medical data once per
day. Data display rates must not exceed the user’s ability to perceive and act on the information
being conveyed.

10.9.5.2 Data Fidelity

Data fidelity (accuracy, precision, reliability, latency, resolution) is essential for proper vehicle
functioning and for the crew to make timely and correct decisions, particularly in critical
operations. The data must have an appropriate level of fidelity for the crew to perform tasks.
10.9.6 Data Distribution

10.9.6.1 Locations of Data

The crew may choose to perform information management functions (both entry and retrieval) at various locations throughout the vehicle. For example, a crewmember reading an online maintenance schematic may choose to move away from a crewmember having a private medical conference. The system must provide the crew with data to perform tasks at each workstation where those tasks can be performed.

ISS and Shuttle Program history has shown that wireless connectivity is desirable, since it reduces clutter within the vehicle and improves mobility and productivity. The incompatibility of wire clutter with launch and entry activities (such as emergency egress) makes a wireless solution especially desirable.

The ISS and Shuttle programs have also shown that wireless connections can be unreliable and hard to troubleshoot; therefore, they are not desired as the sole option for critical functions. It is important to have a backup wired distribution system.

10.9.6.2 Information Capture and Transfer

The system must provide a method for the crew to capture and transfer information from any display in a format that provides mobility and the ability to annotate. The use of alternative technologies such as digital paper, personal digital assistants, and tablet computers would allow annotations to be shared more easily with Mission Systems, but this requirement does not necessarily preclude the use of printed material.

10.9.7 Data Backup

10.9.7.1 Form of Backup Data

Since information management systems operate on electronic hardware, the system must provide a mechanism for backing up data on a regular or periodic basis. Data may be backed up within the system but on another data storage device (such as another hard drive or storage device on the system), transmitted to remotely located storage devices (e.g., ground-based or alternate space-based systems), or printed as a way of having hardcopy backup.

Printed data backups are recommended for highly critical information that might be needed in the event of a total systems power failure. Alternative electronic storage mechanisms may be used for data that might be needed at some later date, but that is not needed immediately or does not need to be accessed during a power failure. Remote data backup is recommended for all data but should be used only for data that would not be needed immediately.

10.9.7.2 Automated Backup

Backup functions are best automated to prevent inadvertent loss of critical data and the unnecessary expenditure of time. The system must provide an automatic backup function for safety-critical data.
10.9.7.3 Manual Backup

It is not necessary to back up all data automatically; however, the crew may choose to back up selected data. The system must provide a selective data backup function.

10.9.7.4 Manual Data Restore

Backup data must be able to be restored to support emergency and critical operations independent of external support (e.g., loss of communication). The system must provide a “data restore” function.

10.9.8 Electronic Information Management

Workstations and portable devices containing accessible electronic information must provide the following:

- Security
  - Protection of sensitive data (e.g., password protection, encryption)
  - Electronic privacy – a secure viewing environment for electronically displayed private information such as medical data and e-mails
  - Transmission security
  - Sender verification – ability to add digital signatures to all database traffic between spacecraft and with the ground, to allow the receiving system to verify the sender’s authenticity

- Portable data storage – portable data storage devices for transporting data between information access locations and points of use

- Remote management – ground access to perform all onboard database functions without crew intervention

10.9.9 Information Management Using Printed Paper

The following design criteria pertain to printed paper (hardcopy) information media and associated hardcopy equipment and supplies: Equipment restraints in 0g – provide a means to restrain documents, loose sheets of paper, writing implements, and supplies (e.g., tape, scissors)

- Writing / working surfaces – provide fixed or portable writing and working surfaces
- Writing instruments and supplies – provide writing instruments and supplies required for documentation update (e.g., paper, scissors, and tape)
- Stowage of writing instruments, supplies, and documents – consolidated stowage for writing instruments, supplies, and documents in locations that are easily accessible
- Printing equipment, paper, and associated supplies – provide easily accessed equipment and supplies for data transfer connections, power activation, operation, resupply, and inventory
10.9.10 Electronic Communications

The information management system should provide the following capabilities for communicating electronically:

- Messaging – the ability to exchange information electronically (e.g., by e-mail) with personnel on the ground
- Attachments – the ability to attach electronic files (e.g., documents, photos, video, sound files) to communications
- Encryption – to prevent security breaches, eavesdropping, and tampering with sensitive or private data
- Sender verification – the ability to add digital signatures to all electronic communications between spacecraft and with the ground, to allow the receiver to verify the sender’s authenticity
- Private personal communications – the ability of crewmembers to exchange information of a personal nature (e.g., medical information or family communications) in such a way that only the intended recipients (e.g., flight surgeon or family member) can read the message or view any attachments

10.9.11 Research Needs

Further research on unique information management needs of human space flight is recommended, particularly with regard to data integrity, hardware reliability, usability under various environmental conditions, and balancing attention and reaction-time requirements.
10.10 USER INTERFACES WITH AUTOMATED SYSTEMS

10.10.1 Introduction

User interfaces with automated machines require special considerations for design and operations. This section discusses incorporating automation into system design, as well as specific considerations for user interfaces with mobile machines.

10.10.2 Automation

10.10.2.1 Introduction

Automation is the replacement of manual operations by automatic, usually computerized, methods that take the place of, or mediate, human observation, action, or decision-making. This section provides general guidance for introducing automation into system design.

10.10.2.2 General

The benefits of reducing workload and training and increasing situational awareness make automation in space exploration inextricably essential; however, poor design can lead to a reverse effect—increased workload and training, reduced situational awareness, and accidents (Miller & Parasuraman, 2007). In system design, important tradeoffs need to be made between both division of tasks potentially performed by the human and the machine and the level of automation for each task. The decision to automate depends on several criteria, including the safety, accuracy, speed, duration, and number of affected operations, and the limitations of human operators to effectively meet these criteria.

Although this section will apply to the design of all types of automation, a special emphasis is needed concerning robotics. Over time, increased importance has been placed on developing joint human-robot systems. Optimum use of robotics is especially necessary to support long-duration and deep-space missions. In these scenarios, use of automation will increasingly be required as the frequency and duration of periods of non-communication with ground control escalate (Ferketic et al., 2006a). Additionally, as the roles for robots expand, and as they begin to act both independently and in groups (Steinfeld et al., 2006), it will become more and more important for crews to be able to interact with many different types of robots—an actuality that heightens the need to standardize interfaces for human-robot interaction (HRI) as much as possible (Ferketic et al., 2006b).

The model of Parasuraman, Sheridan, and Wickens (Parasuraman et al., 2000) (Figure 10.10-1) illustrates the need to consider both the division of tasks mentioned above (referenced as types of automation in the model) and levels of automation, and gives an appreciation for the scope of the assignment. The following subsections will address both of these aspects of providing automation in space systems and the attributes of interfaces necessary for integration with the human user.
Figure 10.10-1 A model of types and levels of automation. From MIT, 2004, based on Parasuraman, Sheridan and Wickens, 2000.
10.10.2.3 Human / Machine Task Division

Designers must determine how the performance of tasks will be divided between humans and machines. The goal is to achieve the most effective overall system, making best use of the capabilities of both. In making this decision, the following factors should be considered:

- functional analysis of the subtasks
- human capabilities and cognitive load limitations
- machine capabilities
- human / machine integration capabilities
- task analysis to ensure smooth integration of human and machine functions

In general, automation should be incorporated when human capabilities are insufficient or can be better used for other tasks. Along with tasks requiring faster computative skills than the human possesses, automation is most appropriately used to accomplish “dull, dirty or dangerous” chores (Ferketic et al., 2006a). Examples of applications well suited for automation include the following:

- complex tasks (e.g., precision flight-path management)
- hazardous tasks (e.g., monitoring gas levels)
- impractical tasks (e.g., extended extravehicular activity)
- time-consuming and repetitive tasks (e.g., system monitoring)
- compensating for reduced human performance (e.g., reentry after 0g adaptation)
- extending human abilities (e.g., crew assistant satellites)

In addition to parsing the functions appropriate to the human and the machine, the designer should consider that task division is a dynamic undertaking that may need to change several times throughout the performance of a mission. One of the important factors in making those changes is effectively and appropriately keeping the human involved—a lesson forcefully learned in aviation, as well as space exploration, over the past several decades. It is clearly established that the use of automation in aerospace vehicles has greatly improved the effectiveness of the pilot by performing many time-consuming duties, such as navigation, system monitoring, and fault diagnosis, as well as complex jobs, such as those involved in high-speed maneuvering and precision flight-path management. Nevertheless, experience indicates it is valuable to keep the human in the loop, because computer-based decision-making cannot match the cognitive ability of the human brain to adapt to changing situations. Unlike humans, computers have virtually no inductive or creative capacity and usually cannot adequately handle the unexpected.

The importance of human intervention has been evident from the beginning of human space flight and exploration. John Glenn manually piloted Friendship 7 during his second and third orbits because of difficulties with the automatic pilot controls, which failed due to apparent clogging of a yaw attitude control jet. The crew of Gemini 8 prevented their own deaths through creative supervision and overriding the automation when their thrusters incurred electromechanical failure. Also, if the Apollo 11 Moon landing had been automated, the crew’s safety may have been compromised. Because of the intervention of the human pilot during landing, an unexpected boulder field in the landing zone was avoided and a safer site chosen. Better sensors and navigation tools will be available in the future, and will allow increased
automation of functioning in real time, human decision-making will likely remain a necessity for dealing with new, unforeseen obstacles.

Human constraints, both physiological and cognitive, should be considered to ensure that the operator can achieve adequate task performance. Due to adaptation to 0g, almost all astronauts experience some level of sensory disturbances, primarily visual and vestibular, that can affect their ability to perform complex and demanding tasks. Degraded physical ability may become more problematic after long-duration missions such as the exploration of Mars. The addition of automation to reduce the amount of pilot control needed should be considered in such cases. Similarly, automation can enhance human skill. Computers are necessary when a task requires rapid and accurate computations; thus, automation should be used during high-precision flight operations, such as atmospheric entry where heat and g-load damping are vital. However, the capability for the human operator to override automation and retain vehicle control must be provided during critical flight phases, such as the terminal landing phase.

10.10.2.4 Levels of Automation

Once an understanding of the affected mission tasks is reached, and initial assessment of division between human operation and automation is accomplished, an informed decision can be made about the level of automation to be used and the amount of control necessary. A spectrum of automation levels in terms of control is illustrated by the following diagram:

Manual → Teleoperated → Supervisory → Automated

At one extreme, no automation might be used, giving the human operator full control of a given task. Some aspects of the physical or information properties of the worksite might be displayed to the user, but no electronic or mechanical operative technologies would be introduced to replace or augment user activity. This form of “null” interface works best in situations in which the task demands are consistent with typical human capabilities and there are no significant safety issues. Toward the middle of the spectrum are functions that require increased automation because of the complexity of the task or criticality, but require varying degrees of human input. At the other extreme is a fully automated system that, once initiated, independently performs its task with or without crew knowledge or supervision.

If the automated operation is accomplished without crew oversight, it is considered to be autonomous. Autonomous activities may be required during long periods of communication delay. Such delays can be on the order of 30 minutes to 1 day and conceivably longer on deep-space missions. Similar to the criteria for selecting automation over human operations, the determination to design an autonomous, versus remotely operated, system depends primarily on the following factors (Sheridan, 2002):

- the time interval separating successive interactions by a user
- the risk associated with a user being physically present at the work site
- the complexity of the required user interaction
- the appropriateness of typical human sensorimotor and cognitive abilities to the required task
Levels of automation can be viewed in terms of the human’s either sharing or trading control with the machine per Verplank’s notions of extending, relieving, backing up, or replacing the human capability (Hansman & Cummings, 2004). Regardless of where on the spectrum the control falls, the designer should consider that although automation can be used to reduce the human operator’s workload, the capability for the human to intervene at any level and assume manual control is necessary. Sheridan (2002) specified eight levels of automation as listed in Table 10.10-1.

**Table 10.10-1 Levels of Automation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Levels of Automation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The automation offers no assistance; the human does it all.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. The automation suggests alternative ways to do the task.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. The automation suggests one way to do the task <strong>and:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. It executes that suggestion if the user approves <strong>or</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. It allows the user a restricted time to approve before automatic execution <strong>or</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. It executes the suggestion automatically and then necessarily informs the user <strong>or</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. It executes the suggestion automatically and then informs the user only if asked <strong>or</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. It selects the method, executes the suggestions and ignores the user.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From Sheridan (2002).

There is no need to automate all stages of a process to the same level. In air traffic control, for example, all of the data acquisition and much of the analysis and display filtering is fully automated and happens without user input, but the decision-making and implementation remain largely user driven. In this way the best of automatic and user-controlled systems can be combined. In addition to the general considerations for division of tasks between human and automated operations, specific factors affecting decisions about the level of automation include these:

- Time pressure
- Update capability
- Required precision and accuracy
- Cost-benefit tradeoffs
- Sheer number of elements of the required task
- Amount of interaction between elements
- Possibility of counterintuitive nature of required actions
10.10.2.5 Biasing Effects on Control

At each level along the control spectrum, the effectiveness of the human-machine interaction (HMI) will be influenced by several biasing factors. These factors are particularly applicable to HRI. Most acute among those factors is communication between the human and the machine. Communication is affected by delay, jitter, and bandwidth, and can greatly enhance or inhibit performance of both the human and the machine (Steinfeld et al., 2006).

Delay, also known as latency or lag, is the time difference between transmission and reception of a message and can be a determinant for the degree of autonomy possible or required. The most obvious delay determinant is distance. For example, a delay of 3 seconds has been the norm when communicating by radio waves between Earth and the Moon, and, depending on orbit distances, a delay of approximately 10.5 and 21 minutes between Earth and Mars. As mentioned earlier, deep-space missions will incur even greater delays. Generally, if continuity of action is desired, more delay will require more automation and machine autonomy over the delay period.

Jitter is the difference between the transmission interval between two messages and the reception interval between the same two messages. It can greatly affect synchronization of data packets and, therefore, the effectiveness of the HMI. Since bandwidth refers to the capacity of the communication channel to carry data, limitations in bandwidth may affect data alteration or loss and affect the accuracy and fidelity of the data exchange (Steinfeld et al., 2006). Bandwidth will affect all levels of automation control.

In addition to communication factors, the level of automation must account for location factors within the machine-operator environment. For example, HRI takes place in one of three relative positional situations: proximate (side-by-side), remote without significant time delays (abbreviated as remote), and remote with significant time delays (Ferketic et al., 2006b). In general, the smaller the distance between human and robot, the more manual the control must be to ensure safety for the human. Remote teleoperation can be accomplished with robots in close proximity, only significant delays do not occur (Ferketic et al., 2006b).

Another factor affecting HMI and, thereby, determination of automation level is the response capability of the machine and the operator. Machine capability is determined by characteristics such as intrinsic system lag (data processing time) and update rate (the rate at which data is displayed to the user). Similarly, the human user’s capability is affected by any number of performance-shaping factors, which can include operational constraints, physical and environmental attributes of the workspace, complexity and repetitiveness of the task, proficiency and currency, and psychological and physiological stressors (Steinfeld et al., 2006). Each of these effects must be taken into account in assigning the appropriate level of automation to the task and the requirements of the interface control.

10.10.2.6 The Need for Effective User Interfaces

Automation must be provided when crewmembers cannot reliably and safely perform assigned tasks.

As demonstrated above, the human’s superiority in maintaining or acquiring situational awareness is particularly important during emergencies and critical off-nominal tasks, and history has shown that the flight crew can increase the probability of mission success. However, taking advantage of human adaptability requires effective interaction with the machine.
addition to being available to respond to hardware failures and unanticipated natural events, a human can overcome many latent errors in hardware and software design if proper attention is paid to the human-machine interface (Hammond, 2001).

It is important to note that user interfaces remain very important for the control of even highly automated devices that normally run without any user interaction. The interfaces must enable the operator to understand exactly how and what was done by the automation and how successfully the task was accomplished. User interfaces for low-level interaction with highly automated systems are also needed to help crews maintain low-level control skills as backup for automation failures.

A key feature to address in developing user interfaces is the capability for the automation to be entered and exited at both predictable and unexpected stages of an activity. Enough information displays and control inputs need to be available for human users to take over or relinquish control at all appropriate times. An example of the need to gracefully enter and exit automation comes from the deberthing of the Hubble Space Telescope when it was placed in orbit in 1990. When using the semiautomated resolved control mode to lift the telescope directly out of the Shuttle bay, the remote manipulator system (RMS) operator noticed that its movement was not straight up and the telescope was in danger of hitting the sides of the bay. He solved the problem by disengaging the automation that supported the resolved control mode and using the much more manual mode of joint angle control to safely deberth the payload. Significantly, his user interface allowed this step downward in level of automation because it supported the information flows that the less automatic mode required.

Clearly, interfaces to future remote, autonomous systems must allow users to adjust the level of automation through which they wish to act so as to increase the variety of possible contingency operations. However, low levels of automation must not be provided as an option if testing and verification show that crewmembers cannot reliably and safely accomplish mission goals at those reduced levels.

Not only can the attributes of the user interface allow changes in automation level, but also the specific design of the interface can determine the level selected. Poorly integrated designs for the control of multiple interacting variables of a process can produce the incorrect impression that the process is too complicated for an ordinary operator and therefore requires a high level of automation. Conversely, an effective integrated design can provide the capability to use a low level of automation from the outset.

An interesting example of a control task that might initially seem excessively complicated and that could alternatively have been approached through a higher level of automation, such as shared control, is the operation of the one-manned version of Deep Ocean Engineering’s Deep Rover submersible (Figures 10.10-2 and 10.10-3). The user interface for Deep Rover provides for the control of up to 13 degrees of freedom—a daunting task that might suggest the need for a great deal of automation. Microswitches on the control seat arms detect vertical, fore-aft, and rotational motion to control the vertical and forward thrusts and horizontal orientation, respectively. Two-axis, force-sensitive joysticks mounted at the end of each arm, combined with switches, allow control of the endpoint of each manipulator with up to 5 degrees of freedom, though some of these were locked for most operations (Ballou, 2007). The result is an effective, cost-efficient system using manual control of its complex mechanization.
As a general guideline, user interfaces need to be selected by working backward from mission definitions and requirements. Lists of these requirements can help establish the specific needs for planning, training, rehearsal, execution, performance evaluation, and debriefing, which, in turn, can suggest the types of user interfaces that will be needed for each of these functions. Today operators control higher level variables, such as intended future position of robots, through both general-purpose and custom-made software tools, e.g., Excel and the Science Activity Planner from the Mars Excursion Rover (MER) program, respectively.

### 10.10.2.7 General User Interface Design Rules for Automation

Eleven useful user-interface design rules may be abstracted from the viewpoint of user needs being the general guides for automation design (Norman, 2008). The rules apply particularly to automatic systems in which user interaction is highly mediated. Interaction by the user is through controls and displays physically or logically remote from the actual site where the physical interaction that they control takes place. The first five rules (Table 10.10-2) address attributes the designer should instill in the interface.
Table 10.10-2  Rules for Designing User Interfaces for Automatic Systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rule</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Provide rich, complex, and natural signals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Be predictable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Provide good conceptual models.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Make the output understandable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Provide continual awareness without annoyance.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From Norman, 2008.

Rule 1, to provide rich, complex, and natural signals to users, addresses the fact that interfaces to newly automated systems often remove important, incidental sensory information provided by predecessor technology. This background information, such as the vibration and sound of jet engine turbines accelerating, notifying the pilot of the degree of increasing thrust, provides an informational context that helps users understand the physical context of actions they may take. Consequently, automated systems need to provide replacement cues. A typical example is an audio click triggered when a software-created switch is toggled on a graphical user interface (GUI). This sound replaces the older switch feel that confirmed closure when it was operated.

Interfaces can detect a variety of signals from users. These signals can reveal the users’ intentions or needs. Stress detected in voice commands, for example, could signal the need for help menus to be offered. Saccadic eye movements to look at a switch might predict user operation of the switch.

Rules 2 through 4 are interrelated. They capture the need for a user of an automated system to have an overall conceptual model. An example of such a model would be the desktop metaphor for the common GUI. Systems incorporating logical and common metaphors are predictable and understandable without instruction. Users easily deduce, for example, that moving a file icon into a storage device icon causes a file transfer, and moving one into a “garbage can” causes file deletion. Capitalizing on this type of customary interpretation is useful for standardization among interfaces.

Rule 5—to provide continual awareness without annoyance—requires the designer to accurately presuppose what a user is expecting after interacting with a system. A user interface must register user input and provide feedback about what action could or needs to be taken next. User input must be acknowledged, and, if the processing will require significant time, the expected completion time and state of activity must be signaled. The progress bar used to give feedback during file transfer is a classic example of this form of feedback. Tognazzini (2008) notes in his design guidelines that such continual feedback is essential if the initiated process will consume more than 2 seconds. A reciprocal set of rules (Table 10.10-3) may be conceived as an admonition for the machine.
Table 10.10-3 Interface Design Rules for Automatic Systems from the Machine's Perspective

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rules for Machines in Their Interaction with Users</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Keep things simple.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Give users a conceptual model.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Give reasons.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Make users think they are in control when they do control.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Continually reassure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Classifying user behavior as “error” can obscure design flaws in the automation itself.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although it deals with simplicity, rule 1 is not simple to implement because of the system’s context or cultural setting; nonetheless, through utilization of the interface, the user’s actions should be easily understood and accomplished. Conceptual models noted in rule 2 are also connected with perceived simplicity, since complexity largely arises when users are unable to develop an overall model of how the interface works. The essential design problem is consistent communication of the conceptual model to the user and how to implement it. The design metaphor is usually intended to exploit the users’ common experience; but this intention is intrinsically cultural and depends on the designers’ sharing common, relevant experience with their intended users. Consequently, designers need to explicitly develop the conceptual model they wish users to adopt and directly communicate it in introductory instructions.

Rule 3’s recommendation to give reasons for actions taken or expected by the system is more securely universal than the others. It makes sense to keep the operator informed, and arbitrary or capricious actions by the machine are usually exasperating. However, explanations need not always be presented. If overly frequent, they can easily become intolerable. Conversely, providing a means to access information when it is needed builds user confidence. Effective application of this principle has been pursued with automated information (expert) systems since the 1970s, when intelligent medical advice systems, such as MYCIN, were developed to provide explanations of suggestions for antibiotic therapy (Buchanan & Shortliffe, 1984).

Implementing rules 4 and 5—giving users the sense of, and continually reassuring them that they are in, control—can take many forms, such as the aforementioned progress bars used during file transfer actions on personal computers. However, providing that sense of control to the operator deserves more comment. Although it is certainly true that a user’s sense of control is critical for his or her acceptance of innovative technology, mismatches between perception of being in control and reality need to be avoided to preclude potential disaster. Confusion in pilots’ understanding of the various flight control modes of Airbus aircraft have led to a number of crashes due to divergence between assumption and actual possession of control authority. In some instances the automation led the pilots to believe they could accelerate the aircraft normally.
when, in fact, their current control mode precluded the expected acceleration. In those situations the aircraft “thought” it was preparing to land, and, therefore, the pilot’s pushing the throttles forward did not produce the expected increase in speed (Degani, 2004).

Rule 6 of Table 10.10-3, identifying error and allocating blame, highlights the need to accurately assign responsibility when a failure occurs during use of automated systems. If the human operator is too quickly blamed when the root cause of the problem is in the design of the automation, both immediate and long-term corrective action can be jeopardized.

As the above rules are applied to designing user interfaces with automated machines, a final attribute is worthy of consideration. That characteristic could be termed “expressiveness.” Expressive interfaces are not necessarily easy to use initially, but with training can become extraordinarily powerful, especially in an environment requiring adaptation. Their development is connected with the especially difficult problem of transitioning a user from one level of automation to another and with the need for rich system feedback. A musical instrument such as a violin is a simple example of an expressive interface. To a novice it is practically useless for music-making because of the many degrees of freedom that need to be controlled. But once a user has learned to conform to all the constraints and exploit all the dimensions of control offered by the instrument, an enormous range of sound may be produced and manipulated.

The violin, though useful, is not the optimum illustration of an expressive interface system in a dynamic environment, such as space exploration. A static, expressive system like a violin has a disadvantage in that its flexibility of application is limited. A better system would be an adaptive, expressive interface that understands the expertise and errors of its user and adjusts its displays and controls to provide just enough challenge to stimulate learning, but not so much as to discourage use.

A comparison of the original failed Newton handwriting recognition system with that subsequently used on the Palm Pilot identifies this need for system errors to be understandable and to support user adaptation. The successful Palm Pilot recognition system was an expressive interface. It was initially somewhat harder to use than the alternative keyboard entry system, but it provided significant long-term benefits. Those benefits were realized because the recognition errors it made were comprehensible to the users, who were then able to modify their handwriting for better recognition. Such characteristics of user interfaces would be especially helpful in deep-space missions where terrestrial assistance will be limited and infrequent.

10.10.2.8 Implications for Automation Tasks and User Interfaces for Robots

The terms “robotic” and “robot” are used in the context of task-oriented, mobile machines. In addition to the universal tenets described in the preceding sections, robotic operations hold some unique implications for automation in space. The tasks robots will likely perform include the following (Steinfeld et al., 2006):

- Navigation – determining where the robot is located and where it needs to be next, how it will travel to that next location, and how it will overcome obstacles and hazards en route.
- Perception – establishing an operating context through sensing, interpreting, and sharing environmental data.
- Management – coordinating activities of both humans and robots to ensure “having the ‘right’ agent at the ‘right’ place at the ‘right’ time.”
• Manipulation – interaction with the environment to include classic grasping, as well as pushing, dropping off cargo and personnel, and other actions accomplished through determining what, how, and how well a job is done.

• Social interaction – accomplishing activities that imitate human interaction by collecting, interpreting, and using data relating to applications such as transportation, medical care, entertainment, and modeling human intelligence.

In meeting these task challenges, the designer should consider the levels of automation and autonomy needed and the appropriate human interface to produce effective human-robot interaction in the remote robot environment.

10.10.2.9 Limitations of Automation

Although automation can be a necessary and useful tool, the technology has limitations, including these:

• Reliability – System design and material durability are crucial to dependability.

• Trust – Mistrust of the automation by the user results in inefficient use of the technology.

• Trust will be in proportion to the reliability of the system.

• Overtrust – High system reliability can lead to overtrust and result in slow detection of failure (vigilance problem), lack of situational awareness (intervention problem), and loss of skill (loss of confidence and actual skill) to perform without the automation.

• Workload and Situational Awareness (SA) – Sometimes the automation is reduced to the point of being too low and leads to loss of arousal and SA, effectively removing the human from the loop. These conditions set the stage for excessively high or impossible workload levels when automated systems fail.

Automation leaves two tasks for the operator: 1) to monitor the system to ensure that it works properly, and 2) to take over from the system if it fails to work properly. The latter task can involve both troubleshooting and failure recovery, which are not necessarily connected to each other. Managing these tasks poses the following difficulties for the operator:

• Monitoring difficulties – Automated systems tend to have poor integrated feedback. Monitoring a machine that supposedly does a better job than the human can be difficult or impossible in the absence of knowing the correct behavior of the machine. In addition, ergonomics of the work environment plays a large role in the effectiveness of human monitoring of highly automated systems (Parasuraman et al., 1996). Providing optimum conditions for monitoring can be challenging in space.

• Vigilance – Accomplishing the process of paying close and continuous (sustained) attention while watching for something rare to happen can depend on time available, alertness, and expertise.

• Difficulties with taking over – It can be difficult to determine when to take over, achieve seamless transfer of authority, and cope with the unusual circumstances that require assuming manual control.

By taking limitations into account, designers can optimally use automation to enhance productivity, safety, and effectiveness of space missions.
10.10.2.10 Research Needs

TBD
10.10.3 User Interfaces with Mobile Machines

10.10.3.1 Introduction

User interfaces with mobile machines consist of the physical and informational elements of manipulators or vehicles with which crewmembers interact. The informational aspects of these interfaces are generally common to other computer-based media, including other automated systems, and will not be addressed here; but the physical aspects of the interface with a mobile machine can be unique and deserve special emphasis. Most noteworthy about these physical aspects is the fact that mobile machines require user interfaces with numerous constraints, since they not only make informational “contact,” but also make distinctive physical contact with their human users. This section discusses guidelines and considerations for designing within these constraints.

10.10.3.2 Definition of Terms

**Mobile machines** – rovers, robotic systems, mobile assistants, and maneuverable vehicles that are manipulated or spatially navigated by their users in space or on planetary surfaces.

Mobile machines are usually thought of as remotely controlled devices, but some specialized mobile systems are user-carried and make close contact with their operators. Examples of the latter are spacesuit mechanical grippers and other body-mounted effectors that have sometimes been described as “man amplifiers.” The Berkeley Lower Extremity Exoskeleton consists of a backpack and a pair of highly modified electrically powered boots that enable a user to carry heavy loads over long distances. (Figure 10.10-4).

![Figure 10.10-4 Berkeley Lower Extremity Exoskeleton. Source: Dr. Kazerooni, University of California at Berkeley (Kazerooni, 1990).](image-url)
A spacesuit can exhibit aspects of a mobile machine, since it moves around under human control and has numerous automatic servo systems that are monitored and operated by users. The internally manipulated hand effectors on the U.S. Navy Hard Suit 2000 (Figure 10.10-5) exemplify such suit-mounted devices (U. S. Navy, 2000).

Figure 10.10-5  U. S. Navy Deep Diving Hard Suit 2000. Inset shows hand-activated gripper mounted on the arm.

**Autonomous systems** – mobile machines that operate without human intervention.

**Semi-autonomous systems** – mobile machines that operate with various degrees of human intervention.

Regardless of the level of autonomy, all mobile machines require a means for human-user interaction. Fully autonomous systems exchange information with humans through a user interface. Only interfaces with autonomous systems that are mobile will be considered here.

**Teleoperation and telerobotics** – remote manipulation of mobile machines while viewing the scene via media, such as a video camera. Teleoperation may be broken down into the following categories according to the delay (also termed *lag or latency*) between user input and machine action (Figure 10.10-6):
1. Direct view – essentially no delay. (Note: The term *remote control* is sometimes reserved to describe situations in which the human operators have direct visual view of the worksite.)

2. Remote view with short, insignificant delay.

3. Remote view with significant delay.

---

1. Direct view of Shuttle manipulator arm, which is operated without communications delay from the aft control station (inset).


3. Remote view of MER spacecraft on surface of Mars, controlled with very long time lags, > 20 minutes, by software-based activity planners.

**Figure 10.10-6** Categories of teleoperation.

### 10.10.3.2.1 Scope of Capabilities for Mobile Machine Interfaces

As a general principle, automated mobile systems will be used when the environment is known and stable, the robotic activity repetitive, and the required kinematic and dynamic performance precise. User interfaces enhance both the machine’s and the user’s capabilities in these conditions.

Space flight telerobotic tasks may vary widely, ranging from dexterous control of manipulators to large-area vehicle navigation. Consequently, these tasks require a large range of autonomy and dexterity. The required interfaces could range from 6 degrees-of-freedom, anthropomorphic master-slave manipulators to computer hardware and software systems that enable point-and-click area navigation. Clearly, a user interface standardized for one of these classes of tasks would not be appropriate for the other. For example, force reflection could significantly benefit dexterous manipulative control for “peg in the hole” tasks (Hannaford, 1989), whereas it would have negligible impact on surface traversal planning.

Since they may be managed in unusual gravitational environments and unusual atmospheres, user interfaces to be operated inside spacecraft or on planetary surfaces have several obvious environmental differences that should be considered. In addition, they will be operated by users who may be significantly encumbered by gloves and position restraints or by the debilitating effects of long-term space flight.
10.10.3.2.2 Commonality with Other User Interfaces

User interfaces to mobile systems have many of the same issues as conventional interfaces. These include sensory, perceptual, sensorimotor, cognitive, and social constraints needed for successful system use.

Defining the properties that an interface needs to possess requires analysis of the sensory, perceptual, sensorimotor, cognitive and social information that passes through the interface during use. This kind of analysis of user-system communication channels is captured in Figure 10.10-7, which describes the multimodal control loops present in virtual-environment systems. Though Figure 10.10-7 was developed to illustrate virtual-environment interfaces, it also pertains to spatial information flows in other interfaces. The channels represent the possible sensory modalities that could serve as output from the simulator and input to the user, as well as output from the user and input to the simulator. The properties of the communication channels in Figure 10.10-7 do not represent generally optimal values, but rather values that in at least one implementation have proven to support a useful interface (Ellis, 1994).

Although the properties in Figure 10.10-7 were specifically selected to describe communication channels in personal or vehicle simulators, they could be applied equally well to teleoperation interfaces. In such applications the properties should serve as estimates for the sensory and motor capabilities of generalized user interfaces for remotely controlled mobile vehicles.
Communication requirements of the interactive tasks to be supported need to be analyzed before an interactive, remote, mobile system is designed. The analysis is accomplished by identifying the sensory modalities to be used by the operator, along with the delay, bandwidth, resolution, dynamic range, and signal-to-noise level required of the integrated user-system. This approach will enable designers to determine the practicality of the proposed system. It also may lead them to select alternative sensory modalities or motor responses if the original choice does not match user capabilities to system requirements.
Industrial robotics is a source of common experience relevant for remote, autonomous interfaces that might be used in space missions. Figure 10.10-8 contains an extensive list of considerations for designing a control pendant (a handheld terminal used to control robot movements within a defined space) for an industrial robot. These aspects of design for an Earth-based mobile machine can easily be applied to user interfaces for mobile space systems.

**NOTE:** (Adapted from Fig A.1, ANSI / RIA 15.2-1-1990)

Figure 10.10-8  Human factors guidelines for developing an industrial robot control pendant.

10.10.3.2.3  **Significant Aspects of User Interfaces with Mobile Systems**

Three main features of user interfaces should be considered when designing mobile systems: presence, latency, and the visual-manual aspect of tasks.
10.10.3.2.3.1 Presence

Presence is the sense of physically being in a real environment while actually experiencing it virtually or remotely. A unique feature of a user interface with a teleoperated mobile system is the sense of remote presence, or even of dual presence, that can arise as a result of interaction with a remote site over a low-latency communication path. Remote presence, or telepresence, is the users’ sense that interaction has been adjusted for user control, although that control is constrained by the users’ range of motion and kinesthetic sensitivity and the precision and range of motion required at the remote site. When these aspects of interaction are well designed, users can develop the sense that they are physically present at the remote site. If high levels of presence are desired, direct interfaces that use little automation should be incorporated. These types of interfaces are the most flexible and allow exploration systems to benefit from the physical or virtual presence of human users.

10.10.3.2.3.1.1 Frame of Reference

A key element of the sense of presence is the users’ ability to understand the frames of reference in which they visualize and control the remote system and visualize and control its environment. These frames of reference may not be the same. For example, operation of the Space Shuttle (RMS, or robotic arm, is achieved by controlling the arm from the aft middeck, but with views from the end-effector camera.

It is critical for the user to understand the geometric relationship between different reference points and the relationship between reference points and the user’s own body. It is equally critical for the designer to provide a means of bringing about that understanding and to not exceed human limitations on compensating for misalignments of reference frames. Existing performance data shows that users should not be expected to interactively control remote systems when misalignments between their usual vertical viewing and controlling axes exceed 30° (Smith & Smith, 1962, p. 208). The performance limits for more general three-axis misalignments are not currently well understood.

One countermeasure to misalignment problems that occur primarily in egocentric view frames is to provide an additional “bird’s eye” overview of the work site. This view provides users with global spatial cues to adjust for difficult views that are seen through an egocentric camera. The natural intuitiveness of such an “outside-in” versus “inside-out” perspective has been shown in aircraft flight-attitude instrumentation (Cohen et al., 2001). Shuttle RMS operators often have the benefit of a window view of the worksite, which adds to situational awareness.

Another technique for managing difficult egocentric views, as might be seen from a camera mounted on a remotely operated vehicle, is to be sure some of the vehicle structure, or at least a graphics element that visually behaves like the structure, is visible within the frame. Such presentations allow the user to understand the rotation between the camera axes and the vehicle axes.

Rotations between the camera and vehicle axes can interfere with the user’s geometric interaction with the vehicle. Provided that visibility is sufficient, a direct view of the vehicle at the work site is the best way to provide the necessary overview. Not only is a direct view important for interaction with a remote vehicle, but a direct view of other users who may be cooperatively controlling a single vehicle, or a separate cooperating vehicle, has been found to be
useful. Inter-user visual contact allows users to better understand the timing and intention of others in ways not easily supported by purely audio communication.

Lastly, in a 0g environment, counterpressure against operator restraints provides tactile cues to enhance identification of reference frames, similar to the way gravity produces tactile pressure cues.

Since multiple, simultaneous frames of reference need to be managed, mode shifting and unambiguous cueing for each control frame of reference is needed; what is logical in one frame of reference may be counterintuitive in another. User interfaces must be designed to enable quick and accurate shifting of the user control frame of reference and allow the coordination of multiple simultaneous frames of reference.

10.10.3.2.3.2 Latency

A major characteristic of interactive remote systems is latency, or time lag between user input and system response. This delay is determined mostly by distance and can be affected by communication link capability or intrinsic mechanical properties.

System latency produces three major interrelated negative effects on interface users:

- Sensory conflict
- Reduced sensorimotor coordination
- Reduced productivity

10.10.3.2.3.2.1 Sensory Conflict

Sensory conflict between visual, vestibular, and tactile cues can cause spatial disorientation and motion sickness and can be particularly troubling in space operations. Latency can act to aggravate these normal reactions to conflicting physiological stimuli by further decoupling visual and other cues.

It is difficult to generalize the possible effect of latency because it depends on the type of motion to which users are exposed, whether or not the users themselves are physically moving, their specific tasks, the duration of their exposure to the stimuli, and individual susceptibility. Furthermore, an individual’s susceptibility to motion sickness and related simulator sickness varies widely across different environments (Kolaskinski, 1996). Such susceptibility is not easily predicted from screening tests or experience with motion sickness in terrestrial environments, such as those in aircraft or on board ships (Welch, 2003). In addition, the debilitating effects of space flight on sensorimotor control can exacerbate the difficulties of overcoming sensory conflict.

In spite of the many variables affecting the dilemma of system latency, problems with motion or simulation sickness can be minimized by avoiding displays that produce illusory senses of motion while users are experiencing real time-varying physical accelerations. An example of a user interface that aggravates motion cues is the slewable infrared sensor display in an F-16 IR Maverick missile. It has been reported that changing the angle of the sensor mounted in a deployed missile while maneuvering the aircraft in a motion plane different from that of the missile is very disorienting (Stroud & Klaus, 2006). Because the user controls for remote, mobile space exploration systems can require even more natural interaction with the user’s body, and
space-adapted operators are especially subject to the undermining products of sensory conflict, they should be afforded the highest level of display stability.

10.10.3.2.3.2 Reduced Sensorimotor Coordination

The second effect of latency on user interfaces is reduced sensorimotor coordination. The amount of latency that will affect coordination depends on the task, but latencies greater than 200 milliseconds (ms) are generally regarded as significant (Fong & Thorpe, 2001). However, much shorter delays can have a noticeable negative effect on performance. Latencies of ~8 to 16 ms can be noticed in body-referenced interfaces such as virtual environments, and productivity declines can be measured for latencies of ~50 ms (Ellis et al., 1999; Ellis et al., 1997). Therefore, designs for systems using body-referenced interfaces must reduce latency to below 50 ms, and less than 8 ms if the interface is intended to present visually stable virtual objects.

If system responsiveness is sluggish due to slow movement speeds or large inertias, the effects of short latencies have diminished impact on overall performance; however, they still may be noticed by a user (Ogata, 1970). When encountering delays of 250 ms to several seconds, or situations in which control is best accomplished by preprogramming multi-segment movements for subsequent action, a “move and wait” strategy may be the practical solution for interactive movement-by-movement control. While this form of interaction is not burdensome for isolated, discrete tasks, such as typing command keys, it can make continuous manual control very challenging and impose high workload (Funk et al., 1993).

As interaction latency grows well past 300 ms, user interaction becomes more episodic and ultimately more dependent on increasingly complete automation. The initial forms of latency compensation for manual control involve the use of predictive filters (Welch & Bishop, 2001) and, eventually, predictive displays (Kim & Bejczy, 1993). Finally, some form of supervisory control should be implemented to make the resulting system semi-autonomous.

10.10.3.2.3.3 Reduced productivity

Reduced productivity may be a consequence of the compensation strategies adopted by users to manage system latency. A user forced to adopt a “move and wait” strategy to ensure continuous control may not exhibit obviously disorganized sensorimotor behavior if the strategy is well learned; nevertheless, the user’s productivity is reduced by the interaction latency. Interestingly, the effect of the relationship between user expertise and latency on productivity is nonlinear. For example, regarding short response latencies, the proportional productivity loss is worst for the most expert users. A thorough study conducted over a period of 1 month at IBM showed that the more experienced users of information systems benefit the most from the reduction of system latency (Figure 10.10-9) (Doherty & Thadhani, 1982).
10.10.3.2.3.3 Visual / Manual Aspect of Tasks

The visual / manual aspects of many of the tasks that are desirable to be assigned to mobile machines demand user interfaces that are multimodal and anthropomorphic in design. These characteristics are especially useful in surface-exploration systems and conditions in which the system time lags are very short, much less than 100 ms. Such conditions generally correspond to an environment in which the users are physically close to or actually at the worksite. Under these conditions, users driving rovers, controlling manipulators, performing mechanical assembly, or conducting general systems operations can become very productive, with levels approaching that of direct, skilled activity at an Earth-bound worksite. Such multimodal systems have been exhibited as intuitive and natural (Fisher et. al, 1986), but they have not yet reached their potential because they are challenging to design and implement (Ellis, 1994; Brooks, 1999).

“Telepresent” interfaces to remote systems have improved markedly in the past 25 years. Head-mounted stereoscopic displays that once rendered users “legally blind” have been replaced by systems that are far lighter, have a wide field of view, and approach human visual acuity (e.g., the Rockwell-Collins Optronix SR-100). Similarly, the auditory spatialization systems that were once custom-made have now become a software commodity (Miller & Wenzel, 2002), as have haptic displays (SensAble Technologies, 2007). Haptic bandwidth holds significant potential to improve the bandwidth available for user interaction.

Force-reflecting displays have not been tested on orbit; however, they have been used on the ground while connected to manipulators that are on orbit (Hirzinger et al., 1994). The abundant evidence that these displays can improve and extend user dexterity while controlling remote manipulators (e.g., Hannaford et al., 1989) suggests that consideration should be given to their introduction into crewed spacecraft.
10.10.3.2.4 User Interfaces for Semi-autonomous Systems

Few space systems are completely autonomous because, at the very least, their operation is supervised by human users who occasionally modify their operation. Human interaction is especially likely with mobile systems; for this reason autonomous mobile machines are best described as being semi-autonomous.

The user interfaces associated with fully autonomous remote systems are not necessarily appropriate for more interactive crewed systems that are controlled by joysticks during direct or remote view of the worksite. However, these interfaces are instructive for reference by the operator when the functions that they separately incorporate are embedded within the more interactive crew systems.

10.10.3.2.5 Operational Constraints and Considerations

Systems that perform mobile automated operations must include operational constraints to ensure the safety of the crew and spacecraft. The following are some of the features of systems with automated operations:

- Locus of Control – Individuals in possible direct contact with the machine must have control over machine activity.
- Emergency Shutdown – Personnel in possible direct contact with mobile or autonomous machines must have a rapid and reliable machine emergency shutdown capability.
- Autonomous Shutdown – Autonomous systems must shut down when their activities are likely to result in mission degradation, equipment damage, or injury to personnel.
- Real-Time Monitoring – Operators must have the capability to monitor the real-time status of autonomous systems.

For the purposes of maximizing user productivity, “real time” means that system response to discrete input is less than 100 ms. For purposes of manual control, “real time” means a system update rate of at least 20 Hz with less than 50 ms latency. For purposes of perceptually stable virtual objects presented either on an immersing virtual environment or an augmented reality display, the update rate needs to be at least 60 Hz with latency less than 16 ms.

The system must be designed so that monitoring of that system does not reduce users’ ability to detect off-nominal or dangerous situations.

Crewmembers on long-duration space missions may be expected to operate any system in manual, partially automatic, or supervisory modes. The information requirements for each mode must ensure that crew operation is supported by the planned user interfaces. Nominal operations and intervention actions will have to be practiced in situ to maintain operational skills. An effective method of skill maintenance may be to use compact simulation and training during extended transit flights to the exploration site.

10.10.3.2.6 Research Needs

Performance limits need to be determined for general, three-axis misalignments of reference frames used in control of remote systems.


Ballou, P. (2007). personal communication, Dr. Ballou was formerly President of Deep Ocean Engineering, San Leandro, California, and is now Senior Vice-President of Ocean Systems, Alameda, California.
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11 EXTRAVEHICULAR ACTIVITY

11.1 INTRODUCTION

Extravehicular activity (EVA) is any activity performed by a pressure-suited crewmember in unpressurized environments internal or external to space flight habitable modules, in space environments, or in extraterrestrial environments with atmospheres unable to support human life. In this chapter, life-support functions, human performance, and safety of pressure-suited crewmembers are discussed.

11.2 LIFE SUPPORT FUNCTIONS

11.2.1 Introduction

Pressure suits are necessary to provide life support to crewmembers in the hazardous environments encountered during space flight. Life-support functions are the same for spacecraft and pressure suits and include

- adequate pressure across the entire body
- proper partial pressure of oxygen to the lungs for respiration
- carbon dioxide removal
- temperature and humidity control
- provisions for food and water
- collection of urine and feces

Suited operations encompass a diverse set of activities that result in varied metabolic rates. The suit must accommodate the expected range of activities by providing adequate consumables such as oxygen, water, and food, and by removing generated heat, humidity, carbon dioxide, and bodily waste. The suit and supporting systems must accommodate contingency operations, including additional consumables and loads on the suit environmental control system. Table 11.1-1 contains ranges of metabolic rates expected during suited operations, although this table will evolve as the operations concept matures. Therefore, these data are used only as historical reference and in progress estimates, not as design goals.

Table 11.1-1 Crewmember Metabolic Rates for Suited Operations, kJ/h (Btu/h)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Source</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>µ Gravity EVA (ISS and STS)</td>
<td>575 (545)²</td>
<td>950 (900)³</td>
<td>2320 (2200)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apollo Lunar Surface EVA</td>
<td>517 (490)²</td>
<td>1030 (980)</td>
<td>2607 (2471)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced Walkback Test⁴</td>
<td>1767 (1675)³</td>
<td>2505 (2374)</td>
<td>3167 (3002)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Transient condition less than 15 min in duration, individual instance
² Minimum for low-activity EVA durations
³ Includes Orlan ISS EVAs, which trend to slightly higher metabolic rates
⁴ Simulated 10-km (6.2-mile) lunar surface walk requiring 1 to 2 hours to complete, in case of rover failure, n = 6
11.2.2 Suit Atmosphere

11.2.2.1 Total Pressure

As discussed in section 6.2 Internal Atmosphere the total pressure applied to the body, whether in a suit or vehicle, must be sufficient to prevent the vaporization of body fluids. The limits of total pressure for crew exposure are described in Table 6.2-1.

However, some important additional factors should be considered when the total pressure of a suit is established, including mobility and decompression sickness (DCS) risk.

- A low suit pressure has the benefit of reducing spacesuit operating force, pressure load, and structural bulk. In current state-of-the-art soft spacesuit design, lower suit total pressure results in increased user mobility. The Extravehicular Mobility Unit (EMU) that is used for Shuttle and ISS EVAs operates at 4.3 psi total pressure.

- An EVA suit pressure greater than or equal to the partial pressure of the diluent gas (i.e., nitrogen) of a space-flight module to which an EVA crewmember has been acclimated has the benefit of reducing or eliminating the prebreathe time required to denitrogenate the body to preclude DCS. Generally, this also provides the benefit of ample margin between suit operating pressure and minimum suit emergency pressure.

Optimal cabin and suit pressure combinations will minimize the crew time required for EVA prebreathe and preclude DCS. An index of decompression stress, called the R-value, is the ratio of tissue partial pressure nitrogen (ppN2) to the final total pressure. The Space Shuttle Program defined an acceptable R-value to be 1.65. R-value does not account for many factors that determine prebreathe efficacy, including but not limited to increased metabolic rate during prebreathe, the duration of the EVA exposure, the effects of gravitational level on blood perfusion, and musculoskeletal-induced nucleation of dissolved gas. Additionally, data and model predictions show that Iso-risk R-values are a function of the suit pressure, with higher suit pressures producing higher R-values at a given risk level. This relationship is shown in Figure 11.2-1, which depicts the two ranges of Iso-risk that generally bracket a range of acceptable DCS risk.
Figures 11.2-1 and 11.2-3 describe options for habitat and suit pressures for given DCS risks. The “design space” is bounded by sea level and 1829-m (6000-ft) equivalent alveolar oxygen levels, the Shuttle materials certification limit, and the chosen R-value for a given suit pressure. See section 6.2.2.1.1 for more information on DCS.

Figure 11.2-2 Options for vehicle and suit pressures within an acceptable range of decompression sickness risk, R=1.40 at suit pressure of 6.0 psia.
Maintaining a constant pressure level after a set point has been reached is important to protect the crew from discomfort in body cavities and sinuses, especially in the ear. Because of the nominal pressure changes and the relatively small total pressure volume in a suit, it is important that the pressure-suited crewmember be exposed to a pressure set point that is constant. Excess fluctuations in suit pressure will cause pressurized suited crewmembers to constantly re-equilibrate pressure in body cavities and sinuses, which will increase the likelihood of pressure-induced discomfort in these areas. The suit must maintain each individual suit pressure to within 0.1 psi after that suit has achieved an equilibrium pressure for a set point.

Another important consideration for pressure inside the suit is minimizing the rate of pressure change to prevent discomfort and barotrauma. See section 6.2.2.1.2 for more information on barotrauma.

### Oxygen

As discussed in section 6.2 Internal Atmosphere, the partial pressure of oxygen (ppO₂) delivered to the lungs, whether in a suit or vehicle, must be sufficient to prevent hypoxia, yet must be low enough to prevent oxygen toxicity (see Table 6.2-4). Suits are typically designed to operate with 100% oxygen, both to reduce DCS risk and because low total suit pressure is near the limit for ppO₂.

### Carbon Dioxide

While the limits for carbon dioxide are the same for a pressure suit as they are for a vehicle, the ability to monitor and remove it in a suit is different. Since carbon dioxide is produced upon exhalation, it tends to be localized in the helmet. Without adequate airflow to recycle the air,
carbon dioxide tends to build up around the face and be inhaled again. To prevent this from occurring, the flow of air into the helmet must be sufficient to remove carbon dioxide and replenish oxygen throughout the range of expected activity and respiration rates. In addition, carbon dioxide monitoring in the helmet must be provided so that the wearer is able to quickly identify a problem with the suit’s environmental control system.

11.2.2.4 Temperature

See section 6.2.3.1, Temperature, for guidance on maintaining proper thermal loads on the crewmember for nominal operations. When a crewmember is in a suit with no active cooling, heat storage may increase rapidly. JSC thermoregulatory models, simulating hot cabin entries by astronauts wearing launch and entry suits with the thickness, conductance, wickability, and emissivity properties of the Advanced Crew Escape Suit, predicted loss of body cooling mechanisms. Data from military aircrew protective ensembles also found that body temperature increases more rapidly over time in pressure suits than in a shirt-sleeve environment. Figure 11.2-4 (Wissler Model, Wissler, 1986) provides the time allowance in a suit (without active cooling), as limited by environmental conditions and activity level, before the onset of cognitive impairment.

![Figure 11.2-4 Time allowed in pressure suit.](image)

11.2.2.5 Relative Humidity

For suited operations in excess of 12 hours, relative humidity must be maintained above 20% to ensure the environment is not too dry for the nominal functioning of mucous membranes, maintained below 75% for crew comfort, and to allow effective evaporation and to limit the
formation of condensation. Excess moisture in the glove can contribute to trauma at the fingertips.

11.2.2.6 Atmosphere and Physiological Parameter Display and Alerting

Feedback of relevant suit atmospheric and physiologic information to the crew will allow better consumable management, improve optimization of EVA task performance, and reduce risk of physiologic stress and injury. Atmospheric parameters that must be displayed to the EVA crew are total pressure, ppO₂, and ppCO₂. Suit consumables, such as power, oxygen, and water, must also be measured and relayed to the crewmember. In addition, having insight into trends in physiologic parameters and life-sustaining consumables will allow the IVA or EVA crew to act prospectively in preventing unsafe operating conditions, or responding to off-nominal scenarios. Measurement of physiologic parameters, such as heart rate, ECG, and body temperature, during contingency and mission-preserving EVA, as well as during unrecoverable vehicle pressure loss, is necessary to ensure the health and safety of the crewmember(s). The intent is to obtain biomedical data during suited operations, with minimal crew time or effort required to don and doff the measurement hardware while maintaining crew comfort.

Alerting the crew as soon as relevant suit atmospheric and physiologic parameters move into the off-nominal range will allow the crew to appropriately react to off-nominal scenarios, before unsafe operations develop.

EVA and IVA crewmembers may need to see biomedical telemetry data during EVA, as well as during unrecoverable vehicle pressure loss, to ensure the health and safety of the crewmember(s) and to provide appropriate information to the ground support team. Knowledge of the biomedical data and relevant suit atmospheric conditions will maximize the ability of the crew to manage resources for the event, and minimize risk for the crewmember(s). These data need to be monitored during nominal planetary surface operations to ensure the health and safety of the crew. However, automated suit algorithms, rather than ground medical support, may be the primary method of monitoring, especially on long-distance missions, such as Mars missions, where near-real-time communication with Earth is not possible.

11.2.2.7 Atmosphere Parameter Control

The ability of the crew to adjust their suit pressure is an important design consideration. For efficient workload, the crew needs to be able to select a minimum operating pressure. In the case of an unrecoverable vehicle pressure failure where the crew is not able to prebreathe before operating in a pressurized suit, the crew will need to be able to select a higher pressure to mitigate the risk of DCS, followed by the ability to select a midrange suit operating pressure to allow more mobility to operate the vehicle.

Some tasks may require periods of high and low physical exertion, and variable exposure to the Sun, which will affect body heat and moisture content. The ability to adjust temperature and humidity is important to maintain comfort in the suit.

11.2.3 Nutrition

As shown in Table 11.2-1, EVA energy expenditure will usually be high enough to demand additional caloric energy. The type and amount of food to be provided on an EVA will depend
on the gravity environment, EVA duration, expected tasks, and estimated energy expenditure. See section 7.2 Food and Nutrition for more information on crew nutritional needs and food.

Shuttle and ISS EVAs typically last 6 to 8 hours, plus 2 to 3 hours inside the suit during EVA preparation, airlock depressurization, and re-pressurization. The EVA duration is typically limited by the suit consumables, such as oxygen, as well as the duration of EVA preparation and post-EVA cleanup. The EMU uses Velcro to hold a food bar at the top of the hard upper torso (HUT) for crewmembers to consume during EVA, but because of the potential for crumbs to come loose in the helmet and difficulty eating it, many astronauts elect instead to simply eat the food bar or additional food immediately before the EVA.

The duration of surface (i.e., lunar, Mars) EVAs may be similar to those at 0g in terms of consumables, but activities will likely require much greater energy expenditure than a 0g EVA. Therefore, additional calories will need to be provided to EVA crewmembers to maintain high performance levels during surface EVAs. The presence of gravity will minimize problems related to loose food particles. The Apollo Summit strongly recommended the availability of a high-energy substance, either liquid or solid, for consumption during a surface EVA.

During suited operations longer than 12 hours, the crew will need to consume nutrition in excess of what is likely available inside the suit. The suit should allow for the delivery of nutrition to the pressurized suited crew to occur during extended contingency use of pressure suits. The nutrition in contingency cases such as unplanned cabin depressurization could be delivered via a hydration drink port, similar to that used on Apollo missions, and may consist of a low-residue substance.

### 11.2.4 Hydration

Potable water is needed during suited operations to prevent dehydration due to insensible water loss and to improve crew comfort. The amount of water necessary depends on the EVA duration and tasks, and on the expected energy expenditure and resulting dehydration.

During long-duration suited operations, such as an unplanned pressure reduction scenario, the crew will need to consume additional water in excess of what is likely available inside the suit, to prevent crew performance degradation associated with dehydration. For both nominal and contingency scenarios, one solution is to have the potable water system be rechargeable from an external source, as long as the internal suit reservoir has sufficient capacity to allow ready access to water without affecting work efficiency.

The crewmembers at the 2006 Apollo Summit strongly recommended that 237 ml (8 oz) of water per hour be available for consumption during a lunar EVA, with water available for contingency scenarios, such as a 10-km (6.2-mi) walk-back in case of rover failure (Scheuring et al., 2007).

The Shuttle EMU can hold a drink bag of 621 or 946 ml (21 or 32 oz), which is secured to the inside front of the HUT by Velcro. Astronauts use a straw-like tube at the top of the bag for drinking (Figure 11.2-5, GIAG-3, 1986).
11.2.5 Waste Management

Given the length of nominal suited operations, there is a high likelihood that crewmembers will need to urinate, as well as the possible need to defecate, while in the suit. In addition, the suit should provide a means to manage vomitus from an ill crewmember, which can become especially dangerous in 0g.

11.2.5.1 Urine

The suit must be capable of collecting a quantity of urine throughout suited operations, as determined by:

\[ 0.5 + \frac{2t}{24} \text{ liters}, \text{ where } t \text{ is suited duration in hours} \]
This quantity allows crewmembers to eliminate liquid waste at their discretion without affecting work efficiency during suited operations. The expected daily urine output is 2 L (2.1 qt) per day; 0.5 L (0.53 qt) is the minimum for a single void (Wein et al., 2007). In the equation, the \( 2t/24 \) L protects for a second void while the crewmember is suited.

The EMU includes a diaper-like maximum absorbency garment for urine and feces collection during EVAs.

In the event of an unrecoverable vehicle pressure failure, crewmembers may have to remain suited for several days without having the capability to access the fecal and urine collection system. The suit must be able to collect and contain 1 L (1.1 qt) per crewmember per day of urine during such an event; this amount reflects the altered water and nutrition supplied during contingency suited operations.

11.2.5.2 Feces

Depending on diet and health, a crewmember may need to defecate while wearing an EVA suit. However, astronauts tend to wait to defecate until they can use the vehicle’s waste management system after the EVA.

In the event of an unrecoverable vehicle pressure failure, crewmembers may have to remain suited for several days without having the capability to access the fecal and urine collection system. The system must collect and contain 75 g (2.6 oz) (by mass) and 75 ml (2.5 oz) (by volume) of fecal matter per crewmember per day during an unrecoverable vehicle pressure failure. For the suit to contain feces, the fecal output during suited operations should be reduced with a low residue diet, to not exceed the maximum containment of the suit's waste collection garment.

11.2.5.3 Vomitus

Space motion sickness, which often includes vomiting, usually affects crewmembers in the first 72 hours of flight. Because of this, EVAs are not planned for the first 72 hours of flight. However, if an unplanned EVA takes place earlier than 72 hours or a crewmember continues to have space motion sickness symptoms after that time, vomiting in the suit may occur. On the lunar surface, a high-magnitude solar particle event could result in radiation exposures that produce nausea and vomiting. If vomitus enters the internal suit environment, it must be kept away from the suited crewmember’s nose to prevent inhalation, which could cause asphyxiation. In 0g, directing airflow to move vomitus out of the helmet, or providing a pouch with a valve to contain the vomitus may be considered. In a gravity environment, the ability for vomitus to drain downward from the helmet should be provided. The suit should provide for isolation of the crewmember from vomiting events of 0.5 L (1.1 pt) each.

11.2.6 Injury Treatment

In the event that a crewmember becomes ill or injured while in the suit, the ability must exist for a suited crewmember to transport an injured or incapacitated suited crewmember. In the 0g EVA environment, injuries are most likely to result from electrocution or the impact of a micrometeoroid or orbital debris (MMOD). Preventing these hazards is discussed in section 11.4 EVA Safety. Treatment of the resulting injuries depends on the environment. The crewmember should be transported to a pressurized vehicle as soon as possible for assessment of injuries. In 0g, accomplishment of these tasks will depend on several factors including adequate size,
location, and placement of restraints and mobility aids, as well as a safe location on the suit to grab the crewmember for pulling. The size and shape of translation paths and hatches will need to accommodate assisted mobility and ingress.

In a gravity environment, the weight of the suited crewmember requires different considerations for transport. In some situations, additional tools and equipment may be needed, especially if only one other crewmember is present. For example, if the injured crewmember is on steep terrain and needs to be pulled upward, a rope attached to a rover or other sufficient anchor, or pulley system may be needed. In addition, a load-bearing rope attachment point on the suit is needed. Additional equipment, such as a manual or powered winch, may be needed to effectively and safely move the incapacitated crewmember. A litter, or similar protection, also is needed to protect the crewmember from further injury and prevent damage to the suit while the crewmember is being pulled (Chappell & Klaus, 2004; Chappell et al., 2007).

After an injured or ill-suited crewmember is brought into the pressurized cabin, the design of the suit must allow another crewmember to quickly gain access to the injured part of the body and areas used for diagnosis or treatment, including the head, neck, and chest, and to administer care in the suit, such as providing medication, wound care, and CPR. After initial stabilization, the suit must allow assisted suit egress, and not rely on the injured crewmember to help.

11.2.7 Research Needs

Testing/research in simulated reduced gravity of incapacitated suited crewmember transport with projected surface EVA team sizes is needed.
11.3 EVA PERFORMANCE

11.3.1 Introduction

Pressure suits are typically massive and bulky, and limit performance more than a shirtsleeve environment does.

Limitations of suited operations include

- Sensory degradation (limited field of view, tactile feedback, acoustics)
- Limited crewmember mobility and dexterity, force application, and endurance
- Limitations on working volume and access
- Long preparation and clean-up times
- Consumables

Improving suited visual performance, reach, range of motion, strength, and mobility are key to improving overall EVA performance. In addition, it is important to ensure that the acoustic and lighting environment are ideal for suited conditions, and consider the design of the suit and expected operations.

11.3.2 Suited Visual Performance

A crewmember in a spacesuit may have a restricted field of view (FOV) because of limitations imposed by the suit, helmet, and visor assembly. The EVA suit must provide adequate FOV to perform suited IVA and EVA operations. Concurrently, consideration should be given to the placement of critical EVA equipment within the visual limits of the helmet. Equipment required to be seen by a pressure-suited crewmember must be located within the FOV of the crewmember.

Equipment mounted to the helmet (e.g., head mounted displays) for suited operations must not obstruct the FOV. The helmet visor must provide adequate visual resolution to perform all expected tasks, including vehicle repair and maintenance, and scientific objectives such as identification of geologic samples. If a visor is used, it should promote an adequate FOV to perform EVA tasks and prevent tunnel vision.

Depending on the size and shape of the visor, it may have some refractive distortion, which affects the perceived shape and location of objects in the peripheral vision. Refractive distortion must not interfere with task performance or contribute to spatial disorientation.

In addition, the capability to reduce glare and contrast ratios must exist. The Sun or other bright light sources may be in the FOV, and reflective surfaces such as the spacecraft exterior or lunar surface will be much brighter than the surrounding blackness of space (section 8.7.5, Glare).

Due to the close proximity of the mouth and nose to the visor, and the relative humidity in the suit atmosphere, fogging of the visor is possible. This can be dangerous, preventing the crewmember from seeing handholds and hazards. During the Gemini 9 flight, Gene Cernan’s visor fogged when the EVA life support system could not keep up with his increased workload, leading to the cancellation of some EVA objectives and difficulty reentering the spacecraft.

The EMU helmet-visor system provides a minimum unrestricted FOV (body-fixed) of 120-degrees left and 120-degrees right in the horizontal plane. In the vertical plane, 105-degrees
down and 90-degrees up visibility is provided. The EMU visor allows the crewmember to view
the EMU boots during EVA workstation and restraint ingress.

The EMU helmet visual performance parameters are presented in Table 11.3-1 (Nash et al.,
1982) and the EMU FOV is illustrated in Figure 11.3-1(GIAG-3, 1986).

**Table 11.3-1  EMU Helmet Visual Performance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System provision</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Helmet optical visibility</td>
<td>Field of view</td>
<td>120 deg. left and right in the horizontal plane. 105 deg. down and 90 deg. up in the vertical plane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Critical area of vision</td>
<td>Vertical 90 deg 1.57 rad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Superior-temporal 62 deg 1.08 rad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Superior 85 deg 1.48 rad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Inferior-temporal 85 deg 1.48 rad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Inferior 70 deg 1.22 rad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optical distortion</td>
<td>No visible distortion or optical defects detectable by the unaided eye (visual acuity 20/20) at the typical “as worn” position</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmittance</td>
<td>Nanometers (nm)</td>
<td>UV Luminous IR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td>300 400 700 700+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal/coating optical characteristics</td>
<td>Characteristics</td>
<td>Inner protective visor Outer sun visor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmittance</td>
<td>550 nm</td>
<td>70% min. 16 ± 4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1100 nm</td>
<td>N/A 10% max.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar reflectance</td>
<td>550 nm</td>
<td>5% max. 40% min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2400 nm</td>
<td>70% min. N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>700 nm</td>
<td>N/A 55% min.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
11.3.3 Suited Anthropometry

Basic anthropometric dimensions are normally given for shirtsleeve conditions. Anthropometric dimensions for suited crewmembers depend on the specific suit and its response to pressurization. Pressure suits may mask certain shirtsleeve dimensions or introduce new dimensions by their design. Suits may include soft and hard sections, attached or tethered life support systems, and other suit-specific variables that need to be considered when determining anthropometric dimensions. Figure 11.3-2 (Strauss, 2004) is an overview diagram of the Shuttle EMU.
Figure 11.3-2 Extravehicular Mobility Unit.

A pressure suit must accommodate the full anthropometric, range of motion, and strength ranges of the crew. Vehicles, habitats, and interfaces must accommodate the suited crew. Where overlap occurs in IVA and EVA operations, the designers must consider the worst case for both, which includes the smallest shirtsleeve dimensions and largest suited dimensions. See section 4.3 Anthropometry for further discussion.

In addition, consideration should be given to how the interfaces between crewmember and suit change in different environments. What may be sufficient suit dimensions in 1g may not be optimized for 0g, where the crewmember will “float” inside the suit, possibly alleviating or creating new pressure points. The EVA crewmember in a 0g environment assumes a position dependent on forces exerted by the spacesuit design. This body position might be different from the shirtsleeve 0g neutral body posture. The suit and crewmember 0g neutral body position needs to be used when designing workstations, panels, controls, and restraints intended for long duration. Designing hardware or operations outside the neutral body posture may be an acceptable design assumption for short periods of time, but prolonged deviation, particularly
when combined with strenuous tasks, should be avoided. Also, the design of suits used for training should consider how neutral buoyancy operations will contribute to suit fit. When inverted in the Neutral Buoyancy Laboratory during training, astronauts have reported shoulder injuries due to the shoulders being pressed up against the hard upper torso when reaching forward or upward (Strauss, 2004).

11.3.4 Suited Reach and Range of Motion

Reach is a function of the anthropometry of the crewmember, the spacesuit design, the nature of the restraint, and the requirement for one- or two-handed operation at the reach limit. EVA tasks should not require an EVA crewmember to approach the limits of reach. Figures 11.3-3 and 11.3-4 depict the Shuttle EMU work envelope as defined by the 5th- and 95th-percentile male crewmember maximum side and forward reach.
Figure 11.3-3 Maximum side reach envelope.
C/L = center line; PFR = portable foot restraint

Figure 11.3-4 Maximum forward reach envelope.
While pressure suits limit range of motion and mobility due to the pressure across joints and their overall bulkiness, space-suit mobility ranges should be as close to that of shirtsleeve ranges as possible. See section 4.4 Range of Motion for more information.

For 0g EVA operations, the lower body is difficult to maneuver and crewmembers primarily use the upper body and hands for translation from one place to another. The primary function of the boot in 0g is to interface with an EVA foot restraint. For gravity operations, the legs and feet are needed for translation across the ground, and the boot needs to allow the foot to function with a minimum of mobility restrictions and support walking, hopping, and performing weight-bearing tasks.

The range of motion needed for 0g operations differs from that needed during planetary surface operations. In 0g, the feet are either restrained or free-floating, with the feet and legs providing little or no assistance in translation. In a gravity environment, while a planetary surface vehicle (e.g., rover) may be available, the suit must allow locomotor translation on a planetary surface. The type of terrain expected should be considered, including the need to walk up or down a hill, or traverse obstacles such as boulders. In addition, tasks such as climbing ladders or kneeling to collect surface samples should be considered.

The EMU suit components are designed to provide bending and centers of rotation of the mobility joints to approximate the natural body joint movements. The EMU includes mobility joints in the shoulder, elbow, wrist, finger, thumb, waist, hip, knee, and ankle areas, which allow the crewmember freedom of movement in both the pressurized and unpressurized modes.

Spacesuit gloves degrade hand and finger range of motion, tactile feedback, and proficiency compared to bare-hand operations. Depending on the design, dexterity can generally be compared to that with heavy work gloves. However, because of reduced tactile feedback, the size and shape of objects is more difficult to discern. Controls that will be operated by a pressure-suited crewmember must accommodate limited finger and hand range of motion and dexterity. Glove design should provide gloved-hand dexterity as close to bare-hand dexterity as possible.

11.3.5 Suited Strength Performance

Strength capabilities of an EVA crewmember are influenced by the pressure suit design as well as the positioning and restraint of the crewmember at the worksite location.

Strength data collected from minimally clothed humans should be used as guidelines only, as this data indicates trends and orders of magnitude of force output. It is important to verify that the full range of potential EVA crewmembers can perform the physical tasks required by the hardware design and situational configuration refer to section 4.7, Strength, for other considerations pertaining to strength.

Because of the pressurization of the gloves, concerted effort is required to move the arms, hands, and fingers, and repetitive motion can cause hand fatigue and discomfort. This can be minimized with glove design, or with an object’s glove interface design, to reduce the need for repetitive finger and hand motion. Tasks such as the manual removal or replacement of threaded fasteners, continuous force-torque application, and extended gripping functions should be minimized in hardware designed for EVA servicing. If such equipment designs are necessary, hardware suppliers need to provide power tools to assist the EVA crewmember. Gloves should also allow
firm retention of items to be grasped, such as handholds, switches, and tools, for short periods of time without hand fatigue.

Overcoming pressure moment and friction forces inherent in the mobility joints of the EMU requires application of force by the crewmember. The joints are designed to approximate neutral stability throughout the full range of motion when pressurized to 4.3 psid (29.6 kPa). The EMU suit-joint neutral stability feature helps to alleviate the requirement to apply a significant counteracting force to maintain a desired position.

11.3.6 EVA Crew Restraints

Proper restraint of the EVA crewmember at the workstation is essential for successful 0g EVA operations. Failure to provide adequate restraint can be the single most limiting factor of all EVA design elements. As Michael Collins learned during the Gemini Program, “without some sort of handholds or restraining devices, a large percentage of the astronaut’s time is…devoted to torquing his body around until it is in the proper position to do some useful work” (Collins, 1974). Inadequate restraint induces unnecessarily high workloads and may lead to crew fatigue, overloading of the life-support system, and premature termination of the EVA. Inadequate restraint also increases the potential for equipment damage during EVA operations.

Force application and fine motor skill capability are related to the restraint available at the worksite. There are basically three levels of restraint:

a. free float, which is unrestrained except with flexible tether(s) and use of hands

b. restrained with a rigid tether

c. restrained in a foot restraint

An unrestrained, or free-floating restrained, crewmember can effectively perform only low-force, short-time operations such as actuation of toggle and rotary switches, surveillance of controls and displays, and visual inspections. To exert impulse-type loads, one hand is needed to hold on and one hand to apply the load. Use of a rigid tether allows a crewmember to perform two-handed tasks and relatively low-force activities but with greater control than is possible while free-floating. Very high loads can be applied, similar to shirtsleeve capability, using the foot restraint. These forces are reduced significantly when the point of force application is moved near the top of the crewmember’s reach envelope. This necessitates providing adequate restraint and proper body orientation to the EVA crewmember to optimize force output. Foot restraints have proven to be the most effective means of stabilizing crewmembers and maximizing their capabilities. Even while using foot restraints, a crewmember may use a handrail or other aid at the worksite to provide additional stabilization, additional force application, and restraint while getting into or out of the foot restraint.

The loads that foot restraints can take are limited. The robotic arm (both Shuttle and ISS) will move out of position under high loads. For hard-mounted foot restraints on the ISS, load alleviators protect structures from induced loads, but can also move the crewmember out of position if too much force is applied.

Restraints for each workstation should be selected on the basis of the task to be performed. Tethers and handholds may be adequate for short-term tasks such as inspection and monitoring, but foot restraints should be provided for tasks requiring moderate-to-heavy force application and long-term positioning. The EMU includes two 61-cm (24-in.) waist-safety tethers and a self-retracting 11-m (35-ft) safety tether for translation along the Shuttle payload-bay slide wire.
To reduce EVA workload, preinstalled handholds and handrails should be used when possible. Crew-attached or portable handrails, handholds, and foot restraints should be considered only for non-routine or unplanned EVA workstations. Handholds should also be provided for ingress and egress of foot restraints. Foot restraints must be adjustable to ensure the optimum reach and work envelope of the suited crewmember. On the Shuttle a primary means of restraint is a portable-foot restraint that consists of a foot-restraint platform with position adjustment capability, and an extension arm and a foot-restraint socket that locks into the extension arm (Figure 11.3-5, JSC 20466, 1985).

![Figure 11.3-5 Shuttle Portable Foot Restraint.](image)

Besides workstation restraint, tethers are used as a safety device during 0g operations, preventing the EVA crewmember from floating away from the spacecraft during translation and working, and should be two-fault tolerant. To prevent inadvertent unlatching, tethers should include latch locks that indicate whether or not they are engaged. There should also be a contingency method for removal of a snagged tether or release of a crewmember from a tether hook. Safety tether attachments should be removable and attachable by one-handed operation.

Restraint design and position should be such that the least number of engagements and disengagements are needed to minimize the risk of failure to attach in 0g. Restraints should be positioned so that a crewmember is restrained or tethered at all times. Consideration should be given to minimizing the crew time required to establish the restraint and to confirm complete engagement.

On a planetary surface, crew restraints should be provided for operations that involve the risk of falling from height, such as from a vehicle platform or ladder.

11.3.7 EVA Mobility Aids

For EVA in 0g, the hands are best suited to provide mobility by grasping objects and moving from one area to another. On the exterior of spacecraft, adequate surfaces to grasp may not be available in the needed locations or objects may be unsafe to touch or susceptible to damage. Mobility aids, such as handholds and handrails, must be provided to ensure safe translation. In some cases, mobility aids and restraints could be provided by the same equipment.
The spacesuit glove should determine the dimensional design of manual mobility aids. Shuttle handrails have a vertical clearance of 5.75 cm (2.26 in.), a horizontal clearance to other objects of 10.16 cm (4 in.), and a length of 15.2 cm (6 in.) to allow the astronauts to grip them while wearing the pressurized EMU glove (Figure 11.3-6, Stokes, 1976). These dimensions will depend on the design of the glove to be used with the mobility aid. Skylab had both single and dual parallel handrails, and the dual handrails were reported to be very easy to use with both hands.

![Figure 11.3-6 Shuttle EVA handhold dimensions.](image)

To ensure easy recognition, handholds and handrails should be clearly visible, with a high visual contrast to the background, and be a standard color such as yellow. Handholds and handrails should accommodate crew restraints.

Mobility aids should be located at terminal points and direction change points on established crew translation paths. In addition, mobility aids should be installed in locations to prevent grasping of equipment not intended as a handhold or mobility aid. They should be positioned to support the stability of translation at the expected translation rates and direction changes. A nominal translation rate of 0.5 to 1.0 ft/sec has been observed for unencumbered Shuttle EVA crewmembers.

Translation paths on the Shuttle are along both sills of the payload bay, along the forward and aft bulkheads, and along the centerline (with payload-bay doors closed) for contingencies. Translation paths to support mission-specific EVAs also may be defined on a mission-by-mission basis. Figure 11.3-7 (JSC 28918, 2005) shows standard Shuttle handrail locations.
The design loads for mobility aids and attachments must accommodate the greatest momentum of the largest combination of EVA crew and transported objects (including another injured crewmember).

### 11.3.8 EVA Translation Paths

Translation paths must accommodate suited crewmembers and their range of motion necessary for translation. Consideration should be given to the size and limited mobility of pressure suits. As shown in Figure 11.3-8, the size of the translation path also depends on the orientation of the crewmember and direction of travel. If the crewmember is traveling in the x-direction (through chest) or y-direction (through shoulders), the translation path is considered a “corridor”; if the crewmember is traveling in the z-direction (through head), the translation path is considered a “tunnel.” These different modes of translation will have different needs for clearance and mobility aids.
Translation-path dimensions should consider the simultaneous translation of crewmembers along the path, the size of equipment, and the intrusion of mobility aids into the path. Obstructions or equipment should not protrude into the translation path. Consideration should be given to the productivity loss associated with bottlenecks in frequently traveled paths.

### 11.3.9 Work Efficiency

Work efficiency is a measure of actual work accomplished compared to the overhead of preparing and cleaning up afterwards. For EVA, the preparation includes unstowage, suit checkout, suit donning, prebreathe, airlock depressurization, and egress. Cleanup includes airlock ingress and re-pressurization, suit doffing, and stowage.

EVA work efficiency index (WEI) is defined as:

\[
\text{EVA time} / (\text{EVA preparation} + \text{prebreathe} + \text{A/L ops} + \text{EVA post-ops})
\]

where A/L = airlock and ops = operations.

EVA operations should have an EVA total WEI of > 1.75, and an EVA day WEI of > 3.0. Increases in EVA WEI can be achieved by minimizing all aspects of the overhead, including prebreathe times. However, prebreathe is a small portion of the total overhead and does not need to be the focus of reduction. For Shuttle operations, oxygen prebreathe time is 18% and 25% of the total and EVA day overhead, respectively. For ISS, prebreathe is 15% and 38% of the total and EVA day overhead. To meet the WEI objective, efficiency improvements should occur in suit checkout, serving, donning, doffing, and post-EVA processing. Many combinations of cabin pressure, suit pressure, and EVA preparation overheads could be integrated to result in the desired WEI. The objective is to ensure that the EVA overhead is minimized through appropriate integrated design of the vehicle and EVA systems.

### 11.3.10 EVA Acoustics and Noise

The acoustic environment in the suit should provide high-quality audio to and from the crew during EVA, comfortable working noise levels inside the suit, and broadcast-quality audio for
video transmission. See section 6.6 Acoustics for further information on the effects of noise and the acoustic environment. To achieve these objectives, EVA suits will have to be designed from the beginning to produce a minimum of noise; control acoustic resonances in the closed space of the suit; minimize acoustic reflections from the helmet visor; incorporate state-of-the-art microphones, speakers, and electronics; and use high quality digital encoding of the speech and audio.

The inside of the prototype Mark III surface suit is rather loud at 70 dBA SPL, approximately equivalent to standing on a busy street corner. This noise is mostly “hiss” due to the liquid air backpack, bearing noise due to the hip and shoulder bearings, and foot impact noise when walking. Noise at this level harms speech intelligibility and is a source of fatigue for the astronauts. NC-50 must be used during the design process to ensure that levels of noise inside the suit are comfortable.

The life support systems of current EVA suits are sources of noise. Also, the suits have acoustic resonances common to any enclosed volume. Each of these sources of noise and resonances can be controlled by careful attention to the acoustic details of the suit. Noise can be reduced through source or resonance controls.

- **Noise Source Control** – It is best to modify the designs of the life support systems to eliminate or reduce the noise at the source. This involves measuring noise levels generated by each subsystem early in the design, identifying the sources of noise, and reducing them.
- **Resonance Control** – When the noise source is minimized, then absorption techniques like mufflers and sound-absorbing foam can be used to further reduce the generated noise to acceptable levels. Resonances of the suit system can be controlled by using sound-absorbing foam, so that that the resonances are damped. The helmet is a very reverberant space, and this can make speech communication more difficult.

During tests in 2006 and 2007, the liquid-air backpack was found to be the major source of noise for the Mark III. In particular, the air inlet valve from the liquid-air evaporator produced a loud hiss, which was louder than all the other life support system noises. Tests on the suit system using the laboratory-air supply showed that the noise was not simply due to the airflow across the face plate to scrub out the carbon dioxide. A series of mufflers were designed and tested to see if they could control the noise from the liquid-air backpack, but they were only moderately successful in curtailing the noise.

Noise measurements also showed that the ring-mounted microphones were vulnerable to impact noise, so that the hard-mounted microphones picked up foot impact noise. These microphones also shut off briefly if the footfall is strong enough, which has a negative impact on noise-canceling digital signal processing algorithms. Experiments using elastic band mounts and a new design of microphone on the helmet ring were successful in cutting down on the footfall noise entering the microphone, and the microphones were less likely to shut off due to impact. The microphones on the EVA suit should be soft-mounted to minimize the effect of footfall impact on the audio.
11.3.11 EVA Lighting

11.3.11.1 Orbital EVA Lighting

Most lighting considerations for interior spaces in spacecraft may be extended to the EVA environment. See section 8.7 for general information on lighting. The lighting conditions for EVA operations, however, are generally much more dynamic than those within spacecraft. In low Earth orbit, the surfaces of the vehicle experience a full sunrise-sunset-sunrise cycle about every 90 minutes. Under these conditions, shadows can move across work sites at angular velocities exceeding 4 degrees per minute. Unless large exterior surfaces are adjacent to and angled favorably relative to the work site and the sun, not much reflected “fill” light can be expected to illuminate the deep shadows.

Direct solar illumination in low Earth orbit is on the order of 132,000 lux (lx) (Illuminating Engineering Society of North America (2000). IESNA Lighting Handbook Ninth Edition, p.8-5. IESNA). Assuming that Earth's average visual albedo is 0.367 (http://nssdc.gsfc.nasa.gov/planetary/factsheet/earthfact.html), “earthshine” reflected from the planet and illuminating the nadir surfaces of a spacecraft in low Earth orbit may be calculated to be about 50,000 lx or less. The earthshine is “diffuse,” originating from all points on the visible planetary disk, with the overall reflected illumination depending on the average reflectance of the surfaces viewed from the spacecraft—ocean, forest, desert, ice, cloud tops, etc. The reflected illumination also depends on the proportion of the planetary body’s surface that appears from orbit to be lighted by the Sun. Similar direct solar illumination levels would be expected for EVAs in lunar orbit, with light-dark periods determined by the orbit altitude and geometry. There is less variation in illumination on the nadir surfaces of a spacecraft during lunar orbit than in Earth orbit, because there is less variation in reflectance over the Moon’s surface than there is in the terrestrial case. Since the average lunar visual albedo is 0.12 (http://nssdc.gsfc.nasa.gov/planetary/factsheet/moonfact.html), reflected illumination levels in lunar orbit are a third or less of those in orbit about Earth.

In orbit around Mars, direct solar illumination is approximately 43% of that in low Earth orbit, or about 57,000 lx. Mars’ albedo is 0.15 (http://nssdc.gsfc.nasa.gov/planetary/factsheet/marsfact.html), compared with Earth’s value of 0.367. Reflected illumination from Mars’ surface onto a spacecraft in orbit about the planet at an altitude typical of low Earth orbit is calculated to be on the order of 20,000 lx or less.

11.3.11.2 Effects of EVA Helmets on Lighting

The EMU helmet visor attenuates the incident visible light by about 8% to 15%. Some of this loss is attributable to ultraviolet and antireflective coatings necessary to protect the spacefarer from sunburn and to minimize reflected glare inside the helmet. The current external sun visor transmits 16% +/- 4% at 555 nm (Table 11.3-1). If attenuation by the helmet sun visor is not great enough to allow EVA visual tasks to be performed at a particular time, the tasks may need to be shifted in time to periods when adverse solar lighting is not present.

11.3.11.3 Planetary Surface EVA Lighting

Lunar equatorial regions, such as those explored by the Apollo missions, are strongly illuminated by the Sun. The incident sunlight is not scattered by atmospheric dust or moisture, as
encountered on Earth, so shadows on the surface are stark, just as in orbit. There is no aerial perspective (hazy view of distant objects), and the Moon is smaller in diameter than the Earth, so the lunar horizon is nearer to the observer than that on Earth. These effects tend to distort visual distance judgment to make distant objects on the Moon appear closer than they actually are.

The relative uniformity of the surface reflectance and color of the lunar regolith conspire to obscure surface details when they are observed looking in the Sun’s direction or with the Sun to one’s back. Only when viewed at an oblique angle to the Sun’s rays are shadows cast by surface irregularities apparent to afford visual cues to objects’ relative size and shape. For this reason, the lunar landing approaches by the Apollo landers were intended to be “cross-Sun.”

The lunar south pole has areas of near-constant solar illumination and all lighting is oblique. Certain permanently shadowed features have been identified in this region, including craters near the poles. Elevated features cast very long shadows. Surface exploration by astronauts will require extensive provisions for artificial lighting. Long-term habitations near the southern pole may make use of extensive solar reflectors on elevated surfaces to supply “fill lighting” in some permanently shadowed work areas.

Unlike the Moon, Mars exhibits a day-night cycle similar in length to that of Earth. The thin atmosphere affords a small degree of diffusion to the distance-attenuated solar illumination. The predominant red ochre reflective characteristic of the soil colors all reflected light red. Explorers immersed in this environment for an extended period will likely notice a shift toward less sensitivity to redder light as their color perception adapts to their surroundings. Any color-coded markings for use on Mars should be designed with color adaptation in mind.

11.3.12 Research Needs

RESERVED
11.4  EVA SAFETY

11.4.1  Introduction

While EVA operations include some inherent risks, several hazards of the space environment are potentially more hazardous to EVA crewmembers than to crewmembers inside a spacecraft, and these hazards are described here. These include radiation, chemical contamination, decompression, entrapment, and electrocution.

11.4.2  Radiation

Radiation events, such as solar particle events, may pose a greater danger to EVA crewmembers than their IVA counterparts. This is partly because a suit affords minimal radiation protection compared to a spacecraft, and because taking shelter (e.g., ingress) when an event occurs may take a long time depending on the distance between the crew and the spacecraft or other radiation protection. EVA suits must provide or accommodate radiation monitoring and alerting functions to allow the crew to take appropriate actions.

A personal passive dosimeter is required by the Code of Federal Regulations and Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) for monitoring astronaut radiation exposure. Providing a stowage location on the EVA suit will minimize crew overhead to don and doff this hardware. The standard passive dosimeters used for ISS/Shuttle/Mir by U.S. and Russian scientists are installed inside the pressurized part of the suit. Placing active dosimeters inside the pressurized suit allows the crewmember to select a shielding location that is appropriate for the skin or organ dose that the crewmember is receiving. Current state-of-the-art dosimeters used by U.S. scientists require the presence of oxygen to function properly.

11.4.3  Chemical Contamination

Some EVA worksites or translation paths to worksites will be located on the spacecraft’s exterior. These locations may contain hazardous materials to which an EVA crewmember may be exposed. The design of translation paths should avoid contact with potential contamination sources (e.g., jets, engines, fuel lines, fluid purge valves, and gas venting) when possible.

11.4.4  Decompression

In addition to selecting a cabin and suit pressure combination, ppO₂, and prebreathe that result in acceptable DCS risks during nominal operations, provisions for treatment of DCS must be made. This could range from the ability to over-pressurize the suit and/or the spacecraft, to treatment including hyperbaric chambers and/or drug therapy. See section 6.2.2.1.1, Decompression Sickness, for additional information on DCS treatment.

A contingency loss of suit pressure is a serious hazard, leading to injury and eventually death if uncontrolled. The primary causes of suit decompression are likely to be a tear or puncture of the suit’s pressure garment, or failure of the suit’s environmental control system. Tears and punctures could occur from sharp edges or micrometeoroids.
11.4.4.1 Sharp Edges

All vehicle and habitat equipment and structures requiring an EVA interface must not have sharp edges or protrusions. If either exists then they must be covered to protect the crew and the crew’s critical support equipment. Tables 11.4-1, 11.4-2, and Figure 13.4-1 (JSC 28918, 2005) summarize sharp edge and snag hazard limits for EVA. Operational controls, such as training crewmembers to avoid certain objects, increases mental workload and fatigue, and should not be relied on.

Table 11.4-1 Edge, Corner, and Protrusion Criteria – Edge and In-Plane Corner Radii*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Radius</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
<th>Figure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outer in. mm  Inner in. mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II.2-5 Reference d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Openings, panels, covers (corner radii in plane of panel)</td>
<td>0.25 6.4 0.12 3.0 Preferred</td>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposed corners</td>
<td>0.5 13 – –</td>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposed edges: (1) 0.08 in. (2.0 mm) thick or greater</td>
<td>0.04 1.0 – –</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) 0.02 to 0.08 in. (0.5-2.0 mm) thick</td>
<td>Full radius – –</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) less than 0.02 in. (0.5 mm) thick</td>
<td>Rolled or curled</td>
<td></td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flanges, latches, controls, hinges, and other small hardware operated by the pressurized-gloved hand</td>
<td>0.04 1.0 – –</td>
<td>Minimum required to prevent glove snagging</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small protrusions (less than approximately 3/16 in. [4.8 mm]) on toggle switches, circuit breakers, connectors, latches, and other manipulative devices</td>
<td>0.04 1.0 – –</td>
<td>Absolute minimum unless protruding corner is greater than 120°</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* A 45-degree chamfer by 0.06 in. (1.5 mm) (minimum) with smooth broken edges is also acceptable in place of a corner radius. The width of chamfer should be selected to approximate the radius corner described above.

For materials less than 2.032 mm (0.08 in.) thick, used in a location accessible to EVA, edge radii should be greater than 0.0672 mm (0.003 in.). In addition, exposed edges should be uniformly spaced, not to exceed 1.27 cm (0.5 in.) gaps, flush at the exposed surface plane, and shielded from direct EVA interaction.
Figure 11.4-1 Exposed corner and edge requirements.

Table 11.4-2 Snag and Sharp Edge Hazards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Criteria/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Latching devices</td>
<td>Cover or design all latching devices to preclude gaps or overhangs that can catch fabrics or pressure-suit appendages.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheet metal structure, box and cabinet three-plane intersecting corners</td>
<td>Use spherical welded or formed radii unless corners are protected with covers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screw heads, bolts, nuts, nut plates, excess threads, and rivets that a crewmember can come in contact with</td>
<td>Design all screw heads and bolt heads to face the outside of the structure, if possible. Where nuts, nut plates, and threads are exposed, cover the nuts, nut plates, and threads in a secure manner that does not preclude removal of the fastener. Recessed heads or the use of recessed washers is recommended. Overall height of heads must be within 0.125 in. (3.2 mm) or covered unless more than 7 head diameters apart from center to center. Height of roundhead or oval-head screws is not limited. Screw heads or bolt heads more than 0.25 in. (6.4 mm) deep must be recessed or be covered with a fairing, except those intended to be EVA crew interfaces. Design rivet heads to face out on all areas accessible to crewmembers and to protrude no more than 0.06 in. (1.5 mm) unless spaced more than 3.5 head diameters from center to center. In all exposed areas where unset ends of rivets extend more than 0.12 in. (3.1 mm), or unset and diameter if more than 0.12 in. (3.1 mm), install a fairing over them. This applies to rivets such as explosive, blind, and pull rivets. Unset ends of rivets must have edges chamfered 45 deg or ground off to a minimum radius of 0.06 in. (1.5 mm). Allow a maximum gap of 0.02 in. (0.5 mm) only between one side of a fastener head and its mating surface. Prevent or eliminate burrs. Use of Allen heads is preferred. For torque-set, slotted, or Phillips head screws, cover with tape or other protective materials or individually deburr before flight.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
11.4.4.2 Micrometeoroid and Orbital Debris Impact

MMOD may range in size from microscopic grains to small rocks and larger rocks. Even microscopic elements can cause significant damage to spacecraft at orbital velocities, and could easily create a tear in a spacesuit and injure the crewmember. The probability of an MMOD penetration of the EMU during a 6-hour, 2-person EVA has been estimated to be 0.0006 (Ellery, A., 2000). While the probability is low, the seriousness of an impact is high enough to warrant consideration of protection during EVA, when a crewmember is most vulnerable. The Shuttle EMU includes a seven-layer micrometeoroid garment of aluminized Mylar laminated with Dacron, topped with a single-layer fabric combination of Gortex, Kevlar, and Nomex.

11.4.5 Entrapment

The limited mobility in a pressure suit may increase the likelihood of an EVA crewmember getting an appendage trapped in a wedge or hole from the size of a gloved finger to that of the whole body. This may become hazardous if the crewmember is not able to slowly and carefully become unencumbered. Because the amounts of consumables in a pressure suit are limited, being trapped too long could be life-threatening. In addition, struggling to become free may damage the suit, including tearing it, which could lead to decompression. To prevent this from occurring, translation paths must be sized to permit a suited crewmember to turn around. Uncovered round or slotted holes must be smaller than the smallest crewmember’s finger width or larger than the largest crewmember’s finger width. Suit design and operations should be considered when sizing intermediate-sized openings, to preclude entrapment. This also applies to IVA interfaces that may require pressure-suited crewmembers to use them.

11.4.6 Electrical Hazards

The EVA environment may include more numerous and dangerous electrical hazards than the IVA environment, due to the external placement of power sources such as batteries and solar arrays. Preventing contact with electrical hazards can be accomplished by placing electrical contacts out of nominal translation paths, and by placing mobility aids in locations to preclude inadvertent contact with hazards. In the event that maintenance or repair is needed on or near areas that may present an electrical hazard, safe access must be provided, as well as protective covering for any accessible metal suit parts and tools.

During STS-120’s visit to the ISS, astronaut Scott Parazynski successfully repaired a damaged solar array during an unplanned EVA. This required the covering of all metal suit and tool surfaces with an insulating (Kapton) tape, careful placement of the astronaut near the solar array using the robotic arm, and the use of a makeshift “hockey stick” to prevent inadvertent contact during repair. See section 9.12.4 for more information on electrical hazards.

11.4.7 Research Needs

RESERVED
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### APPENDIX A – ABBREVIATIONS AND DEFINITIONS

#### ABBREVIATIONS, INCLUDING ACRONYMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>φ</td>
<td>azimuthal viewing direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ</td>
<td>polar declination angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ω</td>
<td>omega</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μg</td>
<td>microgram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μm</td>
<td>micrometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μPa</td>
<td>micropascal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0g</td>
<td>zero gravity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D</td>
<td>two-dimensional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>three-dimensional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>advanced chat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ac</td>
<td>alternating current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACES</td>
<td>Advanced Crew Escape Suit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACGIH</td>
<td>American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACSM</td>
<td>American College of Sports Medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACT-R</td>
<td>Atomic Component of Thought – Rationale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEL</td>
<td>accessible emission limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFB</td>
<td>Air Force Base</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGARD</td>
<td>Advisory Group for Aerospace Research and Development (NATO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGE</td>
<td>arterial gas embolism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGILE</td>
<td>Assessment of Gravito-Inertial Loads Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGS</td>
<td>anti-g suit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGSM</td>
<td>anti-g straining maneuver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air MIDAS</td>
<td>Air Man-Machine Integration Design and Analysis System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALARA</td>
<td>as low as reasonably achievable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT</td>
<td>altitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMBR</td>
<td>Agent-Based Model Representation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMLCD</td>
<td>active matrix LCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMRL</td>
<td>Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMS</td>
<td>acute mountain sickness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANAM</td>
<td>Automated Neuropsychological Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANSI</td>
<td>American National Standards Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANSUR</td>
<td>Anthropometric Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APA</td>
<td>American Psychological Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATAGS</td>
<td>Advanced Tactical Anti-g Suit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATC</td>
<td>air traffic control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP</td>
<td>adenosine triphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATT</td>
<td>attitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATU</td>
<td>audio terminal unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATV</td>
<td>Automated Transfer Vehicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARS</td>
<td>Atmosphere Revitalization System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA-SEEV</td>
<td>Attention-Situation Awareness - salient, effort, expectancy, valuable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEI</td>
<td>biological exposure index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BET</td>
<td>blur edge time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEW</td>
<td>blur edge width</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BFO</td>
<td>blood-forming organs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLEEX</td>
<td>Berkeley Lower Extremity Exoskeleton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bpm</td>
<td>beats per minute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSL</td>
<td>biosafety level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTA</td>
<td>Bends Treatment Apparatus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTU</td>
<td>British thermal unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bq</td>
<td>becquerel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Celsius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C&amp;W</td>
<td>caution and warning (alerts)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAD</td>
<td>computer-aided drafting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAESAR</td>
<td>Civilian American and European Surface Anthropometry Resource</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cal</td>
<td>Calorie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CANTAB</td>
<td>Cambridge Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cc</td>
<td>cubic centimeter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCT</td>
<td>correlated color temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE</td>
<td>Combined Advanced Technology Enhanced Design G-Ensemble</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEO</td>
<td>Crew Earth Observations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEV</td>
<td>Crew Exploration Vehicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEVIS</td>
<td>Cycle Ergometer with Vibration Isolation System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFE</td>
<td>contractor-furnished equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFF</td>
<td>critical fusion frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFR</td>
<td>Code of Federal Regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFU</td>
<td>colony-forming units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ci</td>
<td>curie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIE</td>
<td>Commission Internationale de L'Eclairage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cm</td>
<td>centimeter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td>Command Module</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td>computer-mediated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td>Crew Module</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CME</td>
<td>coronal mass ejection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMO</td>
<td>Chief Medical Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNS</td>
<td>central nervous system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>carbon monoxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>carbon dioxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoA</td>
<td>course of action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COGNET/iGEN™</td>
<td>Cognition as a Network of Tasks/ iGEN™</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMBAT EDGE</td>
<td>Combined Advanced Technology Enhanced Design G-Ensemble</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COTS</td>
<td>commercial off-the-shelf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_P</td>
<td>peak image contrast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPR</td>
<td>cardiopulmonary resuscitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPS</td>
<td>Condensation Prevention System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPS</td>
<td>critical print size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPT</td>
<td>Continuous Performance Test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_M</td>
<td>Michelson contrast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_R</td>
<td>contrast ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRM</td>
<td>Crew Resource Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRT</td>
<td>cathode ray tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSA-CP</td>
<td>Compound Specific Analyzer – Combustion Products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF</td>
<td>Contrast Sensitivity Function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cSV</td>
<td>centisievert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTB</td>
<td>Cargo Transfer Bags</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVA</td>
<td>Clear Viewing Aperture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVCM</td>
<td>Collected Volatile Condensable Material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW</td>
<td>continuous-wave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWC</td>
<td>Contingency Water Container</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CxP</td>
<td>Constellation Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dB</td>
<td>decibel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dBA</td>
<td>decibels adjusted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dc</td>
<td>direct current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCS</td>
<td>decompression sickness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDREF</td>
<td>dose rate effectiveness factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DGR</td>
<td>discomfort glare rating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dia</td>
<td>diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLP</td>
<td>Digital Light Processing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMD</td>
<td>Digital Micro-mirror Device</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>deoxyribonucleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D_0</td>
<td>dose of radiation that kills 37% of cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DoD</td>
<td>Department of Defense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOF</td>
<td>Degrees of Freedom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-OMAR</td>
<td>Distributed Operator Model Architecture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D_p</td>
<td>viewing distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dpi</td>
<td>dots per inch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DR</td>
<td>dynamic response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTL</td>
<td>Dynamic Task List</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DV</td>
<td>dorsoventral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>illuminance (photometric) or irradiance (radiometric)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3</td>
<td>electromagnetic environmental effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAWG</td>
<td>Exploration Atmosphere Working Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECG</td>
<td>electrocardiogram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECLS</td>
<td>Environmental Control and Life Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECLSS</td>
<td>Environmental Control and Life Support Subsystem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDE</td>
<td>effective dose equivalent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDOMP</td>
<td>Extended Duration Orbiter Medical Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EER</td>
<td>estimated energy requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL</td>
<td>electroluminescent (display)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELF</td>
<td>extremely low frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM</td>
<td>electromagnetic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMI</td>
<td>electromagnetic interference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMU</td>
<td>Extravehicular Mobility Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA</td>
<td>Environmental Protection Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPIC-Soar</td>
<td>Executive Process-Interactive Control - Soar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERB</td>
<td>equivalent rectangular bandwidth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVA</td>
<td>extravehicular activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVOH</td>
<td>ethylene vinyl alcohol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Fahrenheit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAA</td>
<td>Federal Aviation Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fc</td>
<td>foot-candles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCE</td>
<td>Flight Crew Equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FED</td>
<td>field emission display</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFM</td>
<td>Five Factor Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFT</td>
<td>fast Fourier transform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFTD</td>
<td>Fluid Fitting Torque Device</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOD</td>
<td>foreign object debris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FORP</td>
<td>Fuel-Oxydizer Reaction Product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOV</td>
<td>field of view</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ft</td>
<td>foot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTF</td>
<td>face-to-face</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPDM</td>
<td>Flat Panel Display Measurement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>gram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>gravity or acceleration (9.8 m/s²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gx,y,z</td>
<td>acceleration in the x, y, or z direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g/s</td>
<td>g per second (where “g” equals 9.8 meters per second squared)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCR</td>
<td>galactic cosmic ray (radiation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFE</td>
<td>Government-furnished equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHz</td>
<td>gigahertz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-LOC</td>
<td>gravity-induced loss of consciousness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLV</td>
<td>grating light valve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMA</td>
<td>general mental ability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSE</td>
<td>ground support equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUI</td>
<td>graphical user interface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gy</td>
<td>Gray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gy-Eq</td>
<td>Gray equivalent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>dose equivalent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>height</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCI</td>
<td>human-computer interaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Full Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCI</td>
<td>hydrogen chloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCN</td>
<td>hydrogen cyanide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDTV</td>
<td>high-definition television</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEPA</td>
<td>high-efficiency particulate air</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFES</td>
<td>Human Factors and Ergonomics Society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIDH</td>
<td>Human Integration Design Handbook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HL</td>
<td>hearing level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLA</td>
<td>high-level architecture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMD</td>
<td>head-mounted display</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMI</td>
<td>human-machine interaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPD</td>
<td>hearing protection device</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPM</td>
<td>human performance models</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HQR</td>
<td>Handling Qualities Rating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRI</td>
<td>human-robot interaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSIR</td>
<td>Human-Systems Integration Requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HST</td>
<td>Hubble Space Telescope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUD</td>
<td>head-up display</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUT</td>
<td>hard upper torso</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HVAC</td>
<td>heating, ventilation, and air conditioning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HVS</td>
<td>human visual system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hz</td>
<td>hertz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HZE</td>
<td>high atomic number and energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>luminous intensity, or radiometric intensity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA</td>
<td>inter-aural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD</td>
<td>Interface Control Document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICDM</td>
<td>International Committee on Display Metrology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICES</td>
<td>International Conference on Environmental Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEEE</td>
<td>Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IESNA</td>
<td>Illuminating Engineering Society of North America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IID</td>
<td>interaural intensity differences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iMOD</td>
<td>Interferometric Modulator Display</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMPRINT</td>
<td>Improved Performance Research Integration Tool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMS</td>
<td>Inventory Management System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in</td>
<td>inch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR</td>
<td>infrared</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRD</td>
<td>Interface Requirements Document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iRED</td>
<td>Interim Resistive Exercise Device</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISRU</td>
<td>in situ resource utilization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO</td>
<td>International Standards Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISS</td>
<td>International Space Station</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IST</td>
<td>interpersonal skill training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITD</td>
<td>interaural time difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVA</td>
<td>intravehicular activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>joule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JND</td>
<td>just noticeable difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSC</td>
<td>Johnson Space Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>keV</td>
<td>kilo electron volt(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kg</td>
<td>kilogram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kHz</td>
<td>kilohertz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kJ</td>
<td>kilojoules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KSC</td>
<td>Kennedy Space Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-</td>
<td>launch minus (a number of days)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L(x,y)</td>
<td>luminance image</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lb_f</td>
<td>pound force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBNP</td>
<td>lower-body negative pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lb</td>
<td>pound(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCD</td>
<td>liquid crystal display</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCG</td>
<td>Liquid Cooling Garment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCS</td>
<td>Laser Camera System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCVG</td>
<td>Liquid Cooling and Ventilation Garment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDFR</td>
<td>Long-Duration Foot Restraint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LED</td>
<td>light-emitting diode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEO</td>
<td>low Earth orbit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L_{eq}</td>
<td>equivalent (continuous) sound level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LET</td>
<td>linear energy transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDRI</td>
<td>Laser Dynamic Range Imager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGN</td>
<td>lateral geniculate nucleus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIRS</td>
<td>Low Iodine Residual System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L_k</td>
<td>luminance of black</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lm</td>
<td>lumen(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM</td>
<td>Landing Module</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L_{max}</td>
<td>maximum luminance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L_{min}</td>
<td>minimum luminance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMD</td>
<td>light measurement device</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L_o</td>
<td>background luminance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOC</td>
<td>loss of crew</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOM</td>
<td>loss of mission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRU</td>
<td>Line Replacement Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L_w</td>
<td>luminance of white</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lx</td>
<td>lux</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>meter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAA</td>
<td>minimum audible angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAF</td>
<td>minimum audible field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAG</td>
<td>Maximum Absorbency Garment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAMA</td>
<td>minimum audible movement angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP</td>
<td>minimum audible pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCC</td>
<td>Mission Control Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Full Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCH</td>
<td>Modified Cooper-Harper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCI</td>
<td>mild cognitive impairment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCL</td>
<td>maximum contaminant levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD</td>
<td>Modifications Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDA</td>
<td>Multiple Docking Adapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDF</td>
<td>minimum duration flight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEMS</td>
<td>microelectromechanical system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MER</td>
<td>Mars Excursion Rover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metox</td>
<td>metal oxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeV</td>
<td>mega electron volt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mg</td>
<td>milligram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mGy</td>
<td>milligray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mHz</td>
<td>millihertz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHz</td>
<td>Megahertz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIDAS</td>
<td>Man-machine Integration Design and Analysis System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min</td>
<td>minute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mL</td>
<td>milliliter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mm</td>
<td>millimeter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM</td>
<td>micrometeorite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mmHg</td>
<td>millimeter(s) of mercury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMOD</td>
<td>micrometeoroids and orbital debris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPE</td>
<td>maximum permissible exposures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRAB</td>
<td>MiniCog Rapid Assessment Battery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRE</td>
<td>Meal Ready to Eat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ms</td>
<td>millisecond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSFC</td>
<td>Marshall Space Flight Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSIS</td>
<td>Man-Systems Integration Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mSv</td>
<td>millisievert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTF</td>
<td>Modulation Transfer Function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWL</td>
<td>mental workload</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>newton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2</td>
<td>nitrogen (molecular)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASA</td>
<td>National Aeronautics and Space Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASA-TLX</td>
<td>National Aeronautics and Space Administration Task Load Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBL</td>
<td>Neutral Buoyancy Laboratory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCRP</td>
<td>National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHANES</td>
<td>National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHV</td>
<td>net habitable volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIHL</td>
<td>noise-induced hearing loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIOSH</td>
<td>National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIR</td>
<td>non-ionizing radiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIST</td>
<td>National Institute of Standards and Testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nm</td>
<td>nanometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nm</td>
<td>nautical mile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td>naso-occipital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₂</td>
<td>nitrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₃</td>
<td>nitrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOLS</td>
<td>NASA Outdoor Leadership School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>National Research Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTU</td>
<td>nephelometric turbidity unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₂</td>
<td>oxygen (molecular)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OASPL</td>
<td>overall sound pressure level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OBSS</td>
<td>Orbital Boom Sensor System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCAC</td>
<td>Orbiter Cabin Air Cleaner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCR</td>
<td>ocular counter-roll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD</td>
<td>orbital debris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OKR</td>
<td>optokinetic reflex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLED</td>
<td>organic light-emitting diode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OpNom</td>
<td>operational nomenclature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OpsHab</td>
<td>operational habitability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORU</td>
<td>Orbital Replacement Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSHA</td>
<td>Occupational Safety and Health Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTTR</td>
<td>otolith tilt-translation reinterpretation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OWS</td>
<td>Orbital Work Station</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oz</td>
<td>ounce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pa</td>
<td>pascal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAWS</td>
<td>NASA Performance Assessment Workstation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCU</td>
<td>platinum-cobalt unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDA</td>
<td>personal digital assistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDP</td>
<td>plasma display panel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERS</td>
<td>Payload Equipment Restraint System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFE</td>
<td>portable fire extinguisher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFR</td>
<td>Portable Foot Restraint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pg</td>
<td>page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLED</td>
<td>polymer light-emitting diode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POSIXAT</td>
<td>Pilot Objective/Subjective Workload Assessment Technique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPB</td>
<td>positive-pressure breathing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppCO₂</td>
<td>partial pressure of carbon dioxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE</td>
<td>personal protective equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppN₂</td>
<td>partial pressure of nitrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppO₂</td>
<td>partial pressure of oxygen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSF</td>
<td>performance-shaping factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>psi</td>
<td>pound per square inch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>psia</td>
<td>pound per square inch absolute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>psid</td>
<td>pound per square inch differential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>psig</td>
<td>pound per square inch gauge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSS</td>
<td>Performance Support System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PW</td>
<td>pulsed wave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWM</td>
<td>pulse width modulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>quality factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbr.</td>
<td>Full Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QCM</td>
<td>quartz crystal microbalance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QD</td>
<td>quick disconnect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rad</td>
<td>radian(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBE</td>
<td>radiobiological effectiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCS</td>
<td>Reaction Control System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REID</td>
<td>risk of exposure-induced death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RER</td>
<td>respiratory exchange ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF</td>
<td>radio frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID</td>
<td>radio frequency identification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RH</td>
<td>relative humidity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHO</td>
<td>radiation health officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rms</td>
<td>root mean square</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS</td>
<td>Remote Manipulator System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPD</td>
<td>recognition-primed decision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPM</td>
<td>revolutions per minute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT</td>
<td>response time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTG</td>
<td>Radioisotopic Thermoelectric Generator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RVOR</td>
<td>rotational VOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>situational awareness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAA</td>
<td>South Atlantic Anomaly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAIR</td>
<td>Software Avionics Interoperability Reuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAP</td>
<td>Science Activity Planner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAPE</td>
<td>Self-Contained Atmosphere Protective Ensemble</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCSF</td>
<td>spatial contrast sensitivity function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCUBA</td>
<td>Self-Contained Underwater Breathing Apparatus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>spatial disorientation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEBS</td>
<td>Spacehab Emergency Breathing System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SED</td>
<td>Surface Conduction Electron Emitter Display</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFHSS</td>
<td>Space Flight Human Systems Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFOG</td>
<td>Solid Fuel Oxygen Generator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFU</td>
<td>solar flux units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI</td>
<td>Système international d’unités (international system of units)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SID</td>
<td>Society for Information Display</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLM</td>
<td>Spatial Light Modulator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM</td>
<td>Service Module</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMAC</td>
<td>spacecraft maximum allowable concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SME</td>
<td>subject matter expert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMM</td>
<td>shared mental model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMS</td>
<td>space motion sickness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>spectral power distribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPE</td>
<td>solar particle event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPL</td>
<td>sound pressure level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sq</td>
<td>square</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQRI</td>
<td>square-root integral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Full Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sr</td>
<td>steradian(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sRGB</td>
<td>standard red-green-blue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRP</td>
<td>seat reference point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSO</td>
<td>spatial standard observer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSP</td>
<td>Space Shuttle Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STCSF</td>
<td>spatio-temporal contrast sensitivity function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STPD</td>
<td>standard temperature and pressure, dry (temperature and dry gas at standard barometric pressure: 0 °C, 101.3 kPa, dry)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STS</td>
<td>Space Transportation System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sv</td>
<td>sievert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWAT</td>
<td>Subjective Workload Assessment Technique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWEG</td>
<td>spacecraft water exposure guideline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWV</td>
<td>split window view</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>second-generation ISS treadmill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TACT</td>
<td>Team Adaptation and Coordination Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>to be determined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBR</td>
<td>to be resolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tc</td>
<td>contact temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCSF</td>
<td>temporal contrast sensitivity function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDT</td>
<td>Team Dimensional Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEE</td>
<td>total energy expenditure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEM</td>
<td>threat and error management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEPC</td>
<td>Tissue Equivalent Proportional Counter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFEL</td>
<td>thin film electroluminescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFT</td>
<td>thin film transistor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLAP</td>
<td>timeline analysis procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLD</td>
<td>thermoluminescent detector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLV</td>
<td>threshold limit value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLX</td>
<td>task load index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To</td>
<td>object temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOC</td>
<td>total organic carbon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOCA</td>
<td>Total Organic Carbon Analyzer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TON</td>
<td>threshold odor number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOVA</td>
<td>Test of Variables of Attention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsk</td>
<td>skin temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSAS</td>
<td>tactile situation-awareness system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT</td>
<td>treatment technique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTN</td>
<td>threshold taste number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTS</td>
<td>Tilt-Translation Sled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TV</td>
<td>television</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVIS</td>
<td>Treadmill with Vibration Isolation System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVOR</td>
<td>translational VOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TWA</td>
<td>time-weighted average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGR</td>
<td>unified glare rating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UID</td>
<td>user interface design</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### DEFINITIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abort</td>
<td>Termination of the mission or mission phase before the mission destination is reached, because of a failure or other condition that endangers the crew. At the moment an abort is declared, the focus of the operation switches from flying the planned mission to saving the crew. A successful abort ultimately places the crew in the portion of the space flight system normally used for reentry, and places them in a safe situation suitable for successful return and rescue. Aborts include scenarios in which the vehicle is damaged or not recovered.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessible</td>
<td>An item is considered accessible when it can be operated, manipulated, serviced, removed, or replaced by the suitably clothed and equipped user with applicable body dimensions conforming to the anthropometric range and database specified by the procuring activity, or if not specified by the procuring activity, with applicable 5th - to 95th-percentile body dimensions. Applicable body dimensions are dimensions that are design-critical to the operation, manipulation, removal, or replacement task.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity center</td>
<td>A specific location uniquely configured for a human activity, such as personal hygiene, body waste, food, sleep, trash, stowage, exercise countermeasures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced life support</td>
<td>For the Constellation Program, “advanced life support” is defined as the level of medical care that provides the capability to stabilize and/or reverse a life-threatening illness or injury as defined by the following criteria:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a. Unstable vital signs (heart rate &lt;42 or &gt;100, respiratory rate &lt;8 or &gt;30, systolic blood pressure &lt;90 or &gt;200, pulse oximetry &lt;90% on room air, signs of confusion, pallor, extreme pain, or altered mental status).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Use of an artificial airway, assisted breathing device, or ventilator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. Need for any intravenous drug infusion(s).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d. Recent or anticipated use of defibrillator, cardioversion, or transcutaneous pacing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>e. Need for continuous physiological monitoring.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>f. Need for continuous monitoring and care by another crewmember.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>g. Failure of one or more organ system(s).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Examples of “Advanced Life Support” hardware are a respiratory support device, intravenous pharmaceuticals and fluids, and defibrillation devices.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affect</td>
<td>Observable behavior that represents the expression of a subjectively experienced feeling state (mood, morale). Common examples of affect are sadness, fear, joy, and anger. The normal range of expressed affect varies considerably between different cultures and even within the same culture.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affective</td>
<td>Pertaining to feelings and changes in emotions, interest, attitudes, acceptance, appreciations, adjustments, and values. Includes attending, responding, valuing, organization, and characterization by a value or value complex.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambulatory care</td>
<td>The level of medical care that a crewmember can independently provide to himself or herself. The flight surgeon might be consulted, but no complex interventions or assistance from other crewmembers are needed. Many of the conditions that require “ambulatory care” are minor ailments that would be likely to resolve eventually even in the absence of treatment, but may still in the interim have significant mission impact. In addition, it should be noted that if a minor ailment is not properly diagnosed and treated in its initial stages, it may progress to a much more severe condition; e.g., bronchitis if untreated may become pneumonia, a bladder infection if untreated may lead to a kidney infection (pyelonephritis) or sepsis. Criteria for “ambulatory care” are defined as a. Administration of oral or topical medications b. No more than one procedure required for resolution of condition (example: single dose of intravenous medication or reduction of dislocation). c. Ability to perform the majority of scheduled mission tasks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthropogenic</td>
<td>Induced or altered by the presence of humans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthropometry</td>
<td>The science of measuring the human body and its parts and functional capabilities. Includes lengths, circumferences, body mass, and so on.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atelectasis</td>
<td>A state in which the lung, in whole or in part, is collapsed or without air.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attenuation</td>
<td>Diminution in force or intensity of sound.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditory annunciation</td>
<td>An audible computer-generated speech or non-speech signal. Examples are an emergency klaxon and a speech-based message.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic life support</td>
<td>The level of medical care that provides the capability for cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR), basic airway management, and crew immobilization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biofilm</td>
<td>A thin layer of microorganisms (as bacteria) that forms on and coats various surfaces (as of water pipes and catheters)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomechanics</td>
<td>The study of the principles and relationships involved with muscular activity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birefringence</td>
<td>Refers to the difference in index of refraction that is a function of incident light polarization. Birefringence can be an intrinsic material property such as in sapphire, or be induced by stress in a material that otherwise does not display birefringence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Term</strong></td>
<td><strong>Definition</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bubbles</td>
<td>Gaseous voids, of generally circular cross section, that are entrapped within a bulk material, such as glass, plastics, or laminates, usually as a result of the manufacturing process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candela</td>
<td>Candela is the unit of luminous intensity. One candela equals the flux distribution of 1 lumen per steradian of solid angle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catastrophic hazard</td>
<td>A condition that may cause loss of life, permanently disabling injury, or loss of flight assets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear viewing aperture</td>
<td>The area of a window that is not covered by the window assembly frame or other structure that would block incident light rays.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical diagnostics</td>
<td>The level of medical care that provides the capability for assessing vital signs and medical conditions and reaching a clinical diagnosis. Examples of “clinical diagnostics” hardware are stethoscope, thermometer, blood pressure cuff, urine chemistry strips, and portable limited body fluid analyzer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive</td>
<td>Pertaining to the mental processes of perception, learning, memory, comprehension, judgment, and reasoning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contamination</td>
<td>The act of rendering unfit for use by the introduction of unwholesome or undesirable elements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency EVA</td>
<td>An EVA performed to deal with critical failures or circumstances, which are not adequately protected by redundancy or other means; an EVA not scheduled in the pre-mission timeline but needed in order to lower risks to the safety of the crew or the outpost, and/or the safe return of the vehicle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contrast</td>
<td>The ratio of the luminance of the brightest white to the darkest black.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Countermeasure</td>
<td>A measure or means calculated to counter, check, or offset another effect, such as the use of exercise or pharmaceuticals to relieve the effects of zero gravity (0g), or the use of specialized clothing to reduce the effects of acceleration forces.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crew</td>
<td>Human team of one or more members on board a spacecraft during a mission.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crew interface</td>
<td>Any part of a spacecraft through which information is transferred between the crew and the spacecraft, whether by sight, sound, or touch. Usable, well-designed crew interfaces are critical for crew safety and productivity, and minimize training requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crew station</td>
<td>A location in a spacecraft where crewmembers perform an activity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crew survival</td>
<td>Ability to keep the crew alive using capabilities such as abort, escape, safe haven, emergency egress, and rescue in response to an imminent catastrophic condition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crew survival capabilities</td>
<td>Capabilities incorporated into program architecture and operations to preserve the lives of crewmembers in the presence of imminent catastrophic conditions. Examples are abort, escape, and safe haven.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crewmember</td>
<td>Human on board the spacecraft during a mission.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical hazard</td>
<td>A condition that may cause severe or lost-time injury or incapacitation, or major damage to flight assets, or loss of Program-critical assets, or loss of primary mission objectives.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data accuracy</td>
<td>The degree to which information in a digital database matches true or accepted values. Accuracy is an issue pertaining to the quality of data and the number of errors contained in a dataset.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data fidelity</td>
<td>Data qualities that include accuracy, precision, reliability, latency (data freshness), resolution, and completeness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data precision</td>
<td>The level of measurement and exactness of description in a database. Precise locational data may measure position to a fraction of a unit. Precise attribute information may specify the characteristics of features in great detail. Note that precise data, no matter how carefully measured, may be inaccurate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data reliability</td>
<td>The degree to which data is the same when sampled repeatedly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decompression</td>
<td>The act or process of reduction of pressure, as occurs when compressed air is released from a spacecraft to the vacuum of space.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decompression sickness (DCS)</td>
<td>A sickness induced by too rapid a decrease in air pressure after a stay at a higher air pressure and caused by nitrogen bubbles forming in blood and tissues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deconditioned crew (deconditioning)</td>
<td>The loss of fitness due to exposure to the conditions of space flight. Areas of fitness affected include muscles, skeleton, and the vascular system. Deleterious conditions of space flight include 0g, confinement, isolation, and stress.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental care</td>
<td>The level of medical care that provides the capability to diagnose and treat oral and dental conditions. Examples of “dental care” hardware are temporary fillings and crowns, tooth extraction hardware, and abscess drainage hardware.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deviation</td>
<td>Deviation refers to the angle that an emergent ray of light makes with the incident ray as it passes through a window pane or port, or other optical device.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dig</td>
<td>A small rough spot or short scratch on a polished surface, generally residuals of subsurface damage caused by grinding that did not polish out or by bubbles that opened up, whose dimensions are sufficient to be measured.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse reflection</td>
<td>The fraction of incident light or other type of wave within a specified wavelength band that is reflected from a surface uniformly in all directions, regardless of the angle of incidence of the incident light (rays or wave). A truly diffusely (Lambertian) reflective surface has the same luminance (appears to have the same brightness) from all viewpoints, regardless of the direction of the source relative to the surface. This type of reflection is associated with matte or “flat” surface treatments on objects, and is contrasted with specular reflectance. Most surfaces exhibit a combination of specular and diffuse reflectance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Display</td>
<td>All of the visual, aural, and tactile information or feedback presented to crewmembers via a device such as a label, computer monitor, or headphones.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Display device</td>
<td>The hardware used to present visual, aural, and tactile information to crewmembers or ground operations personnel. Display devices include computer monitors and personal digital assistants (PDA).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distortion</td>
<td>A term referring to the situation in which an image is not a true-to-scale reproduction of an object and the resultant image looks misshapen because of a changing wedge angle between two windowpane surfaces or material inhomogeneities or irregularities in the optical surface.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective dose</td>
<td>A calculated, not measured, quantity. The effective dose is an estimate of the uniform whole-body equivalent dose that would produce the same level of risk for adverse effects that results from nonuniform partial-body irradiation. The unit for the effective dose is the sievert (Sv).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency systems</td>
<td>Safeguards against hazardous situations that directly affect crewmembers that would be used for the prevention of loss of life. Examples are abort systems, fire suppression systems, and crew escape systems. Emergency systems are not a leg of fault tolerance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>A procedural omission (including incompletion), addition, or substitution beyond the accepted procedure. Performance outside the acceptable range (including inaccuracy and time standards) of a desired target in a task.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escape</td>
<td>In-flight removal of the crew from the portion of a space system normally used for reentry, because of rapidly deteriorating and hazardous conditions, thus placing them in a safe situation suitable for survivable return or recovery. Escape includes, but is not limited to, capabilities that utilize a portion (e.g., pods, modules, or forebodies) of the original space system for the removal. (NPR: 8705.2A, Human-Rating Requirements For Space Systems)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extravehicular activity (EVA)</td>
<td>Operations performed by suited crewmembers outside the pressurized environment of a spacecraft (during space flight or on a destination surface). Includes off-nominal operations performed inside unpressurized spacecraft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field of view for windows</td>
<td>All points that can be viewed through a window directly by at least one eye, given the combination of achievable eye, head, and body movement. The field of view is restricted by obstructions imposed by the facial structure around the eye and/or placed in front of the eye such as the crewmember’s helmet if worn, mullions, structure, and/or other equipment. Achievable movement will vary for different flight phases and operational tasks and depends on any constraints to movement that are extant such as being suited, seated, and/or restrained, and any g loads present. With respect to line-of-sight phenomena such as contamination deposition and pluming, any point outboard of the window that is above the plane of the outer surface of the outermost pane of the window port is considered within the field of view of the window.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First aid</td>
<td>The level of medical care that provides the capability to treat minor medical conditions and minor trauma. Example “first aid” items are headache medication, nasal decongestant, bandages, and eye drops.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional reach envelope</td>
<td>Reach envelope is the volume representing the reach limits of the human body. Functional reach envelope, or work envelope, refers to the volume within which a specific function or task can be performed. The shape and volume of functional reach envelopes depend on the task, motion, and function to be accomplished by the reach action. Limited reach envelope data in standard anthropometrical positions are available in sources of static and dynamic anthropometrical data. Unfortunately, reach data for space applications, like range-of-motion data, is greatly affected by the restricted postures maintained by crewmembers while wearing bulky flight suits and being restrained by straps in sometimes awkward postures. During hypergravity, because of an increase in whole body weight, limb weight, and segment weights, the range of motion for most joints will become restricted. Most importantly, the mobility of the neck, legs, and arms will be severely restricted, reducing the size of functional reach envelopes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravity environments</td>
<td>The range of sustained forces or accelerations from 0g through microgravity, to partial gravity of asteroid, lunar, and planetary surfaces, to the one-gravity of Earth, through the multigravity forces of accelerations in launch and landings and space travel to larger planetary bodies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground support equipment</td>
<td>Nonflight systems, equipment, or devices necessary to support such operations as transportation, receiving, handling, assembly, inspection, test, checkout, servicing, launch, and recovery of space systems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habitability</td>
<td>The relationship between an individual and their environment—the resultant of the interplay of all the factors relating to the person, their machine, their environment, and the mission to be accomplished. Privacy concerns become an issue when people are confined to smaller and cramped quarters. Privacy supports focus, concentration, rest, and recuperation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habitat</td>
<td>An environment, not normally mobile, that has the conditions necessary to sustain the lives of the crewmembers and to allow them to perform their functions in an efficient manner.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hatch</td>
<td>An opening with a sealable cover.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haze</td>
<td>The fogged appearance of a window or lens that occurs when light rays deviate from the incident beam by forward scattering as they pass through the window, measured as a percentage of the transmitted light that is deviated. Haze is caused by fine surface roughness, contamination, scratches, or internal inhomogeneities and inclusions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat load</td>
<td>Heat being imposed on a system by any means (metabolism, electrical resistance, external environment, etc.). This heat must be removed or otherwise managed to maintain temperature.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housekeeping</td>
<td>Actions performed by the crew during a mission to maintain a healthy and habitable environment within the spacecraft. Examples of housekeeping activities are biocidal wiping of spacecraft interior surfaces, cleaning or servicing of food preparation or hygiene facilities, and trash management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human engineering</td>
<td>Human engineering (also referred to as human factors engineering, human factors, or ergonomics) is the scientific discipline concerned with the understanding of interactions between humans and other elements of a system, and is the profession that applies theory, principles, data, and other methods to design in order to optimize human well-being and overall system performance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human factors</td>
<td>Human factors applies knowledge of human abilities and limitations to design of systems, organizations, jobs, machines, tools, and consumer products for safe, efficient, and comfortable human use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illuminance</td>
<td>Illuminance is the photometric measure of the density of luminous flux intercepting a surface. Illuminance is expressed in units of lux.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact acceleration</td>
<td>A change of velocity over a duration variously defined as less than one-half second to less than two-tenths of a second (&lt; 0.5 to 0.2 sec).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclusions</td>
<td>A term used to denote the presence of all localized defects of essentially circular cross section within bulk materials, including bubbles, seeds, striae knots, small stones, sand, and crystals. Inclusions scatter light in proportion to their area. Near an image plane, inclusions can be objectionable because of their visibility in images.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index of refraction</td>
<td>The index of refraction or refractive index is a material property. It refers to the ratio of the speed of light in a vacuum to the phase velocity in the material. It is wavelength- and temperature-dependent. The index of refraction of vacuum is 1.0. The index of refraction of air is 1.0003. The index of refraction of fused silica varies from 1.469 to 1.455 across the visible spectrum at 20 °C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information management functions</td>
<td>Information management functions include the collection, organization, use, control, dissemination, and disposal of information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpretable</td>
<td>Capable of being explained or told the meaning of, translated into intelligible or familiar language or terms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intravehicular activity (IVA)</td>
<td>Operations performed by crewmembers within the pressurized environment of a spacecraft during a mission.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ionizing radiation</td>
<td>Radiation that wholly or partly converts items with which it collides into ions (electrically charged particles). The particulate radiation component includes all subatomic particles such as protons, neutrons, electrons, atomic nuclei stripped of orbital electrons, and mesons.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legibility</td>
<td>The extent to which alphanumeric characters and symbols are sufficiently distinct to be easily perceived, deciphered, or recognized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear acceleration</td>
<td>The rate of change of velocity of a mass, the direction of which is kept constant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of crew</td>
<td>Death of or permanently debilitating injury to one or more crewmembers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of mission</td>
<td>Loss of or inability to complete significant or primary mission objectives.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumen</td>
<td>The lumen is the photometric unit of luminous flux. For light of 555 nm wavelength, luminous flux of 683 lumens in photometric terms is equivalent to 1 watt of light power in radiometric terms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luminance</td>
<td>Luminance is the photometric measure most closely associated with the sensation of brightness, and it relates the distribution of luminous intensity over the surface area of a light source or reflector. Luminance is expressed in units of candela per square meter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luminous flux</td>
<td>Luminous flux is photometric light power, the flow of light energy over time. Luminous flux is expressed in photometric units of lumens.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luminous intensity</td>
<td>Luminous intensity is the photometric measure of luminous flux emitted per unit of solid angle. Luminous intensity is expressed in units of candela.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lux</td>
<td>The lux is the photometric unit of measure for illuminance. One lux equals a flux distribution of 1 lumen per square meter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masked threshold</td>
<td>The level of auditory danger signal that is just audible over the ambient noise, taking into account the acoustic parameters of both the ambient noise in the signal reception area and the listening deficiencies (hearing protection, hearing loss, and other masking effects). The masked threshold is calculated per Annex B of ISO 7731:2003(E).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical imaging</td>
<td>The level of medical care that provides the capability to acquire diagnostic-quality external and internal images of the human body with or without remote guidance from terrestrial experts. Examples of “imaging” hardware are digital cameras, ultrasound, and x-ray equipment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission</td>
<td>Expedition into space and/or extraterrestrial stay, intended to accomplish specific scientific and technical objectives.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission-critical</td>
<td>An event, system, subsystem, or process that must function properly to prevent loss of mission, launch scrub, or major facility damage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring</td>
<td>Includes checking for quality or fidelity, testing to determine whether a signal comes within limits, watching and observing for a specific signal or purpose, keeping track of, regulating, or controlling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise</td>
<td>Undesired sound in the auditory range (15 Hz to 20,000 Hz).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-ionizing radiation</td>
<td>Includes three categories of electromagnetic radiation: radio frequency (RF) radiation, lasers, and incoherent electromagnetic radiation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open inclusions</td>
<td>Inclusions in bulk material that have become exposed at the surface as a result of polishing or other processing steps. The bottom of an open inclusion is usually more pristine than a dig, which typically is fractured. Therefore, the depth of damage is usually less for an open inclusion than for a dig.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation</td>
<td>An activity, mission, or maneuver, including its planning and execution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operator</td>
<td>A crewmember responsible for executing or monitoring an activity or process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optical path length (OPL)</td>
<td>Refers to the path that light actually travels within a medium and is described as follows:  [ OPL = nt ] where ( n ) is the index of refraction of the material or medium and ( t ) is the physical length of the path. OPL is wavelength-dependent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent disability</td>
<td>A nonfatal occupational injury or illness resulting in permanent impairment through loss of, or compromised use of, a critical part of the body, including major limbs (e.g., arm, leg), critical sensory organs (e.g., eye), critical life-supporting organs (e.g., heart, lungs, brain), and/or body parts controlling major motor functions (e.g., spine, neck). Therefore, permanent disability includes a nonfatal injury or occupational illness that, in the opinion of competent medical authority, permanently incapacitates a person to the extent that he or she cannot be rehabilitated to achieve gainful employment in their trained occupation and results in a medical discharge from duties or the civilian equivalent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal protective equipment</td>
<td>Hardware or clothing designed to cover or shield the crewmember from hazards, such as extreme temperatures, chemicals, vacuum, radiation, or noise.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photon</td>
<td>A unit of intensity of light at the retina equal to the illumination received per square millimeter of pupillary area from a surface having a brightness of 1 candle per square meter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photometric</td>
<td>The general term photometric refers to lighting concepts and units that incorporate weighting factors associated with human visual response variation over the visible bandwidth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potable water</td>
<td>Suitable, safe, or prepared for drinking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Privacy</td>
<td>Having an acceptable level of control over the extent of sharing oneself (physically, behaviorally, or intellectually) with others. The level of privacy that is acceptable to a person depends on the individual’s background and training.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program</td>
<td>The infrastructure assigned to design, develop, and deploy a spacecraft system such as Constellation or the International Space Station.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protective cover</td>
<td>An internal non-pressure-containing, transparent sheet or pane, usually of a material different from the window panes, such as acrylic or other material, intended to protect the underlying window pressure pane and/or protective pane(s) from incidental crew contact. A protective cover is normally not an integral part of the window assembly and has the characteristics specified in Chapter 8.6.6.1.2. Non-integral protective panes can be considered temporary; that is, replaceable after some period of time after which their optical quality has degraded below the category level for which they were designed. External window protection devices are referred to as shutters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protective pane</td>
<td>An external or internal non-pressure-containing, transparent pane that is intended to protect the underlying window pressure pane(s) from natural and induced environmental degradation such as contamination, erosion, debris impacts, and incidental crew contact. A protective pane is normally considered an integral part of the window assembly and is at least of the same optical quality as the window pane(s) it protects. Protective panes can be considered temporary; that is, replaceable after some period of time after which their optical quality has degraded below the category level for which they were designed. External protective panes can be considered sacrificial.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychomotor</td>
<td>Of or relating to muscular action believed to ensue from conscious mental activity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartz crystal microbalance (QCM)</td>
<td>A device that uses a piezoelectric quartz crystal to detect the presence of contamination. A QCM compares the resonance frequency of a shielded quartz crystal, which remains contamination free, with one that is exposed to the environment and thus to deposition of contamination. Calibrating the QCM makes it possible to determine the amount of mass deposition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiometric</td>
<td>The term radiometric refers to lighting concepts and units that are based in physical quantities without reference to human visual response.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

991
An ideal window would induce no errors into a transmitting wavefront. Wavefront error (optical path difference) in a window degrades the quality of images taken through the window by an optical system. The Rayleigh limit addresses how much wavefront error can be introduced by a window and not affect the image quality of a near-diffraction-limited optical system viewing through it. The Rayleigh limit allows not more than 1/4 wave peak-to-valley optical path difference (OPD), with the reference wavelength typically being 632.8 nm. If the total optical aberrations are limited to less than 1 Rayleigh limit, then systems with smaller apertures (standard cameras, binoculars, etc.) will perform well. When an optical system images a point source (like a star), a diffraction-limited (perfect) system will produce an image of the point source, but because of diffraction, the image will have a central bright disc and a series of concentric rings surrounding the central disk (called an Airy disc). In a perfect system, 84% of the energy will be located in the central disk, and 16% of the energy in the surrounding rings. As the wavefront error is increased, a shift of energy from the central disc to the rings becomes noticeable. This energy shift makes the image start looking blurry. For small amounts of wavefront error, the energy distribution is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavefront Error ($\lambda = 632.8$ nm)</th>
<th>Energy in Central Disk</th>
<th>Energy in Rings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perfect Lens (OPD = 0)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPD = $\lambda/16$</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPD = $\lambda/8$</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPD = $\lambda/4$ [1 Rayleigh limit]</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is apparent that the amount of aberration corresponding to 1 Rayleigh limit does cause a small but appreciable change in the characteristics of the image. However, for most small-aperture systems, particularly cameras, the performance is more than acceptable. For larger aperture systems, such as telescopes and other high-performance systems, the Rayleigh limit is not sufficient to ensure that noticeable degradation is not introduced by the window. Therefore, Category A windows require an OPD of no more than 1/10 wave.

**Reflectance**

The fraction or percentage of incident light or other type of wave at a specified wavelength that is reflected from a surface (also see specular and diffuse reflectance).

**Rotational acceleration**

The rate of change of direction of mass during angular motion.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Safe</strong></th>
<th>Conditions absent of hazards. Having a low risk of loss of life, serious injury, or permanent disability to personnel, or a low risk of loss or damage to spacecraft or mission.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scratches</strong></td>
<td>Any markings or tearing of the native surface material, substrate, surface coating, or surface laminate along the line of the surface, appearing as though caused by the movement of a rough or a hard, sharp object that leaves a roughened depression.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Seeds</strong></td>
<td>A term used to denote a gaseous inclusion having an extremely small diameter in glass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sensory</strong></td>
<td>Pertaining to the information-gathering abilities of humans to see, hear, touch, smell, and taste. Includes temperature, pain, kinesthesia, and equilibrium.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spacecraft</strong></td>
<td>A mobile or static environment with a pressurized atmosphere appropriate for sustained unsuited survival and crew operations. It can be a habitat (defined above) or a container, which is generally composed of multiple elements, used to transport persons or things to and/or from a location outside of the Earth’s atmosphere. A spacecraft includes all hardware and equipment within or attached to the pressurized environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Specular reflection</strong></td>
<td>The perfect, mirror-like reflection of a wave such as light from a surface, in which the light from a single incoming direction is reflected into a single outgoing direction as described by Snell’s Law ($\Theta_i = \Theta_r$). Diffuse reflection, on the other hand, refers to light that is reflected in a broad range of directions (see diffuse reflectance). The most familiar example of the distinction between specular and diffuse reflection in the case of light waves would be glossy and matte paints or photo prints. Although both finishes exhibit a combination of specular and diffuse reflectance, glossy paints and photo prints have a greater proportion of specular reflectance and matte paints and photo prints have a greater proportion of diffuse reflectance. Antireflective coatings reduce the amount of light that is reflected from a given surface. Reflectance for an uncoated glass surface is $\sim$4%, which yields $\sim$8% for the two surfaces of a single pane. Antireflective coatings can reduce the total reflectance to $\sim$2% or less.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stakeholder</strong></td>
<td>An individual or organization having an interest (or stake) in the outcome or deliverable of a program or project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Striae</strong></td>
<td>Spatially short range variations (0.1 mm to 2 mm) in the index of refraction of a transparent material, usually of glass, especially when it is formed into panes. Striae are different from spatially global index of refraction inhomogeneities that affect the complete material piece. Striae induce wavefront errors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Suited</strong></td>
<td>Wearing clothing that is designed to protect the crewmember from differences in aspects of the environment such as pressure, atmosphere, gravity, or temperature. Suited can refer to a pressurized or unpressurized suit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Surgical care</strong></td>
<td>The level of medical care that provides the capability to treat internal medical conditions resulting from illness or injury that require intervention beyond pharmaceuticals. Local, regional, or systemic anesthesia may be required for successful administration of care. Examples of “surgical care” hardware are surgical instruments, endoscopic equipment, and high-intensity focused ultrasound.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sustained acceleration</strong></td>
<td>An acceleration event, linear or rotational, with duration greater than 0.5 second. For sustained acceleration events during which acceleration peaks more than once and dwells at a lower acceleration between, the following rule is used to determine if the event is considered to be one combined event or two separate events. For each acceleration level, if the duration between two sustained events is longer than the duration of the first event, then the event is considered two separate events. If the duration between the two events is less than or equal to the duration of the first acceleration event, they are considered one event. This rule is to be used for each axis in the event separately.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>System</strong></td>
<td>The combination of elements that function together to produce the capability to meet a need. The elements include all hardware, software, equipment, facilities, personnel, processes, and procedures needed for this purpose.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Task</strong></td>
<td>A specific piece or amount of work. A subset of an activity or job that is called out in a procedure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Task analysis</strong></td>
<td>Task analysis is an activity that breaks a task down into its component levels. It involves 1) the identification of the tasks and subtasks involved in a process or system and 2) analysis of those tasks (e.g., who performs them, what equipment is used, under what conditions, the priority of the task, dependence on other tasks). The focus is on the humans and how they perform the task, rather than on the system. Results can help determine the displays or controls that should be developed or used for a particular task, the ideal allocation of tasks to humans vs. automation, and the criticality of tasks, which will help drive design decisions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Telemedicine</strong></td>
<td>The level of medical care that provides the capability for real-time or store-and-forward consultation with a flight surgeon and/or medical consultants for the purpose of enhancing the quality of medical diagnosis and treating an ill or injured crewmember.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transient acceleration</strong></td>
<td>An acceleration event, linear or rotational, with a duration of less than or equal to 0.5 second.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transmittance</strong></td>
<td>The fraction or percentage of incident light at a specified wavelength that passes through a medium.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trauma care</strong></td>
<td>The level of medical care that provides the capability to stabilize a crewmember injured by blunt or penetrating trauma. Examples of “trauma care” hardware are suturing capability, parenteral antibiotics, splints, chest tube and closed drainage, and intravenous fluids.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unimpeded access</strong></td>
<td>Access that allows something to be immediately visible and accessible without being blocked or constrained by other equipment. Unimpeded access is important for emergency systems and other critical items.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unsuited</strong></td>
<td>Wearing the type of clothing that is ordinarily worn inside a spacecraft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Visual annunciation</strong></td>
<td>A visual, computer-generated text- or graphics-based signal. Examples are warning messages and flashing icons.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wavefront</strong></td>
<td>Light travels as an electromagnetic wave. A wavefront is defined as a surface joining all adjacent points on a wave that have the same phase.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wavefront error</strong></td>
<td>The total optical path difference induced into a wavefront with respect to the wavelength of light, usually referenced to a HeNe laser wavelength of 632.8 nm. For planar waves, wavefront error occurs when the wavefront is distorted so that an individual wavefront is no longer in phase. This occurs when different parts of the wavefront travel different optical path lengths. In an ideal window, a planar wave will pass through it so that the optical path length at each point on the window is the same, and the wavefront retains the same phase. Wavefront error is aperture dependent. In an imperfect window, the wavefront is distorted; that is, the phase is not maintained. Wavefront error can be distorted by surface imperfections (the window is not “flat”) or by material inhomogeneities (the index of refraction varies across the window).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wedge</strong></td>
<td>The angle formed by and between the two surfaces of an individual window pane.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Window</strong></td>
<td>The same as and used interchangeably with window port.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Window cover</strong></td>
<td>See “Protective cover.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Window filter</strong></td>
<td>An internal, non-pressure-containing, transparent sheet or pane, usually of a material different from the window panes, such as polycarbonate or other material, intended to filter non-ionizing radiation hazards to safe levels. A window filter is not considered an integral part of the window assembly. Window filters are easily removed and reinstalled by one crewmember without the use of tools. A window filter may also serve as a protective cover.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Window port</strong></td>
<td>The finished assembly including the frame structure (includes all gaskets, bolts, spacers, and other such parts) and all window panes that would normally be used at a specific location with any protective panes, permanent coatings, polycarbonate films, or laminates applied or in place.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Window shade</strong></td>
<td>Usually an internal, non-pressure-containing, opaque sheet intended to block external light from entering the interior of a crew cabin. A window shade may or may not be an integral part of the window assembly. Non-integral window shades are easily removed and reinstalled by one crewmember without the use of tools. Window shades that are an integral part of the window assembly can also act as window shutters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Window shutter</strong></td>
<td>An internally and remotely operable external cover intended to prevent natural and induced environmental degradation (e.g., contamination, erosion, and impacts) of the outboardmost window pane with open and close indicators that are readable from the remote operating location. Window shutters can be operated through their full range of motion in less than 10 seconds and can serve as window shades.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workload</strong></td>
<td>The amount of work expected in a unit of time. Physical workload refers to the number of individual physical activities that are conducted simultaneously or in close succession. Similarly, mental or cognitive workload refers to the number of mental operations or activities that are conducted simultaneously or in close succession.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workstation</strong></td>
<td>A place designed for a specific task or activity, from which work is conducted or operations are directed. Workstations include cockpits, robotics control stations, and other work areas that have work surfaces, tools, equipment, or computers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Zero gravity (0g)</strong></td>
<td>For the purposes of this document, 0g is used as a synonym for microgravity.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**APPENDIX B – Example Data for Anthropometry, Biomechanics and Strength**

**Table 1a  Anthropometric Dimensional Data for American Female and Male**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Min (cm, (in))</th>
<th>Max (cm, (in))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>805</td>
<td>Stature</td>
<td>148.6 (58.5)</td>
<td>194.6 (76.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>973</td>
<td>Wrist height</td>
<td>70.3 (27.7)</td>
<td>96.3 (37.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>Ankle height</td>
<td>4.8 (1.9)</td>
<td>8.1 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>309</td>
<td>Elbow height (rest height standing)</td>
<td>89.9 (35.4)</td>
<td>120.7 (47.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>236</td>
<td>Bust depth (chest depth)</td>
<td>19.1 (7.5)</td>
<td>30.2 (11.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>916</td>
<td>Vertical trunk circumference</td>
<td>134.9 (53.1)</td>
<td>181.9 (71.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>612</td>
<td>Mid-shoulder height, sitting</td>
<td>52.6 (20.7)</td>
<td>71.1 (28.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>459*</td>
<td>Hip breadth, sitting</td>
<td>31.5 (12.4)</td>
<td>46.5 (18.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>921</td>
<td>Waist back</td>
<td>39.1 (15.4)</td>
<td>55.9 (22.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>506</td>
<td>Interscye</td>
<td>29.3 (11.5)</td>
<td>48.0 (18.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>639</td>
<td>Neck circumference</td>
<td>27.8 (10.9)</td>
<td>43.4 (17.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>754</td>
<td>Shoulder length (side neck-to-acromion horizontal distance)</td>
<td>12.0 (4.7)</td>
<td>18.0 (7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>378</td>
<td>Forearm-forearm breadth</td>
<td>38.9 (15.3)</td>
<td>66.0 (26.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*For seated measurements, the largest female hip breadth is larger than the largest male hip breadth and the smallest male hip breadth is smaller than the smallest female hip.*
breadth; therefore, male data is used for the Min dimension and female data is used for the Max dimension.

Table 1b  Anthropometric Dimensional Data for American Female and Male

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Min (cm, (in))</th>
<th>Max (cm, (in))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>758</td>
<td>Sitting height</td>
<td>77.7 (30.6)</td>
<td>101.3 (39.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330</td>
<td>Eye height, sitting</td>
<td>66.5 (26.2)</td>
<td>88.9 (35.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>529</td>
<td>Knee height, sitting</td>
<td>45.5 (17.9)</td>
<td>63.5 (25.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>678</td>
<td>Popliteal height</td>
<td>33.0 (13.0)</td>
<td>50.0 (19.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>751</td>
<td>Shoulder-elbow length</td>
<td>29.6 (11.6)</td>
<td>41.9 (16.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194</td>
<td>Buttock-knee length</td>
<td>52.1 (20.5)</td>
<td>69.9 (27.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>420</td>
<td>Hand length</td>
<td>15.8 (6.2)</td>
<td>22.1 (8.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>411</td>
<td>Hand breadth</td>
<td>7.1 (2.8)</td>
<td>10.2 (4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>416</td>
<td>Hand circumference</td>
<td>16.8 (6.6)</td>
<td>24.1 (9.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 1c  Anthropometric Dimensional Data for American Female and Male**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Min (cm, in)</th>
<th>Max (cm, in)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>949</td>
<td>Waist height</td>
<td>86.6 (34.1)</td>
<td>119.6 (47.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>249</td>
<td>Crotch height</td>
<td>66.5 (26.2)</td>
<td>95.8 (37.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>215</td>
<td>Calf height</td>
<td>25.9 (10.2)</td>
<td>41.4 (16.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>Biacromial breadth</td>
<td>32.3 (12.7)</td>
<td>44.5 (17.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>946</td>
<td>Waist front</td>
<td>34.1 (13.4)</td>
<td>48.8 (19.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>735</td>
<td>Scye circumference</td>
<td>31.9 (12.6)</td>
<td>52.1 (20.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>178</td>
<td>Buttock circumference</td>
<td>84.1 (33.1)</td>
<td>114.8 (45.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312</td>
<td>Elbow rest height</td>
<td>16.2 (6.4)</td>
<td>30.0 (11.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>856</td>
<td>Thigh clearance</td>
<td>13.0 (5.1)</td>
<td>20.1 (7.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>381</td>
<td>Forearm hand length</td>
<td>38.7 (15.2)</td>
<td>54.6 (21.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>Buttock-popliteal length</td>
<td>42.2 (16.6)</td>
<td>57.2 (22.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table 1d  Anthropometric Dimensional Data for American Female and Male

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Min (cm, (in))</th>
<th>Max (cm, (in))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Acromial (shoulder) height</td>
<td>120.4 (47.4)</td>
<td>161.8 (63.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>894</td>
<td>Trochanteric height</td>
<td>75.2 (29.6)</td>
<td>105.4 (41.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>873</td>
<td>Knee Height, Midpatella</td>
<td>39.6 (15.6)</td>
<td>57.9 (22.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>Bideltoit (shoulder) breadth</td>
<td>37.8 (14.9)</td>
<td>56.1 (22.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>223</td>
<td>Chest breadth</td>
<td>23.5 (9.3)</td>
<td>39.4 (15.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>457</td>
<td>Hip breadth</td>
<td>29.8 (11.7)</td>
<td>40.6 (16.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165</td>
<td>Bizomatic (face) breadth</td>
<td>12.0 (4.7)</td>
<td>15.5 (6.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>427</td>
<td>Head breadth</td>
<td>13.3 (5.2)</td>
<td>16.5 (6.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>Interpupillary Breadth</td>
<td>5.3 (2.1)</td>
<td>7.4 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*For standing measurements, the largest female hip breadth is larger than the largest male hip breadth; therefore, female data is used for both the Min dimension and the Max dimension.
Table 1c  Anthropometric Dimensional Data for American Female and Male

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Min (cm, (in))</th>
<th>Max (cm, (in))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>747</td>
<td>Shoulder circumference</td>
<td>90.4 (35.6)</td>
<td>133.9 (52.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230</td>
<td>Chest circumference</td>
<td>75.7 (29.8)</td>
<td>118.6 (46.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>931</td>
<td>Waist circumference</td>
<td>61.2 (24.1)</td>
<td>110.5 (43.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>852</td>
<td>Thigh circumference</td>
<td>47.8 (18.8)</td>
<td>71.9 (28.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>515</td>
<td>Knee circumference</td>
<td>30.7 (12.1)</td>
<td>44.5 (17.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>207</td>
<td>Calf circumference</td>
<td>29.5 (11.6)</td>
<td>44.5 (17.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>967</td>
<td>Wrist circumference</td>
<td>13.5 (5.3)</td>
<td>19.8 (7.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>Biceps circumference, flexed</td>
<td>22.9 (9.0)</td>
<td>40.4 (15.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>369</td>
<td>Forearm circumference, flexed</td>
<td>21.6 (8.5)</td>
<td>35.3 (13.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1f  Anthropometric Dimensional Data for American Female and Male

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Min (cm, (in))</th>
<th>Max (cm, (in))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>Thumb-tip reach</td>
<td>65.0 (25.6)</td>
<td>90.9 (35.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>772</td>
<td>Sleeve length</td>
<td>72.4 (28.5)</td>
<td>99.1 (39.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>441</td>
<td>Head length</td>
<td>17.3 (6.8)</td>
<td>21.6 (8.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>430</td>
<td>Head circumference</td>
<td>51.3 (20.2)</td>
<td>61.0 (24.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>586</td>
<td>Menton-sellion (face) length</td>
<td>9.9 (3.9)</td>
<td>14.0 (5.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>362</td>
<td>Foot length</td>
<td>21.6 (8.5)</td>
<td>30.5 (12.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>356</td>
<td>Foot breadth</td>
<td>7.9 (3.1)</td>
<td>11.4 (4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>Ball of foot circumference</td>
<td>19.6 (7.7)</td>
<td>28.2 (11.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure</td>
<td>Joint movement (note b)</td>
<td>Range of motion (degrees) (note a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Neck, rotation right (A)</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neck, rotation left (B)</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Neck, extension (A)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neck, flexion (B)</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Neck, lateral bend right (A)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neck, lateral bend left (B)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Horizontal Adduction (A)  
Horizontal Abduction (B) |
| Shoulder, abduction (B)559  
Shoulder, adduction (A)559 |
| 135°  
45° |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Diagram" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Shoulder Rotation  
Lateral (A)  
Medial (B) |
| Shoulder, rotation lateral (A)  
Shoulder, rotation medial (B) |
| 46  
91 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Diagram" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Shoulder Flexion (A)  
Extension (B) |
| Shoulder, flexion (A)  
Shoulder, extension (B) |
| 152  
33 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="Diagram" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elbow Flexion (A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elbow, Flexion (A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legend:**
- A: Active Motion
- B: Passive Motion

**Note:** The instructions indicate the range of motion for each movement in the table.
<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Hip, adduction (A)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hip, abduction (B)</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Knee, flexion</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Ankle, plantar extension (A)</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ankle, dorsi flexion (B)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Indicates data was missing or unclear and substituted with range of motion calculations from Thompson, 2001.

Notes:
a. Data was taken during 1979 and 1980 at NASA-JSC by Dr. William Thornton and John Jackson. The study was performed using 192 male (mean age 33) and 22 female (mean age 30) astronaut candidates.
b. Limb range is average of right and left limb movement.
Notes:
a. Gravity conditions - the boundaries apply to 1-G conditions only. Microgravity will cause the spine to lengthen, and adjustments should be made based on a new shoulder pivot location.
b. Subjects - the subjects used in this study are representative of the 1967 Air Force population estimated defined in NASA RP 1024, Chapter III.

Figure 3a  Grasp Reach Limits with Right Hand for American Male and Female Populations (1 of 19)
Figure 3a  Grasp Reach Limits with Right Hand for American Male and Female Populations (2 of 19)
Figure 3a  Grasp Reach Limits with Right Hand for American Male and Female Populations (3 of 19)
Figure 3a  Grasp Reach Limits with Right Hand for American Male and Female Populations (4 of 19)
Figure 3a  Grasp Reach Limits with Right Hand for American Male and Female Populations (5 of 19)
Figure 3a  
Grasp Reach Limits with Right Hand for American Male and Female Populations (6 of 19)
Figure 3a Grasp Reach Limits with Right Hand for American Male and Female Populations (7 of 19)
Notes:
a. Gravity conditions - the boundaries apply to 1-G conditions only. Microgravity will cause the spine to
lengthen, and adjustments should be made based on a new shoulder pivot location.
b. Subjects - the subjects used in this study are representative of the 1967 Air Force population estimated
defined in NASA RP 1024, Chapter III.

Figure 3b  Grasp Reach Limits with Right Hand for American Male
and Female Populations (8 of 19)
Figure 3b  Grasp Reach Limits with Right Hand for American Male and Female Populations (9 of 19)
Figure 3b   Grasp Reach Limits with Right Hand for American Male and Female Populations (10 of 19)
Figure 3b  Grasp Reach Limits with Right Hand for American Male and Female Populations (11 of 19)
Figure 3b  Grasp Reach Limits with Right Hand for American Male and Female Populations (12 of 19)
Figure 3b  Grasp Reach Limits with Right Hand for American Male and Female Populations (13 of 19)
Figure 3c  Grasp Reach Limits with Right Hand for American Male and Female Populations (14 of 19)
Figure 3c  Grasp Reach Limits with Right Hand for American Male and Female Populations (15 of 19)
Figure 3c Grasp Reach Limits with Right Hand for American Male and Female Populations (16 of 19)
Figure 3c  Grasp Reach Limits with Right Hand for American Male and Female Populations (17 of 19)
Figure 3c Grasp Reach Limits with Right Hand for American Male and Female Populations (18 of 19)
Figure 3c  Grasp Reach Limits with Right Hand for American Male and Female Populations (19 of 19)
Table 4  Body Segments Volume of the Male and Female Crewmember

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>Volume, cm³</th>
<th>Female (5th percentile in Height and light Weight)</th>
<th>Male (95th percentile in Height and heavy Weight)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Head</td>
<td>3761</td>
<td>4517</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Neck</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>1252</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Thorax</td>
<td>14282</td>
<td>30887</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Abdomen</td>
<td>2700</td>
<td>2921</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Pelvis</td>
<td>7083</td>
<td>14808</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Upper arm</td>
<td>1137</td>
<td>2461</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Forearm</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>1673</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Hand</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>588</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Hip flap</td>
<td>2808</td>
<td>4304</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Thigh minus flap</td>
<td>4645</td>
<td>7628</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Calf</td>
<td>2449</td>
<td>4598</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Foot</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>1132</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torso</td>
<td>24065</td>
<td>48620</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thigh (9 + 10)</td>
<td>7454</td>
<td>11930</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forearm plus hand (7+8)</td>
<td>1028</td>
<td>2263</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. These data apply to 1-G conditions.
2. American crewmember population is defined in Paragraph X.X.X.X, Anthropometric Database Design requirements.
3. Density assumed constant at 1g-cm-3

References:
### Table 5  Body Segment Mass Properties for the Male and Female Crewmember

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>Female (5th percentile in Height and light Weight)</th>
<th>Male (95th percentile in Height and heavy Weight)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Head</td>
<td>3761</td>
<td>4517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Neck</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>1252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Thorax</td>
<td>14282</td>
<td>30887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Abdomen</td>
<td>2700</td>
<td>2921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Pelvis</td>
<td>7083</td>
<td>14808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Upper arm</td>
<td>1137</td>
<td>2461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Forearm</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>1673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Hand</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Hip flap</td>
<td>2808</td>
<td>4304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Thigh minus flap</td>
<td>4645</td>
<td>7628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Calf</td>
<td>2449</td>
<td>4598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Foot</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>1132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torso (5 + 4 + 3)</td>
<td>24065</td>
<td>48620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thigh (9 + 10)</td>
<td>7454</td>
<td>11930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forearm plus hand (7+8)</td>
<td>1028</td>
<td>2263</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. These data apply to 1-G conditions.
2. Density assumed constant at 1g-cm-3

References for Table X.X.X.X.X-X:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>Axis</th>
<th>Female (5th percentile in Height and light Weight) (cm)</th>
<th>Male (95th percentile in Height and heavy Weight) (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Head</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-2.43</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>-0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>4.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>7.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>6.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorax</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>7.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>-0.81</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>13.43</td>
<td>21.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdomen</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-1.48</td>
<td>1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>-1.65</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>-4.85</td>
<td>-1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment</td>
<td>Axis</td>
<td>Female (5th percentile in Height and light Weight) (cm)</td>
<td>Male (95th percentile in Height and heavy Weight) (cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelvis</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-12.16</td>
<td>-6.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>-1.32</td>
<td>.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>-.76</td>
<td>5.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torso</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-10.42</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>-1.53</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>16.32</td>
<td>25.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right upper arm</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-0.72</td>
<td>-0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>-2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>-18.59</td>
<td>-14.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left upper arm</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-.64</td>
<td>2.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>-3.69</td>
<td>-1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>-18.73</td>
<td>-14.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right forearm</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>-2.11</td>
<td>4.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>-9.85</td>
<td>-8.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment</td>
<td>Axis</td>
<td>Female (5th percentile in Height and light Weight) (cm)</td>
<td>Male (95th percentile in Height and heavy Weight) (cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left forearm</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>-2.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-9.86</td>
<td>-9.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right hand</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left hand</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-0.71</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-1.34</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right hip flap</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-7.78</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.67</td>
<td>7.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-6.74</td>
<td>-6.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left hip flap</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-8.20</td>
<td>2.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-10.67</td>
<td>-5.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-6.96</td>
<td>-6.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment</td>
<td>Axis</td>
<td>Female (5th percentile in Height and light Weight) (cm)</td>
<td>Male (95th percentile in Height and heavy Weight) (cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right thigh minus flap</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-3.28</td>
<td>2.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>8.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>-24.84</td>
<td>-23.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left thigh minus flap</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-3.10</td>
<td>2.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>-9.59</td>
<td>-5.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>-24.86</td>
<td>-23.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right calf</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-4.23</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>-6.38</td>
<td>-4.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>-16.17</td>
<td>-12.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left calf</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-4.34</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>6.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>-16.00</td>
<td>-12.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right foot</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-8.50</td>
<td>-6.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 6  Body Segment Center of Mass Location of the Crewmember (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>Axis</th>
<th>Female (5th percentile in Height and light Weight) (cm)</th>
<th>Male (95th percentile in Height and heavy Weight) (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left foot</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-8.70</td>
<td>-6.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>-0.86</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right thigh</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-4.88</td>
<td>2.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>8.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>-17.55</td>
<td>-17.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left thigh</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-4.75</td>
<td>2.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>-9.64</td>
<td>-5.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>-17.91</td>
<td>-17.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right forearm plus hand</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>-2.28</td>
<td>4.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>-15.55</td>
<td>-14.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left forearm plus hand</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>-2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>-15.37</td>
<td>-15.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes for Table X.X.X.X.X-X:
1. These data apply to 1-G conditions.
2. Density assumed constant at 1g-cm-3

References:
Table 7  
**Body Segment Moment of Inertia of the Crewmember**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>Axis</th>
<th>5th percentile Light Female X 10^-3 (kg-m²)</th>
<th>95th percentile Heavy Male X 10^-3 (kg-m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Head</td>
<td>Xp</td>
<td>14.81</td>
<td>21.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yp</td>
<td>17.85</td>
<td>24.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zp</td>
<td>13.58</td>
<td>15.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck</td>
<td>Xp</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yp</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>2.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zp</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>3.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorax</td>
<td>Xp</td>
<td>183.14</td>
<td>679.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yp</td>
<td>135.10</td>
<td>505.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zp</td>
<td>119.39</td>
<td>431.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdomen</td>
<td>Xp</td>
<td>14.61</td>
<td>22.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yp</td>
<td>10.17</td>
<td>13.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zp</td>
<td>21.08</td>
<td>34.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelvis</td>
<td>Xp</td>
<td>46.01</td>
<td>148.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yp</td>
<td>34.12</td>
<td>137.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zp</td>
<td>60.68</td>
<td>172.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torso</td>
<td>Xp</td>
<td>638.13</td>
<td>2030.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yp</td>
<td>577.40</td>
<td>1839.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zp</td>
<td>205.01</td>
<td>643.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment</td>
<td>Axis</td>
<td>5th percentile Light Female X 10-3 (kg-m²)</td>
<td>95th percentile Heavy Male X 10-3 (kg-m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right upper arm</td>
<td>Xp</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>18.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yp</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>19.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zp</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>3.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left upper arm</td>
<td>Xp</td>
<td>5.31</td>
<td>17.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yp</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>18.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zp</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right forearm</td>
<td>Xp</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>11.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yp</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>11.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zp</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left forearm</td>
<td>Xp</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>10.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yp</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>11.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zp</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right hand</td>
<td>Xp</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yp</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zp</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left hand</td>
<td>Xp</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yp</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zp</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7  Body Segment Moment of Inertia of the Crewmember (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>Axis</th>
<th>5th percentile Light Female X 10^-3 (kg-m²)</th>
<th>95th percentile Heavy Male X 10^-3 (kg-m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right hip flap</td>
<td>Xp</td>
<td>8.03</td>
<td>17.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yp</td>
<td>10.36</td>
<td>22.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zp</td>
<td>13.38</td>
<td>29.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left hip flap</td>
<td>Xp</td>
<td>7.91</td>
<td>16.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yp</td>
<td>10.73</td>
<td>21.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zp</td>
<td>13.67</td>
<td>28.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right thigh minus flap</td>
<td>Xp</td>
<td>33.71</td>
<td>79.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yp</td>
<td>33.10</td>
<td>81.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zp</td>
<td>13.76</td>
<td>31.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left thigh minus flap</td>
<td>Xp</td>
<td>33.63</td>
<td>75.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yp</td>
<td>33.25</td>
<td>79.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zp</td>
<td>13.34</td>
<td>30.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right calf</td>
<td>Xp</td>
<td>25.90</td>
<td>75.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yp</td>
<td>25.85</td>
<td>76.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zp</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>8.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7  Body Segment Moment of Inertia of the Crewmember (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>Axis</th>
<th>5th percentile Light Female X 10^-3 (kg-m2)</th>
<th>95th percentile Heavy Male X 10^-3 (kg-m2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left calf</td>
<td>Xp</td>
<td>25.87</td>
<td>76.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yp</td>
<td>25.92</td>
<td>7816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zp</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>9.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right foot</td>
<td>Xp</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yp</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>5.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zp</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>5.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left foot</td>
<td>Xp</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yp</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>5.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zp</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>5.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right thigh</td>
<td>Xp</td>
<td>84.64</td>
<td>208.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yp</td>
<td>86.94</td>
<td>219.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zp</td>
<td>27.43</td>
<td>59.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left thigh</td>
<td>Xp</td>
<td>85.2</td>
<td>200.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yp</td>
<td>88.00</td>
<td>211.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zp</td>
<td>27.36</td>
<td>56.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right forearm plus hand</td>
<td>Xp</td>
<td>11.02</td>
<td>39.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yp</td>
<td>10.81</td>
<td>39.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zp</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>2.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment</td>
<td>Axis</td>
<td>5th percentile</td>
<td>95th percentile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Light Female X 10^-3 (kg-m^2)</td>
<td>Heavy Male X 10^-3 (kg-m^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left forearm plus hand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Xp</td>
<td>10.96</td>
<td>37.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yp</td>
<td>10.78</td>
<td>37.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zp</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>2.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes for Table 3.5.3.2-1:
1. These data apply to 1-G conditions.
2. American crewmember population is defined in Paragraph 3.2.3.1, Anthropometric Database Design requirements.
3. Density assumed constant at 1g-cm^-3
4. The X, Y, and Z in these figures refer to the principal axes.

References:
Table 8 Unsuit Strength Data

- Criticality 1 load limits to be used for crew safety situations and the design of items where a single failure could result in loss of life or vehicle.
- Criticality 2 load limits to be used for the design of items where a single failure could result in a loss of mission.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type Of Strength</th>
<th>Minimum Crew Operation Loads</th>
<th>Maximum Crew Operational Loads</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Criticality 1 Operations</td>
<td>Criticality 2 Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Handed Pulls</td>
<td>(N(Lbf))</td>
<td>(N(Lbf))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seated Horizontal Pull In(^2)</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>111 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Subject in a seated position pulls towards his/her body. Unilateral/Isometric measurement]</td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seated Vertical Pull Down(^2)</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>125 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Subject in a seated position pulls downwards. Unilateral/Isometric measurement]</td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type Of Strength</td>
<td>Minimum Crew Operation Loads (N(Lbf))</td>
<td>Maximum Crew Operational Loads (N(Lbf))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crit 1 Operations</td>
<td>Crit 2 Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seated Vertical Pull Up</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Sitting erect with feet apart, dominant hand grasping D-ring located directly to the front above the floor, pulling upward while keeping shoulder squares &amp; other arm in lap]</td>
<td>49 (11)</td>
<td>67 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing Vertical Pull Up</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Standing erect with feet apart, dominant hand grasping underside of D-ring located directly to the side above standing surface, pulling upward while keeping shoulders square and other arm relaxed at side]</td>
<td>53 (12)</td>
<td>71 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Handed Pulls</td>
<td>Two Handed Pulls</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing Vertical Pull Down</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Standing erect with feet apart, with both hands holding handle located above shoulders, pulling downward]</td>
<td>138 (31)</td>
<td>182 (41)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 8  Unsuited Strength Data - continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type Of Strength</th>
<th>Minimum Crew Operation Loads (N(Lbf))</th>
<th>Maximum Crew Operational Loads (N(Lbf))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crit 1 Operations</td>
<td>Crit 2 Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing Pull in(^2)</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Standing erect with feet apart, with both hands holding handle located in front, pulling inward towards body]</td>
<td>58 (13)</td>
<td>80 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing Vertical Pull Up(^2)</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Standing with feet apart with a slight bend at the knees and waist, grasping a handle with both hands located directly in front and pulling upward]</td>
<td>89 (20)</td>
<td>116 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seated Vertical Pull Up(^2)</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
<td>![Image]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Sitting erect with feet apart, grasping both sides of handle located directly to the front above the floor, pulling upward using arms and shoulders]</td>
<td>93 (21)</td>
<td>125 (28)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 8 Unsuit Strength Data - continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type Of Strength</th>
<th>Minimum Crew Operation Loads (N(Lbf))</th>
<th>Maximum Crew Operational Loads (N(Lbf))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crit 1 Operations</td>
<td>Crit 2 Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Handed Push</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seated Horizontal Push Out²</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Seated Horizontal Push Out Image" /></td>
<td>89 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Subject in a seated position pushing away from his/her body. Unilateral/Isometric measurement]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seated Vertical Push Up²</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Seated Vertical Push Up Image" /></td>
<td>67 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Subject in a seated position pushing upward in a vertical direction. Unilateral/Isometric measurement]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Handed Push</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing Vertical Push Down²</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Standing Vertical Push Down Image" /></td>
<td>102 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Standing with feet apart with a slight bend at the knees and waist, grasping a handle with both hands located directly in front and pushing downwards]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 8  Unsuit Strength Data - continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type Of Strength</th>
<th>Minimum Crew Operation Loads (N(Lbf))</th>
<th>Maximum Crew Operational Loads (N(Lbf))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crit 1 Operations</td>
<td>Crit 2 Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Handed Push</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing Horizontal Push Out¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Standing erect with feet apart, with both hands holding handle located in front, pushing out away from body]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>62 (14)</td>
<td>85 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing Vertical Push Up²</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Standing erect with feet apart, grasping from below, both sides of handle located directly in front above standing surface. Pushing upwards using arms and shoulders]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>76 (17)</td>
<td>98 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm Pull²</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Subject pulls handle forward and backward]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>44 (10)</td>
<td>58 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm Push²</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Subject pushes handle forward and backward]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40 (9)</td>
<td>53 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type Of Strength</td>
<td>Minimum Crew Operation Loads (N(Lbf))</td>
<td>Maximum Crew Operational Loads (N(Lbf))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crit 1 Operations</td>
<td>Crit 2 Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Handed Push</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm Up&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; [Subject pushes and pulls handle in a various directions as shown by the figures]</td>
<td>18 (4)</td>
<td>22 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm Down&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; [Subject pushes and pulls handle in a various directions as shown by the figures]</td>
<td>22 (5)</td>
<td>31 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm In&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; [Subject moves handle medially]</td>
<td>22 (5)</td>
<td>31 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm Out&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; [Subject moves handle laterally]</td>
<td>13 (3)</td>
<td>18 (4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 8  Unsuited Strength Data - continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type Of Strength</th>
<th>Minimum Crew Operation Loads (N(Lbf))</th>
<th>Maximum Crew Operational Loads (N(Lbf))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crit 1 Operations</td>
<td>Crit 2 Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Handed Push</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifting Strength</td>
<td>36 (8)</td>
<td>49 (11)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lifting Strength: [Standing with feet apart with a slight bend at the knees and waist, grasping a handle with both hands located directly in front and pulling upward using primarily arms and shoulders, and legs.]

| Elbow           |                        |                        |                |
|-----------------|                        |                        |                |
| Flexion         | 13 (3)                 | 18 (4)                 | 36 (8)         | 347 (78)    |

Flexion: [Subject moves forearm in a sagittal plane around the elbow joint.]

| Elbow           |                        |                        |                |
|-----------------|                        |                        |                |
| Extension       | 27 (6)                 | 36 (8)                 | 67 (15)        | 249 (56)    |

Extension: [Subject moves forearm in a sagittal plane around the elbow joint.]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type Of Strength</th>
<th>Minimum Crew Operation Loads (N(Lbf))</th>
<th>Maximum Crew Operational Loads (N(Lbf))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crit 1 Operations</td>
<td>Crit 2 Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pronation(^2)</td>
<td>[Subject rotates hands and forearms medially]</td>
<td>165 (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supination(^2)</td>
<td>[Subject rotates hands and forearms laterally]</td>
<td>160 (36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrist &amp; Hand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrist Flexion(^2)</td>
<td>[Subject bends wrist in a palmar direction]</td>
<td>31 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrist Extension(^2)</td>
<td>[Subject bends the wrist in a dorsal direction]</td>
<td>13 (3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 8  Unsuited Strength Data - continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type Of Strength</th>
<th>Minimum Crew Operation Loads (N(Lbf))</th>
<th>Maximum Crew Operational Loads (N(Lbf))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crit 1 Operations</td>
<td>Crit 2 Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinch (^1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Subject squeezes together the thumb and finger]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9 (2)</td>
<td>13 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grasp (^1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Subject maintains an eccentric tight hold of an object]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>347 (78)</td>
<td>463 (104)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grip (^1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Subject maintains a concentric tight hold of an object]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>49 (11)</td>
<td>67 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip Flexion (^2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Subject moves leg in the sagittal plane around the hip joint toward the front of the body]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>116 (26)</td>
<td>156 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip Extension (^2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Subject moves upper and lower leg in a sagittal plane around the hip joint]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>191 (43)</td>
<td>254 (57)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 8  Unsuited Strength Data - concluded

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type Of Strength</th>
<th>Minimum Crew Operation Loads (N(Lbf))</th>
<th>Maximum Crew Operational Loads (N(Lbf))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crit 1 Operations</td>
<td>Crit 2 Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg Press(^1)</td>
<td>[Subject moves leg in a sagittal plane around the hip joint toward the back of the body]</td>
<td>618 (139)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee Flexion(^1)</td>
<td>[Subject moves lower leg in a sagittal plane around the knee joint]</td>
<td>53 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee Extension(^1)</td>
<td>[Subject moves lower leg in a sagittal plane around the knee joint]</td>
<td>142 (32)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Post-space flight maximal measured strength decrement.
2 Post-space flight estimated strength decrement. Range is 0%-26%. Average estimated is 20%. Based on max EDOMP Data. Not all motions were measured on EDOMP.
Table 9  UnPressurized suited Strength Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Strength</th>
<th>Minimum Crew Operational Loads- N (lbf)</th>
<th>Maximum Crew Operational Loads- N (lbf)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crit 1 Operations</td>
<td>Crit 2 Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Handed Pulls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seated Horizontal Pull In²</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Subject in a seated position pulls towards his/her body. Unilateral/Isometric measurement]</td>
<td>78(18)</td>
<td>103(23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seated Vertical Pull Down²</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Subject in a seated position pulls downwards. Unilateral/Isometric measurement]</td>
<td>88(20)</td>
<td>116(26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seated Vertical Pull Up²</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Sitting erect with feet apart, dominant hand grasping D-ring located directly to the front above the floor, pulling upward while keeping shoulder squares &amp; other arm in lap]</td>
<td>34(8)</td>
<td>47(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing Vertical Pull Up²</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Standing erect with feet apart, dominant hand grasping underside of D-ring located directly to the side above standing surface, pulling upward while keeping shoulders square and other arm relaxed at side]</td>
<td>37(8)</td>
<td>50(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Strength</td>
<td>Minimum Crew Operational Loads- N (lbf)</td>
<td>Maximum Crew Operational Loads- N (lbf)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crit 1 Operations</td>
<td>Crit 2 Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Handed Pulls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing Vertical Pull Down ²</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Standing Vertical Pull Down ²" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Standing Vertical Pull Down ²" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Standing erect with feet apart, with both hands holding handle located above shoulders, pulling downward]</td>
<td>97(22)</td>
<td>127(29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing Pull in³</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Standing Pull in³" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Standing Pull in³" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Standing erect with feet apart, with both hands holding handle located in front, pulling inward towards body]</td>
<td>41(9)</td>
<td>56(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing Vertical Pull Up ²</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Standing Vertical Pull Up ²" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Standing Vertical Pull Up ²" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Standing with feet apart with a slight bend at the knees and waist, grasping a handle with both hands located directly in front and pulling upward]</td>
<td>62(14)</td>
<td>81(18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seated Vertical Pull Up ²</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Seated Vertical Pull Up ²" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Seated Vertical Pull Up ²" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Sitting erect with feet apart, grasping both sides of handle located directly to the front above the floor, pulling upward using arms and shoulders]</td>
<td>65(15)</td>
<td>88(20)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 9  UnPressurized suited Strength Data - continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Strength</th>
<th>Minimum Crew Operational Loads- N (lbf)</th>
<th>Maximum Crew Operational Loads- N (lbf)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Two Handed Pulls</strong></td>
<td>Two Handed Pulls</td>
<td>Two Handed Pulls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seated Vertical Push Up²</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing Vertical Push Down²</td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing Horizontal Push Out¹</td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing Vertical Push Up²</td>
<td><img src="image7" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image8" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Strength</td>
<td>Minimum Crew Operational Loads- N (lbf)</td>
<td>Maximum Crew Operational Loads- N (lbf)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm Arm Pull†</td>
<td>31(7) 41(9) 75(17) 174(39)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm Arm Push†</td>
<td>28(6) 37(8) 69(15) 155(35)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm Arm Up†</td>
<td>13(3) 15(4) 28(6) 75(17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm Arm Down†</td>
<td>15(4) 22(5) 41(9) 81(18)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm Arm In†</td>
<td>15(4) 22(5) 41(9) 69(15)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm Arm Out†</td>
<td>9(2) 13(3) 25(6) 53(12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifting Strength†</td>
<td>25(6) 34(8) 65(15) 860(193)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 9 UnPressurized suited Strength Data - continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Strength</th>
<th>Minimum Crew Operational Loads- N (lbf)</th>
<th>Maximum Crew Operational Loads- N (lbf)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Elbow</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Flexion²,³  
[Subject moves forearm in a sagittal plane around the elbow joint] | 9 (2) | 13 (3) | 25 (6) | 243 (55) |
| Extension² ³   
[Subject moves forearm in a sagittal plane around the elbow joint] | 19 (4) | 25 (6) | 47 (11) | 174 (39) |
| **Wrist & Hand** |                                        |                                         |
| Pronation²,³  
[Subject rotates hands and forearms medially] | 116 (26) | 155 (35) | 290 (65) | 613 (138) |
| Wrist Flexion² ³  
[Subject bends wrist in a palmar direction] | 22 (5) | 28 (6) | 53 (12) | 146 (33) |
| Wrist Extension² ³  
[Subject bends the wrist in a dorsal direction] | 9 (2) | 13 (3) | 25 (6) | 60 (13) |
| Pinch ¹  
[Subject squeezes together the thumb and finger] | 14 (3) | 20 (5) | 27 (6) | 300 (68) |
| Grasp²,³  
[Subject maintains an eccentric tight hold of an object] | 243 (55) | 324 (73) | 486 (109) | 853 (192) |
| Grip ¹  
[Subject maintains a concentric tight hold of an object] | 25 (6) | 34 (8) | 51 (12) | 392 (88) |
Table 9 UnPressurized suited Strength Data - concluded

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type Of Strength</th>
<th>Minimum Crew Operation Loads (N(Lbf))</th>
<th>Maximum Crew Operational Loads (N(Lbf))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crit 1 Operations</td>
<td>Crit 2 Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip Flexion&lt;sup&gt;1,2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>[Subject moves leg in the sagittal plane around the hip joint toward the front of the body]</td>
<td>81 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee Flexion&lt;sup&gt;1,3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>[Subject moves lower leg in a sagittal plane around the knee joint, decreasing the angle between the upper and lower leg]</td>
<td>37 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee Extension&lt;sup&gt;1,3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>[Subject moves lower leg in a sagittal plane around the knee joint, increasing the angle between the upper and lower leg]</td>
<td>99 (22)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Post space flight maximal measured strength decrement.
2. Post space flight estimated strength decrement. Range is 0%-47%. Average estimated is 33%. Based on CRV Requirements Document.
3. Suit decrement not measured directly, but estimated based on functional strength testing of other movements.
Table 10  Pressurized Suited Strength Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type Of Strength</th>
<th>Minimum Crew Operation Loads (N(Lbf))</th>
<th>Maximum Crew Operational Loads (N(Lbf))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crit 1 Operations</td>
<td>Crit 2 Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Handed Pulls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seated Horizontal Pull In²</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>56(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Subject in a seated position pulls towards his/her body. Unilateral/Isometric measurement]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seated Vertical Pull Down²</td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>63(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Subject in a seated position pulls downwards. Unilateral/Isometric measurement]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Handed Pulls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seated Vertical Pull Up²</td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>25(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Sitting erect with feet apart, dominant hand grasping D-ring located directly to the front above the floor, pulling upward while keeping shoulder squares &amp; other arm in lap]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type Of Strength</td>
<td>Minimum Crew Operation Loads (N(Lbf))</td>
<td>Maximum Crew Operational Loads (N(Lbf))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crit 1 Operations</td>
<td>Crit 2 Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing Vertical Pull Up¹</td>
<td>27(6)</td>
<td>36(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing Vertical Pull Down²</td>
<td>69(16)</td>
<td>91(21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing Pull In³</td>
<td>29(7)</td>
<td>40(9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ [Standing erect with feet apart, dominant hand grasping underside of D-ring located directly to the side above standing surface, pulling upward while keeping shoulders square and other arm relaxed at side]

² [Standing erect with feet apart, with both hands holding handle located above shoulders, pulling downward]

³ [Standing erect with feet apart, with both hands holding handle located in front, pulling inward towards body]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type Of Strength</th>
<th>Minimum Crew Operation Loads (N(Lbf))</th>
<th>Maximum Crew Operational Loads (N(Lbf))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crit 1 Operations</td>
<td>Crit 2 Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing Vertical Pull Up&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; [Standing with feet apart with a slight bend at the knees and waist, grasping a handle with both hands located directly in front and pulling upward]</td>
<td>45(10)</td>
<td>58(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Handed Pulls</td>
<td>Two Handed Pulls</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seated Vertical Pull Up&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; [Sitting erect with feet apart, grasping both sides of handle located directly to the front above the floor, pulling upward using arms and shoulders]</td>
<td>47(11)</td>
<td>63(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Handed Push</td>
<td>One Handed Push</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seated Horizontal Push Out&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; [Subject in a seated position pushing away from his/her body. Unilateral/Isometric measurement]</td>
<td>45(10)</td>
<td>58(13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 10  Pressurized Suited Strength Data - continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type Of Strength</th>
<th>Minimum Crew Operation Loads (N(Lbf))</th>
<th>Maximum Crew Operational Loads (N(Lbf))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crit 1 Operations</td>
<td>Crit 2 Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seated Vertical Push Up²</td>
<td>34(8)</td>
<td>43(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Subject in a seated position pushing upward in a vertical direction. Unilateral/Isometric measurement]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Two Handed Push</th>
<th></th>
<th>Two Handed Push</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standing Vertical Push Down²</td>
<td>51(12)</td>
<td>67(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Standing with feet apart with a slight bend at the knees and waist, grasping a handle with both hands located directly in front and pushing downwards]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Standing Horizontal Push Out¹                         | 31(7)                                 | 43(10)                                 | 83(19)           | 298(67)          |
| [Standing erect with feet apart, with both hands holding handle located in front, pushing out away from body] |
Table 10  Pressurized Suited Strength Data - continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type Of Strength</th>
<th>Minimum Crew Operation Loads (N(Lbf))</th>
<th>Maximum Crew Operational Loads (N(Lbf))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crit 1 Operations</td>
<td>Crit 2 Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing Vertical Push Up⁴</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Standing erect with feet apart,</td>
<td>38(9)</td>
<td>49(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grappling from below, both sides of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>handle located directly in front above</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>standing surface. Pushing upwards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>using arms and shoulders]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm Pull⁵</td>
<td>22(5)</td>
<td>29(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Subject pulls handle forward and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>backward]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm Push⁵</td>
<td>20(5)</td>
<td>27(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Subject pushes handle forward and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>backward]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm Up⁵</td>
<td>9(2)</td>
<td>11(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Subject moves handle up]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type Of Strength</td>
<td>Minimum Crew Operation Loads (N(Lbf))</td>
<td>Maximum Crew Operational Loads (N(Lbf))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crit 1 Operations</td>
<td>Crit 2 Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm Down^2</td>
<td>11(3)</td>
<td>16(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Subject moves handle down]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm In^2</td>
<td>11(3)</td>
<td>16(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Subject moves handle medially]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm Out^2</td>
<td>7(2)</td>
<td>9(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Subject moves handle laterally]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifting</td>
<td>18(4)</td>
<td>25(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Standing with feet apart with a slight bend at the knees and waist, grasping a handle with both hands located directly in front and pulling upward using primarily arms and shoulders, and legs]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type Of Strength</td>
<td>Minimum Crew Operation Loads (N(Lbf))</td>
<td>Maximum Crew Operational Loads (N(Lbf))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crit 1 Operations</td>
<td>Crit 2 Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elbow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexion(^2,3)</td>
<td>7 (2)</td>
<td>9 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Subject moves forearm in a sagittal plane around the elbow joint]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension(^2,3)</td>
<td>14 (3)</td>
<td>18 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Subject moves forearm in a sagittal plane around the elbow joint]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pronation(^2,3)</td>
<td>83 (19)</td>
<td>111 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Subject rotates hands and forearms medially]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supination(^2,3)</td>
<td>80 (18)</td>
<td>107 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Subject rotates hands and forearms laterally]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type Of Strength</td>
<td>Minimum Crew Operation Loads (N(Lbf))</td>
<td>Maximum Crew Operational Loads (N(Lbf))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crit 1 Operations</td>
<td>Crit 2 Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrist &amp; Hand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrist Flexion(^2,3) [Subject bends wrist in a palmar direction]</td>
<td>19 (4)</td>
<td>25 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrist Extension(^2,3) [Subject bends the wrist in a dorsal direction]</td>
<td>7 (2)</td>
<td>9 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinch (^1) [Subject squeezes together the thumb and finger]</td>
<td>14 (3)</td>
<td>20 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grasp(^1,3) [Subject maintains an eccentric tight hold of an object]</td>
<td>174 (39)</td>
<td>232 (52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grip(^1) [Subject maintains a concentric tight hold of an object]</td>
<td>25 (6)</td>
<td>34 (8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 10  Pressurized suited Strength Data - continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type Of Strength</th>
<th>Minimum Crew Operation Loads (N(Lbf))</th>
<th>Maximum Crew Operational Loads (N(Lbf))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crit 1 Operations</td>
<td>Crit 2 Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg</td>
<td></td>
<td>Leg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip Flexion²,³</td>
<td>[Subject moves leg in the sagittal plane around the hip joint toward the front of the body]</td>
<td>58 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip Extension²,³</td>
<td>[Subject moves leg in a sagittal plane around the hip joint toward the back of the body]</td>
<td>96 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg Press¹,³</td>
<td>[Subject pushes a weight away from them using their legs]</td>
<td>309 (70)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 10  Pressurized suited Strength Data - concluded

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type Of Strength</th>
<th>Minimum Crew Operation Loads (N(Lbf))</th>
<th>Maximum Crew Operational Loads (N(Lbf))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crit 1 Operations</td>
<td>Crit 2 Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee Flexion1,3</td>
<td>27 (6)</td>
<td>36 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Subject moves lower leg in a sagittal plane around the knee joint]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee Extension1</td>
<td>71 (16)</td>
<td>96 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Subject moves lower leg in a sagittal plane around the knee joint]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. 1. Post space flight maximal measured strength decrement.

5. 2. Post space flight estimated strength decrement. Range is 0%-47%. Average estimated is 33%.
Based on CRV Requirements Document.

6. 3. Suit decrement not measured directly, but estimated based on functional strength testing of other movements
Appendix C: Basic Optical Theory Applied to Windows

Light Wave Propagation

Light travels as an electromagnetic wave. Throw a stone into a pond, spherical waves radiate from the point of entry. Like this example, a point source (a star) radiates spherical (electromagnetic) waves in all directions (Figure 1). A wavefront is defined as a surface joining all adjacent points on a wave that have the same phase. The path of a point on the wavefront is called a ray. If we trace the path of a hypothetical point on the surface of a wavefront as it moves through space, the point progresses as a straight line. A light ray is a line that runs perpendicular to the wavefront and in the direction that the wavefront is traveling. They are used extensively in geometrical optics.

Figure 1: Light waves radiating from a point source

K. P. Scott
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As the wavefront of light travels far from the point source, the curvature of the once spherical waves becomes very small such that the waves can be considered planar (Figure 1). When one sees a star, one is collecting only a very small portion of the light that was emanated from that star (since light is being radiated in all directions). A telescope collects more light from a star because it has a larger aperture than when viewed with the naked eye (Figure 2). Every part of the wavefront contains information about the whole object whether you collect it with a large aperture, or a small aperture, optical system. A larger aperture system produces a brighter and more detailed image because more light is collected.

![Planar Wavefront](image)

Figure 2: Observing light with different size apertures

**Snell’s Law**

When a planar wavefront is incident upon a surface separating two mediums, part of the wavefront will be reflected, and part of the wavefront will be transmitted (Figure 3). The transmitted wavefront will be refracted in the amount which is described by Snell’s Law.
Figure 3: Refraction and Reflection of a Planar Wave at a material boundary.

For an air – glass interface, the light refracts towards the normal of the surface. The law of refraction or Snell’s Law states that the amount the planar wave is refracted, is dependent on the index of refraction \(n_1\) and \(n_2\) of each medium, and the angle of incidence of the incident light \(\theta_i\). The angle \(\theta_r\) is the resulting angle to the normal after refraction.

Snell’s Law: 
\[
\frac{n_1}{n_2} \sin \theta_i = \sin \theta_r 
\]

The index of refraction is a fundamental property of a material and is defined as the ratio of the speed of light in vacuum with the speed of light in the material. For air and vacuum, \(n = 1\). For water, \(n = 1.33\). The index of refraction for glass varies with type but is usually between 1.45 and 1.6. The denser the material, the more light will refract (Figure 4).

Figure 4: Refraction for different materials
When light passes through a window at an angle, it refracts when entering the glass (towards the normal), and then refracts again (away from the normal) when it re-emerges into air (Figure 5). The light returns to traveling in the same direction it had before it entered the glass. Maintaining ray direction is very important as will be seen later.

Figure 5: Light traveling through a window emerges traveling in the same direction

Image Formation

The basic operation of an optical system is to collect energy from a wavefront radiating from an object (like a point source) and refocusing it into an image of the object. Every point in object space will be represented in image space, and hence the image looks like the object. To understand how a lens produces an image, a very simple example is given. A camera lens usually contains several lenses, but the same basic theory still applies. When a planar wavefront illuminates a lens such that the ray direction is parallel to the lens axis, the light will be focused at the focal point of the lens (Figure 6).

Figure 6: A positive lens focusing light at its focal point.
The thin lens formula specifies the distance behind the lens (i) where the image will be formed which depends on the distance of the object (o) and the focal length of the lens (f). For an object that is far away (at infinity), the image is found at the focal length of the lens.

\[
\frac{1}{o} + \frac{1}{i} = \frac{1}{f}
\]

For an object that is not located along the optical axis, it will be focused on the focal plane (Figure 7). The focal plane is the imaging plane perpendicular to the optical axis that includes the imaging point (an example is the film plane of a camera).

Figure 7: Imaging an extended object

**Imaging Extended Sources**

An extended source (a tree, car, most everything in this world) can be regarded as an array of millions of point sources. So when an astronaut views the Earth from the Orbiter, many overlapping point sources of different colors and brightness’s are each emitting spherical waves that when they reach the Orbiter, are many planar waves coming from many directions (Figure 8).
Figure 8: A scene of extended sources

The amount of detail recorded by an optical system from an extended scene depends on the ability of the optical system to map each point of each object into the appropriate place in the image plane. As discussed earlier, only a small part of each one of the spherical waves will reach the optical system. Furthermore, energy from each one of the waves (now planar since the object is far away) will traverse every part of the aperture of the receiving optical instrument. The optical instrument then must collect the energy of these millions of overlapping waves and map each one of these waves to the correct place in the image plane. One small part of each wave will enter the center of the aperture, whereas another part of each wave will enter at different places along the edge of the aperture, and everywhere in between (Figure 9).
Figure 9: An extended scene being imaged

Notice that the direction of the light-rays (perpendicular to the wavefront) determines where on the image plane the image will appear.

Wavefront Error

Wavefront error occurs when the planar waves from an object are distorted such that the individual wavefront is no longer all in phase. This occurs when different parts of the wavefront travel different optical path lengths. Optical path length (OPL) refers to the path that the light travels and is described as follows:

$$\text{OPL} = nt$$

where \( n \) is the index of refraction and \( t \) is the physical length of the path.

In an ideal window, a planar wave will pass through the window such that the optical path length at each point on the window is the same, and the wavefront retains the same phase.

In an imperfect window, the wavefront is distorted (the phase is not maintained). The wavefront can be distorted because of surface errors (the window is not ‘flat’) or by material inhomogeneities (the index of refraction varies) (Figure 10).
Figure 10: An imperfect window distorts the wavefront

Notice that the two rays that were once traveling in one direction before transmitting through the window are now traveling in different directions (they remain perpendicular to that part of the wavefront). As discussed in the previous section, the direction of the light ray determines where the light will be imaged on the image plane by an optical system. Hence, for that section of the wave front (that amount of energy), when imaged by an optical system, will be focused into the wrong location in the image plane. Each small area on the wavefront represents a copy of the entire object and is imaged as a whole on the image plane. Imaging a large area of the wavefront results in many overlapping copies of the object focused on to the image plane (Figure 11). If too much energy is focused in the wrong place, the image will look blurry. The degree for which the image is degraded depends on the amount of energy diverted from its intended place. This diversion of energy is collectively known as optical aberrations.
Wavefront error is aperture dependent. The more area of a wavefront that is imaged, the more likely that some of the wavefront will be distorted, resulting in energy diversion in the image. Large aperture systems will provide higher resolution, but only if the wavefront quality is sufficient. If there is too much diversion of energy, all of the small detail will be washed out (Figure 12). One would be better off using a smaller aperture system (but lower resolution) because the wavefront quality will likely be better over the smaller area.
Wavefront error is described as the total optical path difference induced into the wavefront with respect to the wavelength of light (usually described with respect to the HeNe laser wavelength of 632.8 nm) (Figure 13). So a 1/2 wave of wavefront error indicates that there is a 316 nm difference between the path traveled by one part of the wavefront, and another part of the wavefront, for a given aperture (wavefront error is aperture dependent).

Figure 12: Washing out of detail when imaging an Air Force tribar target with a large aperture system due to window induced wavefront error.
The optical path length difference may be due to a surface error that makes the window 316 nm less thick at a particular point, or it could be an index of refraction variation that changes the optical path length by that amount. Optical path length differences, and therefore wavefront error, can be induced by any material, not just glass. Plastics, adhesives, and laminates are problematic because they cannot be polished flat, and the index of refraction of the materials is not well controlled.

**Rayleigh Limit**

Wavefront error degrades image quality. Hence, how much error is too much? There is a rule of thumb for smaller aperture systems (standard cameras, binoculars etc) that says that if the amount of optical aberrations is maintained below the Rayleigh Limit, that most systems will perform well. The Rayleigh Limit refers to an optical path difference of 1/4 wave. The rule of thumb originated from a famous paper by A. Marechal titled "Etude des effets combinés de la diffraction et des aberrations géométriques sur l'image d'un point lumineux", Rev. Opt. 26:257 (1947). The Rayleigh Limit is discussed in many textbooks including "Modern Optical Engineering" by W. Smith. In reference to the Rayleigh Limit, Smith states the following:

"...it is apparent that an amount of aberration corresponding to one Rayleigh Limit does cause a small but appreciable change in the characteristic of the image. For most systems, however one may assume if the aberrations are
reduced to the Rayleigh Limit, the performance will be first class and that it will take a determined investigator a considerable amount of effort to detect the resultant difference in performance. An occasional system does require correction to a fraction of the Rayleigh Limit...”

The systems that require better correction than the Rayleigh Limit are telescopes and microscopes.
Optical Design Guidelines For Good Windows

A spacecraft window port is the first optical element to any optical system that is used in the crew cabin. Depending on the optical system used, the window can have a serious effect on the quality of the imagery collected. The optical quality of the window port is as important as any lens in the camera using the window.

There are two sections to this document. The first section addresses the fundamentals of spacecraft window optical design by answering key questions. The second section provides “rule of thumb” guidelines to window port design.

Theory Questions

A good window is optically uniform over the aperture diameter that it will be used. The optical path length is the same across the aperture size.

Aperture diameter is very important. What is the aperture diameter for typical cameras and the eye?

The aperture diameter of a camera is dependent on the focal length and f/#. Aperture Size = focal length/f#.

Example

Focal length = 400 mm (the lens the inspection folks like)
f/# = 4
Aperture Size = 400 mm / 4 = 100 mm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lens Focal Length</th>
<th>Aperture Size at different f/#s</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Eye (pupil size)</td>
<td>17 mm</td>
<td>2 mm daytime 2 mm dark adapted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannon Power Shot G6 “point and shoot”</td>
<td>7.2 mm</td>
<td>f/2.8 – 2.6 mm f/8 – 0.9 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nikon DCS 760</td>
<td>50 mm</td>
<td>f/2 – 25 mm f/8 – 6.25 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>180 mm</td>
<td>f/2.8 – 64 mm f/8 – 22.5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>400 mm</td>
<td>f/2.8 – 142 mm f/4 – 100 mm f/5.6 – 71 mm f/8 – 50 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The less light there is, the more the aperture size needs to be increased to collect more light, hence, a lower f/# must be used.

**What does wavefront refer to?**

Sound travels as acoustic waves, energy in water travels as water waves, light travels as electromagnetic waves. A wavefront is defined as a surface joining all adjacent points on a wave that have the same phase. So in the water example, a wave crashing on a beach would be one single wavefront, with the next wave being the next wavefront. The distance between those waves is the wavelength.

**What does the index of refraction of a material refer to?**

The index of refraction (n) is a material property. It refers to the ratio of how light travels in vacuum to how it travels in a material. Simplistically (but not quite correct) it is the ratio of the difference of the velocity of light in vacuum to the velocity in the material (light travels slower in a material). The larger the index value, the “denser” the material and the more it will bend light entering it. For air and vacuum, n = 1. For water, n = 1.33. The index of refraction for glass varies with type but is usually between 1.45 and 1.6. For sapphire n = 1.76, and for diamond n = 2.419. Index of refraction does vary with wavelength and temperature.

**The optical path length through a window needs to be uniform across the lens aperture size to achieve good imagery. What is the optical path length?**

The optical path length is the path that the light collected by the lens travels through the window prior to entering the lens. It is a product of the index of refraction of the material, and the physical thickness of the panes.

The optical path length (OPL) is:  \[ OPL = nt \]

n – is the index of refraction of the material

\[ t \] – is the physical length the light travels

If parts of a wavefront travel different optical path lengths, then the entire wavefront is not in phase. In the water wave example, it would be like a wave on the beach crashing at slightly different times as seen in the image below. The middle part of the wave has been delayed (probably because of greater friction on the beach floor). In optics, this type of phase delay in one part of the wavefront can make images look blurry.
What causes a window to be optically nonuniform?

A window is optically nonuniform for only two reasons:
1. The thickness of the pane varies
2. The index of refraction varies across the pane

The index of refraction can vary across the pane for a number of reasons:
- The material itself is inhomogeneous (the index of refraction is different over different parts of the pane)
- There are large temperature gradients (index of refraction in a material is a function of temperature)
- There is significant stress that varies across the pane (index of refraction changes as a function of stress).
A very good index of refraction homogeneity is one part per million. A significant index of refraction variation would be 10 parts per million. Hence, if the index of refraction varies between 1.500000 and 1.500010, that’s bad. That would equate to an optical path difference in a one inch pane of: \[ \text{OPD} = (1.50001 - 1.50000) \times (25.4\text{mm}) = \frac{\lambda}{2.5}. \]

In addition, using tempered materials that cause a non-uniform stress distribution in the pane will lead to a non-uniform index of refraction. For example, the area near the tong marks on the Orbiter overhead windows is worse optically than the areas far from the tong marks probably due to stress.

**Why is aperture diameter important?**

The aperture diameter defines the area of the window that must be uniform. That means that the entire window need not be uniform, but just uniform over sub-sampled areas the size of the aperture that will be used. Uniformity over a small aperture diameter (1 inch or less) is much easier to achieve than over a very large diameter (6 inches). A wavefront requirement always includes the aperture for which it is to be applied. The aperture size is the reason a window can “look” fine, but cause poor image quality in cameras. The eye has an aperture of 2 mm, so the window need only be optically uniform over a 2 mm aperture. A camera may have an aperture of 150 mm, hence a window area 5000 times larger needs to be uniform.

**How much wavefront variation is a problem?**

The amount of variation permissible depends on the instruments (and the apertures of those instruments) that will be used through the window. The wavefront requirement is the single most important requirement to ensure that the required optical uniformity is met. An ideal window would induce no errors into a transmitting wavefront (i.e. the light would travel the same optical path length through all parts of the window). However, since there is no such thing, the next best thing to use is the Rayleigh Limit. The Rayleigh Limit addresses how much wavefront error can be introduced by a window, but not affect the image quality of a very good optical system viewing through it. The Rayleigh Limit allows for not more than 1/4 wave optical path difference (OPD) with the reference wavelength typically being 632.8 nm. If the total optical aberrations are limited to less than one Rayleigh Limit, then smaller aperture systems (standard cameras, binoculars etc) will perform well. The wavefront requirements for Category B, C, and D windows rely on the Rayleigh Limit. For larger aperture systems such as for telescopes and other high performance systems, the Rayleigh Limit is not sufficient to ensure that at noticeable degradation is not introduced by the window. Hence, Category A windows require an OPD of no more than \(\frac{\lambda}{10}\) wave because telescopes are corrected to at least \(\frac{\lambda}{8}\).

**What does 1/4 wave or \(\lambda/4\) mean?**

Wavefront error is given in fractions of a wavelength. The wavelength of light used in most interferometers is 632.8 nanometers (nm) which is the wavelength of a helium-neon laser (also used in many laser pointers, its red in color). So when the reference wavelength...
is $\lambda = 632.8$ nm, a wavefront error of $\lambda/4$ means that $632.8 \text{ nm} / 4 = 158 \text{ nm}$ of error exists in the optical path length. If all of the error come from a surface error, that means that one part of the window is 158 nm (0.000006 inches) thicker or thinner than another part of the window. That is, one part of the wavefront traveled 158 nm more of glass than another part of the wavefront. The figure shows an example of a $\lambda/2$ error. One part of the wavefront traveled an optical path length that was 316 nm different than another part of the wavefront.

Figure: Different parts of the wavefront travel different optical paths because of material and surface imperfections

**What measures wavefront, and how long does it take to measure wavefront?**

Interferometers measure wavefront. Every optics company has multiple interferometers (Corning, Zygo, etc.) as do most companies that have optics departments (including Aerospace). MSFC and Goddard have lots of them. Once the window ports are positioned in the instrument, the measurements can be performed in minutes. Below is the photo of the Destiny windows being tested at Zygo.
After the data is collected, the interferometer software automatically provides wavefront error values and a wavefront map that shows the peaks and valleys over the entire window. Below is the typical output which shows the wavefront values over different parts of the window for a single aperture size (i.e. the window is sampled over different 6 inch diameter areas to see the wavefront error does not exceed the requirements).

Total Wavefront: $0.082 = \frac{\lambda}{12}$
Figure: Wavefront Map

Wavefront “topographic” map
Design Guidelines

What are things that don’t affect wavefront quality (i.e. optical uniformity)?

- Pane parallelism of a multi-pane window port for the most part does not affect image quality. It is not necessary to position panes exactly right with respect to each other.

- Pane wedge, which is the angle the two surfaces make with respect to each other, does not affect image quality unless the angle is severe (something really obvious like 30 degrees). There are other issues with wedge which is why there are requirements, but it typically doesn’t affect image quality.

- The medium between the panes, whether air or the vacuum of space, does not affect image quality unless there is moisture which would cause fogging.

- Optical coatings do not affect wavefront quality unless they are really thick and applied really non-uniformly. Typical anti-reflection coatings usually cause no problems.

- Pressure which causes an internal pane to bend slightly on a window port is typically not a problem unless possibly the window pane is really thin, or there is a great deal of stress. The wavefront error caused by pressure on the Destiny window was $\lambda/100$, not very much.

What are some good optical rules of thumb when designing windows?

- Use optically uniform materials that can be polished so the surfaces are very flat. Glass or other ceramics work best because they can be polished, and the index of refraction is well controlled.

- Avoid laminates, adhesives, and plastics. These materials don’t have well controlled index of refraction values, and the thicknesses are hard to control to 0.000006 inches (necessary to meet a ¼ wave requirement). There may be some optical grade plastics that might be good enough for covers, but without testing, it’s not clear.

- Thinner windows are typically better in that there is less material that needs to be homogeneous. Thinner windows are cheaper.

- Avoid tempered windows because the induced stress in the window port is typically non-uniform, and hence that leads to non-uniformity in the material index of refraction. Plastics can also have significant stress present.

- Wavefront is the most important requirement to ensure good image quality. Do not compromise on wavefront quality even if it means giving up other requirements (which shouldn’t be necessary). The contractor should not be allowed to proceed with any design for which they can’t show that the materials will meet wavefront quality.
• Make sure that the materials near the window panes are not going to outgas on them. Seals, especially silicon seals (even baked out) can be a problem in an enclosed volume. Some paints and all adhesives (even small amounts applied to bolt heads) should not be applied near any window.

• Ensure the materials selected for the window ports will not darken quickly from exposure to radiation and the ultraviolet. Fused silica has excellent resistance, standard plastics do not (they will turn yellow or brown).

• Shutters that can protect windows when not in use should be encouraged. Even a small iris type shutter would protect them from contamination and darkening from ultraviolet exposure.

• Window frame components should be black to reduce scattered light.

• Avoid putting non-durable coatings on surfaces exposed to the crew, unless the panes can be changed often. A removable protective pane that supports some photography is the best way that windows can be protected.

• Providing anti-reflection coatings on every surface that one can be applied is important. Reflection losses depend on the index of refraction value of the pane. The larger the index of refraction of the material, the larger the reflection loss. Reflection losses off glass are typically 4% per surface, so each pane that is not coated does not transmit 8% of the incident light. Sapphire and diamond have much larger losses because of their large index of refraction values.

• In speaking with some plastic optics experts, plastic windows are not going to optically perform as well as glass windows over large apertures. However, if an optical grade plastic is used (available from GE and perhaps some Japanese manufacturers), the optical performance will be better than found in a standard plastic material. The key is to anneal the material to reduce stress. One expert thought that one wave per inch is about the best that can be achieved. This would be okay for a protective cover that can be removed. Testing is the only way to determine for sure what is available. Since stress in plastic can be a major contributor to optical errors, plastic windows need to be mounted without induced stress. Warping and clamping a plastic window will induce optical errors and therefore needs to be avoided.

• If a laminate needs to be used, consider a design that only uses laminate materials at the edges so that optical path homogeneity can be preserved through the middle of the window port. Be sure to use antireflection coatings to minimize reflection losses through the middle of the window port.
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Section 1 lists the specific optical performance requirements levied on spacecraft windows.

Section 2 lists the corresponding verification methodologies for the above optical performance requirements.

Section 3 lists the verification methodologies for the specifications in Chapter 8 of this handbook. The “3.0” paragraph numbering in this appendix corresponds to the “8.0” paragraph numbering in Chapter 8.

The Glossary at the end of this appendix contains a list of Optical Terms, Definitions, and Conventions

Order of Precedence:

In the event of a conflict between the text of this specification and an applicable document cited herein, the text of this specification takes precedence. All specifications, standards, exhibits, drawings or other documents that are invoked as "applicable" in this specification are incorporated as cited.
## Applicable Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document Code</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D1003, Procedure A</td>
<td>2000 June 10</td>
<td><strong>Standard Test Method for Haze and Luminous Transmittance of Transparent Plastics</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D1044</td>
<td>2005 November 01</td>
<td><strong>Standard Test Method for Resistance of Transparent Plastics to Surface Abrasion</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO 10110-7</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td><strong>Optics and optical instruments - Preparation of drawings for optical elements and systems- Part 7: Surface imperfection tolerances</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-C-48497</td>
<td>1980 September 08</td>
<td><strong>Coating, Single or Multilayer, Interference: Durability Requirements for</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-E-12397B</td>
<td>1954 November 18</td>
<td><strong>Eraser, Rubber Pumice (For Testing Coated Optical Elements)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-G-174</td>
<td>1986 December 05</td>
<td><strong>Glass, Optical</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-PRF-13830B</td>
<td>1997 January 9</td>
<td><strong>Optical Components for Fire Control Instruments; General Specification Governing the Manufacture, Assembly, and Inspection of</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASA-STD-6016</td>
<td>2006 September 11</td>
<td><strong>Standard Materials and Processes Requirements for Spacecraft</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document Number / Source</td>
<td>Revision/ Release Date</td>
<td>Document Title</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational Constraints</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koontz, K.L. et al</td>
<td>22.Apr.05</td>
<td>STS-114 DTO-848 Non-Oxide Adhesive Experiment (NOAX) Contamination (Internal &amp; External) Risk Assessment (SORR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISS Subsystem Environments Team</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATR-2003(7828)-1</td>
<td>17.Jan.03</td>
<td>International Space Station Cupola Scratch Pane Window Optical Test Results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Aerospace Corporation)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crew Consensus Report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visintine, J.T.</td>
<td>09.May.01</td>
<td>Shuttle Orbiter Condensable Outgassing Rate Measurements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISS/Boeing External</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contamination Analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and Integration Team</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATR 2000(2112)-1</td>
<td>Mar.00</td>
<td>International Space Station Destiny Module Science Window Optical Properties and Wavefront Verification Test Results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Aerospace Corporation)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crew Consensus Report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATM No. 99(2110)-1</td>
<td>31.Oct.99</td>
<td>ISS Window Observational Research Facility Dynamic Stability Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Aerospace Corporation)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KA/SH(WSTF)</td>
<td>06.May.99</td>
<td>Passive Contamination Monitor - Alpha Magnetic Spectrometer and ESCA Analysis of Witness Plates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISS/Attached Payloads</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External Contamination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Interchange</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Window Testing Report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&amp; 1263, &amp; PT-TE-1410</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLIS 0670 &amp; 0778</td>
<td>01.Feb.99</td>
<td>GSFC Public Lessons Learned Entry 0670 &amp; 0778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document Number</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Title</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATR-97(7434)-49 (Aerospace Corporation)</td>
<td>Sep.97</td>
<td>Test and Analysis of Russian and U.S. Materials Utilized on ISS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-PRF-13830B</td>
<td>09.Jan.97</td>
<td>Optical Components for Fire Control Instruments; General Specification Governing the Manufacture, Assembly, and Inspection of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSPO 96(7434)-59 (Aerospace Corporation)</td>
<td>Sep.96</td>
<td>Analysis of the Laboratory Module Nadir Science Window for Optical Degradation Due to Thermal Gradients and Stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSPO 96(7434)-55</td>
<td>Sep.96</td>
<td>Analysis of External Contamination on the ISS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLIS 0352</td>
<td>08.Dec.94</td>
<td>NASA-GSFC Public Lessons Learned Entry 0352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIAA No. 71-472</td>
<td>Apr.71</td>
<td>Light Scatter From Contaminated Spacecraft Windows</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASA TN D-6721</td>
<td>1971</td>
<td>Apollo Experience Report - Window Contamination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science of Advanced Materials and Process Engineering Series, Vol. 11</td>
<td>1967</td>
<td>Gemini Window Contamination Due to Outgassing of Silicones</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Window Optical Performance Requirements

1.0 Window Optical Characteristics
The optical quality of a window port determines the types of instruments that can be used effectively with it as well as the quality of viewing available to the crew. Unless otherwise specified, the following requirements shall apply to all window categories. Requirements in this section are not required to be verified under flight loads, pressure loads, and temperature gradients unless otherwise specified.

1.1 Striae
Striae shall be per MIL-G-174 Grade A or better for all categories of windows.

_Rationale:_ It is important for window materials to have excellent index of refraction homogeneity. Striae are spatially short range variations in the index of refraction thereby causing localized wavefront errors. Using quality materials easily avoids issues with striae.

1.2 Finished Window Performance
Requirements stated in this section shall be met after all optical coatings have been applied and, if applicable, after all tempering and laminating steps have been completed.

1.2.1 Parallelism
Each surface of a multi-element window shall be within 0.2 degrees minimum and 1.5 degrees maximum of being parallel to all other element surfaces.

1.2.2 Wedge
The wedge of individual window panes shall be as specified in Table 1-1 Wedge Requirements for Window Panes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Wedge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>No more than 2.5 arc-seconds in any direction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>No more than 10 arc-seconds in any direction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>No more than 1 arc-minute in any direction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>No more than 5 arc minutes in any direction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. The wedge orientation shall be marked on each pane in an inconspicuous area such as on the edge of the pane or within 13 mm (~0.5 in) of the perimeter of the clear viewing area.

2. The individual window panes shall be assembled and positioned in the frame for a window assembly such that line of sight deviation is minimized wherever possible.
Rationale: Wedge is the degree that two window pane surfaces are parallel to each other. Wedge causes line of sight deviation when viewing through the window as well as distortion for larger aperture optical systems. Instruments such as range finders, sextants, stadimeters, and pointing lasers are sensitive to the amount of line of sight deviation errors induced by a window port. If such instruments are going to be used, the wedge requirement should be reviewed to ensure that these instruments can be supported. Minimizing line of sight deviation is straightforward for circular window ports in that each pane can be rotated to an optimal position; however, minimizing line of sight deviation may be problematic for non-circular window ports because the shape of the window itself and the window frame structure limit the possible installation orientations (e.g.: a rectangular pane can be installed in only a limited number of orientations).

1.2.3 Birefringence

Birefringence for all categories of window ports shall be less than 12 nm/cm or the wavefront error contribution due to birefringence shall be measured and included when calculating the total wavefront error specified in Wavefront Quality section of this standard.

Rationale: Stress within optical materials generates an anisotropic index of refraction. The effect of stress is most pronounced in transmissive optics, where it can severely affect functional performance. Transmitted wavefront error includes contributions from each window pane surface, the window material, and the birefringence. Birefringence causes a material inhomogeneity that results in an optical path difference that is dependent on the polarization of the incident light. Because of birefringence, the transmitted wavefront of the window will have different profiles for different orientations of polarization. This requirement allows for use of standard annealed window materials that generally have a birefringence of less than 10 nm/cm, or other materials for which the birefringence may be higher, but that the total wavefront error specified in the Wavefront Quality section of this standard can be met.

1.2.4 Reflectance

Specular reflectance of normally incident light between 450 and 800 nanometers from each window surface shall not exceed 2% absolute. Even though specular reflectance from an individual surface can be as high as 2% absolute, transmittance requirements may require a lower specular reflectance on one or more panes. The external-most surfaces of windows on vehicles exposed to terrestrial atmospheric reentry and windows not exposed to external illumination such as hatch windows between modules are exempt from this requirement.

Rationale: Whenever light illuminates an interface where the refractive index changes, partial reflection occurs. Approximately a 4% loss due to reflection occurs at an air-glass interface. A single pane will reflect as much as 8% or more of the incident light. When using multiple panes this number can be as high as 24% or more in a three pane configuration and 32% or more in a four pane configuration.
If a material such as sapphire were used, a 3-pane window port would have reflection losses of up to 46%. Anti-reflective (AR) coatings applied to surfaces where index of refraction differences are present reduce the amount of light that is reflected to about 1% per surface, increasing transmittance and permitting not only the use of many types of camera lenses but also optical (laser) and infrared range finding devices.

Viewing for piloting is also vastly improved. Common, readily available AR coatings meet the 2% requirement. External window panes are exempted from having an AR coating on reusable terrestrial reentry vehicles to accommodate issues with re-entry heating and to permit ease of cleaning for vehicle turnaround. Observation, photography, and viewing tasks, particularly piloting, require clear viewing through the window. Limiting reflectance permits viewing through the window without interference from interior items reflected in the window.

### 1.2.5 Transmittance

Transmittance for window assemblies shall be per Table 1-2 Transmittance Requirements in the Visible Spectrum and Table 1-3 Transmittance Requirements in the Infrared Spectrum.

#### Table 1-2 Transmittance Requirements in the Visible Spectrum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength Band (nm)</td>
<td>425 ≤</td>
<td>≤ 800</td>
<td>425 ≤</td>
<td>≤ 700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmittance</td>
<td>≥ 90%</td>
<td></td>
<td>≥ 80%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 1-3 Transmittance Requirements in the Infrared Spectrum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength Band (nm)</td>
<td>800 ≤</td>
<td>≤ 1100</td>
<td>700 ≤</td>
<td>≤ 1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmittance</td>
<td>≥ 50%</td>
<td></td>
<td>≥ 50%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 1.2.6 Transmittance over the Life of the Window

During the operational life of the window radiation and ultraviolet exposure as defined by the applicable program/mission, shall not reduce the transmittance of any window below the transmittance requirements stated in Table 1-2 Transmittance Requirements in the Visible Spectrum and in Table 1-3 Transmittance Requirements in the Infrared Spectrum.

Rationale: Hostile environments created by short wavelength electromagnetic radiation such as ultra-violet, X-ray, and gamma-ray radiation or from particle fluxes such as alpha particles, beta particles, protons, and neutrons can produce discoloration and absorption losses in transparent materials particularly optical glasses. Ionizing radiation can produce free holes and electrons that can then become trapped, thus forming defect centers. These defect centers cause an increase in optical absorption in the visible portion of the spectrum leading to a darkening of the material. The associated loss in transmission is detrimental to the optical performance of a window and any optical system used with it and
must, therefore, be minimized. In order to optimize window optical performance especially over the life of the window, optical materials that have been stabilized for applications within the particular radiation environment expected must be used or replacement panes provided. Radiation stabilized transparent materials have been developed for use in such hostile environments.

1.2.7 Color Balance

For a D65 Standard Illuminant each finished pane shall exhibit a color shift that stays within a rectangular color space on the 1931 CIE Chromaticity Diagram whose boundaries defined as the x coordinate between 0.312 and 0.321 (inclusive) and the y coordinate between 0.329 and 0.340 inclusive. The bounding rectangular box representing the allowable color shift, along with the location of the unshifted D65 Standard Illuminant, are in Figure 1-1 Chromaticity Diagram.
1.2.8 Wavefront Quality

1.2.8.1 Wavefront Quality at Normal Incidence

For viewing angles at normal incidence where the reference wavelength is 632.8 nm, the peak-to-valley transmitted wavefront error through the combination of all window panes for the window port shall not exceed the limits specified in Table 1-4 Peak-to-Valley Transmitted Wavefront Error Limits for Normal Incidence Viewing (excluding flight loads, pressure loads, and temperature gradients).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category A windows</th>
<th>1/10 wave over any 150 mm (~6 in) diameter sub-aperture within the central 80% (minimum) of the physical area of the window.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Category B windows</td>
<td>1/4 wave over any 100 mm (~4 in) diameter sub-aperture within the central 80% (minimum) of the physical area of the window.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category C windows</td>
<td>1/4 wave over any 50 mm (~2 in) diameter sub-aperture within the central 80% (minimum) of the physical area of the window.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category D windows</td>
<td>1/4 wave over any 25 mm (~1 in) diameter sub-aperture within the central 50% (minimum) of the physical area of the window.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Rationale: See Rayleigh Limit in the Glossary of Terms and Definitions*
### 1.2.8.2 Wavefront Quality for Acute Angle Viewing for Windows

For viewing angles at normal incidence where the reference wavelength is 632.8 nm, the peak-to-valley transmitted wavefront error through all window panes of the window port shall not exceed the limits as specified in Table 1-5 Peak-to-Valley Transmitted Wavefront Error Limits for Acute Angle Viewing (excluding flight loads, pressure loads, and temperature gradients).

#### Table 1-5 Peak-to-Valley Transmitted Wavefront Error Limits for Acute Viewing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category A windows</th>
<th>1/4 wave over any 150 mm (~6 in) inch diameter sub-aperture within the central 60 percent (minimum) of the physical area of the window.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Category B windows</td>
<td>1/2 wave over any 100 mm (~4 in) diameter sub-aperture within the central 60 percent (minimum) of the physical area of the window.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category C windows</td>
<td>1 wave over any 50 mm (~2 in) diameter sub-aperture within the central 60 percent (minimum) of the physical area of the window.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category D windows</td>
<td>1 wave over any 25 mm (~1 in) diameter sub-aperture within the central 60 percent (minimum) of the physical area of the window.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rationale:** See Rayleigh Limit in the Glossary of Terms and Definitions
1.2.8.3 **Induced Wavefront Errors**

For Category A windows, the effect of the thermal and stress environments on wavefront for the normal and worse case operational configurations and conditions to which the window will be exposed shall be determined and the data provided.

*Rationale:* Thermal and stress variations across a window can detrimentally affect optical systems viewing through it because the index of refraction of materials changes with temperature and stress. Except in the case of windows made of tempered materials and possibly windows made of thin panes, wavefront induced degradation due to stress is not typically a significant issue. For thermally induced variations, there are two types to consider, axial and radial. With axial temperature gradients, the temperature varies through the thickness of each pane causing uniform bending and generally introduces only a negligible power term (defocus). With a radial temperature gradient, the window to first order acts like a lens with the optical path difference symmetrically changing with radius from the center. When utilizing the window at the center spherical aberration and defocus are the most common aberrations for which significant correction can be made by applying defocus corrections (refocusing) to the optical instrument being used. The report of the analysis conducted for the ISS Laboratory Module Nadir Science Window is listed in the references and is cited here as an example of the type of analysis and report that are required for this purpose.

1.2.9 **Haze**

1.2.9.1 **Haze Specification for A and B Windows**

Haze shall be less than 0.5% per ASTM D1003, Procedure A after all coatings and laminates are applied.

1.2.9.2 **Haze Specification for C and D Windows**

Haze shall be less than 1% per ASTM D1003, Procedure A after all coatings and laminates are applied.
1.2.10 Visual Uniformity and Coatings

The visual uniformity and durability of the window surfaces and coatings shall be per MIL-C-48497 as amended in Table 1-6 Modifications to MIL-C-48497 with the exception of surface defects which shall meet the requirements of section 1.3.2.

Table 1-6 Modifications to MIL-C-48497

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Modifications by Substrate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exposed to Internal Crew Contact or the External Environment</td>
<td>Glass or Ceramic Sections 3.4 3.4.2.1 and 4.5.4.1 are amended to add respectively -20°F and +20°F to the minimum and maximum exposure temperatures specified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other Materials Sections 3.4.2.1 and 4.5.4.1 are amended to add respectively -20°F and +20°F to the minimum and maximum exposure temperatures specified. Section 3.4.2.2 is eliminated Section 3.4.3.1 is eliminated and instead the coating shall be required to pass a Taber abrasion resistance test per ASTM D1044 with no more than 3.0% haze after 500 revolutions of a CS10F wheel under a load of 500 grams.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not exposed to Crew Contact or the External Environment</td>
<td>All Materials Sections 3.4.2.1 and 4.5.4.1 are amended to add respectively -20°F and +20°F to the minimum and maximum exposure temperatures specified. Section 3.4.3.1 is eliminated.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rationale: Coatings are typically applied to window surfaces to manage reflectance and transmittance, and, like the window surfaces and substrate materials to which they are applied, these coatings will negatively affect color balance and wavefront quality if they are not uniform or become marred, pitted, or damaged with use.
1.3 Allowable Defects

1.3.1 Inclusions
Inclusions are defects such as seeds, bubbles, small cullets, and dust or dirt particles within the window material itself or in an interlayer in a pane assembled of laminates and substrate. Inclusions class for any window material and any interlayer shall be per Table 1-7 Required Inclusion Number per MIL-G-174.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inclusion number</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.3.2 Surface Defects

1.3.2.1 Surface Imperfection Tolerances for All Window Categories
ISO 10110-7 shall be used to limit surface imperfections. No more than one surface imperfection of grade size A = 0.40 mm per 20 mm diameter area of the window port shall be allowed except for open inclusions which are specified below. Any combination of imperfections is allowed but imperfections with a grade number greater than 0.04 shall have a total projected area of less than 0.25mm² per 20 mm diameter of area (i.e., A” = 0.25) where A" is scratch width per ISO 10110-7. No more than one thin surface imperfection longer than 2 mm and a maximum width of 0.08 mm or less per 20 mm diameter of area shall be permitted. No scratches longer than 2 mm are permitted. The sum of the lengths of all scratches shall not exceed 10mm.

Rationale: The above specification is nominally equivalent to an 80-40 scratch-dig specification as described in MIL-O-13830A, but clears up the ambiguity present in the Mil-Standard. An 80-40 equivalent specification was selected as appropriate for windows where the focal plane is typically greater than 15 diopters from the window for the majority of instruments that would be used. Only very short focal length (“point and shoot”) cameras could be affected if the cameras are used against the window. Since long scratches are more visible to the eye, a separate requirement is specified.

1.3.2.2 Open Inclusions for Category A and B Windows
1. The maximum number of open inclusions per surface or interlayer shall not exceed three.
2. No single open inclusion shall exceed 0.50 mm (~0.02 in) in diameter.
3. Open inclusions shall be separated by not less than 50 mm (~1.97 in).
4. Open inclusions equal or less than 0.08 mm (~0.00315 in) in diameter may be disregarded.

1.3.2.3 Open Inclusions for Category C and D Windows
1. The maximum number of open inclusions per surface or interlayer shall not exceed five.
2. No single open inclusion shall exceed 1.0 mm (~0.04 in) in diameter.
3. Open inclusions shall be separated by not less than 75 mm (~2.95 in).
4. Open inclusions equal to or less than 0.1 mm (~0.004 in) in diameter may be disregarded.

1.3.3 **Polycarbonates, Acrylics, and General Plastics Including Laminates**

Polycarbonates, acrylics, and general plastics including laminates shall meet the Allowable Defect requirements in this section as specified respectively for other materials.
Window Optical and Functional Performance Verification Methods

The verification methodologies specified throughout this appendix refer to ground test conditions. On-orbit or extraterrestrial surface conditions need not be simulated except where specified or required for specialized tasks. All verifications shall be performed on flight articles except where specified. All test procedures, data, and reports shall be delivered to the customer upon delivery of the product.

2.0 Window Optical Characteristics

Window optical characteristics shall be verified as specified below and summarized in a test report. The verifications that follow shall be used.

2.1 Striae

Striae shall be verified by test. Testing shall be performed on witness samples.

2.2 Finished Window Performance

Verification that all tests have been performed on the finished ports shall be done by analysis of the data packs provided from the tests below.

2.2.1 Parallelism

Parallelism shall be verified by analysis of the window assembly hardware (e.g., springs, seals, frames, etc.) as configured with its prospective panes to ensure component tolerances do not allow exceedance of the requirement. If the analysis shows that the design tolerances may be close to being exceeded, then parallelism shall be verified by measurement and test of the flight article.

2.2.2 Wedge

Wedge shall be verified by inspection of individual panes. Vendor measurements of wedge are acceptable for this purpose and shall be provided in a data pack. Inspection of the data pack will verify that the requirement is met.

2.2.3 Birefringence

Birefringence shall be verified by test of the finished panes

2.2.4 Reflectance

Reflectance shall be verified by test as follows:

1. The reflectance for all categories of windows shall be measured for each surface of each pane between at least 450 and 800 nm in 1 nm increments.
2. A spreadsheet of all measured reflectance values shall be provided.

Witness samples may be used in lieu of actual flight panes.

2.2.5 Transmittance

Transmittance for all categories of windows shall be verified by test as follows:
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1. The transmittance shall be measured between at least 180 and 2500 nm in 1 nm increments except that for Category C and D windows that will not be exposed to external illumination the transmittance shall be measured between at least 425 and 1100 nm in 1 nm increments.

2. A spreadsheet of these transmittance values shall be provided.

3. For all categories of windows, the transmittance may be characterized using witness samples in lieu of actual flight panes if the samples are large enough to capture the enhanced transmittance realized from multi-pane reflections otherwise testing/inspection shall be performed on flight articles.

   Rationale: The extended bandwidth for transmittance data beyond that specified in the sections on transmittance is required for ocular and skin hazard evaluations.

Transmittance Requirements shall also be verified by test and analysis as specified below.

A. Additional Transmittance Verification for Category A Windows

1. Category A window transmittance shall also be verified by additional measurements of transmittance through the actual flight window panes in the normal flight configuration.

2. The measurements shall be sampled across the spectrum specified in the Transmittance section of this standard in 20 nm or narrower increments.

3. A minimum of 15 samples shall be taken and compared with the witness sample results.

4. The transmittance for Category A windows shall be measured for view angles between 0 degrees (normal to the plane of the window) and plus and minus 45 degrees of the normal in 5 degree increments in one plane.

B. Additional Transmittance Verification for Category B Windows

The transmittance for Category B windows shall also be verified by additional measurements of transmittance through the actual flight panes according to the procedures in the Additional Transmittance Verification for Category A Windows section of this standard if hyper- or multi-spectral instruments are to be used with the Category B window or where calibration is required for other purposes.

2.2.6 Transmittance over the Life of the Window

Transmittance over the life of the window shall be verified by analysis and test. Testing shall be performed on witness samples.

An analysis shall be performed to determine the effect of the natural and induced environments on window transmittance. If properties of the selected window material are not well known or defined, an accelerated life test shall be performed to determine the effect of natural and induced environments on window transmittance.
Rationale: Natural and induced environments can affect the material properties of the window and cause degradation of transmittance.

Color balance shall be verified by test. Witness samples may be used in lieu of flight articles for this testing.

2.2.7 Color Balance

Color balance shall be verified by test. Witness samples may be used in lieu of flight articles for this testing.

2.2.8 Wavefront Quality

2.2.8.1 Wavefront Quality at Normal Incidence

For Category A and B window ports wavefront shall be verified by test and analysis of flight articles per Method A and Method B below:

(A) Method A of Wavefront Verification

1. Each individual pane shall be tested over at least six sub-apertures distributed within the test area, defined as a minimum of 80% of the physical area of the pane, with one test aperture being located in the center of this area, and with one test aperture being located over the optically worst part of the pane within the test area. This test will measure the wavefront error at an angle of zero degrees from the normal for the six sub-apertures.

Note: The transmitted wavefront error shall be tested over the full test area defined, but may be tested over smaller sub-apertures if the wavefront errors are too large, or instrumentation is not available to test over the entire test area.

2. An additional test shall be conducted to measure wavefront of four sub-apertures distributed over the central 60% of the physical area of the pane for a view angle of ± 30 degrees.

(B) Method B of Wavefront Verification

1. An interferometric test shall be performed on all panes together that make up each flight window assembly, including any protective panes and laminates that are used. If the protective pane is easily removable within one minute without the use of tools and reinstallable within one minute without the use of tools by one crew member, then separate tests shall be performed with it in place and removed. This test shall measure the wavefront error over at least six sub-apertures distributed within the test area of the window port (defined as a minimum of 80% of the physical area of the window port) with one test aperture being located in the center of the clear aperture, and with one test aperture being located over the optically worst area of the pane within the test area.

Note: The transmitted wavefront error shall be tested over the full test aperture defined, but may be tested over smaller sub-apertures if the wavefront errors are too large, or instrumentation is not available to test the entire area.
C) Data Requirements

1. For Category A and B window ports each surface of all individual panes shall be tested in reflectance at normal incidence over at least the test area defined and the surface figures recorded.

2. Wavefront Data Packs shall include images of the interferograms recorded at all test apertures (including the large apertures), the total peak-to-valley wavefront error measured at each test aperture, and a breakdown of the total wavefront error measured at each test aperture into the individual third order aberrations (tilt, power, coma, spherical aberration, and astigmatism). Any spare panes fabricated shall also be tested.

For Category C and D window ports wavefront shall be verified by test and analysis using either Method A or Method B above.

2.2.8.2 Wavefront Quality for Acute Angle Viewing for Category A and B Windows

For Category A and B window ports, wavefront shall be verified by test and analysis of flight articles as specified below.

1. An interferometric test shall be performed on all panes together that make up each flight window assembly, including any protective panes and laminates that are used. If the protective pane is easily removable within one minute without the use of tools and reinstallable within one minute without the use of tools by one crew member, then separate tests shall be performed with it in place and removed. This test shall measure the wavefront error over at least four sub-apertures distributed within the test area of the window port (defined as a minimum of 60% of the physical area of the window port) for view angles of +/-30 degrees from the normal to the plane of the window with one test aperture being located in the center of the clear aperture, and with one test aperture being located over the optically worst area of the pane within the test area.

Note: The transmitted wavefront error shall be tested over the full test aperture defined, but may be tested over smaller sub-apertures if the wavefront errors are too large, or instrumentation is not available to test entire test area.

2. Wavefront Data Packs shall include images of the interferograms recorded at all test apertures (including the large apertures), the total peak-to-valley wavefront error measured at each test aperture, and a breakdown of the total wavefront error measured at each test aperture into the individual third order aberrations (tilt, power, coma, spherical aberration, and astigmatism). Any spare panes fabricated shall also be tested.

2.2.8.3 Induced Wavefront Errors

Induced wavefront errors caused by thermal and stress environments under the normal and worse case operational conditions and configurations to which the window will be exposed shall be determined by analysis.
**Rationale:** An individual program will need to review the analysis to determine whether some operational configurations and attitudes shall be avoided when using the window with any planned optical instruments to ensure that they can be properly refocused; that is, a defocus correction can be applied to correct for environmentally induced degradations. A detailed example of a thermal and stress analysis can be found in the References Section (SSPO 96(7434)-59).

### 2.2.9 Haze

#### 2.2.9.1 Haze Specification for A and B Windows

Haze shall be verified by inspection and test of flight articles per ASTM D1003, Procedure A and by analysis.

#### 2.2.9.2 Haze Specification for C and D Windows

Haze shall be verified by inspection and test of flight articles per ASTM D1003, Procedure A and by analysis.

### 2.2.10 Visual Uniformity and Coatings

1. Window coating durability shall be verified by test of coated witness samples per MIL-C-48497, Sections 3.4 and 3.5, as amended in Table 2-1 below and by analysis.

2. The eraser to be used for the purpose specified in MIL-C-48497 shall be per MIL-E12397.

3. Visual Uniformity shall be verified by test on witness samples per MIL–C–48497, paragraphs 4.5.2.1, 4.5.2.2, 4.5.2.3, 4.5.2.4, and 4.5.2.5.1 except that surface defects shall be verified per the Allowable Defects verification section of this document.
Table 2-1 Coating Durability Requirements per MIL-C-48497

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Section 3.4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glass or Ceramic</td>
<td>Section 3.4.2.1 is amended to add respectively +20°F and -20°F to the maximum and minimum temperatures specified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Material</td>
<td>Section 3.4.2.1 is amended to add respectively +20°F and -20°F to the maximum and minimum temperatures specified. Section 3.4.2.2 is eliminated Section 3.4.3.1 is eliminated The coating shall be required to pass a Taber abrasion resistance test per ASTM D1044 with no more than 3.0% haze after 500 revolutions of a CS10F wheel under a load of 500 grams.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not exposed to crew contact</td>
<td>All materials Section 3.4.2.1 is amended to add respectively +20°F and -20°F to the maximum and minimum temperatures specified. Section 3.4.3.1 is eliminated.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3 Allowable Defects
2.3.1 Inclusions

Testing / inspection shall be performed on flight articles as follows:

1. Inclusion class requirements for each pane shall be verified by inspection.
2. A spreadsheet shall be maintained for each pane delivered to the customer compiling inspection results that identify every defect in each pane.
3. The defects shall be classified as inclusions, open inclusions or seeds, or other anomalous marks.
4. The data on the spreadsheet shall be used to perform the appropriate calculations to identify panes that pass or fail the specified requirements.
5. The spreadsheet shall be delivered as part of the pane’s acceptance data package.

2.3.2 Surface Defects.

2.3.2.1 Surface Imperfection Tolerances for All Window Categories

Surface imperfections shall be verified per Method 1 of ISO 10110-7.

Rationale: Surface imperfections are localized blemishes such as scratches that are any marking or tearing of the glass surface, and digs which are small rough spots on
the glass surface similar to pits in appearance. An open bubble is considered a dig. Surface imperfections degrade performance because they scatter light. The light scattered is in proportion to the area of the imperfection relative to the area of the optical clear aperture.

2.3.2.2 Open Inclusions for Category A and B Windows

Testing / inspection shall be performed on flight articles as follows:

1. For Category A and B windows open inclusions requirements for each pane shall be verified by inspection.

2. A spreadsheet shall be maintained for each pane delivered to the customer compiling inspection results that identify every defect in each pane.

3. The defects shall be classified as open inclusions or seeds, or other anomalous marks.

4. The data in the spreadsheet shall be used to perform the appropriate calculations to identify panes that pass or fail the specified requirements.

5. The spreadsheet shall be delivered as part of the pane’s acceptance data package.

2.3.2.3 Open Inclusions for Category C and D Windows

Testing / inspection shall be performed on flight articles as follows:

1. For Category C and D windows open inclusions requirements for each pane shall be verified by inspection.

2. A spreadsheet shall be maintained for each pane delivered to the customer compiling inspection results that identify every defect in each pane.

3. The defects shall be classified as open inclusions or seeds, or other anomalous marks.

4. The spreadsheet shall perform the appropriate calculations to identify panes that pass or fail the specified requirements.

5. The spreadsheet shall be delivered as part of the pane’s acceptance data package.

2.3.3 Polycarbonates, Acrylics, and General Plastics Including Laminates

For polycarbonates, acrylics, and general plastics including laminates allowable defects shall be verified as specified respectively for other materials in this section.
3.0 Windows
No Requirement to be verified under this heading.

3.0.1 Introduction
No Requirement to be verified under this heading.

3.0.2 Window Design and Task Support
No Requirement to be verified under this heading.

3.0.2.1 Window Categories
The design parameters that match window viewing area size and performance to the required tasks shall be verified by inspection and test of the flight window port and by analysis.

3.0.2.2 Minimum Number of Windows
The minimum number of windows shall be verified by inspection of the drawings and the flight article.

3.0.2.3 Multipurpose Windows
The design parameters for multipurpose windows that match window viewing area size and optical performance to the required tasks shall be verified by inspection and test of the flight window port and by analysis.

3.0.2.4 Special Purpose Windows
The design parameters for special purpose windows that match window viewing area size and optical performance to the required tasks shall be verified by inspection and test of the flight window port and by analysis.

3.0.3 Window Location and Orientation
Window Location and Orientation shall be verified by inspection of the drawings and the flight article.

3.0.3.1 Window Visual Field Window visual field shall be verified by analysis.

3.0.3.2 Window Vision (Fields of View) for Piloting
Window vision (Fields of View) for piloting shall be verified by analysis.

3.0.3.2.1 Winged Vehicles
Windows for Winged Vehicles shall be verified inspection and by analysis.

3.0.3.2.1.1 The Window Forward Vision Area
The window forward vision area shall be verified by analysis.
3.0.3.2.1.1 Forward Vision Area Up-vision Minimums
The forward vision area up-vision minimums shall be verified by analysis.

3.0.3.2.1.2 Forward Vision Area Down-vision Minimums
The forward vision area down-vision minimums shall be verified by analysis. The forward vision area down-vision minimums shall be verified by analysis.

3.0.3.2.1.3 Forward Vision Area Inboard-vision Minimums
The forward vision area inboard-vision minimums shall be verified by analysis.

3.0.3.2.1.4 Forward Vision Area Outboard-vision Minimums
The forward vision area outboard-vision minimums shall be verified by analysis.

3.0.3.2.1.2 The Window Lateral Vision Area
The window lateral vision area shall be verified by analysis.

3.0.3.2.1.2.1 Lateral Vision Area Up-vision Minimums
The lateral vision area up-vision minimums shall be verified by analysis.

3.0.3.2.1.2.2 Lateral Vision Area Down-vision Minimums
The lateral vision area down-vision minimums shall be verified by analysis.

3.0.3.2.1.3 The Window Side Vision Area
The window side vision area shall be verified by analysis.

3.0.3.2.1.3.1 Side Vision Area Up-vision Minimums
The side vision area up-vision minimums shall be verified by analysis.

3.0.3.2.1.3.2 Side Vision Area Aft-vision Minimums
The side vision area aft-vision minimums shall be verified by analysis.

3.0.3.2.1.4 Upward Vision
Window upward vision shall be verified by inspection and by analysis.

3.0.3.2.1.5 Rearward Vision
Window rearward vision shall be verified by inspection and by analysis.

3.0.3.2.2 Non-Winged Vehicles
Windows for non-winged vehicles shall be verified by inspection and by analysis.

3.0.3.2.2.1 The Window Forward Vision Area
The window forward vision area shall be verified by analysis.
3.0.3.2.2.1.1 Forward Vision Area Up-vision Minimums
The forward vision area up-vision minimums shall be verified by analysis.

3.0.3.2.2.1.2 Forward Vision Area Down-vision Minimums
The forward vision area down-vision minimums shall be verified by analysis.

3.0.3.2.2.1.3 Forward Vision Area Inboard-vision Minimums
The forward vision area inboard-vision minimums shall be verified by analysis.

3.0.3.2.2.1.4 Forward Vision Area Outboard-vision Minimums
The forward vision area outboard-vision minimums shall be verified by analysis.

3.0.3.3 Inboard Window Obscuration Exclusion Zone
The inboard window obscuration exclusion zone shall be verified by analysis.

3.0.3.4 Outboard Window Obscuration Exclusion Zone
The outboard window obscuration exclusion zone shall be verified by analysis.

3.0.3.5 Optical Uniformity
Optical uniformity shall be verified by test on flight articles as follows to verify that all finished window panes meet the requirements in the Optical Uniformity section of this standard.

1. A grid target shall be constructed that contains horizontal and vertical black lines on a white background that extend over the entire target. Each of the horizontal lines shall be 30.5 cm apart (+/- 0.5 cm). Each of the vertical lines shall be 30.5 cm (+/- 0.5 cm) apart. The size of the target shall be at least three times as large as the window pane being tested.

2. A dot target shall be constructed that contains a series black dots 0.635 cm (+/- 0.35 cm) in size that are separated by a distance of 30.5 cm (+/- 0.5 cm) and placed in uniform rows and columns that extend over the entire target. The target shall be at least three times as large as the window pane being tested.

3. For each test described below, NASA shall select three observers who shall each meet the visual acuity requirements of a pilot-astronaut and who in turn shall be at a distance of 30.5 cm (+/- 0.5 cm) from the window and viewing through it at the target placed 122 cm (+/- 2 cm) on the opposite side of the window from the observer with the centers of the window and the target in line.

4. The following tests shall be performed by each observer without their having prior knowledge of any possible optical defects on the pane being tested:
   a. When viewing each target, the observer is to focus on the target and not on the surface or body of the pane of the window.
b. The observer is to scan the target through the designated quality area of the pane over its entire area.

c. The observer shall perform a minimum of two scans. One using the eyes only without moving the head and another while moving the head side to side and then up and down.

d. If the vision of any one observer is pulled to, focuses on, or detects an optical defect because of size, intensity, non-uniformity, grouping, or distortion; the pane will be evaluated further as described in Item 6 below.

e. If the lines on the grid target appear wavy or appear to curve or move non-uniformly across the target when viewed either statically (scanning using the eyes only) or when moving the head by any one observer, the pane will be evaluated further as described in Item 6 below.

f. If the dots on the dot target disappear and reappear, appear to blur, or produce double images either when viewed statically or when moving the head by any one observer, the pane shall be evaluated further as described in Item 6 below.

5. An optical deviation test shall be performed. Each optical defect greater than 30 arc seconds shall be further evaluated per Item 6 below.

6. Any defect identified shall be measured to determine whether the defect violates requirements in the Window Optical Characteristics section of this standard. The verification is successful when no defects that violate requirements are identified.

Rationale: Windows must be optically uniform so as not to degrade use of the window. Windows that are composed of or that include materials other than glass such as laminates, plastic layers, and adhesives often have non-uniformities. Laminates, plastic layers, and adhesives applied non-uniformly will negatively affect optical performance. Very large defects can go undetected in wavefront measurements because they are too large to be measured by an interferometer. As reported in the references, both verifications are required to preclude deficiencies encountered with previous deliveries.

3.0.3.6 Window Shape

3.0.4 Surface Finish for Window Frame and Surrounding Structure

Surface Finish shall be verified by test on witness samples by lot for each coating or finish used. Wavelength scaling of the specular reflectance may be established by hemispheric reflectance measurements.

3.0.5 Window Surface Contamination

No Requirement to be verified under this heading.

3.0.5.1 Material Selection and Contamination Protection for Window Assembly and Installation

To prevent degradation of window performance, only materials that have passed testing per ASTM-E1559 Method B with the additions listed below shall be used in the manufacture of all window assemblies, particularly seals and shutter components. This
includes all external materials within 3 meters (~10 ft) of any window. Materials may be baked out to meet these requirements. A hardware functionality bench test shall be performed to re-verify performance after baking. Testing shall be performed on witness samples.

1. One of the three Quartz Crystal Microbalances (QCMs) used in the testing shall be at a temperature equal to or less than the coldest temperature the window is expected to attain in its operating environment.

2. The output of the E1559 tests is a condensable outgassing rate for each of the sample and collector temperatures. These rates shall be entered into a contamination model with modifications that takes into account view factors such that the degradation that the contamination would have on window transmittance is determined.

3. Exposure to UV shall be required such that the synergistic interaction between solar UV and molecular contamination, which can lead to photochemically enhanced deposition and darkening of the contaminants, is included in the model or determined by test.

4. The model shall accurately model materials that are expected to operate in a closed volume (e.g., seals) such that outgassed materials that are constrained by the volume are addressed differently than materials that outgas to space.

5. This requirement is met when the transmittance of all categories of windows are predicted to meet or exceed the requirements specified in the Transmittance and Haze sections of this standard after exposure to the outgassed materials.

6. All materials within line of sight of any window but outside the 3 meter area on the completed architectural element as configured for mission use shall be screened per NASA-STD-6016 using a CVCM level of 0.01% that is defined for sensitive surfaces. An evaluation shall be performed to determine whether a QCM temperature colder than 77º F (25º C) is needed to prevent using materials that would meet the CVCM level at 77º F (25º C), but would fail if the actual window temperature was used.

7. Photochemical deposition effects on contamination rate shall also be evaluated.

3.0.5.2 Molecular Contamination Removal for Category A, B, and C Windows

1. The provision of a means to remove contamination shall be verified by inspection of the flight article.

2. The effectiveness of the means to remove contamination for thermal contamination removal systems shall be verified by demonstration (that the heat will remove contamination) and analysis (that the system is adequate to remove contamination over the entire area of the window).

3. The effectiveness of the means to remove contamination for non-thermal contamination removal systems shall be verified by test.

4. Mockups containing substrate material that is the same as that of the flight article may be used for this purpose; however, the substrate material need not meet the optical or durability requirements contained herein.
5. Use of witness samples and engineering units where appropriate is acceptable but not necessary for this purpose.

6. Flight articles shall not be used for this purpose except for inspection of the flight article to verify provision of the contamination removal system.

3.0.5.3 Particulate Contamination Removal for Category A, B, and C Windows
1. The provision of the means to remove particulates shall be verified by inspection of the flight article.

2. The effectiveness of the means to remove particulates shall be verified by demonstration.

3. Mockups containing substrate material that is the same as that of the flight article may be used for this purpose. The substrate material used in any such mockup need not meet the optical requirements contained herein.

4. Use of witness samples and engineering units where appropriate is acceptable but not necessary for this purpose.

5. Flight articles shall not be used for this purpose except for inspection of the flight article to verify provision of the contamination removal system.

3.0.5.4 External Contamination Monitoring for Category A, B, and C Windows
1. The provision of a means to monitor contamination shall be verified by inspection of the flight article.

2. The effectiveness of the means to monitor contamination shall be verified by test for a range and sensitivity specified by an individual program.

3.0.6 Other Sources of Window Contamination and Damage
No Requirement to be verified under this heading.

3.0.6.1 Protective Panes and Covers
No Requirement to be verified under this heading.

3.0.6.1.1 External Window Protection
External protection of the outboard-most window pane shall be verified by inspection of the flight article and by demonstration as follows:

3.0.6.1.1.1 Transparent External Protection
1. Optical requirements of transparent external protection shall be by test and analysis.

2. The ability to remove external transparent protection in less than one hour with the use of standard EVA tools by one EVA crew member and the ability to reinstall external transparent protection in less than 1 hour with the use of standard EVA tools by one EVA crew member shall be verified by demonstration.
3.0.6.1.2 Opaque External Protection

1. Onboard manual operability of opaque external protection from fully closed to fully open in less than 10 seconds and from fully open to fully closed in less than 10 seconds shall be verified by demonstration.

2. Remote operability from onboard and a location not onboard of opaque external protection from fully closed to fully open in less than 10 seconds and from fully open to fully closed in less than 10 seconds shall be verified by demonstration.

3. Remote determination from onboard and a location not onboard of shutter or device state, either open or closed, shall be verified by demonstration.

4. Provision of a light seal as part of a shutter or device shall be verified by inspection of the flight article. Light seal efficacy shall be verified by demonstration.

5. Provision of a particulate/contamination seal as part of a shutter or device shall be verified by inspection of the flight article. Particulate/contamination seal efficacy shall be verified by analysis or demonstration using a test article. The test article need not be equipped with flight like window panes.

6. Provision of or incorporation of micrometeoroid and foreign object debris protection with the shutter or device shall be verified by inspection of the flight article. Micrometeoroid and foreign object debris protection efficacy shall be verified by analysis or demonstration using a test article. The test article need not be equipped with flight like window panes.

7. Intermediate positioning of the shutter or device between fully closed and fully open shall be verified by demonstration.

8. Manual movement of the shutter or device in either direction toward open or close by some means through its entire range of motion from the exterior of an architectural element shall be verified by demonstration.

9. The ability to remove and replace a shutter or other operable opaque external window protection device in less than two hours with the use of standard EVA tools by one EVA crewmember shall be verified by demonstration.

10. The ability to remove and replace shutter or device drive mechanisms in less than two hours with the use of standard hand tools by one crewmember shall be verified by demonstration.

3.0.6.1.2 Internal Window Protection

1. Protective pane and cover transparency shall be verified by demonstration.

2. Protective pane and cover optical requirements shall be verified by test.

3. The ability to remove internal protective panes in less than ten minutes with no more than the use of standard hand tools by one crew member and to reinstall internal protective panes in less than ten minutes with no more than the use of standard hand tools by one crew member shall be verified by demonstration.

4. Wavefront requirements of removable internal protective panes used on Category A and B windows shall be verified by test.
5. The ability to remove protective covers in less than ten seconds and to reinstall protective covers in less than ten seconds by one crew member without the use of tools or the ability to operate internal protective covers from fully closed to fully open in less than 10 seconds and from fully open to fully closed in less than 10 seconds by one crew member without the use of tools as appropriate shall be verified by demonstration.

6. Wavefront requirements of internal protective covers used on Category A and B windows that are not operable from fully closed to fully open in less than 10 seconds and from fully open to fully closed in less than 10 seconds or removable in less than ten seconds and reinstallable in less than ten seconds by one crew member without the use of tools shall be verified by test.

3.0.7 Condensation Prevention

The provision of a condensation prevention system shall be verified by inspection of the flight article.

1. The effectiveness of condensation prevention systems for exposure to the normally expected range of environmental conditions and for the interior most surfaces on Category A and B windows from human breath condensation at a mouth-to-pane distance of 10 cm (metabolic rate = 30 (+/-5) breaths/minute, single person) shall be verified by test.

2. The effectiveness of condensation prevention systems during interpane venting and pressurization shall be verified by demonstration.

3.0.7.1 Manual operation of condensation prevention systems

Manual operation of condensation prevention systems shall be verified by demonstration.

3.0.8 Window Transmittance and Non-Ionizing Radiation

Crew safety requirements will be verified by analysis per the requirements of NASA Standard 3000, Section 5.7.3, Human System Integration Standards, Section 5.7.3.1, and Spaceflight Human Systems Standard Volume II, Section 5.8.2 which are considered to have been met if the window port being considered has transmittance values that yield acceptable viewing times that are less than or equal to those specified in the tables within the referenced standards. If the window port does not meet the specified values, then additional means such as sunglasses, protective filters, etc. may be used to meet the required attenuation. An analysis shall be performed to show that the attenuation provided by any additional means in combination with the windows satisfies the crew safety requirements.

3.0.8.1 Attenuation and Filtering of Non-ionizing Radiation

1. The provision of the means to attenuate or filter non-ionizing radiation shall be verified by inspection of the flight article.

2. Non-permanent attachment of non-ionizing radiation attenuation devices or filters shall be verified by demonstration.
3. The ability to remove non-ionizing radiation attenuation devices or filters in less than 10 seconds without the use of tools and to reinstall non-ionizing radiation filters in less than 10 seconds without the use of tools by one crew member shall be verified by demonstration.

4. Optical performance requirements of non-ionizing radiation attenuation devices or filters shall be verified by test and analysis.

3.0.9 Window Support

3.0.9.1 Electronic Connectivity for Window Support

3.0.9.2 Window Support in Microgravity

3.0.10 Research Needs

No Requirement to be verified under this heading.

3.0.11 References

No Requirement to be verified under this heading.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Birefringence</td>
<td>Birefringence in a material refers to the difference in index of refraction that is a function of incident light polarization. Birefringence can be an intrinsic material property such as in sapphire, or be induced by stress in a material that otherwise does not display birefringence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bubbles</td>
<td>Gaseous voids entrapped within a bulk material such as in glass, plastics, laminates, etc. of generally circular cross section which are usually the result of the manufacturing process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear Viewing Aperture</td>
<td>The area of window that is not covered by the window assembly frame or other structure that would block incident light rays.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deviation</td>
<td>Deviation refers to the change in angle that an emergent ray of light makes with the incident ray as it passes through a window pane or port, or other optical device.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse Reflectance</td>
<td>The fraction of incident light or other type of wave within a specified wavelength band that is reflected from a surface uniformly in all directions, regardless of the angle of incidence of the incident light (rays) or wave. A truly diffusely (Lambertian) reflective surface has the same luminance (appears to have the same brightness) from all viewpoints, regardless of the direction of the source relative to the surface. This type of reflection is associated with matte or &quot;flat&quot; surface treatments on objects, and is contrasted with specular reflectance. Most surfaces exhibit a combination of specular and diffuse reflectance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse Reflection</td>
<td>The fraction of incident light power within a specified wavelength band that is reflected from a surface uniformly in all directions, regardless of the angle of incidence of the incident light rays. A truly diffuse (Lambertian) reflective surface has the same luminance (appears to have the same brightness) from all viewpoints, regardless of the direction of the source relative to the surface. This type of reflection is associated with matte or &quot;flat&quot; surface treatments on objects, and is contrasted with specular reflectance. Most object surfaces exhibit a combination of specular and diffuse reflectance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dig</td>
<td>A small rough spot or short scratch on a polished surface, generally residuals of subsurface damage caused by grinding that did not polish out or from bubbles that opened up, whose dimensions are sufficient to be measured.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distortion</td>
<td>A general term referring to the situation in which an image is not a true-to-scale reproduction of an object where the resultant image looks misshapen caused by a changing wedge angle between two window pane surfaces or by material inhomogeneities or irregularities in the optical surface.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field of View for Windows</td>
<td>All points through a window that can be viewed directly by at least one eye, given the combination of achievable eye, head, and body movement. The field of view is restricted by obstructions imposed by the facial structure around the eye and/or placed in front of the eye such as the crewmember's helmet if worn, mullions, structure, and/or other equipment. Achievable movement will vary for different flight phases and operational tasks and is dependent upon any constraints to movement that are extant such as being suited, seated, and/or restrained, and any g-loads present. With respect to line of sight phenomena such as contamination deposition and pluming, any point outboard of the window that is above the plane of the outer surface of the outer-most pane of the window port is considered within the field of view of the window.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haze</td>
<td>The fogged appearance of a window or lens which occurs when light rays deviate from the incident beam by forward scattering as they pass through the window, measured as a percentage of the transmitted light that is deviated. Haze is caused by fine surface roughness, contamination, scratches, or internal inhomogeneities and inclusions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclusions</td>
<td>A generic term used to denote the presence of all localized defects within bulk materials of essentially circular cross section including bubbles, seeds, striae knots, small stones, sand, and crystals. Inclusions scatter light in proportion to their area. Near an image plane, inclusions can be objectionable because of their visibility in images.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index of refraction</td>
<td>The index of refraction or refractive index is a material property. It refers to the ratio of the speed of light in a vacuum with the phase velocity in the material. It is wavelength and temperature dependent. The index of refraction of vacuum is 1.0. The index of refraction of air is 1.0003. The index of refraction of fused silica varies from 1.469 to 1.455 across the visible spectrum at 20 °C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open inclusions</td>
<td>Open inclusions are bulk material inclusions that have become exposed at the surface due to polishing or other processing steps. The bottom of an open inclusion is usually more pristine than a dig that typically is fractured. Hence, the depth of damage is usually less for an open inclusion than for a dig.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optical path length</td>
<td>Optical path length (OPL) refers to the path that light actually travels within a medium and is described as follows:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OPL = nt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>where n is the index of refraction of the material or medium and t is the physical length of the path. OPL is wavelength dependent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protective Cover</td>
<td>An internal non-pressure containing, transparent sheet or pane, usually of a different material than the window panes such as acrylic or other material, intended to protect the underlying window pressure and/or protective pane(s) from incidental crew contact. A protective cover is normally not an integral part of the window assembly and in such cases where it is not an integral part of the window assembly, a protective cover has at least Category D optical properties except with respect to wavefront and in cases where a protective cover also serves as a window filter. In such cases with respect to wavefront the transmitted wavefront error for protective covers is at least 1 wave over any 25 mm (~1 in) diameter sub-aperture within the central 80% (minimum) of the physical area of the window where the reference wavelength is 632.8 nm (excluding flight loads, pressure loads, and temperature gradients) and where a protective cover also acts as a window filter, the transmittance for hazardous, non-ionizing radiation wavelengths is reduced to safe levels. Non-integral or removable protective covers are easily removable without the use of tools in less than 10 seconds by one crew member and reinstallable in less than 10 seconds without the use of tools by one crew member or operable from fully closed to fully open in less than 10 seconds without the use of tools by one crew member and from fully open to fully closed in less than 10 seconds without the use of tools by one crew member. Non-integral protective panes can be considered temporary; that is, replaceable after some period of time after which their optical quality has degraded below the category level for which they were designed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protective Pane</td>
<td>Either an external or internal, non-pressure containing, transparent pane that is intended to protect the underlying window pressure pane(s) from natural and induced environmental degradation such as contamination, erosion, debris impacts, and incidental crew contact. A protective pane is normally considered an integral part of the window assembly and is at least of the same optical quality as the window pane(s) it protects. Non-integral protective panes are easily removable from the window assembly and reinstallable onto the window assembly within 1 hour EVA or 10 minutes IVA with the use of a minimal set of EVA tools (EVA) or standard hand tools (IVA) by one crew member. Protective panes can be considered temporary; that is, replaceable after some period of time after which their optical quality has degraded below the category level for which they were designed. External protective panes can be considered sacrificial.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartz Crystal Microbalance (QCM)</td>
<td>A device that uses a piezoelectric quartz crystal to detect the presence of contamination. A QCM compares the resonance frequency of a shielded quartz crystal, which remains contamination free, with one that is exposed to the environment and experiences deposition of contamination. By calibrating the QCM, the amount of mass deposition can be determined.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Rayleigh Limit

An ideal window would induce no errors into a transmitting wavefront. Wavefront error (optical path difference) degrades image quality when subsequently imaged by an optical system. The Rayleigh Limit addresses how much wavefront error can be introduced by a window and not affect the image quality of a near diffraction limited optical system viewing through it. The Rayleigh Limit allows for not more than 1/4 wave peak-to-valley optical path difference (OPD) with the reference wavelength typically being 632.8 nm. If the total optical aberrations are limited to less than one Rayleigh Limit then smaller aperture systems (standard cameras, binoculars etc) will perform well. When an optical system images a point source (like a star), a diffraction limited (perfect) system will produce an image of the point source, but because of diffraction, the image will have a central bright disc and a series of concentric rings surrounding the central disk (called an Airy disc). In a perfect system, 84% of the energy will be located in the central disk, and 16% of the energy in the surrounding rings. As the wavefront error is increased, there becomes a noticeable shift of energy from the central disc to the rings. This energy shift makes the image start looking blurry. For small amounts of wavefront error, the energy distribution is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavefront Error ($\lambda = 632.8\text{nm}$)</th>
<th>Energy in Central Disk</th>
<th>Energy in Rings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perfect Lens (OPD = 0)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{OPD} = \lambda/16$</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{OPD} = \lambda/8$</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{OPD} = \lambda/4$ [one Rayleigh Limit]</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is apparent that the amount of aberration corresponding to one Rayleigh Limit does cause a small but appreciable change in the characteristics of the image. However, for most small aperture systems, particularly cameras, the performance is more than acceptable. For larger aperture systems such as for telescopes and other high performance systems, the Rayleigh Limit is not sufficient to ensure that noticeable degradation is not introduced by the window. Hence, Category A windows require an OPD of no more than 1/10th wave.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reflectance</td>
<td>The fraction or percentage of incident light or other type of wave at a specified wavelength that is reflected from a surface (see also specular and diffuse reflectance).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scratches</td>
<td>Any marking or tearing of the native surface material, substrate, surface coating, or surface laminate along the line of the surface appearing as though caused by the movement of a rough or a hard, sharp object which leaves a roughened depression. Scratches scatter light and can introduce visual and image distortion, and would be objectionable if near an image plane.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seeds</td>
<td>A term used to denote a gaseous inclusion having an extremely small diameter in glass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specular Reflection</td>
<td>The perfect, mirror-like reflection of a wave such as light from a surface, in which the light from a single incoming direction is reflected into a single outgoing direction as described by Snell's Law ($\theta_i = \theta_r$). Diffuse reflection, on the other hand, refers to light that is reflected in a broad range of directions (see diffuse reflectance). The most familiar example of the distinction between specular and diffuse reflection in the case of light waves would be glossy and matte paints or photo prints. While both finishes exhibit a combination of specular and diffuse reflectance, glossy paints and photo prints have a greater proportion of specular reflectance and matte paints and photo prints have a greater proportion of diffuse reflectance. Anti-reflection coatings reduce the amount of light that is reflected from a given surface. Reflectance for an uncoated glass surface is $\sim 4%$, which yields $\sim 8%$ for the two surfaces of a single pane. Antireflective coatings can reduce the total reflectance to $\sim 2%$ or less.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Striae</td>
<td>Spatially short range variations (0.1 mm to 2 mm) in the index of refraction of a transparent material, usually of glass especially when formed into panes. Striae are different from spatially global index of refraction inhomogeneities that affect the complete material piece. Striae induce wavefront errors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmittance</td>
<td>The fraction or percentage of incident light at a specified wavelength that passes through a medium.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavefront</td>
<td>Light travels as an electromagnetic wave. A wavefront is defined as a surface joining all adjacent points on a wave that have the same phase.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavefront Error</td>
<td>Wavefront error is the total optical path difference induced into a wavefront with respect to the wavelength of light, usually referenced to a HeNe laser wavelength of 632.8 nm. For planar waves, wavefront error occurs when the wavefront is distorted such that an individual wavefront is no longer in phase. This occurs when different parts of the wavefront travel different optical path lengths. In an ideal window, a planar wave will pass through it such that the optical path length at each point on the window is the same, and the wavefront retains the same phase. Wavefront error is aperture dependent. In an imperfect window, the wavefront is distorted; that is, the phase is not maintained. Wavefront error can be distorted by surface imperfections (the window is not 'flat') or by material inhomogeneities (the index of refraction varies across the window).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wedge</td>
<td>The angle formed by and between the two surfaces of an individual window pane.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Window</td>
<td>A non-electronic means for direct through the hull viewing using a transparent material; the same as and used interchangeably with window port.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Window Cover</td>
<td>See Protective Cover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Window filter</td>
<td>An internal, non-pressure containing, transparent sheet or pane, usually of a different material than the window panes such as polycarbonate or other material, intended to filter non-ionizing radiation hazards to safe levels. A window filter is not considered an integral part of the window assembly. Window filters are easily removed and reinstalled without the use of tools by one crew member. A window filter may also serve as a protective cover.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Window port</td>
<td>The finished assembly including the frame structure (includes all gaskets, bolts, spacers, and other such parts) and all window panes that would normally be used at a specific location with any protective panes, permanent coatings, polycarbonate films, or laminates applied or in place.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Window shade</td>
<td>Usually an internal, non-pressure containing, opaque sheet intended to block external light from entering the interior of a crew cabin. A window shade may or may not be integral part of the window assembly. Nonintegral window shades are easily removable and reinstallable without the use of tools in less than 10 seconds by one crew member. Window shades that are an integral part of the window assembly can also act as window shutters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Window shutter</td>
<td>An internally and remotely operable, external cover intended to prevent natural and induced environmental degradation of the outboard-most window pane (e.g.: contamination, erosion, and impacts) with open and close indicators that are readable from the remote operating location. Window shutters can be operated through their full range of motion in less than 10 seconds and can serve as window shades.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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